Small pulmonary vascular alteration and acute exacerbations of COPD: quantitative computed tomography analysis
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Abstract: The morphologic alterations of pulmonary small vessels measured by computed tomography (CT) have been used to evaluate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). However, the relationship between small pulmonary vascular alteration and acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) is not well understood. The aim of this study was to evaluate the cross-sectional area (CSA) of small pulmonary vessel alterations measured on CT images and investigate its relationship with the COPD severity staged by the degree of airflow limitation and the occurrence of AECOPD. We retrospectively reviewed CT scans, clinical characteristics, and pulmonary function test results of 153 patients with COPD. All the patients were divided into AECOPD and non-AECOPD group according to the COPD staging and pulmonary function test results. The percentages of the total CSA less than 5 mm² and equal to 5–10 mm² over the lung area (%CSA_{<5} and %CSA_{5–10}, respectively) were measured. The %CSA_{<5} steadily decreased in relation to the increase of COPD severity. In addition, %CSA_{<5} of the AECOPD group was significantly lower than that of the non-AECOPD group (0.41±0.13 versus 0.68±0.18, P<0.001), and the optimal cutoff value was 0.56 (sensitivity, 0.863; specificity, 0.731). Therefore, small pulmonary vascular alteration, as measured by %CSA_{<5}, could indicate not only the degree of COPD severity, but also the occurrence of AECOPD.
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Introduction
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by persistent airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. Acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) are critical events in the natural history of the disease and are associated with accelerated loss of lung function and poor quality of life. Pulmonary vascular disease and cardiovascular disease often coexist with COPD and have major effects on its disease course.

Pulmonary vascular alteration is one of the characteristic features of COPD, and the major alteration is considered to be passive vascular compression by emphysema and hypoxic vasoconstriction. COPD can cause a diminished ability to dilate small pulmonary vessels and thickening of the vascular walls, which result in a decrease of the lumen size and a decreased proportion of small pulmonary vessels. Pulmonary vascular alteration is an important risk factor for exacerbations and death in COPD.

The technological improvement of high-resolution computed tomography (CT) has made it possible to measure the cross-sectional area (CSA) of small pulmonary vessels and to evaluate small pulmonary vascular alteration in COPD patients. It was found that the percentage of the total CSA (%CSA) strongly correlated with...
the severity of certain clinical conditions, such as the mean pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary perfusion in COPD patients. However, whether %CSA could reflect the severity of COPD staged by the degree of airflow limitation and the existence of AECOPD was still unclear. Since a proportion of patients who have more times of AECOPD might suffer from a more severe phase, early diagnosis and treatments are urgent. We hypothesized that the small pulmonary vascular alteration as measured by %CSA on CT images could indicate the severity of COPD, and it would be associated with the occurrence of AECOPD.

Materials and methods

Study population
We reviewed 205 patients diagnosed with COPD who had undergone both a noncontrast chest CT and pulmonary function tests (PFTs) from March 2014 to December 2015. The diagnostic criteria of COPD were forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) after inhaling bronchodilators in PFTs less than 70%. Totally, 52 patients were excluded according to the following criteria: image noise that prevented image analysis (33 patients) and obvious severe lung lesions such as lung cancer, pulmonary tuberculosis, and severe infection (19 patients). Finally, 153 patients were enrolled in this study. Sex-matched and age-matched control group comprised 154 subjects who underwent noncontrast chest CT but were free from a clinical diagnosis of COPD, heart failure, coronary heart disease, and previous lung resection. The following were the reasons why they did chest CT: to exclude lung cancer as the elevation of tumor biomarker, to regularly follow up for lung nodules, and to exclude pulmonary metastasis when other tumor existed. This study was approved by Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. As all examinations were noninterventional, the need for patients to sign informed consent was waived by the ethics committee.

CT examination
All the subjects were scanned with 16-slice spiral CT scanner (SOMATOM Emotion 16; Siemens, Germany). The CT scan parameters included: mean tube voltage 120 kV, tube current 120 mA, and the reconstruction slice thickness 1.5 mm. During the scan, the subjects were in a supine position, and they held their breath after a full inspiration.

