Psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease: links and risks
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Abstract: Psoriasis and the spectrum of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are chronic, inflammatory, organotropic conditions. The epidemiologic coexistence of these diseases is corroborated by findings at the level of disease, biogeography, and intrafamilial and intrapatient coincidence. The identification of shared susceptibility loci and DNA polymorphisms has confirmed this correlation at a genetic level. The pathogenesis of both diseases implicates the innate and adaptive segments of the immune system. Increased permeability of the epidermal barrier in skin and intestine underlies the augmented interaction of allergens and pathogens with inflammatory receptors of immune cells. The immune response between psoriasis and IBD is similar and comprises phagocytic, dendritic, and natural killer cell, along with a milieu of cytokines and antimicrobial peptides that stimulate T-cells. The interplay between dendritic cells and Th17 cells appears to be the core dysregulated immune pathway in all these conditions. The distinct similarities in the pathogenesis are also reflected in the wide overlapping of their therapeutic approaches. Small-molecule pharmacologic immunomodulators have been applied, and more recently, biologic treatments that target proinflammatory interleukins have been introduced or are currently being evaluated. However, the fact that some treatments are quite selective for either skin or gut conditions also highlights their crucial pathophysiologic differences. In the present review, a comprehensive comparison of risk factors, pathogenesis links, and therapeutic strategies for psoriasis and IBD is presented. Specific emphasis is placed on the role of the immune cell species and inflammatory mediators participating in the pathogenesis of these diseases.
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Introduction

Psoriasis and the group of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are chronic, inflammatory, organotropic conditions. The former affects the skin of 2%–3% of the population, with hyperproliferation of keratinocytes, impaired epidermal barrier function at the sites of skin lesions, and skin infiltration by activated inflammatory cells.¹ Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) are the two most prevalent representatives of the IBD group. UC usually affects the rectum and may extend to the colon, with restriction of the inflammatory process to the mucosa and submucosa layers, while CD can affect any site of the digestive tract, with segmentary distribution of the lesions and inflammatory infiltration of all intestinal wall layers.²

Immune dysregulation, determined by genetic predisposition and environmental assaults, underlies all three conditions. A coincidence of psoriasis and IBD has been
observed in various clinical settings: they can appear as concomitant disease events in the same patient or as “paradoxical” treatment-related adverse events.3,4

Moreover, a wide spectrum of therapeutic modalities is used to treat psoriasis and IBD, a fact that further underscores pathophysiological disease similarities. There are also cases of distinct discrepancies in the effectiveness of certain modalities that highlight differences in the pathophysiology between skin and gastrointestinal (GI) diseases and even within the IBD themselves.

The objectives of this paper were to review the most relevant literature data on the role of microbiota, inflammatory cell species, and humoral inflammation mediators in psoriasis and IBD immunopathogenesis and treatment response. For this purpose, corresponding core review articles were compiled, and a focused literature search was performed for retrieving the updated information. The search was conducted periodically during progressing text editing, employing Medline (PubMed). Two search filters were applied (language “English” and field “Title/abstract”), and the identified material was explored for selecting papers with adequate content. The full text of selected articles was retrieved, each study was individually evaluated, and the resulting material was integrated in this descriptive report.

After a short comparison of the genetic collation, we will survey the role of microbiota and selected innate and adaptive immunity inflammatory cell species and humoral inflammation mediators in the pathogenesis of these diseases. Finally, we discuss similarities and discrepancies in the response of psoriasis and IBD patients to the established pharmacologic therapies of either to additionally highlight the nosologic relationships of these conditions.

Diseases coincidence
Psoriasis and IBD cluster at all genetic levels of human populations, ie, they share the same geographic/ethnic, kindred, and patient niches. These diseases are more frequent in Northern Europe and North America (psoriasis prevalence: ~2%; UC and CD incidences: 19.2–24.3 and 12.7–20.2 per 100,000 person-years, respectively) compared to most regions of Africa, Asia, and the Middle East (psoriasis prevalence: <0.5%; UC and CD incidences: 6.3 and 5.0 per 100,000 person-years, respectively).5–7

Both psoriasis and CD present with much higher incidence among family members of patients than in the general population.8,9 Almost one-third of patients with psoriasis also have a first-degree relative affected with the disease.8 In contrast, the risk of the siblings of a CD patient to be affected is only ~5%.8 Moreover, differences in concordance rates between monozygotic and dizygotic twins both in psoriasis (70% vs 23%, respectively) and CD (37% vs 7%) support the important role of genetic background.10,11

Psoriasis and CD also coexist in the same patient more often than expected by chance: the prevalence of psoriasis in patients with CD is 9.6% (vs 2.2% in controls).12 The relative risks of psoriasis are significantly increased in both UC (1.56-fold) and CD (1.52-fold) patients and psoriasis patients are at increased risk to develop IBD (2.49-fold for CD and 1.64-fold for UC).13,14

Genetic collation
A shared genetic susceptibility background probably underlies the pathobiological and clinical overlap of psoriasis and IBD. To date, the literature describes 13 psoriasis susceptibility loci (designated PSORS1-13), and genome-wide association studies implicate 32 loci in the pathogenesis of CD and 17 in UC (Table 1).15–17

The epidemiologic coincidence of the conditions is partly explained by the sharing of disease susceptibility loci: 20q13 corresponds to PSORS12 and IBD24, 19p13 to PSORS6 and IBD6, 6p21 to PSORS1 and IBD3, and 5q31 to PSORS11 and IBD5.17 However, despite locus concordance, the involved genes are often different. For example, in the 6p21 region, psoriasis seems to be linked to the HLA-Cw*0602 allele, while IBD are mainly linked to polymorphisms in the TNF-α gene promoter.17

Of note, a recent meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies that included >4,500 patients and 10,000 controls recognized seven susceptibility loci outside the human leukocyte antigen region (9p24 near JAK2, 10q22 at ZMIZ1, 11q13 near PRDX5, 16p13 near SOCS1, 17q21 at STAT3, 19p13 near FUT2, and 22q11 at YDJC) shared by psoriasis and CD and confirmed four already established common psoriasis and CD risk loci (IL23R, IL12B, REL, and TYK2).18 Future functional studies that explore these genetic relationships will contribute to better understanding of the resonance of genetic colocalization to the pathophysiology of the diseases.

