Degradability, cytocompatibility, and osteogenesis of porous scaffolds of nanobredigite and PCL–PEG–PCL composite

Jun Hou1
Donghui Fan2
Lingming Zhao2
Baoqin Yu3
Jiakan Su3
Jie Wei2
Jung-Woog Shin4

1The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hefei, 2Key Laboratory for Ultrafine Materials of Ministry of Education and The State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, 3Department of Orthopaedics Trauma, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; 4Department of Biomedical Engineering, Inje University, Gimhae, Republic of Korea

Abstract: Biocomposite scaffolds were fabricated by incorporation of nanobredigite (n-BD) into the polymer of poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylenglycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL–PEG–PCL). The results revealed that the addition of n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL significantly improved water absorption, compressive strength, and degradability of the scaffolds of n-BD/PCL–PEG–PCL composite (n-BPC) compared with PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds alone. In addition, the proliferation and alkaline phosphatase activity of MG63 cells cultured on n-BPC scaffolds were obviously higher than that cultured on PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Moreover, the results of the histological evaluation from the animal model revealed that the n-BPC scaffolds significantly improved new bone formation compared with the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, indicating good osteogenesis. The n-BPC scaffolds with good biocompatibility could stimulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and bone tissue regeneration and would be an excellent candidate for bone defect repair.
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Introduction
In the human body, magnesium (Mg) is one of the most important elements that indirectly influences mineral metabolism and is closely associated with mineralization of calcined tissues. Some studies have shown that bioactive materials containing calcium (Ca), silicon (Si), and Mg, such as bioglass, Si- or Mg-doped calcium-phosphate biomedical materials, etc., could induce the formation of biological apatite on their surfaces both in vitro and in vivo. These studies have shown that Ca, Si, and Mg ions could activate osteoblast proliferation and differentiation, stimulate bone-related gene expression, and facilitate new bone formation. Bredigite (Ca,MgSi4O16), a Ca-, Si-, and Mg-containing bioceramic, was reported to possess good biocompatibility and was able to closely bond to bone tissue after implantation into bone defects of the rabbits. In addition, bredigite and its coating could promote the formation of bone-like apatite on material surface after soaking into stimulated body fluid. Moreover, the osteoblasts could adhere and spread well on the surfaces of bredigite bioceramics, and the ionic product dissolution from bredigite obviously stimulated cell proliferation. Therefore, bredigite-based biomaterials might open new possibilities for bone repair.

Degradable polymers of poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylenglycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL–PEG–PCL) have been reported to possess good biocompatibility,
and PCL–PEG–PCL has been applied as the nanoparticle for drug delivery or thermosensitive hydrogel.\textsuperscript{11,12} Biodegradable polymers with reasonable mechanical properties have been extensively applied to prepare the porosity scaffolds for bone regeneration owing to their biocompatibility and flexible nature. However, as for bone regeneration biomaterials, these polymers have some drawbacks, such as lack of bioactivity and low osteogenesis in vivo.

Biocomposites containing bioactive materials (such as calcium phosphate, silicate, and bioglass) and biodegradable polymers were extensively investigated, as these combined the advantages of both organic polymer and inorganic materials.\textsuperscript{13,14} It can be expected that the biological properties of bioactive composite would be improved if nanobredigite (n-BD) was incorporated into PCL–PEG–PCL. Therefore, the bioactive scaffolds of n-BD/PCL–PEG–PCL composite (n-BPC) were fabricated in this study, and the degradability, biocompatibility, and osteogenesis of the scaffold were also studied.

**Materials and methods**

**Synthesis of n-BD and PCL–PEG–PCL**

n-BD (Ca₃MgSi₂O₇) was synthesized using calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O), tetraethyl orthosilicate ((C₂H₅O)₄Si, TEOS), and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) as raw materials. TEOS and 2 M HNO₃ were added into water (molar ratio: TEOS/HNO₃/H₂O =1:0.16:8) and hydrolyzed with stirring for 40 minutes. After that, Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O were added into the mixed solution (molar ratio: Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O/Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O/TEOS =1:7:4), then the mixture was stirred for 36 hours (at room temperature). After that, the solution was kept for 24 hours (at 50°C) and dried for 24 hours (at 110°C) to get the dry gel, which was ground to get the bredigite powder. The final bredigite powders were obtained from calcined dried gel at 600°C for 6 hours. All chemicals were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, People’s Republic of China. The morphology of n-BD was characterized using a transmission electron microscope (TEM; JEM2010, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

