Waldenström macroglobulinemia: biology, genetics, and therapy

Abstract: Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) is a distinct clinicopathologic entity characterized by the presence of a lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma, a non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and IgM monoclonal gammopathy. WM is an indolent, uncommon malignancy mostly affecting the elderly. Patient outcomes have modestly improved since the introduction of rituximab to conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy more than 20 years ago. However, the pivotal discovery of the somatic MYD88 L265P mutation, harbored by most patients with WM, and the somatic CXCR4 WHIM mutations, similar to germline CXCR4 mutations seen in the warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, immunodeficiency, and myelokathexis (WHIM) syndrome, present in approximately one-third of patients with WM, has fundamentally changed our understanding of this disease and expanded the potential therapeutic targets. Within this new paradigm, ibrutinib emerged as a promising new drug. Ibrutinib targets Bruton's tyrosine kinase, a downstream protein in the B-cell receptor pathway that is overactivated by the MYD88 L265P mutation. A seminal Phase II trial of ibrutinib in previously treated WM patients showed impressive response rates and confirmed the effects of MYD88 L265P and CXCR4 WHIM mutations in response to therapy. Ibrutinib is the first and only US Food and Drug Administration–approved drug specifically for the treatment of WM. However, before ibrutinib can be established as the standard of care for WM, long-term data regarding efficacy and safety are required. Further research to address ibrutinib resistance and cost-effectiveness is also imperative before ibrutinib can gain widespread acceptance. This review will cover the present pathophysiologic understanding of WM in light of the recent MYD88 and CXCR4 discovery, as well as current and emergent treatment regimens with focus on ibrutinib.
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Introduction

Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) was described by Jan Waldenström, a Swedish physician, in 1944.¹ The World Health Organization categorizes WM as a subset of low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma, characterized by the presence of IgM monoclonal gammopathy and infiltration of the bone marrow by lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (LPL).²

The clonal lymphoplasmacytic cells in WM usually express global B-cell markers, such as CD19, CD20, CD22, and CD79a, but do not express CD5 (as in mantle cell lymphoma [MCL] and chronic lymphocytic leukemia [CLL]), CD10 (as in follicular lymphoma), or CD56 (as in the majority of multiple myeloma [MM] cases).³,⁵ In rare instances, WM lymphocytes may express CD5, but not as much as in MCL or CLL.⁶
The phenotype of LPL cells suggests that they are in a late stage of B-cell differentiation, which probably resembles an IgM + B-cell that has undergone somatic hypermutation but not isotype class switching.1 Table 1 compares the features of various IgM monoclonal gammopathies.

Features required for the diagnosis of WM include 1) presence of an IgM monoclonal gammopathy of any size in serum, 2) bone marrow biopsy findings showing LPL infiltration, and 3) exclusion of other lymphoproliferative disorders, including CLL and MCL. The Mayo Clinic criteria for WM diagnosis require at least 10% marrow involvement by clonal lymphoplasmacytic cells in asymptomatic patients,8 whereas the Second International Workshop on WM eliminated the requirement for a minimum amount of marrow involvement.6

**Clinical features**

WM represents only 2% of all hematologic malignancies, with an age-adjusted incidence of 3.8 cases per million persons per year.9 WM is predominantly a disease of elderly persons, with a median age at diagnosis ranging from 63 to 73 years in various studies, and a 95-fold higher incidence among octogenarians than among those <73 years in various studies, and a 95-fold higher incidence among octogenarians than among those <50 years.10 Although the estimated median disease-specific survival is 11 years,11 the median overall survival (OS) of Waldenström’s patients is approximately 7 years and reflects associated comorbidities seen in these elderly populations.12 Young patients with WM (<50 years) have a longer median OS (13–14.8 years).12,13

Approximately 25% of patients with WM are asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis, but symptoms will develop in up to 70% of them within 10 years of diagnosis.14

The initial presentation of WM is usually nonspecific constitutional symptoms such as fatigue, weight loss, fever, and night sweats. Ultimately, symptoms develop secondarily to hematopoietic tissue infiltration by LPL, IgM paraprotein deposition, and/or IgM autoimmune activity.15 The most common clinical features are lymphadenopathy, thrombocytopenia, splenomegaly, anemia, bleeding due to hyperviscosity, and peripheral neuropathy. Table 2 shows the clinical features of WM.