Microbiota
Microbiota play a significant role in the pathogenesis of different diseases.19 Skin and intestine are the two major niches of prokaryotic and eukaryotic symbiotic microorganism flora in humans.20,21 The inflammatory diseases evaluated herein primarily affect the structure and function of the corresponding organism–environment interface linings, resulting in distinct sustained alterations in the respective
Table 1 Genetic susceptibility loci associated with psoriasis and IBD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Candidate implicated genes</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Psoriasis association with relevant SNP</th>
<th>IBD association with relevant SNP</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6p21</td>
<td>CDKAL1</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependent kinase 5 regulatory subunit-associated protein 1-like 1</td>
<td>Unknown (shared domain with CDK5 protein inhibitor)</td>
<td>Low levels of protein expression in lesions SNPs (rs6908425) appear as risk factors.</td>
<td>Low levels of protein expression in lesions SNPs (rs11584383) appear as risk factors.</td>
<td>Similar pathophysiology; divergent SNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1p31.1</td>
<td>IL-23R</td>
<td>Interleukin-23 receptor</td>
<td>Inflammatory mediator</td>
<td>Protective (rs7530511) and predisposing (rs11209026) SNP polymorphisms.</td>
<td>Protective (rs11209026, rs7517847) and predisposing SNP polymorphisms (rs11805303) for Crohn’s disease.</td>
<td>Existence of risk modifying polymorphisms for psoriasis and Crohn’s disease; however, different SNPs and even risk directions for the same SNP (eg, rs11209026).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16q</td>
<td>NOD2/CARD15</td>
<td>Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2 (also known as caspase recruitment domain-containing protein 15)</td>
<td>Intracellular protein in the proinflammatory NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NOD2/CARD15 polymorphisms are not connected to psoriasis. However, a psoriasis susceptibility locus on chromosome 16q near marker D16S3110 is identified.</td>
<td>At least three NOD2/CARD15 gene variants (3020insC, R702W, G908R) have been identified as genetic risk factors for Crohn’s disease patients.</td>
<td>No evidence for pathophysiologic connection at gene level. Divergent susceptibility genes within the same locus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5q33.1</td>
<td>IL12B</td>
<td>Interleukin-12 subunit β</td>
<td>Encodes the common IL-12 p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23</td>
<td>Strong association with PSORS 11 psoriasis susceptibility locus. Various SNPs (rs7709212, rs10045431, rs3212227, rs6887695) have been identified.</td>
<td>Strong association with IBD19, IBD susceptibility locus. Various SNP recognized as risk factors (rs6887695, rs13361189, rs4958847).</td>
<td>Established susceptibility loci for both diseases; however, divergent polymorphisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20q13</td>
<td>Psoriasis: RNF114</td>
<td>Ring finger protein 114</td>
<td>RNF11 participates in Type 1 IFN production.</td>
<td>A single cluster of disease-associated markers, spanning 47 kb on chromosome 20q13.</td>
<td>A locus at chromosome 20q13 has been associated with IBD. rs2315008T and rs4809330A were present in the tested IBD population with an odds ratio of 0.74.</td>
<td>No evidence for pathophysiologic connection at gene level. Divergent susceptibility genes within the same locus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBD: TNFRSF6B</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 6B</td>
<td>TNFRSF6B acts as a decoy receptor (DCR3) in preventing FasL-induced cell death.</td>
<td>Multiple SNPs (as rs495337) were identified with a putative role in the regulation of immune responses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19p13</td>
<td>Psoriasis: BSG</td>
<td>Basigin</td>
<td>Basigin possess a fundamental role in intercellular recognition</td>
<td>A susceptibility factor at chromosome 19p13 had been identified and associated with SNP rs12459358.</td>
<td>A locus on 19p13 confers susceptibility to both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.</td>
<td>No evidence for pathophysiologic connection at gene level. Divergent susceptibility genes within the same locus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JunB</td>
<td>JunB</td>
<td>JunB is a transcription factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUC16</td>
<td>Mucin 16</td>
<td>Glycoprotein, known biomarker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBD: ICAM1</td>
<td>Intercellular adhesion molecule 1</td>
<td>Critical molecules in inflammatory pathways, immune responses, and cell activation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Complement component 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBXA2</td>
<td>Thromboxane A2 receptor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTB4H</td>
<td>Leukotriene B4 hydroxyase</td>
<td>Janus protein tyrosine kinases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TYK2, JAK3</td>
<td>TYK2 and JAK3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Candidate implicated genes</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Psoriasis association with relevant SNP</th>
<th>IBD association with relevant SNP</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6p21</td>
<td>HLA-Cw6</td>
<td>HLA-CW6</td>
<td>HLA-C belongs to the MHC Class I heavy chain receptors</td>
<td>PSORS1 is the strongest susceptibility locus detectable by family-based linkage studies and accounting for one-third to one-half of the genetic liability to psoriasis. Candidate SNPs include among others rs1050414 and rs6977473.</td>
<td>Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and mixed IBD contributed equally to this linkage, suggesting a general role for the chromosome 6 locus in IBD.</td>
<td>Probably not a pathophysiologic connection; a locus of strong genetic linkage between psoriasis and IBD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5q31</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-5, IL-13</td>
<td>Interleukins 4, 5, and 13</td>
<td>Inflammatory mediators</td>
<td>A SNP in the 3'-untranslated region of the IL12B gene on chromosome 5q31-33 has been associated with psoriasis. Two other SNPs offer either protection (rs7709212) or favored disease appearance (rs6596075) corresponding to a risk haplotype on 5q31.</td>
<td>SNP rs6596075 corresponding to a risk haplotype on 5q31 have been associated with Crohn's disease along with rs2188962 on 5q31.</td>
<td>Probably a genetic linkage; divergent SNPs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Genetic association studies have discovered various genome areas that are associated with both diseases. Only eight loci are described with well-established associations for both diseases. However, within the same locus, the involved genes and polymorphisms may diverge between the skin and gut diseases.