CT measurement of small pulmonary vessels
To measure the CSA of small pulmonary vessels, three CT slices were selected. According to some previous studies, the upper, middle, and lower slices were taken 1 cm above the upper margin of the aortic arch, 1 cm below the carina, and 1 cm below the right inferior pulmonary vein, respectively. These images were analyzed with a semiautomatic quantitative image-processing program (ImageJ Version 1.48g, a public domain Java image processing program available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

On each CT slice, the CSA of pulmonary small vessels was obtained by the “Analyze Particles” function to count and measure objects on binary images. Only those vessels that ran perpendicular or closest to perpendicular to the image were analyzed by the “Circularity” function to exclude vessels that ran parallel or obliquely to the image slice. CSA measurements were performed (Figure 1) as follows: 1) eliminate image noise with the “Gaussian Blurring” function to make the selected images smooth, 2) the lung field was segmented using a threshold technique with all pixels between −500 and −1024 HU on each CT image, 3) convert the segmented images into binary images with a window level of −720 HU; CSA was measured by the size of each vessel separately (less than 5 mm2 and equal to 5–10 mm2), 4) take count of CSA of vessels on each CT image and represent CSA<5 for less than 5 mm2 and CSA5–10 for 5–10 mm2, and 5) obtain total area of the lung in selected images on threshold from −500 to −1,024 HU; the total lung area was calculated by the sum of the three selected CT slices areas. Then, the percentages of CSA<5 (%CSA<5) and CSA5–10 (%CSA5–10) of the total lung were calculated. Two thoracic radiologists (with 5 and 7 years of experience, respectively) assessed all parameters independently with the protocol described and were blinded to other clinical information. Mean values were recorded.

Pulmonary function tests
PFTs and CT examinations were performed within 2 weeks in the same COPD phase (stable or acute) for all the patients. Spirometric measurements were carried out in conformance with American Thoracic Society criteria. The following values were evaluated: FEV1 and the ratio of FEV1 to FVC (FEV1/FVC). The COPD severity staged by the degree of airflow limitation severity in COPD patients was classified as mild, FEV1% ≥ 80% predicted; moderate, 50% ≤ FEV1% < 80% predicted; and severe, FEV1% < 50% predicted. According to the definition, the participants were recorded as having an acute exacerbation if they had increased dyspnea, cough, and sputum production and had been treated.
with antibiotics or systemic glucocorticoids in the outpatient setting or required admission to hospital for treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared among groups by Student’s t-test. Qualitative data were expressed as frequency (percentage) and compared by χ² test. The differences of %CSA among the three groups classified by the severity of COPD were assessed by analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s test. Agreement between the blinded independent readers was analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient for qualitative variables. For diagnostic utility calculations, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used. Results were expressed in terms of area under the curve (AUC) and 95% confidence interval for this area. Sensitivity, specificity values, and Youden indexes were established with ROC curves, and the optimal cutoff values were defined according to the occurrence of AECOPD. For all statistical analyses, the significance level was set at P<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 statistical package (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

Demographic characteristics and corresponding CT measurements of the 153 COPD patients are presented in Table 1. The two independent blinded readers showed high agreement for all %CSAs (Table 2).

**Differences in %CSA among patients with COPD by severity**

Table 3 shows CT measurements among patients with COPD by airflow limitation severity. According to spirometric criteria, COPD severity was classified as mild (n=30), moderate (n=61), and severe (n=62). %CSA steadily decreased in relation to the increase of COPD severity. %CSA<5 of the moderate and severe groups were significantly lower than that of the control group (P<0.001), while no obvious difference was observed between the mild and control group. %CSA<5–10 in the three groups of COPD was also significantly lower than that in the control group (P<0.001). However, there was no such trend among the three COPD groups.

**Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with and without AECOPD**

Compared with the non-AECOPD group, the group with AECOPD included a smaller number of women (13% versus 26%, P<0.001) and poorer pulmonary functions (FEV₁%: 53.92±23.18 versus 64.61±25.23, P<0.001). Furthermore, %CSA<5 in the AECOPD group significantly decreased compared to the non-AECOPD group (0.41±0.13 versus 0.68±0.18, P<0.001). Patients with AECOPD had higher
rates of severe COPD than those without AECOPD (54.43% versus 25.68%, \(P<0.001\)) (Table 4).

