Alveolar bone loss in osteoporosis: a loaded and cellular affair?
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Abstract: Maxillary and mandibular bone mirror skeletal bone conditions. Bone remodeling happens at endosteal surfaces where the osteoclasts and osteoblasts are situated. More surfaces means more cells and remodeling. The bone turnover rate in the mandibular alveolar process is probably the fastest in the body; thus, the first signs of osteoporosis may be revealed here. Hormones, osteoporosis, and aging influence the alveolar process and the skeletal bones similarly, but differences in loading between loaded, half-loaded, and unloaded bones are important to consider. Bone mass is redistributed from one location to another where strength is needed. A sparse trabeculation in the mandibular premolar region (large intertrabecular spaces and thin trabeculae) is a reliable sign of osteopenia and a high skeletal fracture risk. Having dense trabeculation (small intertrabecular spaces and well-mineralized trabeculae) is generally advantageous to the individual because of the low fracture risk, but may imply some problems for the clinician.
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Introduction

A large proportion of the population visit their dentist annually, and dental radiographs are routinely taken then. Dentists are highly experienced in interpreting radiographs, but use them chiefly for the diagnosis of caries, marginal and apical periodontitis, and before implant treatment. However, there is much more information available in periapical radiographs. Therefore, many research teams have tried to develop methods for using the jawbones to predict osteoporosis1–4 and fracture risk.5–9

Possible links between osteoporosis and the degree of periodontitis have been debated for years. Both diseases are multifactorial and have many risk factors in common. The aims of the present article are to describe parallels between skeletal bone and alveolar bone and to illuminate some aspects of hormone function, loading and aging, which may explain alveolar bone loss caused by osteoporosis and/or periodontitis.

Bone

Bone is a dynamic tissue. During childhood and adolescence, the formation of bone dominates over the resorption of bone, this is the modeling phase. In mature adults, there is a balance between bone formation and resorption, whereas bone resorption dominates after menopause, and in older males. Skeletal loading produces microcracks in bone, which are replaced by remodeling.10 The remodeling process is regulated systematically by hormones and locally by growth factors and cytokines.11,12 It takes place at the endosteal surfaces of cortical and trabecular bone.13 At first, osteoclasts...
identify a site for renewal and start resorption. After ~60 days, osteoblasts initiate bone formation. Although it takes 2 weeks to deposit ~60% of the mineral content of new bone, full mineralization takes several months. Remodeling occurs at ~1–2 million sites. The osteocytes are imbedded in the mineralized bone; their role is to sense bone strains and communicate with neighboring osteoblasts and osteoclasts.

All bones are constructed with an envelope of compact bone (cortical bone), which encloses the trabecular bone and marrow spaces. The ratio between compact and trabecular bone varies with ~10% compact and 90% trabecular bone in both the spine and maxilla, whereas the ratio in the mandible is close to that of the distal radius and other long bones: 80% compact and 20% trabecular bone. Because of its construction as a network of trabeculae, plates and rods, trabecular bone has a total surface ten times larger than compact bone. Consequently, it has more endosteal surfaces, more cells, and more remodeling.

Bone turnover speed has been examined in adult dogs, where alveolar, trabecular bone remodeling in the mandible is double that in the maxilla (37%/year versus 19%/year) and six times faster than in the femur (6.4%/year). In addition, the rate of alveolar bone turnover at the alveolar crest is twice the rate of bone turnover at the level of the mandibular canal and three to five times the rate of the mandible at the inferior compact border. No exact remodeling rate has been established for human beings, but alveolar, trabecular bone remodels very quickly, especially in the mandible. The remodeling rate was estimated by the number of formation and resorption foci per area in autopsy materials.

**Hormones**

Delayed puberty decreases bone mineral density (BMD) and spinal growth. Males with late puberty have longer legs and lower bone density than those with early puberty. Males retain hormone protection throughout life and suffer half as many fractures as females. In females, early menarche and early menopause are considered to have a strong relationship with high and low BMD, respectively. Early menarche is associated with a significant decrease in fracture risk, whereas delayed menarche, as seen in amenorrheic athletes, increases fracture risk. Age-related (nonsex-hormone dependent) bone loss may begin in the third decade of life in both sexes at the spine and a decade later at the appendicular sites. With aging, compact bone strength is diminished by approximately 15%–20% and trabecular bone strength by ~50%.