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MHC, major histocompatibility complex.
bacterial colonization have been suspected to contribute to the pathogenesis of psoriasis. The interrelationship between microbes and psoriasis pathogenesis is currently under intense investigation. Many studies agree that a certain degree of dysbiosis is a landmark of the skin lesions and that psoriasis may, at least in part, be associated with a substantial alteration in the composition of the cutaneous microflora. The genus most frequently identified in diseased skin areas is Corynebacterium as opposed to Propionibacterium in healthy controls. In addition, the representation of Propionibacterium and Actinobacteria species is lower and that of Firmicutes is higher in affected skin. Infections also play a central role in the course of psoriasis. Bacteria have been suspected to be an important source of antigens that trigger the immune system activation, which is a characteristic of this condition. Guttate psoriasis flares are usually preceded by streptococcal pharyngitis episodes. Moreover, the distinct differences in the prevalence of psoriasis in different populations have been attributed to the effect of natural selection for gene polymorphisms associated with more vigorous immunity against infectious agents such as invasive streptococci infections or leprosy pandemics in the past. T-cell clones primed by streptococcal tonsillitis or pharyngitis are supposed to be reactivated in the skin as a result of their exposure to cross-reactive epitopes expressed there (molecular mimicry).

Microbes seem to further interact with the cells of the innate immune system, resulting in increased expression of antimicrobial peptides, production of cytokines, and subsequently, stimulation of T-cells. As in the gut of IBD patients, the absence of AhR enhances skin inflammation both in the skin of patients with psoriasis and in a murine model of psoriasiform skin inflammation. Notably, the potent AhR agonist indirubin, also produced on the surface of the skin by Malassezia yeasts, is an ingredient used in topical remedies for psoriasis in traditional Chinese medicine.

In conclusion, alterations of the microbial flora in the gut and the skin may not only result from disease-associated tissue perturbations but may also exacerbate and maintain the disease state in a vicious self-perpetuating cycle.

The role of innate immune cells and the IL-23/Th17 axis

Innate and adaptive immune processes are expected to participate in the pathogenesis of both psoriasis and IBD (Figure 1, Table 2). Until recently, the prevailing opinion in the literature favored adaptive immunity as the major player in the pathogenesis of these diseases; however, current evidence
Table 2 Summary of the role of innate and adaptive immunity cell species in the pathophysiology of psoriasis and inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell species</th>
<th>Psoriasis</th>
<th>IBD</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial cells</td>
<td>Structural alterations in epidermis (increased proliferation, hyperkeratosis, parakeratosis, acanthosis) that lead to increased corneocyte shedding.</td>
<td>Increased rate of apoptosis or shedding of the intestinal epithelial cells during the disease.</td>
<td>Defective barrier and increased epithelial cell turnover. Leaky barrier function. Immune stimulation tissue state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diminished Langerhans cell numbers. Prominent tissue infiltration by pDCs in early lesions.</td>
<td>CD103+ DCs produce increased quantities of IL-6/IL-23.</td>
<td>Increased number of CD40+ DCs.</td>
<td>DCs maintain the proinflammatory tissue state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>Proinflammatory M1 subsets predominate in psoriatic plaques.</td>
<td>The proinflammatory M1 CX3CR1low subset expands and overexpresses antigen receptors and PRRs leading to local immune response exacerbation.</td>
<td>Central M1 cell species role in induction and maintenance of tissue inflammation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural killer (NK) cells</td>
<td>Proinflammatory CD56+CD3- NK cells predominate. NK cells comprise 5%–8% of the inflammatory cell infiltrate releasing IFN-γ, TNF, and IL-22.</td>
<td>Decreased number of circulating NK cells.</td>
<td>Decreased peripheral blood NK cells activity; unaffected cell numbers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs)</td>
<td>ILC3 subpopulation appears increased in both conditions producing IL-22.</td>
<td>Inhibition of Th17 cytokines is not effective.</td>
<td>Comparable pattern of alterations in psoriasis and IBD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th17 lymphocytes</td>
<td>Promote (IL-17) epidermal hyperplasia and defective keratinocyte maturation. Induce proinflammatory skin state.</td>
<td>Massive gut infiltration by Th17 cells and excess production of Th17-related cytokines are in both CD and UC tissues.</td>
<td>Central role in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and IBD. However, unfavorable outcomes in IBD with the currently available anti-Th17 modalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tregs</td>
<td>Accumulate in the inflamed tissues of skin and intestine. Functional and numerical alteration of the equivalent blood population.</td>
<td>Decrease in Treg cells in UC.</td>
<td>Movement of Treg cells from the circulation into the inflamed tissue sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TLR, toll-like receptors; PRR, pattern recognition receptors; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IFN, interferon; CD, Crohn’s disease; UC, ulcerative colitis; pDCs, plasmacytoid DCs.

highlights a more decisive role of the innate immunological mechanisms.