ROC analyses of parameters to investigate %CSA for the occurrence of AECOPD

%CSA\(_{<5}\) had an AUC of 0.764 to diagnose the occurrence of AECOPD (95% confidence interval, 0.677–0.851), which was the highest one among all parameters evaluated. The optimal cutoff of %CSA\(_{<5}\) was 0.56%, corresponding to the highest Youden index, which obtained the sensitivity and specificity of 0.836 and 0.731, respectively (Figure 2).

Table 1 Demographic characteristics, pulmonary function tests, and corresponding CT measurements in the COPD subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>COPD subjects (n=153)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>69.68±9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, % female</td>
<td>39 (25.49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>23±3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m(^2))</td>
<td>1.70±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)/FVC</td>
<td>59.02±13.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)%</td>
<td>56.55±23.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{&lt;5})</td>
<td>0.56±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{5–10})</td>
<td>0.20±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data presented as mean ± SD or n (%).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; %CSA\(_{<5}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels less than 5 mm\(^2\); %CSA\(_{5–10}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels between 5 and 10 mm\(^2\); CT, computed tomography; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

Discussion

The current study showed for the first time that pulmonary small vessels alteration, as measured by %CSA\(_{<5}\) on CT images, decreased during AECOPD. What is more, it was found that %CSA\(_{<5}\) could indicate AECOPD well and the cutoff value was 0.56%. The study also indicated that more severe COPD was associated with lower %CSA\(_{<5}\) which worsened the disease itself and even developed into severe COPD.

Table 2 ICC calculation for pulmonary vascular parameters between the two readers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulmonary vascular parameters</th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{&lt;5})</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.901–0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{5–10})</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.872–0.913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ICC >0.80 denotes excellent agreement, ICC >0.6 denotes substantial agreement, ICC >0.4 denotes moderate agreement, and ICC <0.4 denotes poor agreement.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; %CSA\(_{<5}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels less than 5 mm\(^2\); %CSA\(_{5–10}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels between 5 and 10 mm\(^2\); ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient.

%CSA\(_{<5}\) may play an important role in evaluating the severity of COPD. To our knowledge, it is the first report to quantitatively evaluate the small pulmonary vascular changes using CT in predicting the existence of AECOPD and the severity of COPD.

ROC analyses of parameters to investigate %CSA for the occurrence of AECOPD

%CSA\(_{<5}\) had an AUC of 0.764 to diagnose the occurrence of AECOPD (95% confidence interval, 0.677–0.851), which was the highest one among all parameters evaluated. The optimal cutoff of %CSA\(_{<5}\) was 0.56%, corresponding to the highest Youden index, which obtained the sensitivity and specificity of 0.836 and 0.731, respectively (Figure 2).

Table 3 The comparison in %CSA among patients by COPD severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Controls (n=154)</th>
<th>Mild (n=30)</th>
<th>Moderate (n=61)</th>
<th>Severe (n=62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{&lt;5})</td>
<td>0.82±0.15</td>
<td>0.75±0.15</td>
<td>0.63±0.15(^{1,2})</td>
<td>0.46±0.11(^{1,2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%CSA(_{5–10})</td>
<td>0.33±0.16</td>
<td>0.18±0.06(^a)</td>
<td>0.20±0.11(^a)</td>
<td>0.19±0.08(^a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data presented as mean ± SD. \(^{1}\)P<0.001, compared with control; \(^{2}\)P<0.001, compared with mild group; \(^{a}\)P<0.001, compared with moderate group.

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; %CSA\(_{<5}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels less than 5 mm\(^2\); %CSA\(_{5–10}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels between 5 and 10 mm\(^2\).

Table 4 Comparison of demographic characteristics, PFTs, and corresponding CT measurements between the patients with and without AECOPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>AECOPD (n=79)</th>
<th>Non-AECOPD (n=74)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>71.15±8.48</td>
<td>68.25±11.01</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female</td>
<td>13 (16.46%)</td>
<td>26 (35.14%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>23.00±3.40</td>
<td>23.00±4.10</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m(^2))</td>
<td>1.70±0.20</td>
<td>1.60±0.20</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)/FVC</td>
<td>57.42±13.82</td>
<td>63.57±13.82</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)%</td>
<td>53.92±23.18</td>
<td>64.61±25.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data presented as mean ± SD or n (%).

