Pharmacokinetic profile of defibrotide in patients with renal impairment
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Abstract: Hepatic veno-occlusive disease, also called sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (VOD/SOS), is an unpredictable, potentially life-threatening complication of hematopoietic stem cell transplant conditioning. Severe VOD/SOS, generally associated with multiorgan dysfunction (pulmonary or renal dysfunction), may be associated with >80% mortality. Defibrotide, recently approved in the US, has demonstrated efficacy treating hepatic VOD/SOS with multiorgan dysfunction. Because renal impairment is prevalent in patients with VOD/SOS, this Phase I, open-label, two-part study in adults examined the effects of hemodialysis and severe or end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on defibrotide pharmacokinetics (PK). Part 1 compared defibrotide PK during single 6.25 mg/kg doses infused with and without dialysis. Part 2 assessed defibrotide plasma PK after multiple 6.25 mg/kg doses in nondialysis-dependent subjects with severe/ESRD versus healthy matching subjects. Among six subjects enrolled in Part 1, percent ratios of least-squares mean and 90% confidence intervals (CIs) on dialysis and nondialysis days were 109.71 (CI: 97.23, 123.78) for maximum observed plasma concentration (C_{max}); 108.39 (CI: 97.85, 120.07) for area under the concentration–time curve to the time of the last quantifiable plasma concentration (AUC_{0-}\infty); and 109.98 (CI: 99.39, 121.70) for AUC extrapolated to infinity (AUC_{0-\infty}). These ranges were within 80%–125%, indicating no significant effect of dialysis on defibrotide exposure/clearance. In Part 2, defibrotide exposure parameters in six subjects with severe/ESRD after multiple doses (AUC_{0-}\infty, 113 \mu g h/mL; AUC over dosing interval, 113 \mu g h/mL; C_{max}, 53.8 \mu g/mL) were within 5%–8% of parameters after the first dose (AUC_{0-}\infty, 117 \mu g h/mL; AUC_{0-}\infty, 118 \mu g h/mL; C_{max}, 54.9 \mu g/mL), indicating no accumulation. Defibrotide peak and extent of exposures in those with severe/ESRD were ~35%–37% and 50%–60% higher, respectively, versus controls, following single and multiple doses. One adverse event (vomiting, possibly drug-related) was reported. These findings support defibrotide prescribing guidance stating no dose adjustment is necessary for hemodialysis or severe/ESRD.
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Introduction

Hepatic veno-occlusive disease, also called sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (VOD/SOS), is a potentially fatal complication of the conditioning regimen for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT).¹,² Clinical characteristics include hepatomegaly and/or upper quadrant pain, ascites, otherwise unexplained weight gain, and jaundice.¹–³ Diagnosis is clinical and generally made according to a set of predefined signs/symptoms: the Baltimore criteria (presence, within 21 days of HSCT, of bilirubin ≥2 mg/dL plus two or more of the following: hepatomegaly, ascites, weight gain ≥5%)⁴ or the modified Seattle criteria (presence, within 20 days of HSCT, of two or more of the following: bilirubin >2 mg/dL, hepatomegaly or right
upper quadrant pain, and/or >2% weight gain, sometimes further modified as >5%). Although increased bilirubin is a component of both sets of criteria, recent data indicate that this sign may be absent in some cases of VOD/SOS, particularly in children, and in adult patients with relatively late-developing VOD/SOS.6–9

The reported prevalence of VOD/SOS following HSCT has varied widely, with a mean incidence of 13.7% (range 0%–62.3%), as determined by a meta-analysis of 135 studies.10 The same meta-analysis also found that mean VOD/SOS incidence had increased from 11.5% during the period of 1979 to 1994 to 14.6% from 1994 to 2007; although the reasons for this elevation were unclear, it may be due to increased awareness of the disease.10 In contrast, single-center studies in patients receiving HSCT during the time period since the late 1990s have reported VOD/SOS prevalence of ~6.5% to 9%, suggesting that risk reduction measures, such as decreased intensity of the conditioning regimen, may have reduced the incidence of VOD/SOS, although it remains a serious complication after HSCT.11–13