The innate immune system includes the host’s primary response mechanisms against foreign agents: protective physical barriers, inflammation-related proteins like the complement system and C-reactive protein, antimicrobial peptides, such as defensins and cathelicidins, and pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), cytokines, phagocytic cells, dendritic cells (DCs), and natural killer (NK) cells.22,43

The intestinal epithelial barrier is a physical defense line that encompasses intestinal epithelial cells, tight junctions, and a layer of mucous. The resident microbiota can activate specific PRRs in the epithelial cells,47 affecting downstream signaling that leads to proinflammatory protein synthesis and in the case of IBD to a more susceptible and permeable epithelial barrier.48,49 The anatomical and functional integrity of the barrier is further compromised by proinflammatory cytokines (such as TNF-α), which disturb the integrity of intercellular tight junctions by affecting the synthesis of occludins and other related proteins in the gut epithelium.50 In addition, mucous production is either up- or downregulated in IBD patients, further contributing to disease processes.51

Compared to healthy individuals, intestinal epithelial cells of IBD patients express an altered pattern of Toll-like receptors (TLRs): increased TLR4 expression in UC patients and decreased TLR4 and TLR3 expression in CD patients.52 An overexpression of TLR4 and TLR2 in intestinal wall macrophages during inflammation also contributes to a state of gut hyperresponsiveness to xenobiotics in these patients.53 Based on the aforementioned observations, in IBD patients, the defective epithelial barrier function facilitates intestinal
antigens to reach and bind to PRRs, activate the immune system, and ultimately herald inflammation.

Likewise, defects in the structure and function of the epidermal barrier seem to play an important role in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. Similar to the aforementioned IBD findings, the expression of structural proteins such as claudin and zonula occludens-1 is reduced in the epidermis of psoriatic lesions, which results in alterations in the structure of epidermal tight junctions.54 The overall pathophysiologic consequence is a partially compromised epidermal barrier capacity that enables enhanced invasion of microorganisms, their products, and other foreign molecules, ultimately leading to the stimulation of inflammatory skin processes.55

On the other hand, the expression of TLRs is also modified in psoriasis.56 TLR 2 is constitutively expressed in the suprabasal layers of normal skin, but it is limited to the basal cell layers of the epidermis in diseased skin. There is also a characteristic tissue shift in epidermal TLR 4 expression, with an inversion of the spatial expression gradient from a basal to superficial pattern in the normal skin to a more pronounced expression in more superficial layers in psoriatic lesions. These shifts are associated with the disturbed skin barrier, and are interpreted as an adaptation of the tissue to meet the need for enhanced immune surveillance and avoidance of overstimulation due to pathophysiological inflammation cascades in diseased skin.56

Dendritic cells (DCs) are the main regulators of immunity since they are able to provoke inflammatory responses or impose immune tolerance.22 They are equipped with a wide range of PRRs, including TLRs and Nod-like receptors (NLRs), allowing them to distinguish between microbiota and pathogens. So far DCs have not been stimulated by immunological insults their state corresponds to an “immature” phenotype that maintains the T-lymphocytes inactive.

In the intestine, submucosal DCs reach the intestinal lumen by projecting their dendrites through the tight junctions boundaries between epithelial cells.57 In IBD, gut inflammation and the defective mucous membrane barrier allow an increased amount of intestinal lumen antigens to reach the DCs, resulting in their maturation and activation, thus enhancing immune responses.58 The population of mucosal DCs and the number of CD40+ DCs is significantly increased in UC and CD, when compared to controls.59 Among intestinal DCs, the CD103+ cell subpopulation seems to be essential for maintaining the homeostasis of the mucosa and for regulation of the balance between effector and regulatory T-lymphocytes.60 During an IBD disease flare, DC subsets expressing E-cadherin (a CD103 receptor) in the intestine and the draining mesenteric lymph nodes and provide pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and IL-23, which are suggested to contribute toward Th17 cell maturation and colon damage in at least a subset of IBD patients.61,62

Also in psoriasis the cellular composition of cutaneous DC subsets is modified compared to healthy skin: Langerhans cells (LCs) are markedly reduced, whereas plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) are increased in human psoriasis lesions and in the animal skin of a murine psoriasis model (DKO* mice).63 Particularly, the depletion of LCs in the latter model aggravated the disease, while depletion of pDCs (before disease onset) resulted in an overall milder phenotype. The proposed mechanisms included IL-10 and IL-23 decrease. The modulating role of LCs in psoriasis is further highlighted by the fact that after treatment with adalimumab, the density of epidermal LCs increases rapidly (with a concomitant normalization of their spatial localization within the epidermis).64

pDCs predominate among the immune cells of the dermis of lesions and uninvolved skin of psoriasis patients.65 In healthy skin, pDCs are almost absent, but they are abundant in the skin of psoriatic plaques, particularly in early lesions, where they become activated and produce interferon-α (INF-α), an inflammatory mediator essential for the development of psoriasis lesions.66 A less distinct role for pDCs is presumed for the chronic-stationary state of psoriasis lesions,66 other dermal DC subsets appear to be more important for the stabilization of disease state and the persistence of these lesions.67

Macrophages participate in innate and adaptive immune processes at the epithelium, acting as an environmental barrier through phagocytosis and antigen presentation.68 Two major subtypes of macrophages and further cellular subsets are distinguished: inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory (M2a, M2b, M2c), reflecting the vast cellular diversity and functional plasticity of this cell species in the skin and intestine.69

In healthy individuals, the main intestinal population of macrophages bears the CX3CR1high phenotype and, although, nonresponsive to inflammatory stimuli, these cells preserve phagocytic capacity. Under the proinflammatory circumstances of the gut tissues in IBD, another subpopulation of CX3CR1low/mid macrophages expands there due to the emigration and differentiation of precursor monocytes.70 This M1 macrophage subset that overexpresses antigen receptors and PRRs augments the environmental signaling for the synthesis of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF, IL-1, IL-6) and contributes to local immune response exacerbations.71

The M1 macrophages subset is also closely linked to the proinflammatory situation of the psoriatic plaques.72
A variety of exogenous pathogen-associated molecular patterns and endogenous ligands, recognized through PRRs, are implicated in the molecular pathways by which macrophage activation is induced after the skin barrier is breached. Thepen et al showed that local elimination of macrophages led to resolution of focuses of cutaneous inflammation. A number of endogenous anti-inflammatory mediators produced by macrophages have also been identified, including IL-10, TGF-β, lipoxins, and prostaglandins. In addition, tissue macrophages have been involved in the generation of T-regulatory cells. Taken together, macrophage subsets in psoriatic skin may delineate both pro- and anti-inflammatory roles in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. Given the central role of macrophages in maintaining tissue inflammation, better understanding of their pathophysiological role is predicted to contribute innovative macrophage-targeting therapeutic modalities for psoriasis and IBD in the future.