In patients with a history of IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), a known precursor of WM, the risk of WM is increased by 46-fold.16 IgM MGUS patients carrying the MYD88 L265P mutation have higher risk of progressing to WM than those with wild-type MYD88 (odds ratio 4.7, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.8–48.7).17 WM has been known to show familial clustering; about 20% of patients with WM have at least one first degree relative with WM or other B-cell disorder.18

**Table 1 Comparison of IgM monoclonal gammopathies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>IgM MGUS</th>
<th>Smoldering WM</th>
<th>WM</th>
<th>IgM multiple myeloma</th>
<th>IgM amyloidosis</th>
<th>Splenic marginal zone lymphoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgM gammopathy</td>
<td>&lt;3 g/dL</td>
<td>≥3 g/dL</td>
<td>Any level</td>
<td>Any level</td>
<td>Any level</td>
<td>Low level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow LPL infiltrate</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>≥10%</td>
<td>≥10%</td>
<td>≥10%</td>
<td>≥10%</td>
<td>≥10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-organ damage/symptoms</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperviscosity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiating genetic features and markers</td>
<td>6q deletion absent, MYD88 L265P (up to 80%)</td>
<td>6q deletion, MYD88 L265P (90%)</td>
<td>CD56-</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of transformation</td>
<td>1.5% per year</td>
<td>12% per year for the first 5 years, 68% within 10 years</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *The table lists a few important differential characteristics of IgM monoclonal gammopathies. IgM paraprotein can be present in virtually all B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders; 1Mayo Clinic criteria require at least 10% of bone marrow involvement by LPL, whereas the Second International Workshop on WM (IWWM-2) eliminated the requirement for a minimum amount of marrow involvement. 1Constitutional symptoms: hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, anemia, hyperviscosity, solid organ involvement, rarely lytic lesions. 1CRAB features (hyperCalcemia, Renal failure, Anemia, and Bone lesions); 1Organs typically involved are kidneys, heart, nerves, tongue, gastrointestinal tract, and liver. Patients with IgM amyloid light-chain (AL) amyloidosis have higher frequency of pulmonary, lymph node, peripheral nerve involvement, and lower cardiac involvement. Concentration of free light chain tends to be lower than in non-IgM AL amyloidosis; 1Primarily involves spleen; lymphadenopathy is rare. Reprinted from Blood Rev, 29(5), Kapoor P, Paludo J, Vallumsetla N, Greipp PR. Waldenström macroglobulinemia: what a hematologist needs to know, 301–319.11 copyright 2015, with permission from Elsevier.
There is abundant evidence to support a genetic predisposition in familial cases of WM. In a genome-wide analysis of families at high risk for WM, the authors found strong evidence for involved genes on 1q and 4q, and evidence suggestive of gene linkage on 3q and 6q. The pattern of affected patients in familial WM, which is usually seen in multiple generations and equally distributed between men and women, favors autosomal dominant or codominant inheritance.

Patients with WM are at increased risk for secondary malignancies. The most common sex-adjusted malignancies are prostate (2%–9.4%), breast (0.9%–8%), nonmelanoma skin cancer (7.1%), melanoma (2.2%), and lung (1.4%–2.1%). The cumulative incidence of solid cancer was shown to be 6%–9.5% at 5 years, 11%–16.1% at 10 years, and 17% at 15 years in one study. Hematologic malignancies, mainly diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and myelodysplastic syndrome/acute myeloid leukemia, were seen in 3.7%–5% of patients with WM, but these were mostly in patients previously treated with alkylating agents and nucleoside analogs. Second malignancies were seen more frequently in sporadic WM than in familial WM. Interestingly, five times more cases of lung cancer were seen in familial WM, and two times more cases of prostate cancer were seen in sporadic WM. First-degree relatives of patients with WM are at significantly increased risk for MGUS, WM, or other non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

