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Abstract: Information regarding state of the art technology is accessible by searching in a systematic manner, and is the preferred way of keeping up to date. In this review, we present the recent developments in the field of latent fingerprint detection. Recent developments in processing methods including optical, physical, and chemical methods, and sophisticated instrumental analytical techniques are presented.
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Introduction
Fingerprints are one of the most valuable forms of evidence due to their uniqueness. They are found on objects present at a crime scene and are used to identify the suspect or criminal, and link them to the crime scene, weapon, or object. Fingermarks are formed by sweat released from pores present on the friction ridge skin of hands. Finger ridges contain a large number of sweat pores. When the finger touches any surface, the sweat from these pores gets deposited in form of contours, which are the mirror image of the ridge patterns. Since sweat is colorless in nature, its deposition on a surface also produces colorless impressions, which are called latent fingerprints.1

Latent fingerprint residues consist of secretions of the eccrine (sweat), sebaceous, and apocrine glands present on the palm, head, and nose. Sweat contains water (>98%), minerals (0.5%), and organic compounds (0.5%). Eccrine sweat consists of proteins, urea, amino acids, uric acid, lactic acid, sugars, creatinine, and choline, while sebaceous sweat consists of glycerides, fatty acids, wax esters, squalene, and sterol esters. A number of factors associated with the donor such as sex, age, diet, type of disease, medication, and the presence of contaminants on the surface of the fingertips affect the chemical composition of latent finger impressions. Chemical composition of the latent residue further changes with the passage of time due to the evaporation of its volatile constituents, action by microorganisms, and exposure to heat, light, moisture, and air.1-3 Popa et al4 observed that biological constituents of fingerprints degraded differently with the passage of time. They also observed that degradation of fingerprints depends on blood group under identical environmental conditions. Exposure of latent fingerprints to common household liquids such as milk, wine, soft drinks, beer, orange juice, and soapy water on a nonporous surface for varying spans of time affects the quality of developed prints.5 Different kinds of optical, physical, and/or chemical
methods are routinely used to visualize latent fingerprints. Optical methods utilize electromagnetic radiation of appropriate wavelengths to visualize latent fingerprints and are nondestructive in nature. The latent finger impressions are developed by physical methods involving physical interaction with deposits of impressions. Chemical methods can be used to develop the latent fingerprints by converting any particular constituent of sweat into a colored derivative. These methods can be used alone or in combination with others to enhance the visibility of developed prints.

The selection of the processing method depends on a number of factors and includes nature (porous, semiporous, and nonporous), texture (smooth and rough), condition (dry and wet), and color of the surface on which the latent fingerprint is impinging. The success of detection method also relies on aging of the deposits.1

Fieldhouse6,7 developed a fingermark “sampler” to maximize the deposition of comparable marks. He studied the effects of variation in force applied, area, angle, and time of contact. He suggested that these factors control the reproducibility and consistency of fingerprints and thus may also affect the quality of the latent fingerprints left at the scene. Staymates et al8 used a microdispensing device to develop artificial fingerprints. This device is capable of depositing a viscous fraction (olive oil, jojoba oil, coconut oil, oleic acid, paraffin wax, and palmitic acid) of residue. Staymates et al also prepared artificial fingerprints on various substrates in a reproducible manner. Daniel9 suggested the use of heptane to remove petroleum products such as gasoline from nonporous surfaces before developing oil- or grease-contaminated latent fingerprints.

Optical methods

The chromatic white light (CWL) sensor generates a topographic image of the sample by using chromatic aberration of light. These sensors are used to localize marks on various nonporous substrates and to separate overlapped fingerprints.10–13 These sensors can also be used to estimate the age of latent fingerprints left on a variety of surfaces.14–19 Some authors used contactless CWL sensors for determining the age of a fingerprint.14–19 They observed that different factors such as composition of sweat, humidity, temperature, ultraviolet (UV) radiation, type of substrate, presence of water-containing substance on fingertip, scan resolution, and measured area size affect the results. However, time and pressure of contact between fingertip and substrate are less effective parameters. Dalrymple and Almog20 advocated the use of Coherent TracER lasers (460, 532, and 577 nm) for the visualization of fingerprints developed using IND/Zn, genipin, and lawsone due to their high sensitivity. Nakamura et al21 advocated the use of a portable hyperspectral imager along with continuous-wave green laser over blue or yellow laser for the identification and detection of untreated latent fingerprints or palm prints on the steel and plaster walls. Akiba et al22 measured UV fluorescence obtained by a pulsed Nd-YAG laser to visualize latent fingerprints on a wide range of colored printed papers. Leintz and Bond23 used reflected UV to visualize latent fingerprints on corroded brass cartridge casings. Bond24 advocated the use of a high-intensity UV light source to visualize latent fingerprints on thermal papers.