Mast cells (MCs) are another cell species with a recognizable role in the pathogenesis of IBD and psoriasis. There are two subsets of MCs, mucosal and connective tissue MCs. The human mucosal MCs are a functionally T-cell-dependent population mainly found in the lungs and the intestine. Connective tissue MCs are not as much T-cell dependent and are found in the skin and the intestinal submucosa.

The largest number of MC progenitors are found in the intestine. These cells continue to differentiate, mature, and establish residence there. Increased number of MCs are found in biopsies from UC- or CD-affected gut areas. Proinflammatory conditions and intestinal MCs degranulate and release substances such as tryptase-β and chymase-1 leading to increased tissue levels of histamine, prostaglandin D2, and leukotrienes. In the intestine, MCs are found in the epithelium, lamina propria, and submucosa. They participate in the pathogenesis of altered motility patterns and mucosal inflammation.

The natural killer (NK) cells are a specialized subset of CD56+CD16+ cells that can recognize injured cells and promote their death through a non-MHC-dependent manner. They also produce cytokines, particularly IFN-γ, TNF-α, and TGF-β but also IL-2, IL-15, and IL-23, and affect the maturation of DCs.

In IBD, NK cells are characterized by increased IL-21R expression, which amplifies the effect of IL-21 and the downstream proinflammatory cytokine cascade. In the intestinal mucosa of CD patients, there are alterations in the ratio of Nkp44- and Nkp46-expressing NK cells. Increased numbers of IFN-γ-producing Nkp46+ cells are found in CD-affected mucosa sections, whereas IL-22-producing Nkp44+ cells are significantly decreased compared to UC patients and healthy controls.

In the acute state of psoriatic plaques, ~5%–8% of the inflammatory cellular infiltrate consists of NK cells expressing the CD56+CD3- phenotype and the activation antigen CD69. Compared to the uninvolved skin of psoriasis patients or healthy controls, NK cells in the lesional psoriasis skin produce large quantities of IFN-γ and express higher levels of perforin, a pore-forming protein found in the cytotoxic granules of NK cells and a key mediator of NK cells cytotoxicity.

Finally, decreased number of circulating NK cells is found in patients with long-standing psoriasis. Decreased NK cell activity was also observed in the peripheral blood of IBD patients, but this could not be attributed to decreased NK cell number. Another leukocyte species involved in IBD pathogenesis is the innate lymphoid cell (ILCs). ILCs are abundant at barrier surfaces of the body such as the skin and the intestine. These cells play a key role in tissue remodeling and controlling microbe tissue load, lymphoid tissue development, and tissue homeostasis. ILCs are divided into subtypes based on the patterns of synthesized cytokines and on the expression of specific transcription factors.

Besides their important role in gut homeostasis, these cells are probably also involved in the pathogenesis of IBD, where increased numbers are found compared to controls. In a proinflammatory environment, these cells produce IL-22; a pathophysiologic adaptation that limits apoptosis,
preserves intestinal barrier, and protects the gut during inflammation.101–103

Blood and lesional skin biopsies of patients with psoriasis showed enrichment of NCR+ ILC3; however, similar frequencies of CD161+ ILC1 and CRTH2+ ILC2 compared to controls.104 ILC3 is an innate source of IL-22 and IL-17 in the psoriatic skin, and an increased skin concentration of Nkp44+ ILC3 cells has been associated with disease severity.105 It is worth noting that treatment of psoriasis patients with adalimumab reversed the number of these cells in parallel with clinical disease improvement.106

Regarding the role of the adaptive immunity, the latest pathophysiologic hypotheses consider an interaction of Th17, T-regulatory lymphocytes (Tregs), and Th1 cells crucial for the pathogenesis of both psoriasis and IBD.107

Th17 cells comprise a subpopulation of T-helper cells that produce mainly IL-17 and IL-21.108 They comprise a T-lymphocyte subset evolutionarily distinct from the Th1 and Th2 lymphocyte subpopulations, with a key role in chronic organotropic inflammatory processes such as psoriasis and CD.109 Some Th17 subtypes also produce IL-22, which is associated with disease aggravations in psoriasis; however, it has a protective function in IBD. Inflammatory foci of the GI mucosa in IBD patients display a massive infiltration of Th17 cells, and Th17-related cytokines are produced in excess in both CD- and UC-affected tissues.110 Genome-wide association studies have revealed increased frequencies of certain Th17-related gene polymorphisms (such as STAT3 or IL-23R) in IBD patients, thus supporting the involvement of the Th17 pathway in IBD pathogenesis.111 Despite earlier findings, to date, targeting Th17 cells, either by blocking their proliferation and differentiation or by inhibiting and neutralizing their specific cytokines, is a highly disputed, rather ineffective therapeutic pathway for IBD (see “Comparison of pharmacologic treatment modalities” section).