Severe VOD/SOS is typically associated with multiorgan dysfunction (MOD), including dysfunction of the kidneys, lungs, and/or heart.1,10,14 At study entry of the Phase III trial of defibrotide for patients with VOD/SOS and MOD, for example, 20% of patients in the treatment arm were dialysis-dependent.15 Severe VOD/SOS is also associated with a mortality rate >80%.10 Development of severe VOD/SOS is often unpredictable; reported incidence has ranged from 0% to 77% of all patients with VOD/SOS in specific study populations and depending on the size of the population studied.10,12,13,14 In allogeneic HSCT, up to 48% of VOD/SOS cases diagnosed by Baltimore criteria have been reported to be VOD/SOS with MOD.11

VOD/SOS pathophysiology appears to stem initially from extensive injury to sinusoidal endothelial cells, hepatocytes, and stellate cells, triggering a pathophysiologic cascade involving venular microthrombosis, fibrin deposition, ischemia, fibrogenesis, portal hypertension, and hepatorenal syndrome.1,17–19 VOD/SOS with MOD is a manifestation of hepatorenal syndrome.1

Defibrotide, a sodium salt of complex single-stranded polynucleotides derived from porcine intestinal mucosa by controlled depolymerization, is approved for the treatment of severe hepatic VOD/SOS following HSCT in the EU.20 As of March 30, 2016, defibrotide has been similarly approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with hepatic VOD/SOS with renal or pulmonary dysfunction following HSCT.21–22 Defibrotide is also recommended as first-line treatment of VOD/SOS by the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation,19 based on clinical Phase II/III study data in HSCT patients with VOD/SOS and MOD21,24 and for VOD/SOS prevention and treatment by the British Committee for Standards in Haematology/British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation (strength of recommendation: strong; quality of evidence: high, except moderate for prevention in adults).24 Based on clinical Phase II/III data in HSCT patients at risk for VOD/SOS,2,7,23–24

In vitro evidence suggests that defibrotide protects and stabilizes endothelial cells through antithrombotic, profibrinolytic, and anti-inflammatory actions, and restores the endothelial thrombo-fibrinolytic balance.25–30 Because of defibrotide’s demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of hepatic VOD/SOS with MOD (including renal dysfunction),21,23,31 evidence of tolerability in other conditions,32–34 and the high prevalence of renal impairment in patients with VOD/SOS, a two-part study was conducted to assess the pharmacokinetics (PK) of defibrotide in renal-impaired subjects. The primary trial objectives were: (Part 1) to investigate the effects of hemodialysis on defibrotide PK, chiefly whether defibrotide is cleared by dialysis, in subjects with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) receiving intermittent hemodialysis; and (Part 2) to compare the plasma PK profile of defibrotide in subjects with severe renal disease/ESRD not dependent on dialysis with that in healthy matching subjects. Secondary objectives were to evaluate the general safety and tolerability profile of defibrotide in renal-impaired subjects.

Careful evaluation of the effects of kidney disease in subjects with varying degrees of renal dysfunction, including those on hemodialysis (the most common renal replacement method used in patients with ESRD), on drug PK is recommended by the FDA35 and the European Medicines Agency.36 Impaired renal function often leads to drug accumulation, requiring dose reduction to minimize toxicity; on the other hand, dialysis may remove drugs from the body, possibly below the therapeutic plasma threshold and thus necessitating an initial or further dose adjustment.37 For patients with renal impairment treated with defibrotide, prescribing guidance in the US notes that defibrotide does not accumulate with repeated dosing,22 and European prescribing guidance states that dose adjustment is not necessary, but patients should be monitored.20

Previous PK data from an animal study showed that defibrotide administered via intravenous (IV) injection is excreted primarily in urine, without significant plasma accumulation following multiple doses.38 ESRD and dialysis may affect drug PK differently, however, and so it was important
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to evaluate defibrotide PK parameters in the setting of renal impairment of various degrees and of dialysis, independently of HSCT and presence of VOD/SOS, in order to isolate and assess the independent effects of these factors.