Lin et al25 used both polarization and specular reflection based on a novel optical method to detect, enhance, and lift latent fingerprint images from the sticky side of tape without using any powder or chemicals on surface. However, this method is not applicable for detecting latent fingerprints on highly absorbing and porous surfaces. Kuivalainen et al26 suggested the use of a diffractive element-based sensor for the detection of latent fingerprints on curved smooth objects such as ballpoint pens. They observed that surface roughness or density variation of ridge pattern at certain locations of latent fingerprints affects the quality of image of latent fingerprints. Dubey et al27 suggested the use of full-field swept-source optical coherence tomography for detecting latent fingerprints on poorly reflected smooth, plain surfaces.

Crane et al28 advocated the use of infrared imaging for the detection of untreated latent fingerprints on a wide range of porous and nonporous surfaces without altering or destroying trace evidence present within them. Kirst et al29 used confocal three-dimensional laser microscopy to detect and equalize distorted latent fingerprints and microtraces on nonplanar objects. Pfister30 reported the illumination of the surface having suspected fingerprints with the help of specular light using a flat piece of glass at an angle of 45° to the camera. It was claimed that with the proposed arrangement more light is reflected from the flat surface than that of ridge surface, resulting in brighter furrow area against darker ridges.

Chemical methods

Small-particle reagent method

Rohatgi and Kapoor31 used alkaline fuchsin-based small-particle reagent formulation to develop latent fingerprints on wet nonporous surfaces even after 45 days of its deposition. They advocate the utility of this formulation after comparing it with a crystal violet-based similar formulation. Au et al32 used titanium dioxide-based wet powder suspension for the
development of bloodied marks on dark, smooth, nonporous surfaces. They observed that this composition did not interfere in subsequent presumptive tests for blood. They suggested that this technique should be adopted into standard protocol for enhancement of bloodied prints. They recommended that this procedure should be followed only when subsequent DNA profiling from blood is not required as it reduces the amount of DNA recoverable from developed prints. Choi et al\(^\text{33}\) used a zinc oxide-based formulation to develop latent fingerprints on a variety of nonporous substrates. They compared powder formulation with small-particle reagent formulation and observed that small-particle reagent-based formulation gave significantly more effective and better-quality prints than dry powder-based composition, and it was also capable of developing aged latent prints. They also observed that lithium-doped zinc oxide gave more fluorescence than pure zinc oxide. Dhall et al\(^\text{34}\) used basic zinc carbonate and eosin (B and Y)-based small-particle reagent formulations to develop latent fingerprints on a variety of nonporous surfaces, which were exposed to high temperature (~900°C) for 1 hour. They observed that the eosin B-based composition gave better quality, intense fluorescence compared to eosin Y-based small-particle reagent formulation.

Ferguson et al\(^\text{35}\) compared different methods (cyanoacrylate fuming, black magnetic powder, ninhydrin, small particle reagent, black powder suspension, and white powder suspension) to develop latent fingerprints on different food items. They observed that black magnetic powder and black powder suspension gave promising results with good quality prints than other methods. Jasuja et al\(^\text{36}\) used different methods (black powdering, iodine fuming, cyanoacrylate fuming, and small-particle reagent) to develop latent fingerprints on writing surface of compact discs. They observed that after treatment with these techniques, recovery of data and rewriting of data on these treated compact discs vary with its different brands. Jasuja et al\(^\text{37}\) used natural detergent, instead of a synthetic one, in two small-particle formulations (charcoal powder and basic zinc carbonate based) for the development of latent fingerprints on a variety of surfaces. Saponin, a naturally found active compound in the pericarp of the fruit of the *Sapindus mukorossi* tree, was used in place of synthetic detergent. They observed that the new reagent gave better-quality prints than conventional formulations and worked satisfactorily even after 15 days of its preparation.