Recent advances in understanding the psoriasis pathogenesis indicate to an interaction of the IL-23/Th17 cells axis with keratinocytes and synovial cells as one of the main pathophysiologic events in the initiation and maintenance of tissue inflammation in this disease.113 IL-17-producing Th17 lymphocytes promote the synthesis of inflammatory molecules and induce acanthosis, hyperkeratosis, and parakeratosis.114,115 As in the case of IBD, polymorphisms of genes have been observed in psoriasis that relate to development and functional polarization of Th17 lymphocytes. For example, polymorphisms in the aforementioned genes STAT3 and IL23 are strongly associated with the psoriasis phenotype.116 The key role of IL-17 in psoriasis is further underlined by the distinct effectiveness of treatment modalities (contrary to IBD) that either target the IL-17 cytokine directly (secukinumab, bimekizumab, ixekizumab) or block the IL-17 receptor (IL-17R: brodalumab).117

Another lymphocyte subpopulation with increasing importance in IBD and psoriasis research is the Tregs. In various organs, including intestine and skin, these cells counteract the abnormal activation of the immune system, preventing excessive stimulation and contributing to self-tolerance.117

Compared to controls, Tregs are reduced in peripheral blood in the active phase of both CD and UC; however, they normalize during disease remissions. This observation suggests that during the course of IBD, Tregs migrate from peripheral blood to the inflamed intestinal mucosal sites, the lamina propria, and mesenteric lymph nodes.118,119 It is worth noting that at the level of the intestine, the tissue concentration of Tregs is higher in IBD irrespective of the activity state of the disease, when compared to healthy controls.120,121

Tregs were significantly increased in the dermis and epidermis of psoriatic skin too, as compared to normal skin.122 Functional and numerical abnormalities of Tregs have also been documented in the peripheral blood of psoriasis and IBD patients.123 However, although Foxp3 polymorphisms appear to contribute to the risk of developing psoriasis (at least in certain patient subpopulations), a similar association could not be verified in IBD patients.124,125

Comorbidities

Chronic inflammatory conditions such as psoriasis and IBD also share a series of important comorbidities. Most of these connections have been attributed to the systemic action of sustainably increased tissue levels of inflammatory mediators, shared genetic risk factors, and, in some cases, predictable adverse effects of the employed treatments.126 Patients with severe psoriasis are at increased risk of premature death from most leading mortality causes in the population, including cardiovascular (CV) disease, malignancies, chronic lower respiratory disease, diabetes, dementia, infection, and kidney disease.127 Moreover, in psoriasis, besides the characteristic arthropathy and the concordance with IBD, variable evidence for a higher prevalence has been observed for CV disease, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, cancer, and anxiety/depression.128 On the other hand, apart from psoriasis, usual comorbidities of IBD include arthritis, asthma, pericarditis, certain malignancies, and also psychiatric conditions. Notably, among IBD, patients with UC (but not with CD) are additionally at increased risk of developing chronic renal
Psoriasis and IBD share a series of important comorbidities. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidity</th>
<th>Psoriasis</th>
<th>IBD</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) typically affects the large joints, especially those of the lower extremities, distal joints of the fingers, and toes, and more rarely the back and sacroiliac joints. The frequency for PsA within psoriasis has been reported to range from 7% to 42%.</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal symptoms are the most frequent extraintestinal manifestation of IBD affecting 6%–46% of patients. The IBD-related arthropathy includes peripheral arthritis, enthesitis and dactylitis, axial arthropathy, isolated sacroiliitis, and ankylosing spondylitis.</td>
<td>IBD-related arthropathy as well as psoriatic arthritis belong to the group of seronegative spondyloarthropathies. TNF-α participates in the pathogenesis of both the diseases and the related arthropathies. Anti-TNF-α treatments are highly effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular (CV)</td>
<td>Overall inconclusive and questioned epidemiologic evidence: a 10-year 6.2% additional absolute risk of major CV events compared to the general population; however, no corresponding evidence in short-to-medium term (over 3–5 years).</td>
<td>IBD in the absence of traditional risk factors is not associated with an increased risk of premature/excess CV disease events.</td>
<td>More data are needed to support a relationship. The role of treatments awaits further evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Twofold increased risk compared to general population.</td>
<td>Relatively low (15%–20%), but rising prevalence of obesity in IBD patients.</td>
<td>Abdominal pain and diarrhea, weight loss, and malnutrition affect the majority of IBD patients. However, an increasing prevalence of obesity in patients with IBD has been described recently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatobiliary</td>
<td>Increased NALFD risk compared to controls (odds ratio =2.15).</td>
<td>Markedly increased prevalence of IBD, mainly UC, among patients with PSC (21%–80%).</td>
<td>Divergent comorbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric</td>
<td>Anxiety and depression are more common (approximately twofold) in patients vs controls.</td>
<td>Overall, NMSC incidence is increased in patients with IBD, most probably as a consequence of the wide use of azathioprine to treat these conditions.</td>
<td>Shared comorbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>NMSC is the most common malignancy arising in psoriatic patients. The risk in severe psoriasis approaches that of patients with solid organ transplantation (relative risk =2.12). The adjusted hazard ratios for any incident cancer excluding NMSC were 1.06. Hazard ratios were increased for NMSC, lymphoma, and lung cancer.</td>
<td>Overall cancer incidence rates are increased in CD, with a spectrum similar to that in the general population. UC patients have increased risk of colorectal carcinoma, liver–biliary cancer, and leukemia.</td>
<td>Differences probably reflect pathophysiologic differences or divergent treatment preferences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Most of these connections have been attributed to the systemic action of sustainably increased levels of inflammatory mediators, shared genetic risk factors, and employed treatments.