### Genetic mutations associated with WM

WM has been associated with numerous chromosomal abnormalities and somatic mutations, but no causal relationship has been shown. An abnormality on cytogenetic analysis or fluorescence in situ hybridization is found in about half of patients with WM; the most common are 6q deletion (22%–46%), 13q14 deletion (13%–15%), trisomy 18 (11%–23%), trisomy 4 (4%–12%), and p53 deletion (4%–23%). A rearrangement of the IgH genes as part of a translocation is uncommon in WM, unlike in MM. Deletion of 6q is common but not specific to WM (it is found in other B-cell disorders), but trisomy 4 is unique to WM and occasionally is the only abnormality seen in these patients. No genetic abnormality has been shown to be diagnostic, predictive, or prognostic in WM patients.

The WM tumoral clone shows wide morphologic heterogeneity, from clonal B lymphocytes (BLs) to clonal plasma cells (PCs), and includes a lymphoplasmacytic population. WM-PC shows a pattern of cytogenetic abnormalities and gene rearrangement similar to that in WM-BL, which supports the idea that the WM clonal PC results from an incomplete maturation process of a WM clonal BL. Furthermore, the gene expression profile of PCs and BLs in WM shows complex clones that are similar to their counterparts in CLL and MM, but each still represents a singular entity. Interestingly, the PAX5 gene is significantly upregulated in WM-PC.

### Table 2 Characteristics of IgM or direct Waldenström macroglobulinemia cell infiltration–related morbidities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathophysiology</th>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell infiltration related</strong></td>
<td>Anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow (100%)</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary hematopoietic</td>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tissues (25%)</td>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung (4%)</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS (rare)</td>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell infiltration + IgM</strong></td>
<td>Nodules or diffuse infiltrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parprotein mediated</td>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney (4%)</td>
<td>Bing–Neel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IgM parprotein mediated</strong></td>
<td>Infiltration of neoplastic cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperviscosity (35%)</td>
<td>Perirenal mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IgM-related neuropathy</strong></td>
<td>Bence Jones proteinuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(up to 40%)</td>
<td>Cast nephropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light-chain deposition disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cryoglobulinemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoglobulinemia (asymptomatic</td>
<td>Malabsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in up to 20% of WM; symptomatic</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5%)</td>
<td>Mucosal bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cutaneous plaques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold agglutinin hemolytic anemia</td>
<td>Hemolytic anemia (usually hemoglobin is &gt;7 g/dL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Abbreviations:** CNS, central nervous system; GI, gastrointestinal; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia; IgM, immunoglobulin M.
compared with MM-PC; PAX5 expression must be repressed to allow final PC differentiation. The **BLIMP1** gene, which is also needed for differentiation from BLs to PCs, is down-regulated in WM-PC. This evidence indicates that WM clonal cells are in a later stage of B-cell differentiation into PCs that express IgM, but have not undergone final isotype class switching. In contrast to MM-PC, WM clonal PCs do not express **DDK1** and **FRZB**, which cause bone disease in MM, and may explain the lack of bone lesions in WM.

The protein **MYD88** is an adaptor in the Toll-like receptor and interleukin-1 receptor signaling pathway. The pivotal discovery of the L265P mutation in **MYD88** considerably improved our understanding of WM pathogenesis. The gain-of-function **MYD88** L265P mutation strongly promotes WM cell growth and survival through downstream activation of the above-mentioned pathways, with the transcription of NF-κB. The **MYD88** L265P mutation has been detected in 86% of sporadic WM cases and in all familial WM cases. Even though the **MYD88** L265P mutation was seen uniformly in familial WM, a recent study did not support the presence of germline **MYD88** mutations in familial WM or IgM MGUS.