Jasuja et al\(^\text{38}\) compared different small-particle reagent formulations consisting of zinc carbonate (as the suspension material) and six different kinds of fluorescent dyes to develop latent fingerprints on different kinds of nonporous moist surfaces. They recommend the use of cyano blue-based small-particle reagent formulation for the development of latent fingerprints. Sodhi and Kaur\(^\text{39}\) used basic zinc carbonate and crystal violet (as dye)-based small-particle reagent composition to develop latent fingerprints on moist, nonporous multicolored surfaces.

McDonald et al\(^\text{40}\) recommended the pretreatment of substrate with volatile bases such as triethylamine or ethanolamine before development of latent fingerprints on acidified nonporous substrates. They also suggested that small-particle reagent or powder dusting procedures can be successfully used for this purpose. However, powder dusting produces increased background noise. Jones et al\(^\text{41}\) used iron oxide-based powder suspension to develop latent fingerprints on smooth plastic surface to study the effect of topography of substrate surface and observed that topographical features shape and roughness of surface effect the processing of latent fingerprints and quality of developed prints.

**Phase transfer catalyst method**

Jasuja et al\(^\text{42}\) used Rose bengal and phase transfer catalyst (tetrabutylammonium iodide)-based composition to develop latent fingerprints on the sticky side of adhesive tapes. They were successful in developing old prints (up to 11 days) in addition to fresh prints and observed third level of ridge details in developed prints. Sodhi and Kaur\(^\text{43}\) used phloxine B (as dye) and tetrabutylammonium iodide (as phase transfer catalyst)-based formulation to develop latent fingerprints on a variety of absorbent and nonabsorbent surfaces. Jasuja et al\(^\text{44}\) used phase transfer catalyst (tetrabutylammonium iodide)-based formulation to develop latent fingerprints on moist, nonporous surfaces. They observed that processing with this formulation gave better-quality prints than cyanoacrylate fuming, small-particle reagent, or gentian violet methods.

**Electrochemical method**

Beresford and Hillman\(^\text{45}\) developed fresh and aged latent fingerprints on stainless steel by electrochemical deposition of an electrochromic polymer such as polyaniline. Sturelle et al\(^\text{46}\) suggested the use of camphor-fuming method over cyanoacrylate, pyrrole electropolymerization, and silver nitrate methods for the development of latent fingerprints on unfired cartridge casings because camphor fuming method is cost effective, nonabrasive, and chances of overdeveloping are less. Jasuja et al\(^\text{47}\) developed latent fingerprints on a wide range of metallic (zinc, brass, copper, aluminum, steel, and nickel) surfaces by using inorganic aqueous electrolytes. They observed that developed prints are permanent in nature.
and resistant to physical force or rubbing. Bhaloo et al\textsuperscript{48} observed superior quality of developed prints with gun blue formulation and palladium deposition methods and advocated its use over cyanoacrylate with brilliant yellow processing and electrostatic deposition for the development of latent fingerprints on fired cartridge cases. Liu et al\textsuperscript{49} suggested the use of a neutral solution of electrolytes to develop latent fingerprints on cartridge cases as it does not affect the subsequent microscopic examination of cartridge cases.

### Iodine fuming method

Jasuja and Singh\textsuperscript{50} developed high-quality permanent prints without any background coloration by using iodine fuming method to process latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on thermal papers. They suggested that permanent prints may be developed due to oxidation of leuco dyes (present in surface of thermal paper) by iodine. Jasuja et al\textsuperscript{51} used an aqueous solution of brucine to fix latent fingerprints developed by iodine fuming method on both porous and nonporous surfaces.