Materials and methods

Ethics

The protocol for this study received Aspire IRB approval, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, FDA Codes of Federal Regulations, and the International Conference on Harmonisation E6 Guidelines on Good Clinical Practice. At the screening visit prior to the initiation of any study-related procedures, subjects provided written informed consent.

Subjects

Subjects were males and females aged 18 to 80 years. Part 1 enrolled subjects with dialysis-dependent ESRD, with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <15 mL/min/1.73 m², calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation:

\[
eGFR = 175 \times \frac{\text{standard serum creatinine}^{-0.203} \times 1.212 \text{ (if African–American)}}{\times 0.742 \text{ (if female)}}
\]

Subjects were still eligible if screening occurred on a dialysis day and eGFR was >15 mL/min/1.73 m².

Part 2 enrolled subjects with severe renal disease/ESRD who were not dialysis-dependent, with eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation). Part 2 also enrolled healthy matching subjects as controls who were required to have renal function within normal limits, defined as eGFR (calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation) ≥90 mL/min/1.73 m² for subjects 18 to 59 years of age and ≥80 mL/min/1.73 m² for those 60 to 80 years of age. The healthy subjects were matched to the renal-impaired subjects for age (±10 years), body mass index (±20%), sex, and race, and were required to be on a normal sodium diet as judged by the investigator.

Key exclusion criteria for both parts of the study included positive test for human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B surface antigen, or hepatitis C virus; clinically relevant abnormal electrocardiogram at screening; weight >136 kg (300 lb); use of aspirin dose >100 mg/day within 30 days of first dose of defibrotide (doses ≤100 mg/day allowed); history of any significant bleeding event during the 6 months prior to screening; donations of blood, plasma, or platelets, or significant blood loss (0.450 mL) within 30 days of screening; hemodynamic instability within 30 days prior to first dose of defibrotide; and use of a thrombolytic or anticoagulant therapy within 30 days of the first dose of defibrotide, with the exception of heparin for hemodialysis in Part 1 subjects. Key exclusion criteria specific to renal-impaired subjects in both parts of the study included platelet count <90,000 mm³ and changes in stable dose regimens for chronic treatments (eg, diabetes, hypertension) within 2 weeks of screening.

Study design

This was a sequential, two-part, open-label study (Figure 1). Part 1 was conducted in six subjects with ESRD who were receiving intermittent hemodialysis. Following completion of Part 1, Part 2 was conducted in six subjects with severe renal disease or ESRD who were not receiving dialysis and in six healthy matching subjects. In both parts of the study, eligible subjects were admitted to the clinical pharmacology unit the day before administration of the first dose of defibrotide (day–1; dialysis occurred prior to admission for dialysis-dependent subjects) and remained in-house 24 hours after the last dose of defibrotide (Part 1: day 5; Part 2: day 3). A safety follow-up phone call was made ~5 days after discharge (Part 1: day 10±3 days; Part 2: day 8±3 days).

Treatments

For Part 1, subjects were administered two doses of defibrotide 6.25 mg/kg via 2-hour (±10 minutes) IV infusion: one dose on a nondialysis day (day 1) and the other dose on a dialysis day (day 4). Hemodialysis treatments were administered for 4 hours each on days 2 and 4, using Fresenius Optiflux® F180NR dialysis filters (Fresenius Medical Care, Waltham, MA, USA); on day 4, the hemodialysis treatment began 1 hour after the start of the defibrotide 6.25 mg/kg 2-hour IV infusion and occurred over 4 hours, resulting in a 1-hour overlap between the defibrotide infusion (ie, second hour) and the hemodialysis session (ie, first hour). To prevent thrombosis, subjects received a loading dose of heparin (2,000 to 4,000 units) and an additional 500 to 1,000 units of heparin hourly through hour 3 of hemodialysis.

