Community-acquired pneumonia and survival of critically ill acute exacerbation of COPD patients in respiratory intensive care units
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Purpose: The aim of this study was to appraise the effect of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) on inhospital mortality in critically ill acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) patients admitted to a respiratory intensive care unit.

Patients and methods: A retrospective observational study was performed. Consecutive critically ill AECOPD patients receiving treatment in a respiratory intensive care unit were reviewed from September 1, 2012, to August 31, 2015. Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-square tests, and continuous variables were analyzed by Mann–Whitney U-test. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to assess the association of CAP with survival of critically ill AECOPD patients for univariate analysis. Cox’s proportional hazards regression model was performed to identify risk factors for multivariate analysis.

Results: A total of 80 consecutive eligible individuals were reviewed. These included 38 patients with CAP and 42 patients without CAP. Patients with CAP had a higher inhospital rate of mortality than patients without CAP (42% vs 33.3%, $P<0.05$). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed that patients with CAP had a worse survival rate than patients without CAP ($P<0.05$). Clinical characteristics, including Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score, C-reactive protein, and CAP, were found to be closely associated with survival of AECOPD individuals. Further multivariate Cox regression analysis confirmed that CAP and APACHE II were independent risk factors for inhospital mortality in critically ill AECOPD patients (CAP: hazard ratio, 5.29; 95% CI, 1.50–18.47, $P<0.01$ and APACHE II: hazard ratio, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.06–1.37, $P<0.01$).

Conclusion: CAP may be an independent risk factor for higher inhospital mortality in critically ill AECOPD patients.
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Introduction

COPD is an aggressive disease, acknowledged as the fourth leading cause of death among chronic diseases. It poses a huge public health burden worldwide, even though it is preventable and treatable. Several common clinical complications have been reported to share close associations with the poor outcome in COPD patients, such as cardiovascular disease, lung cancer, and infection. Acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) is characterized by acute changes in clinical symptoms of COPD beyond normal day-to-day variation requiring emergency medical intervention. Patients with severe exacerbations should be transferred to respiratory disease wards to receive further treatment.
treatment. Patients with acute respiratory failure or septic shock may need ventilator support or intensive care.1

Pneumonia is reported to be a major contributor to hospitalization for AECOPD and shares a close relationship with poor patient outcomes. Moreover, patients with pneumonic exacerbation have been found to be admitted into intensive care units (ICUs) more often and stay there longer than those with nonpneumonic exacerbations.2 It is well established that administration of corticosteroids has a beneficial effect on severe AECOPD patients. However, they also increase the risk of pneumonia.3,4 In recent years, mounting evidence has indicated that community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), which impairs lung defense, is reported to be one of the more common reasons for admission into ICUs and to affect outcomes of COPD patients.5 A previous study reported inhospital mortality in COPD patients complicated by CAP to be 12.2%.6 Pneumonia also predicts higher mortality in COPD patients with repeated exacerbation events.2

However, few studies have investigated the effect of CAP on inhospital mortality in critical AECOPD patients hospitalized in respiratory ICUs (RICUs). Given the relationship between CAP and survival of patients with critical AECOPD remains largely undetermined, a retrospective observational study was conducted to appraise the effects of CAP inhospital mortality in critically ill AECOPD patients.

Patients and methods

The study protocol acquired approval from the Research Ethics Committee of Yijishan Hospital of Wannan Medical College. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their relatives. This retrospective observational study was performed in a single RICU of a tertiary teaching hospital. Consecutive critical AECOPD patients hospitalized to the RICU were reviewed from September 1, 2012, to August 31, 2015.

AECOPD was defined as an event characterized by acute changes in clinical symptoms beyond normal day-to-day variation according to the criteria in current guidelines.1 COPD patient categories were as follows: subgroup A, low risk and few symptoms; subgroup B, low risk and more symptoms; subgroup C, high risk and few symptoms; subgroup D, high risk and more symptoms. More details were described previously.1 The diagnostic criteria of CAP are as follows: 1) symptoms of an acute lower respiratory tract illness (coughing and at least one other lower respiratory tract symptom); 2) new focal chest signs upon examination, at least one systemic feature (a symptom complex of sweating, fevers, shivers, aches and pains, temperature of ≥38°C, or combination of these); 3) new radiographic shadowing for which there was no other explanation; and 4) no other explanation for the illness, which was treated as CAP with antibiotics.7 Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores were used to assess the severity of patients requiring intensive care on admission, as detailed in a previous work.8 Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) treatment failure was defined as oxygenation and clinical conditions that could not be improved or patients who needed emergency endotracheal intubation while receiving NIV.9 Demographic details, comorbid conditions, and laboratory measurements were also collected.