### Dye-based methods

Braasch et al\textsuperscript{52} suggested the inclusion of Nile red in development sequence after using physical developers for processing latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on a variety of wet papers. Thomas and Farrugia\textsuperscript{53} used genipin and lawsone to enhance bloody fingerprints present on papers of different colors and porosities. They suggested that neither of these reagent-based formulations is suitable for the enhancement of blood-contaminated fingerprints on different kinds of papers. They recommended the use of the ninhydrin method over these reagents to develop such fingerprints after comparing all these agents. Frick et al\textsuperscript{54} used aqueous Nile blue reagent for the detection of latent fingerprints on porous (white copy paper) and nonporous surfaces. They suggest the use of polylight to improve the contrast of developed prints because photoluminescence is produced by developed prints due to the presence of Nile red in composition. An aqueous solution of Nile blue gives dark blue color with acidic components, while it gives pink or red color with neutral lipids. They found that the presence of trace amounts of Nile red in Nile blue is responsible for photoluminescence effect produced by the developed prints. Fritz et al\textsuperscript{55} used ethyl acetate and petroleum spirit-based \( p \)-dimethylaminocinnamaldehyde formulation to develop latent fingerprints on different kinds of papers including thermal paper. Dominick et al\textsuperscript{56} developed latent fingerprints on nonporous surfaces (glass and ceramic) exposed to high temperatures by using different formulations. They observed that superglue followed by Brilliant Yellow 40 (BY40) is the most effective procedure for developing latent fingerprints at all temperatures. They noticed that this procedure is effective only for dry surfaces. However, they suggested vacuum metal deposition procedure for developing latent fingerprints on wet, nonporous surfaces. Badiye and Kapoor\textsuperscript{57} developed latent fingerprints on a variety of porous, semiporous, and nonporous (both single-colored and multi-colored) surfaces using Robin\textsuperscript{6} blue powder. Dominic and Laing\textsuperscript{58} advocated the potential utility of cyanoacrylate fuming followed by Gun Blue followed by BY40 and treatment with cyanoacrylate fuming followed by palladium deposition for developing latent fingerprints on unfired cartridge cases after comparing them with cyanoacrylate fuming, followed by BY40, Gun Blue only, black powder suspension, and palladium deposition only. They suggested that treatment of nonporous surface with a specific metal-based composition enhances the efficiency of procedure. Rawji and Beaudoin\textsuperscript{59} advocated the use of Oil Red O method for the development of latent fingerprints on moist porous surfaces (thermal and white standard paper) after comparing it with physical developer method.

Reynolds et al\textsuperscript{60} and Jones et al\textsuperscript{61} analyzed two titanium dioxide-based fingerprint powders of different brands using scanning as well as transmission electron microscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. They suggested that presence, thickness, and composition of coats over titanium dioxide particles and its adherence to these particles affect the performance of powders in development of latent fingerprints on a variety of surfaces including adhesives. Trapecar\textsuperscript{62} used different methods (powder, cyanoacrylate, and small-particle reagent) to develop latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on moist glass and metal surfaces. He suggested that the quality of developed prints depends on the method of development and on the time of exposure of the surface to water.

Honig and Yoak\textsuperscript{63} advocated the use of Oil Red O for the development of latent fingerprints on moist porous surfaces after comparing it with ninhydrin and physical developer methods. Piekny and Knaap\textsuperscript{64} advocated the use of ABC-type dry chemical fire extinguisher for the development of latent fingerprints on different kinds of substrates. The composition enables the development of high-quality prints in a rapid and efficient manner after fogging in a room. Petretei and Angyal\textsuperscript{65} advocated the use of Hungarian Red for the development of bloody fingerprints on skin after comparing it with amido black and leucocystal violet powders. Perry and Sears\textsuperscript{66} used Natural Yellow 3 (curcumin) for the development of latent fingerprints on naturally weathered metals and rigid plastics.
They suggested that Natural Yellow 3 can be used in place of Solvent Black 3 and it can be introduced into the standard processing sequence for enhancing the ridge details.

Richards and Thomas\textsuperscript{77} compared different fluorescent dye stains and observed that Basic Yellow in 2-propanol, MRM-10, and MBD gave better-quality prints than Ardrox, RAM, and Rhodamine 6G on different surfaces such as aluminum cans, metal gun magazines, glass, glossy paper, plastic bags, finished wood, and unfinished wood. Zampa et al\textsuperscript{66} used Fast Blue B (O-dianisidine bis (diazotized) zinc double salt) to develop cannabis-contaminated fingerprints (fresh and aged) on a wide range of nonporous and porous surfaces. Sodhi et al\textsuperscript{69,70} used Rhodamine B, fluorescene, and phloxine B-based powder compositions to develop latent fingerprints on a wide range of porous and nonporous surfaces (including multicolored surfaces). They suggested that these compositions are useful in developing weak prints due to their fluorescent nature and can be used along with an intense light source such as the Crimescope or Lumiscope to develop better-quality prints. Frick et al\textsuperscript{71} suggested the inclusion of Oil Red O (in propylene glycol) into the processing sequence after treatment with 1,2-indanedione and followed by treatment with physical developer for the development of fresh latent fingerprints on porous surfaces as it increases the efficiency of the sequence and also the quality of developed prints. Simmons et al\textsuperscript{72} compared different processing methods (physical developer, Oil Red O, and modified physical developer) to develop latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on moist porous surfaces (white paper, cardboard, and leaflets). They used Tween 20 in place of Synerponic-N in the modified physical developer for enhancing latent fingerprints. They suggested the use of the physical developer and the modified physical developer over Oil Red O for processing such types of substrates.