For Part 2, all renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects received defibrotide 25 mg/kg/day in four divided doses of 6.25 mg/kg via 2-hour (±10 minutes) IV infusion, administered every 6 hours. The four doses were administered over a 24-hour period starting on the afternoon of day 1 (dose 1) and ending on day 2 (dose 4).
Safety and tolerability parameters included monitoring of adverse events (AEs).

**PK sampling collection**

**Plasma**

For both parts of the study, blood sample collection for plasma defibrotide PK analysis was conducted at 15- to 30-minute intervals over 6-hour periods starting before, continuing through, and ending after the 2-hour defibrotide IV infusions, occurring on days 1 and 4 for Part 1, and days 1 (dose 1) and 2 (dose 4) during Part 2. Blood samples (3 mL) from a peripheral venous cannula opposite to the site of drug infusion were collected into lithium heparin Vacutainer®-type tubes and centrifuged to collect plasma. Plasma samples were stored at ~−30°C ± 10°C until shipment to the bioanalytical laboratory.

**Dialysate**

In Part 1, dialysate samples for determination of defibrotide concentrations were collected over 0.5-hour intervals during hemodialysis, with the exception of hour 4.5 after starting defibrotide (ie, 3.5 hours after starting dialysis), when none was collected. Dialysate samples were immediately separated into three aliquots of 500 µL and frozen at −80°C pending shipment to the bioanalytical site.

**Urine**

In Part 2, urine samples were collected in four sequential collections every 6 hours for 24 hours, with collection completed within 5 minutes prior to administration of each dose of defibrotide. Urine collection containers were refrigerated, mixed, and aliquoted into 2×10 mL tubes and frozen at −20°C pending shipment to the bioanalytical site.

**PK parameters**

**Plasma**

Both Parts 1 and 2 included plasma PK measured as area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) to the time of the last quantifiable plasma concentration (AUC0–t), AUC extrapolated to infinity (AUC0–∞), maximum observed plasma concentration (Cmax), time of Cmax, apparent terminal phase half-life (t1/2), systemic plasma clearance (CL), and volume of distribution at steady state. Part 2 also measured the AUC during the dosing interval (6 hours; AUCτ).

**Dialysate**

Part 1 also measured dialysate PK parameters, including the amount of defibrotide excreted in dialysate over the period from 1 hour after the start of infusion up to 6 hours (collected at half-hour intervals; A0–6), the fraction of defibrotide removed by the 4-hour hemodialysis session (f0), and dialysis clearance (CLD).

**Urine**

Part 2 also measured urinary PK parameters, including amount of defibrotide excreted unchanged in urine over a 6-hour collection interval, fraction of defibrotide excreted unchanged in
urine over a 6-hour collection interval, and renal clearance after a single dose (dose 1) and after multiple doses (dose 4).

Bioanalytical methods

Bioanalytical methods for assay of defibrotide in plasma, dialysate, and urine were validated at Eurofins ADME BIOANALYSES, Vergèze, France, following recommendations from the FDA and the European Medicines Agency. The analyses involved a fluorimetric method. The results demonstrated that the bioanalytical methods can be used to assay defibrotide in human plasma from 0.2 µg/mL (lower limit of quantification) to 10 µg/mL (upper limit of quantification) and to assay defibrotide in human urine and dialysate from 0.5 µg/mL (lower limit of quantification) to 15 µg/mL (upper limit of quantification).

Biostatistical analysis

Both parts of the study used descriptive statistics to summarize concentration data and PK parameters.

For Part 1, which assessed the effect of dialysis on defibrotide PK in subjects with ESRD, a linear effects model was performed on natural log (ln)-transformed values of defibrotide AUC$_{0-t}$, AUC$_{0-\infty}$, and C$_{\text{max}}$ on nondialysis (day 1) and dialysis (day 4) days; the model included day as a fixed effect and measurements within subject as a repeated measure. For Part 2, assessing the difference in defibrotide PK between renal-impaired, nondialysis-dependent subjects and healthy matching subjects, a linear mixed-effects model was used to compare log-transformed PK parameters (AUC$_{0-t}$, AUC$_{0-\infty}$, AUC$_{\text{max}}$, and C$_{\text{min}}$) with renal function status (impaired or healthy) as a fixed effect and measurements within subject as a random effect.