The estrogen-related bone loss in females takes place predominantly in the trabecular bone with its larger endosteal surfaces, followed by a slower loss of both trabecular and cortical bone. Decreasing levels of estrogen are thought to be responsible for increased bone resorption and decreasing testosterone levels for decreased bone formation. Testosterone is associated with bone apposition periosteally even in aged males, and, therefore, what is lost endosteally may be compensated periosteally in males, but not in females.

In Figure 1, the large variations of bone loss and gain in 80 years olds are shown. Figure 1A illustrates dense trabecular bone and extremely thick basal compact bone in an old male. Figure 1B demonstrates the sparser trabeculation, larger inter trabecular spaces, and the thin, eroded basal bone in an old female. In Figure 1C, the extremely resorbed mandible in an edentulous female, also 80 years old, is presented. Age is strongly correlated with BMD in females but not in males, whereas bone size is more correlated with BMD in males than females.
Hormones influence the jaws and the rest of the skeleton to the same extent but with dissimilarities concerning loading, which, besides genetic factors and hormones, is the strongest factor influencing bone density.11,21

**Loading**

Weight-bearing physical activities increase skeletal bone mass,24 whereas space flight decreases bone mass.25 Increased loading leads to periosteal apposition (on the outer surface of compact bone), thereby increasing the cross-sectional area in the vertebrae26 and long bones.27 The legs and spine are “loaded” bones, the arms and the mandible half-loaded, and the skull relatively unloaded. As an example, weight-lifters have 3% higher BMD for the total body and 12% for the hip, whereas BMD for the upper part of the skull was 10% lower than in controls.28 Furthermore, after hip fracture, BMD decreases in legs and spine, remains the same in the arms, and increases in the skull.29

Exercise by the elderly (>65 years) is associated with improved muscle strength, coordination, balance, and decreased fall frequency, and there is an association between continued physical activity throughout life and lower hip fracture risk.20

Effects of loading are seen in the same way in the jaws, where the alveolar bone mass and the cross-sectional dimension of the alveolar bone in growing rats increase with increasing functional loading.30,31 Bone mass can be redistributed to the most loaded sites to fortify the bone where it is most needed. When vertical trabeculae are resorbed, the horizontal trabeculae may be fortified (Figure 2). Bone around human molars is generally denser than the bone around premolars and canines, which can be explained by the findings that the highest biting force is recorded in the molar area.32

After tooth extraction, reduced function leads to local bone loss,33,34 and great interindividual variation in the remodeling pattern of the edentulous areas, with some individuals losing little bone, and others undergoing extensive resorption (Figure 1C).35–37 However, no association, or only a weak one, has been found between skeletal bone mass and residual ridge resorption.37–39

Local factors, such as occluding tooth pairs and the size of the masseter muscles, influence the distal area of the mandible. In patients with heavy occlusion, bruxism, the jaw bone may be denser than the skeletal bones, and therefore symptoms of skeletal osteopenia may become masked in the jaws.40 However, if trabeculation is sparse in areas with occluding teeth (areas under bridge pontics excluded), it is an indication that something is “wrong”, bone formation may be impaired, and fracture risk increased.41,42 Thus, mandibular bone often reflects the condition of the skeleton but differences in loading should be considered.

**Bone size and alveolar process width**

In females, the mandibular alveolar bone thickness is correlated with BMD.43 The alveolar bone is mostly thicker in the apical part in females with normal BMD compared to the crestal part, whereas it is significantly thinner in osteopenic and osteoporotic females.43

A decreased bone size with time seems to be specific to the alveolar process, as it has not been recorded in any other bone. Not only has it been seen in edentulous regions35,36 but also in dentate areas,44 where the largest decrease after 5 years was found in perimenopausal females. A decreased buccolinguinal dimension in the dentate alveolar process may be caused by periosteal resorption of this area, and the largest size changes correspond to areas where resorption was most evident in the modeling process during mandibular growth in young individuals.45