The **MYD88** L265P mutation is not exclusive to WM. It can be identified in up to 87% of patients with IgM MGUS and in smaller proportions of patients with activated B-cell type diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (29%), mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma (9%), splenic marginal zone lymphoma (10%–21%), and CLL (4%–10%). The **MYD88** L265P mutation is associated with an increased risk of death in WM patients. The overall average risk of progression in patients with IgM MGUS is approximately 1.5% per year, whereas patients with smoldering WM have an average risk of progression to symptomatic WM of 12% per year for the first 5 years, after which the risk decreases to rates similar to those for IgM MGUS. The rate of progression is affected by IgM levels, hemoglobin levels, and degree of bone marrow involvement by LPL. Most patients with smoldering WM with more than 50% marrow involvement will progress to WM within 5 years.

The International Prognostic Scoring System for WM (IPSSWM) is widely used for stratification of patients with WM. Five adverse parameters are included in the IPSSWM score (age ≥65 years, hemoglobin ≤11.5 g/dL, platelets ≤100×10⁹/L, β2-microglobulin >3 mg/L, and IgM level >7 g/dL); age ≥65 years is assigned two points because of its higher prognostic value, and the others are assigned one point. The total score at initiation of therapy stratifies patients into low- (score ≤1), intermediate- (score 2), or high-risk (score ≥3) categories. Five-year survival rates are 87%, 68%, and 36% for low, intermediate, and high risk, respectively. The IPSSWM score was independently validated by a large Greek study. Increased lactate dehydrogenase level (>250 IU/L) has been shown to further stratify patients with a high-risk IPSSWM score – an increased value was associated with a median OS of 37 months, compared with 104 months for a lower value. The presence of **MYD88** wild-type was also associated with a higher risk of death in WM patients (hazard ratio 10.6, 95% CI 2.4–46.2). In the era of BTK inhibitors, further prognostication can be derived from **MYD88** and **CXCR4** mutation status as predictors of response to therapy, as discussed below.

**Current and emerging treatments**

Since WM remains an incurable disease, the aims of treatment are to relieve symptoms and decrease the risk of end-organ damage. Treatment is usually reserved for symptomatic patients and those with severe cytopenias (hemoglobin
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<10 -g/dL or platelet count <100×10^9/L). When possible, clinical trials should be considered for patients with newly diagnosed WM or in the relapsed/refractory setting. Table 3 shows a summary of selected clinical trials in WM.

Rituximab, a monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody, is widely used in the treatment of WM, either in combination with other agents or as monotherapy. A recent population-based study showed single-agent rituximab as the frontline choice in approximately 55% of WM patients.\(^{44}\) The low toxicity profile and substantial response rates as a single agent make rituximab monotherapy suitable for minimally symptomatic WM patients or those with IgM-related neuropathy, hemolytic anemia, or mild cytopenias. An IgM “flare” is seen in approximately half of patients after starting rituximab.\(^{45}\) Physicians should be aware of this phenomenon as it does not indicate treatment failure, but could aggravate IgM-mediated symptoms/hyperviscosity. Plasma exchange should be considered in patients with symptomatic hyperviscosity before starting rituximab. Single-agent rituximab has consistently shown overall response rates (ORRs) from 50% to 60% in treatment-naïve and relapsed/refractory patients, with a median progression-free survival (PFS) approaching 2 years.\(^{46-48}\) The role of rituximab maintenance therapy was assessed in a retrospective study of 238 rituximab-naïve WM patients of whom 68 patients received maintenance therapy. Rituximab maintenance therapy was associated not only with improved median PFS (56 months vs 29 months, \(P=0.0001\)) and OS (not reached vs 116 months, \(P=0.0095\)) but also with increased infectious complications.\(^{49}\) The superiority of rituximab maintenance therapy has not been evaluated in randomized, prospective studies, therefore not recommended outside clinical trials.