PCL–PEG–PCL was prepared as follows: a three-necked flask was heated to 90°C after purging by nitrogen. The catalyst, stannous 2-ethylhexanoate and PEG methyl ether were added to the flask for 40 minutes and then CL (caprolactone) was introduced to the flask. The ring-opening polymerization reaction was carried out at 120°C for 24 hours. The obtained product was first dissolved in dichloromethane and then precipitated in a mixture of n-hexane and ethyl ether at a volumetric ratio of 3:7 for purification. This step was repeated several times to purify the product (PCL–PEG–PCL). The molecular weight (Mw =70,000) of PCL–PEG–PCL was determined by gel permeation chromatography.

**Preparation of n-BPC scaffolds**

By using the solvent casting–particulate leaching method, the n-BPC scaffolds containing the n-BD content of 20 wt% (n-BPC20) and 40 wt% (n-BPC40) were fabricated.\textsuperscript{15} PCL–PEG–PCL was added into chloroform to form a polymer solution, with continuous stirring to enable n-BD to disperse uniformly into the polymer solution. Particles of sodium chloride (used as porogens) with a size of around 450 µm were introduced into the n-BPC slurry (sodium chloride:composite =8:1 at weight ratio) and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The sodium chloride/composite mixture was cast into the molds (Φ12×2 mm and Φ6×6 mm). To remove the solvent, the samples were dried for 24 hours (at 50°C). After that, the samples were soaked in water at 37°C for 48 hours to leach out the sodium chloride particulates (the water was refreshed every 6 hours). The n-BPC scaffolds were obtained after removal from the water and were dried at 50°C for 12 hours. Using the same method, the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds (used as controls) were prepared. The surface morphology and microstructure of the scaffolds were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; S-3400N, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Compressive strength, porosity, and water absorption of n-BPC scaffolds**

By using a Bose ElectroForce Smart Test the compressive strength of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds were determined. By using A 5000-N static compression load and 0.1 mm/s cross-head speed, the scaffolds with the size of Φ6×6 mm were placed between two parallel plates and compressed, and the load was used until the specimens were compressed to 50% of the original height. From the maximum point of the stress–strain curve, the compressive strengths of the specimens were obtained. The compressive strengths of n-BPC40 were found to be significantly lower than that of the n-BPC20 scaffolds. Therefore, in this study, the n-BPC20 samples were chosen for the further experiments.

By using the Archimedes method, the porosity of the scaffolds was determined in distilled water. The specimens were dried at 37°C for 48 hours, and the dry weight of the specimens was recorded as \( p_d \).

The specimens were soaked in water (under vacuum) to force the water into the pores of the scaffolds. Then, the
specimens were reweighed in water to get the measurement $p_2$. After that, the specimens were removed from the beaker and surface water droplets were dabbed off. The specimens were quickly reweighed in air to get the measurement $p_3$. The porosity of the specimens was calculated according to the formula: $\text{Porosity} = (p_3 - p_1)/(p_2 - p_1) \times 100\%$, where $p_1$ is the dry weight, $p_2$ is the wet weight in air, and $p_3$ is the wet weight suspended in water.

After soaking the specimens into water for different time periods, the water absorption of the scaffolds was tested. The specimens ($\Phi 12 \times 2 \text{ mm}$) were weighed and then soaked in water for 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 hours. The specimens were removed from water at different time points, and the water on the scaffolds surfaces was wiped off, then the weights of the specimens were determined. The water absorption of the specimens was obtained using the following formula: Water absorption $= (W_n - W_s)/(W_n) \times 100\%$, where $W_s$ is the starting weight of the specimens and $W_n$ is the weight of the specimens after immersion for time $n$.