Bentolila et al\textsuperscript{73} developed blood-contaminated fingerprints on different kinds of fabrics by using alginate gel in combination with amido black. They observed that the gel-lifting technique is useful for processing blood-contaminated fingerprints on dark-patterned synthetic silk. Aronson\textsuperscript{74} used amido black-based formulation to develop bloody prints collected on the sticky side of duct tape in a homicide case. de Puit et al\textsuperscript{75} advocated the addition of the physical developer into standard processing sequence for the development of latent fingerprints on different kinds of porous surfaces. Beaudoin\textsuperscript{76} suggested the use of Oil Red O, followed by Rhodamine 6G for the development of latent fingerprints on moist, dark, absorbent substrates. Gaskell et al\textsuperscript{77} developed grease-contaminated latent fingerprints on dark nonporous surfaces using Natural Yellow 3-based formulation. Olenik\textsuperscript{78} suggested treatment with cyanoacrylate vapors before staining with Basic Yellow 40 to develop latent fingerprints on the adhesive as well as the smooth side of duct tape. Fitzi et al\textsuperscript{79} provided an updated processing sequence after comparing 19 development techniques for the visualization of latent fingerprints on thermal papers. Fritz et al\textsuperscript{80} observed less sensitivity of dry contact p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde method than ninhydrin method for the enhancement of latent fingerprints on porous surfaces. They suggested the use of a cost-effective LED light source instead of more expensive Rofin Polilight for the visualization of latent fingerprints on remote locations. Beaudoin\textsuperscript{81} advocated the use of orthotolidine for the visualization of blood-based fingerprints on pig skin after comparing it with amido black.

**Cyanoacrylate fuming method**

Babin\textsuperscript{82} used cyanoacrylate fuming method to develop latent fingerprintsmarks on bullet casings. Schwarz and Hermanowski\textsuperscript{83} observed that humidity has negligible effects on long-term storage of nonporous items before applying cyanoacrylate fuming method for the detection of latent fingerprints on them. Fieldhouse\textsuperscript{84} advocated the use of cyanoacrylate fuming method for the development of latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on textured and smooth plastics. Takatsu et al\textsuperscript{85} suggested the use of vapors of p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde to enhance the cyanoacrylate-fumed latent fingerprints on solvent-sensitive surfaces such as oil marker writings and materials with rough surfaces such as unglazed earthware. Ristova et al\textsuperscript{86} suggested the exposure of glass-bearing age-degraded latent fingermarks to UV radiations for their enhancement prior to cyanoacrylate fuming method. Bentolila et al\textsuperscript{87} synthesized and used fluorescent monomers in cyanoacrylate fuming method for developing latent fingerprints on glass surface. Prete et al\textsuperscript{88} used a novel luminescent cyanoacrylate such as lumicyano to develop latent fingerprints on glass and a number of semiporous and nonporous surfaces in a single processing step without any further treatment. Paine et al\textsuperscript{89} observed that quality of developed prints and microstructure of the polycyanoacrylate depend on relative humidity during cyanoacrylate fuming. They also observed that relative humidity has less influence on sebaceous marks. Nixon et al\textsuperscript{90} suggested the use of valine-based powders prior to cyanoacrylate fuming to develop latent fingermarks (aged) on black polyvinyl chloride. They observed that degradation of latent fingermarks also depends on moisture. Montgomery et al\textsuperscript{91} and McLaren et al\textsuperscript{92} suggested the pretreatment of cyanoacrylate-developed prints with methylamine solution
to improve the quality of developed prints on a wide range of surfaces including polyethylene. Hahn and Ramotowski developed high-quality fluorescent prints on a wide range of nonporous surfaces using one-step fluorescent cyanoacrylate fuming method. Wilson suggested the use of RAY (Rhodamine, Ardrox, Basic Yellow) dye stain, gentian violet, and alternate powder for developing latent fingerprints on the adhesive side of tape after cyanoacrylate fuming.