Abbreviations: NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer; CD, Crohn’s disease; UC, ulcerative colitis; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
activated CD4+ Th1 cells in inflammatory conditions may cause tumor cell senescence and tumor control. In addition, Th1 immunity may induce antiangiogenic chemokines that in certain circumstances could counteract cancer growth.131 On the contrary, other studies indicate that inflammation contributes directly to tumorigenesis.132 Chronic inflammation can initiate tumors directly by causing DNA alterations or by making cells more susceptible to mutagens. In addition, inflammation can also act as a tumor promoter since inflammatory mediators such as cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6), growth factors, chemokines, and proteases produced by tumor-associated lymphocytes, and macrophages can enhance tumor cell growth and metastasis.133,134 However, taking available data together, there is no evidence of increased cancer incidence among patients with psoriasis and IBD, with the exception of the association of UC with certain GI malignancies. Probably these opposing mechanisms generally balance themselves in the case of the present chronic inflammatory conditions, resulting in overall unaffected cancer risks. Nonmelanoma skin cancer represents an exception among malignancies for both conditions; however, their increased incidence is most likely secondary to the application of therapeutic modalities with recognized tumor-promoting risks (ie, ultraviolet radiation and azathioprine in psoriasis and IBD, respectively).135

From a pathophysiologic point of view, obesity is an interesting comorbidity that potentially highlights the shared pathogenesis mechanisms of psoriasis and IBD. Obesity is currently considered a chronic, low-grade inflammatory condition;136 proinflammatory adipose tissue macrophages stimulate the secretion of proinflammatory mediators, such as TNF-α, IL-6, and leptin, which establish and maintain an inflammatory tissue state.137 With a doubled odds ratio compared to controls, obesity is a recognized serious health risk factor in patients with psoriasis.138 In addition a role for obesity in the pathogenesis of psoriasis is speculated.139 More specific, significantly increased blood levels of leptin are found in patients with psoriasis, a mediator that might have participated to psoriasis induction by promoting Type 1 cytokines synthesis.140 On the other hand, due to the nature of IBD (with abdominal pain and diarrhea, weight loss, and some degree of malnutrition affecting the majority of the patients), obesity was not predicted to be an important comorbidity of IBD patients.141 However, it is surprising that a recent study finds an increasing prevalence of obesity in patients with IBD (15%-20%), with a further 40% of patients being overweight.142 Chronic inflammation, the type of intestinal microbiota, the medication for controlling IBD, and the lack of physical activity are all discussed as putative factors that favor overweight and obesity in the IBD patients.143-145 Future studies should also clarify to what extent the improved efficacy of IBD treatment modalities might per se have contributed to increased obesity modalities might per se have contributed to increased obesity prevalence among these patients.

Finally, there is currently increasing interest in the literature concerning the interrelationship between psoriasis and CV morbidity, with particular emphasis in the role of the antipsoriatic therapeutic interventions. Most studies indicate an increased CV risk of patients with psoriasis that is mediated by endothelial dysfunction and is manifested by higher incidences of stroke, atherosclerosis, coronary artery disease, and myocardial infarction.146 Interestingly, psoriasis and atherosclerosis share a common pattern of Th1 and Th17 cytokine upregulation, T-cell activation, local and systemic expression of adhesion molecules, and endothelins.147 Moreover, increased amounts of vascular endothelial growth factor produced by proliferating keratinocytes and the hyperhomocysteinemia (a common finding in patients with psoriasis) provide additional possible mechanisms for increased atherosclerosis risk in these patients.148,149 On the other hand, CV is still a common cause of mortality among IBD patients although they have no different CV risk compared to the general population.150-152 And this is in spite of the increased prevalence of a series of risk factors in patients with IBD, known to promote CV risk (prothrombotic state, subclinical atherosclerosis).153-156 This controversy is still increasing when the role of therapeutic interventions is considered. Besides reports of decreased frequency and severity of CV events both in psoriasis and IBD patients under treatment with methotrexate and probably also TNF-α inhibitors, other studies found exactly the opposite, ie, increased myocardial infarction risks, with the same modalities.157-159

Taken together, probably with the exception of psychiatric comorbidities and increased nonmelanoma skin cancer risk, there is no conclusive evidence definitively linking both psoriasis and IBD with a certain pattern of comorbid conditions.

Comparison of pharmacologic treatment modalities

The wide overlap of approved modalities employed to treat psoriasis and IBD, particularly the more targeted newer biologics, underscore the pathophysiologic proximity of the mechanisms that underlie the pathogenesis of these conditions. However, distinct differences also exist that obviate core differences in the pathophysiology of these conditions, and the knowledge of these differences is significant for the understanding of core pathomechanistic peculiarities of each condition. Table 4 depicts and compares the use of currently approved pharmacologic modalities for the systematic treatment of psoriasis and IBD.

Although a number of different small molecules are used to treat both psoriasis and IBD, we focus on the comparison...
Table 4 Comparison of the use of pharmacological treatment modalities for psoriasis and inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug pharmacodynamics</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Psoriasis</th>
<th>IBD</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biologics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab (and biosimilars)</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Superior to placebo in both remission induction and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimeric monoclonal antibody that neutralizes TNF-α</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe disease that relapsed or is steroid refractory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Superior to placebo in both remission induction and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion protein that binds to TNF-α receptor</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Tested, not approved Inadequate effectiveness</td>
<td>Superior to placebo in both remission induction and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Inadequate effectiveness and increased adverse events risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully human monoclonal antibody that neutralizes TNF-α</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Inadequate effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab pegol</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Only for psoriatic arthritis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Superior to placebo in both remission induction and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized TNF inhibitor monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Inferior to infliximab as remission induction; comparable as maintenance therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>To date probably the most effective treatment (meta-analyses)</td>
<td>Tested, not approved Inadequate effectiveness vs placebo</td>
<td>Under investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human monoclonal antibody binding p40 subunit of IL-12/IL-23</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>No trials available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secukinumab</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>First line treatment for moderate-to-severe disease.</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Tested, not approved Inadequate effectiveness vs placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human IL-17 monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>High efficacy</td>
<td>Under investigation Phase III clinical trials</td>
<td>No trials available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalizumab and vedolizumab</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Better for induction and maintenance of remission vs placebo</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Efficacy has been proven only for vedolizumab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized monoclonal antibodies against integrins α4/β7 (anti-integrin therapies)</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>The approval of efalizumab for moderate-to-severe psoriasis has been withdrawn due to postmarketing serious adverse events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small molecules</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticosteroids</td>
<td>Approved only for topical use (first-line topical treatment).</td>
<td>Not indicated for systemic treatment (unfavorable benefit-to-risk relationship)</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>First-line modality for the induction of initial remission and remission in recurrences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell membrane fluidity alterations and steroid receptor hormone activation</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>All forms of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis</td>
<td>Approved (also as monotherapy)</td>
<td>Effective in psoriasis and probably most IBD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Evidence suggests that fumaric acid esters are superior to placebo and possibly similar in efficacy to methotrexate for psoriasis. However, the quality of evidence that support the use of fumaric acid esters can be improved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Experimental model show that dimethyl fumarate reduces inflammatory response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid antimetabolite</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Only for psoriasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumaric acid esters</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Evidence suggests that fumaric acid esters are superior to placebo and possibly similar in efficacy to methotrexate for psoriasis. However, the quality of evidence that support the use of fumaric acid esters can be improved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Only for psoriasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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of the effectiveness of the mechanistically more predictable targeted biologic modalities.