The combination of bendamustine, an alkylating agent, with rituximab in treatment-naïve patients with WM demonstrated an ORR of 100% and complete response (CR) of 53% in a Phase II trial.\(^{50}\) The primary adverse effect was myelosuppression (leukopenia Grade \(\geq 3\): 16%; thrombocytopenia Grade \(\geq 3\): 3%). A Phase III trial comparing bendamustine plus rituximab (BR) with R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) in patients with indolent lymphomas, including 41 with WM, showed a similar ORR (95%) in both treatment arms. BR, however, had a significantly longer median PFS (70 months vs 28 months for R-CHOP; hazard ratio 0.33; \(P=0.003\)), fewer relapses and adverse events.\(^{51}\) Because of these results, BR became a suitable first-line regimen for WM, particularly for patients with bulky disease in need of rapid disease control.

A Phase II trial of dexamethasone, rituximab, and cyclophosphamide (DRC) in treatment-naïve patients with WM demonstrated an ORR of 83%, including a CR rate of 7%.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>PFS (months)</th>
<th>TTP (months)</th>
<th>Response rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab(^{46-48})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>85% at 5 years</td>
<td>51% at 2 years</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48% at 5 years</td>
<td>46% at 2 years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab-extended dose schedule(^{47})</td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendamustine–rituximab R-CHOP(^{50})</td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendamustine–rituximab R-CHOP(^{51})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRC(^{52,53})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>90% at 2 years, 47% at 8 years</td>
<td>67% at 2 years, 45% at 3 years</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortezombi(^{54})</td>
<td>TN and R/R</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortezomib + rituximab(^{55})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>96% at 1 year</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortezomib + rituximab(^{56})</td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>94% at 1 year</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDR(^{57})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>82% at 3 years</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDR(^{58})</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100% at 2 years</td>
<td>78% at 2 years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrutinib(^{59})</td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrutinib(^{60})</td>
<td>R/R</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95% at 2 years</td>
<td>69% at 2 years</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BDR, bortezomib, dexamethasone, rituximab; CR, complete response; DRC, dexamethasone, rituximab, cyclophosphamide; m, months; MR, minor response; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression free survival; PR, partial response; R-CHOP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; R/R, relapsed or refractory; TN, treatment naïve; TTP, time to progression; VGPR, very good partial response; NR, not reached.
DRC was well tolerated, with a less than 9% rate of Grade 3 or greater adverse reactions. The recently reported long-term outcomes of this Phase II trial showed a median PFS of approximately 3 years after DRC and an estimated 10-year OS of 53%. DRC remains an active, well-tolerated, and non-stem-cell toxic treatment option in WM.

Proteasome inhibitors have also been shown to be effective against WM. Bortezomib has been studied as monotherapy, in combination with rituximab, and as a triplet regimen with rituximab and dexamethasone. Single-agent bortezomib in treatment of relapsed/refractory WM achieved an ORR of 85%. Responses occurred at a median of 1.4 months, but no CRs were seen. The addition of rituximab to weekly bortezomib has been investigated in the treatment-naïve and relapsed/refractory settings, showing ORRs of 89% and 81%, as well as CR rates of 4% and 3%, respectively. Median PFS was 16 months in the relapsed/refractory setting. Neuphropathy Grade 3 or higher was seen in 5% of patients with this weekly regimen of bortezomib and rituximab. The combination of bortezomib/rituximab plus dexamethasone in treatment-naïve WM patients has yielded ORRs ranging from 85% to 96%, with CR rates as high as 13%. This triplet combination showed a median PFS of 42 months and 7%–30% incidence of Grade 3 or higher neuropathy.

A recent Phase II trial of idelalisib, a selective oral inhibitor of PI3Kδ, in patients with indolent lymphomas (including ten with WM) refractory to alkylating agents and rituximab, showed promising results. The subset of WM patients achieved an ORR of 80% with this oral agent. The most common adverse effects were neutropenia (21%), increased liver enzyme values (21%), and diarrhea (13%).