Nanoparticles method
Cai et al developed latent fingerprints on various nonporous surfaces with an alkaline solution of highly fluorescent water-soluble cadmium-telluride quantum dots, which were capped with mercaptosuccinic acid in very short time, ie, 1–3 seconds. Wang et al developed latent fingerprints on the sticky side of adhesives by using CdSe nanoparticle-based suspension. They suggested that incorporation of CdSe nanoparticles in a formulation not only enhances the intensity of fluorescence but also reduces the background noise by a significant order. Arshad et al prepared zinc oxide-silicon dioxide nanopowder for the development of latent fingerprints on various kinds of nonporous surfaces. They used it as a dry powder as well as a small-particle reagent suspension (without water) for developing prints on dry and wet surfaces. They advocated the use of this powder for the development of latent fingerprints as it revealed excellent third-level ridge details with minimal background staining. Theaker et al prepared and used novel hydrophobic silica-based nano- and microparticles to develop latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on different nonporous surfaces. Sametband et al synthesized petroleum ether-soluble gold nanoparticles and quantum dots functionalized by n-alkanethiols and n-alkaneamine for the development of latent fingerprints. They suggested that the use of hydrophobic-capped gold nanoparticles instead of Ag-PD alone enhances the intensity and quality of the developed prints. Song et al used poly(styrene-alt-maleic anhydride)-b-poly(styrene-functionalized gold nanoparticles in conjunction with photoacoustic imaging for developing latent fingerprints on a wide range of surfaces and observed that high-quality developed prints are useful for studying third-level ridge details. Algarra et al used fluorescent cadmium-selenide sulfide nanoparticles functionalized with thiolated porous phosphate heterostructures to develop latent fingerprints on a wide range of porous and nonporous surfaces.

Ninhydrin and its analogs
Yang and Lian developed latent finger impressions on porous and nonporous surfaces by using solid-medium ninhydrin in a nondestructive manner. The results with this method are better than traditional methods for the development of latent finger impressions. Almog et al developed and used a premixed solution containing ninhydrin and metal salts of group IIb (such as zinc and cadmium chloride) for the development of latent fingerprints on paper. They observed higher sensitivity of this reagent as compared to genipin in the shorter wavelength domain. Levinton-Shamullov et al used genipin for the development of latent fingerprints on different kinds of papers and observed that genipin gave better-quality prints than ninhydrin or DFO on papers with high-luminescent backgrounds. Jelly et al developed purple-brown fingerprints with red luminescence on paper surface using substituted naphthoquinones. Coughlan advocated the use of acetone to reduce the masking caused by common pen ink in the development of latent fingerprints by ninhydrin on paper. Schwarz et al observed that the addition of molecular sieve in stock solution of DFO increases its shelf life and did not affect the quality of developed prints. Goel suggested the use of 1,2-indanedione-zinc chloride dry contact method over hot print system for the development of latent fingerprints on thermal paper as the former provides better-quality prints, which never fades with passage of time. Jasuja et al suggested that pressure applied to deposit fingerprints and the donors sweat affect the quality of prints developed by ninhydrin method on white bond paper. Chen et al suggested the use of ninhydrin and 1,2-indanedione to develop latent fingerprints on thermal papers.

Conventional and nonconventional powders
Garg et al developed latent fingerprints on a variety of porous, semiporous, and nonporous surfaces with white cement as well as with conventional white powder. They observed that treatment with white cement does not interfere with data contained in compact discs. They successfully developed fingerprints on carbon paper even after 40 days of deposition with white cement. Garg et al and Kumari et al used turmeric powder and gulal (used as a festival color) to develop latent fingerprints on thermal papers. They observed that the quality of developed prints varied with the condition of fruits and vegetables. Scott observed better contrast in prints developed by phosphorescent powder than more traditional fluorescent techniques. Ma et al suggested the
use of sodium yttrium tetrafluoride doped with erbium and ytterbium (NaYF4:Er, Yb; as dry and wet powder) over the cyanoacrylate fuming method for the development of latent fingerprints on luminescent and nonluminescent, nonporous surfaces. Gurbuz et al\textsuperscript{117} suggested that the particle size of the fingerprint powder and porosity of surface affect the contrast and background staining and quality of developed prints.