Degradation of the n-BPC scaffolds in the Tris–HCl solution

The degradation of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was determined by testing the weight change in Tris–HCl solution. The specimens ($\Phi 12 \times 2 \text{ mm}$) were weighed and then immersed in the Tris–HCl solution (at 37°C) in a shaking water bath for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 10 weeks. The solution was replaced once a week. At different time points, the samples were washed with water and dried at 50°C for 24 hours. The weight loss of the specimens was calculated using the following formula: Weight loss ratio $= (W_s - W_p)/(W_s) \times 100\%$, where $W_s$ is the starting weight and $W_p$ is the weight of the specimens after immersion for time $n$.

Cell proliferation and morphology

The MG63 cells (ATCC) were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) and were cultured with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with glutamine (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, the medium contained 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum purchased from Sijiqing (Hangzhou, People’s Republic of China) and 1% (v/v) antibiotics. The cells were grown in a 100% standard humidified atmosphere with 5% CO$_2$ and at 37°C.

The MG63 cells were seeded onto the scaffolds ($\Phi 12 \times 2 \text{ mm}$) of n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL in 24-well plates. At 1, 3, and 5 days, the cell proliferation was tested using a Cell Counting Kit 8 (CCK-8, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The specimens were gently rinsed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at the specific time points. The results were expressed as mean absorbance values (optical density [OD]) at 450 nm and were obtained using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, Bio-tek, Winooski, VT, USA).

The cell morphology of MG63 cells was examined by visualizing the filamentous actin of the cytoskeleton by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica TCS SP2; Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany). The samples were placed in a 24-well plate and the cells were seeded on the specimens. To remove the unattached cells, the specimens were washed with PBS after 5 days of culture. According to the protocol, the cells on the specimens were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 20 minutes (at room temperature), and the samples were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 minutes. The cells were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma) and fluoresceiniso-thiocyanate (Sigma) after washing three times with PBS.

Alkaline phosphatase activity

The MG63 cells were seeded on the specimens in 24-well plates and cultured (at 37°C, 100% humidity atmosphere, and 5% CO$_2$) for different time periods. At 7 and 10 days, in the osteogenic medium, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity of the cells was determined by an ALP assay. The cell lysates were obtained by addition of 1 mL of 0.2% Nonidet P-40 solution (NP-40) to each well at the specific time points. Then, 50 µL of the 1 mg/mL $p$-nitrophenylphosphate (Sigma) substrate solution (pH = 9) containing 0.1 mol/L glycine and 0.5 mmol/L MgCl$_2$ in 1 M diethanolamine buffer was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes, and the reaction was stopped by adding 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (100 µL). Using a microplate reader (SPECTR Amax 384, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), at the wavelength of 405 nm, the OD value was determined, and the ALP activity was expressed as the OD value per total protein.

By using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kits, the total protein content was obtained using a series of bovine serum albumin standards. The ALP activity of cell lysates was determined by normalizing it to the total protein content.

Histological elevation from animal model

Rabbits (New Zealand white rabbits, 5 months old, and 2 kg average weight) were used in this study upon approval from the Animal Research Committee of the Ninth People’s Hospital (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). All experiments were performed following relevant institutional and national
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guidelines and regulations in People’s Republic of China. The rabbits were divided into three groups (total: 24 rabbits) and anesthetized by intramuscular injection of Zoletil 50 and 2% Rompun. Surgery on the rabbits was carried out under sterile condition. To expose the distal femoral epiphysis, lateral and medial approaches were carried out in left knees (shaved of fur), and bone defects were made by using a medium speed burr. The samples (6×6×6 mm) were implanted into the cavity after the bone defects were washed with physiological saline. At 6 and 12 weeks, the rabbits were killed using an overdose of pentobarbital to obtain animal experimental samples (extracted femora). At certain implantation time period, some samples were tested using X-ray microradiography to observe the process of the new bone formation.

Fixing with 10% buffered formaldehyde for 2 weeks, the samples were dehydrated in successive concentrations of ethanol (from 75% to 100%) and then embedded in polymethylmethacrylate. By using a microtome (Leica SP1600, Leica Microsystems), the samples were sectioned perpendicular to the implants (150 µm in thickness) after hardening. Before staining with hematoxylin–eosin (H&E), the sample sections were ground polished (final thickness of ~50 µm). Using a light microscope, the histological sections of the samples were observed after staining; in the same section, at least five images were randomly obtained. The ratio of new bone formation was expressed as the percentage of the new bone area within the defect area using the image analytical software Image-ProPlus (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA).