A Phase I study showed activity of ABT-199, a small-molecule BCL-2 inhibitor recently named venetoclax, in patients with relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma, including four patients with WM who achieved a partial response. Preclinical data showed a synergistic effect of ABT-199 with ibrutinib or idelalisib in WM cell models, independent of CXCR4 mutation status and may support its further investigation in combination strategies.

The role of autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) in WM is not well established, but it was deemed safe and effective in multiple retrospective studies. The largest cohort of patients with WM who underwent ASCT included 158 patients and showed a nonrelapse mortality of only 3.8% at 1 year. ASCT achieved an ORR of approximately 95% and a major response rate (MRR) of 78%–80%, with an estimated 5-year OS of approximately 69% and 5-year time-to-next-therapy of 48%. Survival was affected by number of prior treatment regimens and disease chemosensitivity at the time of transplant. Potential ASCT-eligible patients with otherwise long life expectancy could have stem cells harvested and stored early in the disease course before they are exposed to multiple stem-cell toxic treatments.

In contrast to ASCT, allogeneic stem cell transplant is not recommended in patients with WM outside clinical trials due to the prohibitively high transplant-related mortality (23%–44% at 1 year) in this indolent disease.

Comparative safety, efficacy, and tolerability of ibrutinib

BTK, a downstream cytoplasmic enzyme in the MYD88 signaling pathway, has been targeted by several drugs, most notably ibrutinib. The gain-of-function MYD88 L265P mutation, almost ubiquitous in WM patients, is thought to be the main driver of BTK activation in WM, which leads to increased cell survival and proliferation through NF-κB pathways.

Ibrutinib is an oral, small-molecule, selective, irreversible inhibitor of BTK that triggers apoptosis in WM cells with the MYD88 L265P mutation. A Phase I trial of ibrutinib in 56 patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell lymphomas, including four patients with WM, showed an objective response in 60% of the patients and a median PFS of 16 months. The encouraging results and acceptable tolerability led to a pivotal Phase II trial of ibrutinib in previously treated WM patients. A total of 63 consecutive patients received daily ibrutinib, 420 mg, until disease progression or unacceptable adverse effects. The ORR was 90%, with a median treatment duration of 19 months.

Patients with WM who had the MYD88 L265P mutation and wild-type CXCR4 had the most benefit from ibrutinib, with an ORR of 100% and MRR of 91%; patients with MYD88 L265P and CXCR4 WHIM had less benefit (ORR of 86% and MRR of 62%), and even less benefit was seen for those with wild-type MYD88 and CXCR4 (ORR of 71% and MRR of 28%). These findings highlight BTK’s activity in proliferation of WM cells and survival in the mutated MYD88 L265P signaling pathway and show the drug resistance, possibly the result of bone marrow homing of WM cells, increased by CXCR4 WHIM mutation. A later review by the same authors showed that all patients with wild-type MYD88 who had achieved a major response actually harbored a mutation in the MYD88 gene that was not captured by allele-specific polymerase chain reaction analysis for MYD88 L265P. The updated ORR and MRR for WM patients with wild-type MYD88 and CXCR4 were 60% and 0%, respectively.
The initial rapid and profound decrease in IgM levels (median time to response, 4 weeks) was not matched by a decrease in bone marrow involvement, which suggests that ibrutinib affects IgM secretion rather than cell killing. Improvement in lymphadenopathy (68%), splenomegaly (57%), and peripheral neuropathy (55%) was seen in most patients after initiation of ibrutinib. All patients in whom treatment was initiated for hyperviscosity symptoms required no additional plasma exchange after two cycles of therapy.70