Point estimates and 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for log-scale differences were exponentiated to obtain point estimates for ratios of geometric least-squares (LS) means on the original scale; renal-impaired subjects not dependent on dialysis were compared with healthy matching subjects after single and multiple doses. The ln-transformed PK parameters also were used in an analysis of variance in both parts of the study. The geometric LS means and CIs were expressed as a percentage relative to the geometric LS means of the reference treatment (for each pairwise comparison, the reference formulation was the denominator for each ratio). The intrasubject coefficient of variation was estimated using the formula $100 \times \sqrt{\text{MSE} - 1}$, where MSE is the estimated mean square error from analysis of variance. Inferential statistics were performed using WinNonlin® version 5.2 (Certara, L.P., Princeton, NJ, USA).

Results

All participants (Table 1) in Parts 1 and 2 completed the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographic and baseline characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemodialysis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD, DD (N=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (min, max)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Healthy subjects were matched to renal-impaired subjects for age (±10 years), body mass index (±20%), sex, and race.*

Abbreviations: CV%, percent coefficient of variation; DD, dialysis-dependent; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; max, maximum; min, minimum; SD, standard deviation.
Plasma PK analyses: Part 1 – hemodialysis

Mean plasma concentration–time profiles of defibrotide following a single dose declined monoexponentially on a nondialysis day (day 1) and a dialysis day (day 4), and were similar on both days (Figure 2). Mean $AUC_{0\rightarrow\infty}$, $AUC_{0\rightarrow t}$, and $C_{\text{max}}$ on a nondialysis day were within 10% of those observed on a dialysis day (Table 2). Peak defibrotide concentrations occurred at the end of infusion (median time to $C_{\text{max}}$ range, 1.78 to 1.90 hours) on both nondialysis and dialysis days.

Mean clearance on the nondialysis day was within 10% of that observed on the dialysis day. The analysis of variance analysis showed that the percent ratios of LS means and 90% CIs of the ln-transformed $C_{\text{max}}$, $AUC_{0\rightarrow t}$, and $AUC_{0\rightarrow \infty}$ on a dialysis day versus a nondialysis day were within the 80% to 125% range (Table 3), indicating that dialysis did not significantly affect exposure to defibrotide. All dialysate concentrations of defibrotide were below the limit of quantitation of the assay across all collection intervals, and therefore all related PK parameters ($A\bar{D}_{[1-6]}$, $f_D$, and $CL_D$) were reported as zero.

Plasma PK analyses: Part 2 – renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects

As in Part 1, mean defibrotide concentration levels declined in a monoexponential fashion after infusion (Figure 3). Mean plasma concentration–time profiles of defibrotide were generally higher at all time points in the renal-impaired versus healthy matching subjects.

Overall, defibrotide concentrations were comparable following the first and fourth administrations of defibrotide in the renal-impaired cohort as well as in the healthy cohort, suggesting no drug accumulation (Table 3). Exposure parameters (ie, $AUC_{0\rightarrow t}$, $AUC_{\tau}$ at steady state, $AUC_{0\rightarrow \infty}$, $C_{\text{max}}$) in the renal-impaired subjects following multiple administrations of defibrotide (dose 4) were within 5%–8% of these parameters following a single dose (dose 1), and similar results were obtained in the healthy matching subjects (Table 4). Thus, there was no accumulation of defibrotide following multiple doses in either cohort.