Statistical analysis
In this study, data were obtained using Origin 8.0 (Origin Lab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) and expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical comparisons were performed using one-way analysis of variance with post hoc tests. The notation “*” denotes P<0.05.

Results and discussion
Composition and structure of n-BD and n-BPC scaffolds
The morphology and nanostructure of the n-BD from TEM images is shown in Figure 1A. The synthetic n-BD scaffolds were almost homogeneously spherical-shaped particles that were 50–80 nm in diameter. The wide-angle X-ray diffraction pattern of n-BD is shown in Figure 1B. Only one broad reflection at 2θ=20°–35° can be visually found, revealing that n-BD showed an amorphous structure. Figure 1C reveals the infrared pattern of n-BD. The peak at 1,093, 799, and 467 cm⁻¹ showed the Si–O symmetric stretching vibration, and the Si–O flexural vibration, respectively. Table 1 lists the compressive strength and porosity of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. It was found that the compressive strength of n-BPC20 was 4.7 MPa, which was obviously higher than n-BPC40 (1.9 MPa) and PCL–PEG–PCL (2.8 MPa) scaffolds.

The n-BD content in the composite had obviously affected the compressive strength of the scaffolds, and suitable n-BD content would improve the compressive strength of the scaffolds, whereas more n-BD content would decrease the compressive strength. The decrease in the compressive strength of n-BPC40 (1.9 MPa) compared with n-BPC20 (4.7 MPa) is because n-BD is a brittle material whereas PCL–PEG–PCL is a tough material. More n-BD into polymer would cause the decrease in the mechanical strength of the composite. Regarding the porosity, no obvious difference was found among the n-BPC20 (81%), n-BPC40 (77%), and PCL–PEG–PCL (78%) scaffolds. Furthermore, the compressive strength of composite scaffolds was 4.7 MPa, which was similar to the strength of cancellous bone (3–8 MPa) of the human body. Therefore, in this study, the n-BPC20 samples were chosen for further experiments.

For bone tissue ingrowth, scaffolds should have a three-dimensional porous structure (porosity: no less than 70%, pore size: 100–600 µm), which was regarded as the porosity requirement for the ingrowth of new bone tissue. Figure 2 presents the SEM images of the samples, which showed the surface morphology of the n-BPC20 and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. It was observed that the majority of the pores of the scaffolds were macropores, with the size of around 400 µm, and these macropores of the scaffolds were well interconnected, which would favor bone cell/tissue ingrowth. In this study, although bioactive inorganic materials had superior bone-forming activities, they were brittle and difficult to shape. Composite scaffolds containing polymers and bioactive inorganic materials have attracted growing attention for bone tissue regeneration. Therefore, it can be suggested that the introduction of the bioactive material n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL was a good method to prepare bioactive composite scaffolds for bone repair.

Water absorption and degradability of n-BPC scaffolds
The water absorption of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds after immersion into water for different time points is shown in Figure 3. The water absorption of both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds improved with time; it was found that the water absorption of the n-BPC scaffolds was 148%, while that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was
77% after immersion into water for 24 hours, respectively. The water absorption is an important factor for degradation of and cell responses to the biomaterial scaffolds, and improved water absorption of scaffolds would promote the interactions between the cells and biomaterials. The results showed that the water absorption of the n-BPC scaffolds was obviously higher than that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, revealing that the incorporation of n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL significantly increased the water absorption of the n-BPC scaffolds. In short, the addition of inorganic bioactive materials into polymers was a good method to enhance the mechanical properties and water absorption of the polymer-based composites.

Figure 4 shows the weight loss of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds after soaking in the Tris–HCl solution for different time points. It was found that the weight loss for both the samples rose with time, and the weight loss of the n-BPC scaffolds was 83 w% after soaking in the Tris–HCl solution for 12 weeks, while that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was 49 wt%. The degradability of the implanted scaffolds should be coincident with the new bone growth and gradually replaced by the new bone. The results showed that the degradation rate of the n-BPC scaffolds was faster than that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, indicating that the addition of n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL obviously improved the

Table 1 Porosity and compressive strength of n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaffolds</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL–PEG–PCL</td>
<td>2.8±2</td>
<td>78±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-BPC20</td>
<td>4.7±1</td>
<td>81±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-BPC40</td>
<td>1.9±2</td>
<td>77±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: n-BPC, n-BD/PCL–PEG–PCL composite; n-BD, nanobredigite; PCL–PEG–PCL, poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone).
degradability of the scaffolds compared with PCL–PEG–PCL alone. It could be suggested that the improved water absorption of n-BPC scaffolds resulted in an improved degradability of the n-BD and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Therefore, the degradability of n-BPC scaffolds was significantly higher than that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds.