Overall, ibrutinib was well tolerated. Grade 3 or higher neutropenia and thrombocytopenia were seen in 14% and 13% of the patients, respectively. Most of these patients received three or more prior types of therapy. Grade 2 or higher bleeding complications were seen in 6% of the patients, all of which were associated with concomitant use of fish oil supplements. Atrial fibrillation was reported in 5% of patients, all of whom had a history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, which resolved after ibrutinib was withdrawn.70 The effect of BTK on the platelet glycoprotein IV/collagen interaction, a critical component of platelet aggregation, is well known and was first identified in patients with X-linked agammaglobulinemia, which is caused by BTK deficiency.72 The bleeding associated with ibrutinib is therefore thought to be secondary to its inhibitory effect on BTK and collagen-mediated platelet aggregation.73 Platelet aggregation normalizes after 2–3 days of ibrutinib cessation.74

Preliminary results of a multicenter Phase III trial demonstrated activity of ibrutinib in rituximab-refractory WM patients. With an ORR rate of 84%, single-agent ibrutinib shows activity in heavily pretreated rituximab-refractory WM patients. Grade 3 or higher adverse events rate was similar to prior studies of single-agent ibrutinib.75

Despite the promising results, CR was not achieved in any patients with WM with the use of ibrutinib.70 Primary and secondary cases of resistance to ibrutinib have also been described. Although ibrutinib resistance is uncommon, its true incidence is unknown given the relatively short follow-up of available clinical trials. Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain acquired resistance to BTK inhibitors, including mutations in BTK that interfere with drug binding and alternative pathways that bypass BTK entirely.76 The BTK C481S mutation has been associated with secondary ibrutinib resistance in patients with CLL and MCL. At the structural level, the BTK C481S mutation disrupts covalent binding and allows for reversible, instead of irreversible, binding of ibrutinib by BTK.77,78 Primary resistance to ibrutinib has also been associated with sustained PI3K–Akt activity, rather than BTK activity, in some patients with MCL.78

In WM, primary ibrutinib resistance is seen in patients harboring CXCR4 WHIM mutations. WHIM-like mutations reduce CXCR4 internalization and allow for sustained enzymatic activity of Akt (protein kinase B) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase, with subsequent increased cell survival, migration, and bone marrow homing.79–81 Plerixafor, an anti-CXCR4 agent used for many years as a stem-cell mobilizing agent, has been shown to effectively inhibit the CXCR4–CXCL12 pathway. Its use in patients with WM carrying a CXCR4 WHIM mutation has been proposed as a way to mobilize WM cells from the prosurvival stem cell niche in bone marrow, thus making them more amenable to cytotoxic chemotherapy.80 Several other CXCR4 inhibitors are currently under investigation.81

The use of BTK inhibitors together with CXCR4 antagonists as a way to decrease intrinsic drug resistance in patients with a CXCR4 WHIM mutation is yet to be studied. This combination has the theoretical potential to show even better response rates in WM patients. Furthermore, the patient’s MYD88 and CXCR4 mutation status could be used for a more individualized treatment selection in patients with WM.

Conclusion

Despite expansion of the therapeutic options in recent years, WM remains an incurable disease. Ibrutinib has become the first drug approved by the US Food and Drug Administration specifically for WM, owing to its impressive clinical efficacy, easy route of administration, and favorable toxicity profile reported in a recent Phase II trial.70 Ibrutinib has also been approved in Europe, Canada, Japan, and is under review in Mexico. Ibrutinib as single agent has activity in WM comparable to that with combination therapies; however, no head-to-head trials have been conducted. Furthermore, the data that led to ibrutinib approval by the US Food and Drug Administration are based only on response rates. Long-term data are required to ascertain end points such as PFS, OS, resistance rates, long-term complications, and quality of life before a definitive conclusion on the role of ibrutinib in WM treatment can be made.

Nonetheless, ibrutinib has inaugurated a new era in the treatment of WM. Clinical trials of ibrutinib in combination with other established or novel agents are under way, and results could further solidify the role of ibrutinib in WM. Additionally, a myriad of strategies has been proposed to overcome ibrutinib resistance, such as ABT-199 (BLC2-inhibitor),82 RP6530 (dual PI3Kδ/γ inhibitor),83 and plerixafor (CXCR4 inhibitor).84 The treatment of WM continues to evolve and expand rapidly, with the potential to affect the course of this disease.
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