The percent ratios of LS means and 90% CIs of the ln-transformed exposure PK parameters ($AUC_{0\rightarrow t}$, $AUC_{0\rightarrow \infty}$, $C_{\text{max}}$, and $AUC_{\tau}$) between renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects were outside the 80% to 125% range following single and multiple doses (Table 3). Net defibrotide exposure ($AUC$) was $\sim$50% to 60% higher, and peak exposure ($C_{\text{max}}$) $\sim$35% to 37% higher, in the renal-impaired versus healthy matching subjects, following single and multiple administrations of defibrotide. Among renal-impaired subjects, the mean $t_{\text{1/2}}$ of the defibrotide group following single and multiple doses increased by 1.3- and 2.3-fold, respectively, compared with the corresponding value for the healthy matching subjects (Table 4).

Urinary recovery of defibrotide was higher in the healthy matching subjects (12% to 14%) compared with the renal-impaired subjects (5%) after both single and multiple doses (Table 5).

Safety and tolerability: Parts 1 and 2

No deaths, serious AEs, or discontinuations due to AEs occurred over the course of either part of this study. One AE was reported during Part 1: one subject (16.7%) experienced a treatment-emergent AE (TEAE) of vomiting that occurred...
The 4-h hemodialysis session was begun 1 h after initiation of the 2-h defibrotide intravenous infusion. The effects of renal impairment and dialysis on drugs may vary, even among agents in the same drug class, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, chemotherapy drugs, and antibiotics. As a class, the PK of oligonucleotides has been assessed in animal and human studies and consistently characterized across species by rapid distribution into the tissues, and minor urinary excretion of the drug, such as demonstrated in this study with defibrotide. These characteristics are advantageous in the setting of renal dysfunction, a prominent feature of VOD/SOS with MOD, because they lower the risk of drug accumulation and consequent toxicity in patients with impaired urinary elimination of drugs. This reduced risk was borne out in this study by the occurrence of a single TEAE among the patients, which was judged possibly related to defibrotide.

53 minutes after the start of the 2-hour defibrotide infusion on a nondialysis day (day 1). The TEAE was judged mild in severity, nonserious, and possibly related to defibrotide; defibrotide administration was not stopped, and the TEAE resolved with no sequelae. The subject continued in the study. There were no AEs on day 4. No TEAEs were reported during Part 2.

### Discussion

This two-part trial showed overall that defibrotide PK is not affected by intermittent dialysis and that defibrotide is eliminated without accumulation following multiple doses in both renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects. Following a single standard 6.25 mg/kg dose of defibrotide, hemodialysis does not significantly affect the PK or the tolerability profile of defibrotide in renal-impaired, dialysis-dependent subjects. Defibrotide exposure in plasma was ~50% higher in renal-impaired subjects not dependent on dialysis, compared with healthy matching subjects. However, the comparisons between a single dose and multiple standard 6.25 mg/kg doses during Part 2 showed no drug accumulation in plasma in either cohort. In addition, no new safety issues were identified.

The effects of renal impairment and dialysis on drugs may vary, even among agents in the same drug class, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, chemotherapy drugs, and antibiotics. As a class, the PK of oligonucleotides has been assessed in animal and human studies and consistently characterized across species by rapid distribution into the tissues, and minor urinary excretion of the drug, such as demonstrated in this study with defibrotide. These characteristics are advantageous in the setting of renal dysfunction, a prominent feature of VOD/SOS with MOD, because they lower the risk of drug accumulation and consequent toxicity in patients with impaired urinary elimination of drugs. This reduced risk was borne out in this study by the occurrence of a single TEAE among the patients, which was judged possibly related to defibrotide.

### Table 2

Part 1: plasma defibrotide PK parameters in dialysis-dependent subjects with ESRD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameter, mean (CV%)</th>
<th>Nondialysis day (day 1) (N=6)</th>
<th>Dialysis day* (day 4) (N=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→∞&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/ml</td>
<td>102 (40.0)</td>
<td>111 (39.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→t&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/ml</td>
<td>103 (40.3)</td>
<td>114 (40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/ml</td>
<td>45.1 (35.1)</td>
<td>50.1 (38.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>1.90 (1.50, 1.95)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.75, 1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>0.712 (21.9)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL, L/h</td>
<td>5.87 (24.9)</td>
<td>5.38 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;, L</td>
<td>6.34 (33.2)</td>
<td>6.90 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *The 4-h hemodialysis session was begun 1 h after initiation of the 2-h defibrotide intravenous infusion.*