Mopoung and Thongcharoen\textsuperscript{118} developed and used activated carbon fingerprint powder, derived from banana peel, to develop latent fingerprints on glass. They suggested the use of high amounts of mineral oil and sodium acetate in the composition as it significantly improves the adherence capability of the powder composition. Low et al\textsuperscript{119} used acid-modified \textit{Imperata cylindrica} powder for developing latent fingerprints (fresh and aged) on paper, plastic, glass, and metal surfaces. This formulation was also useful in developing latent fingerprints that were submerged in water for up to 72 hours. However, the sensitivity and quality of \textit{Imperata cylindrica} powder were found to be lower than that of Sirchie black powder.

**Instrumental methods**

**Thin-layer chromatography**

Bramble\textsuperscript{120} used thin-layer chromatographic technique to separate lipid and nitrogenous components of latent fingerprints. He observed that DFO and ninhydrin react with water-soluble components, while physical developers react with water-insoluble components of latent fingerprint residues.

**Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry**

Weyermann et al\textsuperscript{121} studied the effect of aging on composition of fingerprints by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) for the purpose of dating fingerprints and observed that contents of initial composition were higher on porous surfaces than on non-porous surfaces. They observed that concentration of squalene decreases at a faster rate on non-porous surfaces. They suggested that relative peak area of squalene to cholesterol can be used to estimate the time of deposition of latent fingerprint residues. Michalski et al\textsuperscript{122} analyzed the latent fingerprint residues by GC-MS and found significant variation in ratios of fatty acids and their corresponding methyl esters between donors of different sex and race, and suggested that these ratios of several fatty acid methyl esters can be used to differentiate individuals of different sex and race. Koenig et al\textsuperscript{123} analyzed the wax esters fraction of latent fingerprint residue using GC-MS to determine the age of latent fingerprints. Croxton et al\textsuperscript{124} simultaneously analyzed the amino acid and fatty acids fractions of latent fingerprint residues by GC-MS. They suggested that a solvent system consisting of sodium hydroxide, ethanol, and pyridine in a ratio of 75, 40, and 10 (v/v) was most effective for their extraction from nonporous substrates. Michalski et al defined several fatty acid ratios in latent fingerprint residues by GC-MS. They suggested that ratios of several fatty acid methyl esters could be used to differentiate individuals of different sex and race. Girod and Weyermann\textsuperscript{125} analyzed lipid components of fingerprint residues using GC-MS and classified donors into two main groups “poor” and “rich” using hierarchical cluster analysis. They found that hypercholesterolemia and acne affect the contents of lipids. They observed that pretreatment of data significantly reduces inter- and intravariability in data.

**Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry**

Mangle et al\textsuperscript{126} quantified the composition of amino acids using 1,2-indanedione-zinc chloride and ninhydrin with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. They also suggested that paper should always be treated with 1,2-indanedione-zinc chloride first, followed by ninhydrin. de Puit et al\textsuperscript{127} used liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry to analyze the amino acid fractions of latent fingerprint residues.

**Raman spectrophotometry**

Connatser et al\textsuperscript{128} used surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) to visualize fingerprints by focusing on lipids and amino acid components of residues. In SERS, analytes are in contact with metal nanoparticles. Song et al\textsuperscript{129} suggested the use of antibody-functionalized silver nanoparticles to target specific sweat components, followed by SERS imaging. However, Guicheteau et al\textsuperscript{130} suggested the functionalization of nanoparticles with a Raman probe, ie, 4-mercaptobenzoic acid, to optimize visualization of latent fingerprints. They also used semiautomated Raman-based chemical imaging procedure to visualize fingerprints and to identify prohibited substances present in the secretions (eg, drugs and explosives). Raman spectrophotometry does not interfere with subsequent treatment of latent fingerprints with cyanoacrylate.