All reviewed patients underwent pulmonary computed tomography before transfer to RICU. Collectively, patients with a diagnosis of critical AEPD and hospitalized in the RICU were eligible for inclusion in this study. Patients younger than 45 years or older than 85 years, length of stay >30 days or <2 days, receiving palliative care only, health care-associated pneumonia, septic shock, severe left heart failure, pulmonary embolism, diffuse pulmonary fibrosis disease, renal replacement therapy, and asthma were not included. The clinical data from patients undergoing their first RICU admission were collected.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as mean ± SD, and categorical data are presented as number (n) or percentage. Continuous variables were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U-test, and categorical variables were analyzed by chi-square test for nonparametric variables. A Kaplan–Meier survival curve was used to analyze the effect of CAP on survival of critically ill AECOPD patients hospitalized in the RICU. A log-rank test was used to evaluate statistical differences in the survival curves. Cox’s proportional hazards regression model analysis was performed to assess risk factors for inhospital mortality for multivariate analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analyses were performed using a software package (SPSS for Windows, release 22.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Consistent with inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 80 consecutive cases (58 men and 22 women) with critical AECOPD admitted to RICU were reviewed during this 2-year study. All cases were divided into a CAP group (38 cases) and no-CAP group (42 cases). The baseline characteristics of the two groups are given in Table 1. The levels of arterial blood PaCO2 were significantly lower in cases with CAP than in cases without CAP, with 74.22±30.81 mmHg, and 86.54±26.38 mmHg, respectively (P<0.05). A significant
However, other clinical characteristics, including sex, age, COPD patient categories, pH value, incidences of diabetes and hypertension, APACHE II scale, white blood cell counts, PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2}, blood lactate and C-reactive protein (CRP), rates of NIV treatment failure, length of glucocorticoid use over 5 days, and length of stay, showed no statistical differences between cases with and without CAP ($P > 0.05$).

Table 2 shows comparisons of clinical characteristics of survivals and nonsurvivals among critically ill AECOPD patients. Here, 22 of the survivals and 16 of the nonsurvivals were complicated by CAP (39.5% vs 66.7%, $P < 0.05$). Nonsurvivals had higher APACHE II scores than survivals (21.10±6.22 vs 17.52±5.55, $P < 0.05$). Similarly, greater increases in the CRP level were observed in nonsurvivals than in survivors (49.37±45.28 mg/L vs 45.17±80.97 mg/L, $P < 0.05$). However, there were no significant differences in other characteristics ($P > 0.05$).

Independent risk factors of inhospital mortality in critically ill AECOPD patients were assessed using Cox’s proportional hazards regression model analysis and are displayed in Table 3. Independent risk factors for increased inhospital mortality in critically ill AECOPD patients admitted to RICU were as follows: complicated by CAP (hazard ratio, 5.007; 95% CI, 1.658–15.542, $P < 0.01$) and with a high APACHE II score (hazard ratio, 1.173; 95% CI, 1.024–1.343, $P < 0.05$).
Independent risk factors for inhospital mortality as indicated by Cox’s proportional hazards regression model analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>5.077</td>
<td>1.658–15.542</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99–1.009</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIV failure</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.058–1.614</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.991–1.004</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid use</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.342–2.748</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lactate</td>
<td>1.143</td>
<td>0.55–2.372</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score</td>
<td>1.173</td>
<td>1.024–1.343</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold represents statistically significant.

Abbreviations: APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; NIV, noninvasive ventilation; OR, odds ratio;
P<0.01). Levels of CRP, NIV treatment failure, PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$, glucocorticoid use, and blood lactate were not found to increase inhospital mortality in those patients.