**Abbreviations:** AUC<sub>_0→∞</sub>, area under the plasma concentration–time curve from start of infusion (time 0 h) to the time of the last quantifiable plasma concentration following dose administration; AUC<sub>_0→t</sub>, AUC from time 0 h extrapolated to infinity; CL, systemic plasma clearance; C<sub>max</sub>, maximum observed plasma concentration; CV%, percent coefficient of variation; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; h, hour; PK, pharmacokinetic; t<sub>1/2</sub>, apparent terminal phase half-life; t<sub>1</sub>, time of C<sub>max</sub>; V<sub>p</sub>, volume of distribution at steady state.

### Table 3

Plasma defibrotide PK parameters in DD subjects (N=6, Part 1) and following single and multiple doses in renal-impaired (n=6) and healthy matching subjects (n=6, Part 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameter&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Geometric LS mean&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Dialysis day*</th>
<th>%ratios of LS means&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (90% CI)</th>
<th>Intrasubject CV%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nondialysis day</td>
<td>Dialysis day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>109.71 (97.23, 123.78)</td>
<td>0.712 (21.9)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>108.39 (97.85, 120.07)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→∞&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>109.98 (99.39, 121.70)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal-impaired, not DD</td>
<td>Healthy matching subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>135.37 (105.06, 174.42)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>152.18 (117.60, 196.94)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→∞&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>114.6</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>153.01 (117.70, 198.91)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>138.34 (106.05, 180.46)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>159.55 (118.15, 215.47)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;_0→∞&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>109.0</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>159.36 (118.11, 215.05)</td>
<td>0.967 (17.6)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Defibrotide given as 6.25 mg/kg 2-h intravenous infusion. *Geometric LS mean from the analysis of variance presented following back transformation to the original scale. The 90% CIs are presented following back transformation to the original scale. *Part 1: ratio of dialysis day to nondialysis day. *Part 2: ratio of severe and end-stage renal disease subjects to healthy matching subjects. *The 4-h hemodialysis session was begun 1 h after initiation of the defibrotide 2-h intravenous infusion.

**Abbreviations:** AUC<sub>_0→t</sub>, area under the plasma concentration–time curve from start of infusion (time 0 h) to the time of the last quantifiable plasma concentration; AUC<sub>_0→∞</sub>, AUC from time 0 h extrapolated to infinity; CL, confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, maximum observed plasma concentration; CV%, percent coefficient of variation; DD, dialysis-dependent; h, hour; LS, least-squares; PK, pharmacokinetic.
definitive characterization of the PK profile of defibrotide in patients with renal impairment, it was conducted following US and EU guidances and satisfies those requirements based on the consistency of the results.

Conclusion

After administration of a single 6.25 mg/kg dose of defibrotide in subjects receiving intermittent hemodialysis, mean AUC\(_{0-t}\), AUC\(_{0-\infty}\), C\(_{\text{max}}\), and CL on nondialysis day

Table 4 Part 2 of the study: plasma defibrotide PK parameters following single and multiple doses in renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameter, mean (CV%)</th>
<th>Dose 1</th>
<th>Dose 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe renal disease/ESRD, not DD (n=6)</td>
<td>Healthy matching subjects (n=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(_{0-\infty}), µg·h/mL</td>
<td>117 (25.4)</td>
<td>76.2 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(_{t}), µg·h/mL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(_{0-\infty}), µg·h/mL</td>
<td>118 (26.1)</td>
<td>76.6 (25.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_{\text{max}}), µg/mL</td>
<td>54.9 (23.6)</td>
<td>40.7 (27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(_{\text{max}}), h</td>
<td>2.08 (1.50, 2.25)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.50, 2.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(_{1/2}), h</td>
<td>0.725 (25.5)</td>
<td>0.562 (39.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL, L/h</td>
<td>5.40 (28.3)</td>
<td>7.44 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(_{ss}), L</td>
<td>5.20 (21.4)</td>
<td>4.49 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Median (minimum, maximum). \(n=5\).