Generally, TNF-α-targeting biologics are effective in both psoriasis and IBD with a vast differentiation: etanercept, which is approved for the treatment of psoriasis, is not effective in IBD.\textsuperscript{160,161} Paradoxically, treatment with anti-TNF-α modalities has been implicated in de novo development of psoriasis and, less frequently, of IBD.\textsuperscript{162–165} The incidence of anti-TNF-α-associated psoriasis-like manifestations has been estimated at one case per 1,000 patient-years or 3%–10% of the treated patients.\textsuperscript{107,166,167}

More recently, monoclonal antibodies against IL-17 (seukinumab, brodalumab, ixekizumab) have been developed for the treatment of moderate-to-severe psoriasis, exhibiting exceptional efficacy.\textsuperscript{168} Secukinumab and brodalumab have also been evaluated in randomized trials for moderate-to-severe CD, but neither reached significant effectiveness compared to placebo.\textsuperscript{169} The conflicting results provided by IL-17 inhibition verify the complex biology of this immune mechanism in CD as well as indicate the crucial differences with the role of Th-17 cells in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and IBD.\textsuperscript{170,171}

**Discussion**

The concurrent existence of psoriasis and IBD in an increasing number of patients poses a new diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. Health professionals should be aware of the similarities and discrepancies in the pathogenesis and epidemiology of these diseases to successfully distinguish between their random coexistence, their causative concurrence, or their occurrence as a “paradoxical” side effect. IBD patients should be examined for skin lesions, as extraintestinal manifestations can be a central pathway for the pathogenesis of psoriasis and IBD.

In this report, we also compared the role of immune cells in the pathophysiology of psoriasis and IBD. The anatomical and functional integrity of the tissue–environmental barriers is compromised in the skin and intestinal lumen of patients with psoriasis and IBD, respectively, allowing the invasion of allergens and immunomodulatory metabolites from an altered microflora. An increased number of DCs infiltrate the skin in psoriasis and the intestine in IBD. These cells are exposed there to a proinflammatory milieu that mainly favors a Th1 response. Through the interaction of M1 subset of macrophages with tissue MCs in both conditions, increased amounts of substances are released in the tissue environment that promotes the recruitment of neutrophils and lymphocytes. Recently, interest has focused on the role of IL-23/Th17 effector axis, as its interaction with keratinocytes and intestinal mucosa cells appears to be a central pathway for the pathogenesis of psoriasis and IBD and a promising target for treatment modalities.

The aforementioned immune similarities also form the basis for the wide overlap of treatment regimens that are
used to treat psoriasis and IBD. However, there are also remarkable differences in the effectiveness of certain agents that highlight discrepancies in the pathophysiology of these conditions. Etanercept, for example, was not effective in IBD, and this has been attributed to a diminished ability of etanercept to induce T-cell apoptosis in the intestinal mucosa. 

Correspondingly, the ineffectiveness of secukinumab in the treatment of CD has been related to the importance of mycobiome in the pathogenesis of this disease. Given the high concordance of psoriasis and CD, it is legitimate to take into consideration the significant risk of CD flare inductions in patients receiving secukinumab, particularly as first-line treatment, for moderate-to-severe psoriasis.

It is similarly worth noting that the use of anti-TNF-α biologics to treat IBD, especially CD, has probably increased the prevalence of noninfectious dermatoses in these patients.

Next to xerosis and atopic eczema exacerbations, psoriasis is the third most common skin manifestation provoked by the treatment with anti-TNF-α agents in these patients.

The vast majority of the cases (76%) developed psoriasis while on infliximab, and the rest (24%) after switching to adalimumab or certolizumab, indicating that this phenomenon is not drug specific, but rather a pharmacological group effect.

Cases of psoriasis induced by etanercept have been also reported. Regarding the management of paradoxical psoriasis, no specific guidelines are currently available. It has been hypothesized that individuals with newly developed psoriasis under treatment, whose cutaneous disease persists or recurs despite removal of the suspected agents, probably have an underlying previously unrecognized predisposition to psoriasis. However, those whose skin findings resolve completely after treatment modification are more likely to have suffered a true drug-induced psoriasis episode against the background of low genetic psoriasis preponderance.

Conclusion
Available data support a high degree of overlapping epidemiologic profiles, genetic substrate, disease risk factors, inflammatory pathogenesis cascades, and also therapeutic procedures between psoriasis and IBD, particularly CD. Further studies may unravel the common mechanism that underlies these common chronic inflammatory diseases of skin and gut and may provide valuable insights for the development of innovative interventions for both conditions.
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