**Infrared spectrophotometry**

Williams et al\textsuperscript{131} analyzed the latent fingerprint residue obtained from children of age ranging from 2 to 11 years. Infrared microspectrophotometry was performed and it
was observed that carboxylic acid salts are more stable than esters. They also observed the variation between the composition of children’s and adult’s fingerprint residues on the basis of relative ratios between main components of residues such as carboxylic acid salts, esters, and proteins. They suggested the adoption of these types of detection techniques, which are target specific in nature, to detect fingerprints of children. Fritz et al. analyzed the lipid contents of latent fingerprint residues by employing infrared microscopy to determine the sex and age of the donor. They observed that lipid contents of latent fingerprint residues do not vary significantly with sex and age of donor. They also observed the significant loss in the lipid composition of residues within 3 months of its deposition. Williams et al. also observed the rapid disappearance of latent fingerprint residues of children compared to adults. They also observed the poor sensitivity of secondary ion mass spectrometry (after 1 month of deposition). They observed the variation between the composition of children’s and adult’s fingerprint residues.

**Capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry**

Atherton et al. identified and quantified amino acids in latent fingerprint residue by employing capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry. They observed that serine and glycine are most abundant amino acids in fingerprint residues.

Girod et al. provided up-to-date information about the composition of the fingerprint residue (fresh and aged) in their review paper. They also discussed the effects of different factors such as type and condition of substrate, environmental conditions, and detection techniques for the development of latent fingerprints. Bailey et al. compared different instrumental techniques to analyze and characterize chemical composition of fingerprint residues and developed a protocol for their analysis using these techniques.

Almog et al. correlated palmar moisture with “quality” of the donor’s fingerprint. They showed that the palmar moisture level was not the key factor affecting the donorship for amino acid reagents. Lambrechts et al. investigated the origin of autofluorescence of latent fingerprints and observed that tryptophan and its metabolites along with phophorbid A are responsible for the autofluorescence produced by latent fingerprints.

**Laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry**

Emerson et al. analyzed triacylglycerols and other constituents of fingerprint residues by using laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry to investigate the use of cosmetics and to determine the sex from fingerprint residues. No sex-specific variation is observed in triacylglycerols and other constituents of fingerprint residues.

**Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry**

In matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS), fingerprints need to be covered with a specific UV-absorbing matrix before performing the analysis. A two-step matrix application method, which is commonly known as “dry-wet” method, is used. In this method, the matrix is first dusted with a-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid onto the sample and then sprayed with solvent. However, curcumin can also be used as matrix in place of a-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid for MALDI-MS analysis. The curcumin is a solvent-free, natural-colored matrix. Bradshaw and Francese advocated the utility of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization tandem mass spectrometry imaging in analyzing oleic acid constituent of latent fingerprints. They recommended the presence of multiple ion products of species under investigation to identify it. Bailey et al. detected cocaine and its metabolites benzoylecgonine and methylleconine in latent fingerprints with MALDI-IMS-MS-MS (after 3 months of deposition) and secondary ion mass spectrometry (after 1 month of deposition). They observed the poor sensitivity of secondary ion mass spectrometry, but its selectivity is better than MALDI and dark energy spectroscopic instrument mass spectrometry. Francese et al. reviewed the utility of MALDI-MSI to visualize latent fingerprints. MALDI-MSI used characteristic ion signals generated from endogenous species, such as amino acids and lipids, of residues to visualize fingerprints, metabolites, and contaminants such as condom lubricant or drug. Ferguson et al. determined the sex of a fingerprint donor by using MALDI-MS, with a success rate that varies from 67.5% to 85%. Multivariate modeling of mass spectrometric profiles of fingerprint peptides and small proteins contained in the secretions is used to determine the sex of donor.

**Conclusion**

Conventional methods of latent fingerprint detection are useful for visualization and development of fingerprints on a wide
range of substrates. However, they are nonspecific in nature and more research is required to better understand the reaction mechanism involved in these reactions and to develop new, effective, and sensitive reagents for processing latent fingerprints (aged and degraded) on difficult and unusual surfaces. No single technique is ideal for processing all kinds of surfaces, and new, sensitive and efficient methods are being developed for enhancing latent fingerprints on different types of surfaces. A trend in the examination of latent fingerprints has been observed. With the advent of highly sophisticated instrumentation instead of new development methods, emphasis on analyzing the sweat residue was given to determine the dietary habits and other traits of the individual to whom the latent belongs. These techniques have been found useful for chemical imaging of latent fingerprint residues and provide valuable information regarding sex and drug habits of donors from latent deposits. Although these techniques provide in-depth information regarding chemical composition of latent fingerprint residue in much less time, we cannot ignore conventional methods for developing latent fingerprints in any instance.
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