Cell proliferation and morphology

Figure 5 shows the proliferation of the MG63 cells on the scaffolds at different time points. It was found that the OD values of the cells on both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds increased with time. At 1 day, no significant difference in the OD value between n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was observed. However, at 3 and 5 days, the OD value of the n-BPC scaffold was significantly higher than that of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Our results indicated that the n-BPC scaffolds could promote the proliferation of MG63 cells compared with the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds.

Figure 6 shows the cytoskeletal morphology (confocal laser scanning microscopy images) of the MG63 cells on both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The MG63 cells could attach and grow into both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. At 5 days, it was found that the number of cells on the n-BPC scaffolds was significantly higher than that on the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The results indicated that the n-BPC scaffolds were favorable for the growth of MG63 cells. Therefore, we suggested that the incorporation of bioactive materials of n-BD into polymers was a good method to prepare the n-BPC scaffolds that promoted cell proliferation and ingrowth into the scaffolds as compared...
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to the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The proliferation of MG63 cells on the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds improved with time, indicating that the scaffolds had good cytocompatibility. Furthermore, at 5 days, it was found that the proliferation and growth of the cells into the n-BPC scaffolds was obviously better than that on the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Our results suggested that the n-BPC scaffolds might have better cytocompatibility than the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, thereby remarkably promoting the cell proliferation and growth into the n-BPC scaffold.

The surface characteristics of the biomaterials, such as surface morphology and chemistry, significantly affect the cells’ adhesion, proliferation, and growth into the scaffolds. It was reported that Ca, Si, and Mg ions released from biomaterials could promote human osteoblast proliferation and activate gene expression. Therefore, the surface chemical properties of the implanted biomaterials may play a crucial role in regulating cell behaviors and have some effects on the quantity of ions released from the bioactive materials and the consequent interaction between cells and materials.

ALP activity

The ALP activity of the MG63 cells on both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds at different time points is shown in Figure 7. At 7 days, no significant differences in ALP activity of the MG63 cells were found between the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, and the ALP was expressed at low levels. However, at 10 days, the ALP activity for n-BPC was significantly higher than that for the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The results revealed that the n-BPC scaffolds could promote cell differentiation compared with PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The ALP activity of the cells on the biomaterials generally reflects the impact of the material on cell differentiation. The ALP activity of the MG63 cells on the n-BPC scaffolds was significantly higher than that on the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds at 10 days, revealing that the n-BPC scaffolds containing n-BD obviously promoted cell differentiation.

Figure 5 Proliferation of MG63 cells cultivated on n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds for 1, 3, and 5 days.
Notes: Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n=5); asterisk (*) indicates significant difference.
Abbreviations: n-BPC, n-BD/PCL–PEG–PCL composite; n-BD, nanobredigite; PCL–PEG–PCL, poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone); OD, optical density.

Figure 6 CLSM images of cytoskeletal morphology of the MG63 cells cultivated on n-BPC (A) and PCL–PEG–PCL (B) scaffolds for 5 days.
Abbreviations: CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; n-BPC, n-BD/PCL–PEG–PCL composite; n-BD, nanobredigite; PCL–PEG–PCL, poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone).
Some studies have indicated that the dissolution of Si, Mg, and Ca ionic products from bioactive materials such as bioglass or bioceramics promoted osteoblast proliferation, differentiation, and gene expression. The n-BPC scaffolds could be degradable, which contained the dissolution of both n-BD and PCL–PEG–PCL. The dissolution of n-BD would produce some Si, Ca, and Mg ions in the solution. Therefore, it can be suggested that the continuous dissolution of the scaffolds might produce a microenvironment containing Si, Ca, and Mg ions that might promote cell responses (cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation).