Discussion

CAP is a frequent comorbidity or overlap disease in AECOPD patients who require hospitalization. A previous analysis indicated that the incidence of pneumonia in elderly COPD patients was 54.2/1,000 person-years, which was found to be more common in COPD patients in the US than in those from other countries. Several studies have identified factors predisposing COPD patients to CAP, such as disease severity, old age, long-term use of inhaled corticosteroids, and dysphasia. The inhospital mortality rate of pneumonic AECOPD has been reported to be significantly higher than that of nonpneumonic exacerbations. In this way, CAP is a valuable predictive factor of poor prognosis in AECOPD patients. Use of inhaled corticosteroids alone or in combination with a long-acting β2-agonist increases the risk of pneumonia in COPD patients, but the overall mortality is not affected. AECOPD patients with pneumonia were found to use NIV more frequently and remain hospitalized longer, but they were not found to have significantly higher rates of inhospital mortality than those without pneumonia.

In the present study, critically ill AECOPD patients with CAP had higher inhospital mortality (20%), than individuals without CAP, who had 10%. However, Takir et al reported that inhospital mortality in COPD patients with pneumonia in the ICU was 12.5%. Many factors may account for these inconsistent results. First, the mean age of patients in the current study was higher than that in the previous study; second, the inclusion and exclusion criteria were quite different; and finally, the medical facilities and similar factors may also have caused some differences.

The current study also showed the level of arterial blood PaCO$_2$ to be lower in cases with CAP than in cases without CAP. The level of arterial blood PaCO$_2$ in patients with lung injuries is often lower than normal. This interferes with ventilation–perfusion matching and gas exchange in the respiratory system through complicated mechanisms. Hypercapnia also attenuates several inflammatory processes, which may protect organs from stress injuries. In this way, permissive hypercapnia may benefit critically ill AECOPD patients. However, in the present study, the level of arterial blood PaCO$_2$ was not significantly different between survivors and nonsurvivors. The hypercapnia observed in these patients may offset its beneficial effects.

NIV, systemic corticosteroid treatment, and shorter ICU stays were found to be associated with reduced mortality in COPD patients with CAP, as indicated by data from a study performed on the ICUs of 19 different hospitals in Turkey from October 2008 to January 2011. However, this study excluded patients with COPD exacerbation from further analysis. A large body of evidence has shown that the use of NIV benefits AECOPD patients and decreases both the need for invasive ventilation and inhospital mortality. Unlike in AECOPD patients with acute respiratory failure, NIV treatment was not very beneficial to patients with acute respiratory failure due to pneumonia, which has limited its clinical usage. In the present study, results showed that NIV intervene did not provide additional benefits for critically ill AECOPD individuals with CAP. For this reason, NIV treatment should be recommended to alleviate respiratory distress syndrome in select critically ill AECOPD patients with pneumonia rather than in critical AECOPD patients generally.

Systemic corticosteroid treatment shares close association with lower rates of mortality in patients with CAP. However, it was not found to significantly change rates of mortality in AECOPD patients requiring intensive care, though it may be associated with a significant increase in the success of noninvasive mechanical ventilation and a reduction in the duration of mechanical ventilation. However, the beneficial effects of corticosteroids were only observed in noncritically ill AECOPD patients and not in critically ill AECOPD patients, regardless of whether ventilation was invasive or noninvasive. As in previous reports, the results of the current study also indicated that critically ill AECOPD individuals with CAP did not benefit from systemic corticosteroid administration. In this way, systemic corticosteroid treatment may not be suitable for critical AECOPD patients with CAP.

The APACHE II score is an independent factor associated with mortality in AECOPD patients admitted to RICU. In the current study, APACHE II scores and the level of CRP were significantly higher in nonsurvivals than in survivors.
By multivariate analysis, only CAP and APACHE II scores were found to be independently associated with an increased mortality in AECOPD patients, and CRP, NIV, and glucocorticoid use lasting >5 days were not.

The present work reveals the disadvantage that CAP places on critical AECOPD patients who are hospitalized in RICUs. Nevertheless, this study still has several limitations that may have influenced its results. First, it was a retrospective study performed in a single RICU. This issue merits further multicenter prospective studies in the future. Second, the results of this study are applicable to only critical AECOPD patients and do not apply even to AECOPD patients whose condition is not critical. Finally, the sample size was small, although all reasonable efforts were made to minimize error and bias.

Conclusion
The results of the present study suggest that CAP is an independent risk factor for increased inhospital mortality in critical AECOPD patients. The close association between CAP and AECOPD warrants further investigation.
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