Abbreviations: AECOPD, acute exacerbation of COPD; BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; %CSA\(_{<5}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels less than 5 mm\(^2\); %CSA\(_{5–10}\), percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels between 5 and 10 mm\(^2\); CT, computed tomography; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; PFT, pulmonary function test.
of COPD severity. It demonstrated that at an early stage of COPD, the alteration of small pulmonary vessels was not too apparent to be measured on CT scanning, since the difference was not obvious between mild COPD patients and normal subjects. Following the development of this disease, the alteration would become more severe to be observed and measured.

To our knowledge, this was the first report to quantitatively evaluate the difference of small pulmonary vascular changes between COPD patients with and without AECOPD. For patients who were repeatedly affected by AECOPD, associations were found for decreased quality of life, potential permanent loss in lung function, increased risk of mortality, and a more severe phase. Patients seldom completely recovered from an acute exacerbation, and therefore respiratory health status of the patients remained at a low level. Management, prevention, early diagnosis, and effective treatment of AECOPD are essential. We found that FEV\textsubscript{1} % and %CSA\textsubscript{5} were significantly lower in patients with AECOPD than those without it. Patients with AECOPD had higher rates of severe COPD. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that emphysematous destruction and hypoxia decrease small pulmonary vessel wall distensibility during stability and these changes aggravate during AECOPD. Clarenbach et al\textsuperscript{21} revealed that FEV\textsubscript{1} % showed an independent effect on vascular endothelial function and a more pronounced effect of FEV\textsubscript{1} % on endothelial function in physically less active patients. Endothelial dysfunction in AECOPD patients results from lung function changes characterized by vasospasm and increased vascular proliferation, and can lead to reduced vasodilation.\textsuperscript{22,23} According to the ROC analysis, %CSA\textsubscript{5} might be applied to predict AECOPD when the cutoff value was 0.56%. Actually, %CSA\textsubscript{5} reflected the degree of small pulmonary muscular vessel destruction, and the reduction of this index in AECOPD showed a more serious deterioration and suggested the concept that COPD patients who suffer from AECOPD are likely to constitute a clinical phenotype that represents a more severe form of this disease. On the other hand, it meant that %CSA\textsubscript{5} might be a predictor to the risk of AECOPD. In addition, the group with AECOPD included a smaller number of women. It is likely that men might face an increased risk of acute exacerbations more easily. The mechanism for this phenomenon is unknown and requires further studies.

Limitations
There were some limitations in our study. Firstly, the number of mild COPD patients was relatively less, potentially

Figure 2 ROC analyses of parameters for the prediction of AECOPD.

Note: AUC was highest for %CSA\textsubscript{5} (AUC = 0.764, 95% CI = 0.677–0.851).

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; AECOPD, acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI, confidence interval; %CSA\textsubscript{5}, percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels less than 5 mm\textsuperscript{2}; %CSA\textsubscript{5–10}, percentage of total lung area taken up by the cross-sectional area of pulmonary vessels between 5 and 10 mm\textsuperscript{2}; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
reducing an impact on some results and leading to weak the significance between mild COPD and control groups. In future work, more relative mild COPD patients should be included to identify their small pulmonary vascular alteration to clarify their relationship with the disease. Secondly, as it was a retrospective study, the results might not be completely applied to all patients. Perspective studies should be conducted to confirm %CSA <sub>5</sub> in predicting AECOPD. In addition, whilst we did perform PFTs some weeks before or after AECOPD occurred, we did not perform PFTs at the time of AECOPD onset in the same patient. To find out whether acute exacerbations will do harm to small pulmonary vessels in the same patient, further studies are needed. Thirdly, the study considered three slices for the quantification of vascular area. It may affect the vascular counting. Also, some small vessels that were not perpendicular to the slice (not round in shape) cannot be accurately measured. Finally, we did not measure CSA of pulmonary vessels histologically; therefore, there might be some differences between CSA measured on CT image and actual CSA of pulmonary vessel. Further evaluation is necessary.

In the current study, lower %CSA <sub>5</sub> of small pulmonary vessels in COPD patients was associated with more severe stage. %CSA <sub>5</sub> could predict AECOPD well and the cutoff value was 0.56. The small pulmonary vascular alteration as measured by %CSA <sub>5</sub> using CT scans may be an alternative noninvasive technique to evaluate the degree of airflow limitation severity in COPD and the occurrence of AECOPD.
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