X-ray microradiographs and histological evaluations

The results in Figure 8 show the of X-ray microradiographs of samples after the in vivo implantation of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds at different time points. At 6 weeks, the boundary between the material and the bone tissue became unclear, suggesting that mineralization had occurred and that the density of the n-BPC scaffold increased (Figure 8A). At 12 weeks, the boundary between the material and the tissue disappeared, and the density of new bone was similar to that of the host bone, indicating that the new bone tissue grew into the n-BPC scaffolds in bone defects (Figure 8C). However, at 6 weeks, the bone defects were still darker than the host bone tissue after implantation of the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, and the density of the material was lower than that of the host bone tissue, showing less new bone tissue growth into the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds (Figure 8B). At 12 weeks, the density of scaffolds increased compared to that at 6 weeks, illustrating new bone growth into the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffold and increased density of the scaffolds (Figure 8D). The results also confirmed that the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds were biocompatible with host bone tissue.
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Figure 9 shows the photographs of H&E stained histological sections of new bone formation and materials degradation after the in vivo implantation of the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds for different time points. At 6 weeks, some new bone tissue growth was observed in the n-BPC scaffolds, while less new bone tissue growth was observed in the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Obviously, the newly formed bone tissue in the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was less than that in the n-BPC scaffolds. At 12 weeks, a large amount of newly formed bone tissues were found in the n-BPC scaffolds while it was much less in PCL-PEG-PCL scaffolds, indicating that the new bone tissues grew into scaffolds while the materials were gradually degradable. Meanwhile, some newly formed bone tissues were observed in the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds along with the materials degradability. Clearly, the amount of new bone tissue in the n-BPC scaffolds was obviously higher than that in the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds.

Figure 10 shows the changes of the new bone formation ratio in the scaffolds, which were quantitatively analyzed by histological sections. It can be seen that the new bone formation ratio enhanced with time for both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Moreover, at 6 and 12 weeks, the new bone formation ratio for the n-BPC scaffolds was 57% and 82%, while that for the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds was 35% and 52%, respectively. Our results indicated that the new bone formation ratio for the n-BPC scaffolds was markedly higher than that for the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds at both 6 and 12 weeks.

Ideal biomaterials not only interact actively with cells but also stimulate tissue regeneration.28 Our results indicated that new bone tissues gradually increased and the materials continued to reduce for both the n-BPC and PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds after implantation into bone defects for different time periods. A large quantity of newly formed bone tissue was observed in the n-BPC scaffolds at 12 weeks, which was obviously higher than that in the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Compared with the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, it is likely that the well-interconnected macroporous n-BPC scaffolds containing n-BD provided a suitable microenvironment for the interaction between scaffolds and bone tissue, and thus promoted bone tissue growth into the scaffolds.29 Moreover, the release of Si, Ca, and Mg ions from the n-BPC scaffolds (dissolution) produced a microenvironment that might stimulate cell growth and new bone tissue regeneration. Furthermore, n-BD in the n-BPC scaffolds might promote scaffold degradation in vivo, which might enhance tissue regenerations, indicating good osteogenesis. This suggests that the n-BPC scaffolds as bioactive implants might have special biofunctions to stimulate tissue regeneration, which improved new bone formation compared with the
PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. The n-BPC scaffolds could be an excellent candidate for bone repair applications.

**Conclusion**

Bioactive scaffolds of the n-BPC with an interconnected macroporous structure were fabricated. The results suggested that the incorporation of n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL significantly improved water absorption, compressive strength, and degradability of the n-BPC scaffolds compared with the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds. Further more the proliferation and ALP activity of MG63 cells on the n-BPC scaffolds were found to be remarkably higher than that on PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, indicating that the n-BPC scaffolds promoted cell proliferation and differentiation. Moreover, the histological evaluation results revealed that the in vivo osteogenesis of n-BPC scaffolds was obviously enhanced as compared with the PCL–PEG–PCL scaffolds, indicating that the n-BPC scaffolds promoted bone regeneration. In short, the incorporation of n-BD into PCL–PEG–PCL was a useful method to fabricate bioactive scaffolds with good biocompatibility and osteogenesis that could be used for bone repair application.
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