Abbreviations: AUC\(_{0-\infty}\): area under the plasma concentration–time curve from start of infusion (time 0 h) to the time of the last quantifiable plasma concentration following dose administration; AUC\(_{t}\): AUC from time 0 h extrapolated to infinity; AUC\(_{0-\infty}\): AUC during the dosing interval (6 h); CL, systemic plasma clearance; C\(_{\text{max}}\): maximum observed plasma concentration; CV%, percent coefficient of variation; DD, dialysis-dependent; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; h, hour; NA, not applicable; PK, pharmacokinetic; t\(_{1/2}\): apparent terminal phase half-life; t\(_{\text{max}}\): time of C\(_{\text{max}}\); V\(_{ss}\): volume of distribution at steady state.
were within 10% of those observed on dialysis day, and peak defibrotide concentrations were observed at the end of infusion for both nondialysis and dialysis days. The percent ratios of dialysis day to nondialysis day LS means and 90% CIs of AUC(0–∞), AUC(0–6), and C(max) were within the 80% to 125% range. Therefore, hemodialysis (using Fresenius Optiflux F180NR filters) did not have a clinically significant effect on defibrotide exposure or plasma clearance in subjects with dialysis-dependent ESRD.

Patients undergoing intermittent hemodialysis requiring defibrotide for treatment of severe hepatic VOD/SOS can continue their treatment even during hemodialysis without affecting the PK of defibrotide. Only one TEAE (vomiting, mild severity), which was possibly related to defibrotide, was reported.

In Part 2 of the study, defibrotide peak and extent of exposure were ~35% to 37% and 50% to 60% higher, respectively, in subjects with severe renal disease/ESRD not on dialysis compared with healthy matching subjects after a single dose and multiple doses. As expected, due to the short plasma t½ of defibrotide, the overall exposure after the daily dose of 25 mg/kg divided into four doses every 6 hours showed no accumulation, with PK parameters after multiple doses within 5% to 8% of those after the first dose. Approximately 12% to 14% and 5% of the administered dose of defibrotide is excreted in urine as defibrotide in the first 6 hours after infusion in the healthy subjects and subjects with severe renal disease/ESRD, respectively. No TEAEs were reported.

Due to the limited urinary excretion, lack of accumulation, and tolerability profile of defibrotide, differences in the PK profile in subjects with severe renal disease/ESRD compared with healthy matching subjects were consistent with US and European prescribing guidance.
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Table 5 Urinary defibrotide PK parameters following single and multiple doses in renal-impaired and healthy matching subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameter, mean (CV%)</th>
<th>Dose 1</th>
<th>Healthy matching subjects (n=6)</th>
<th>Dose 4</th>
<th>Healthy matching subjects (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A&lt;sub&gt;r–&lt;/sub&gt; mg</td>
<td>30.9 (49.6)</td>
<td>79.9 (31.9)</td>
<td>28.4 (52.3)</td>
<td>69.4 (76.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f&lt;sub&gt;r–&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>5.22 (50.8)</td>
<td>14.02 (20.0)</td>
<td>4.78 (53.5)</td>
<td>11.81 (64.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;r&lt;/sub&gt;, L/h</td>
<td>0.290 (74.2)</td>
<td>1.04 (21.6)</td>
<td>0.268 (69.4)</td>
<td>0.891 (48.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: A<sub>r–</sub>, amount of defibrotide excreted unchanged in urine over a 6-h collection interval; CL<sub>r</sub>, renal clearance; CV%, percent coefficient of variation; DD, dialysis-dependent; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; f<sub>r–</sub>, fraction of defibrotide excreted unchanged in urine over a 6-h collection interval; h, hour; PK, pharmacokinetic.