**Skeletal bone loss/osteoporosis**

Osteoporosis occurs when bone mass decreases faster than it is replaced. It is a multifactorial disease characterized by low bone mass and deterioration of bone microarchitecture, leading to bone fragility and a subsequent increase in fracture risk.46 Osteoporosis may be the result of a deficiency of sex hormone, hyperparathyroidism, hyperthyroidism, chronic renal failure, posttransplantation, or medication with glucocorticosteroids.46
Fracture risk increases exponentially with age, due not only to a decrease in BMD but also to the increased rate of falls among the elderly. Relatively, osteoporotic females have more fractures than nonosteoporotic, but up to 70% of all fractures, in absolute numbers, occur in osteopenic females.\textsuperscript{47} In a 15-year follow-up, the best predictors of future fracture were a previous fracture and glucocorticoid medication followed by alveolar bone texture, rheumatoid arthritis, gastrointestinal disease, and secondary osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{7} All variables, except alveolar bone texture, are identical with those identified by the large meta-analyses, on which the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool is based.\textsuperscript{48} Also included in the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool are age, sex, height, weight, smoking, alcohol, and parents with fractured hip.\textsuperscript{48}

Osteoporosis affects ~75 million people in the Western world, causing >2.3 million fractures a year in Europe and the US.\textsuperscript{48} Osteoporotic fractures lead to a high morbidity and mortality rate, and BMD predicts survival for subjects over 70 years of age.\textsuperscript{49} Bone strength depends on the degree of mineralization, bone size, and microstructural features, such as relative trabecular volume, trabecular spacing, and connectivity.\textsuperscript{50}

**Measurements of compact bone in the mandible for assessment of osteoporosis**

Measurements of bone mass and density in the mandible have been performed since the 1980s\textsuperscript{1} with different techniques, but most are not useful in the dental clinic, being too complicated, costly, or having an excessively high radiation dose. The dual X-ray absorptiometry method, which is the gold standard for diagnosis of osteoporosis, has low radiation, but for the jawbones, it is only applicable in edentulous individuals, and therefore other methods have been developed.

Maxillary bone consists mostly of trabecular bone, and compact bone is too thin for use as an osteoporosis indicator. Maxillary trabecular bone has been assessed but not as frequently as the mandible due to the difficulty of finding a standard site.\textsuperscript{51,52}

The largest proportion of mandibular compact bone is situated in the inferior cortex, which is well imaged on panoramic radiographs. The mandibular cortical index (MCI) is the most frequently used method in osteoporosis studies.\textsuperscript{53–56} Compact bone lying distal to the mental foramen is categorized by three groups (Figure 3): normal cortex (MCI-1) having a relatively even endosteal margin; moderately eroded cortex (MCI-2) with semilunar defects, and severely eroded cortex (MCI-3) with heavy endosteal porosities. A severely eroded compacta is associated with osteoporosis,\textsuperscript{5,55–57} but not consistently with fracture.\textsuperscript{8,57} Compact bone loss is seen ~20 years later than trabecular bone loss, which can be seen in females as young as 38 years old.\textsuperscript{8,9}

The thickness of the basal compacta increases up to the age of 50 years and decreases significantly thereafter.\textsuperscript{8} A cortex thickness <3 mm is associated with osteoporosis\textsuperscript{57–60} but not fracture.\textsuperscript{8,57} The severely eroded inner cortex in MCI-3 creates difficulties when measuring cortex thickness. Therefore, a computer-based method has been developed.\textsuperscript{50}

**Measurements of trabecular bone in the mandible for assessment of osteoporosis**

Mandibular trabecular bone becomes denser in the jaws from puberty to middle age,\textsuperscript{61} thereafter, alveolar trabecular bone becomes sparser in most females,\textsuperscript{1,8,62} whereas males more often maintain their trabecular pattern (Figure 1A and B).

Trabecular bone structure can be assessed on radiographs by the thickness of the trabeculae, the spacing between the trabeculae, trabecular connectivity,\textsuperscript{62–64} and by measuring trabecular volume by computed tomography and magnetic
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**Figure 3** Visual index for assessment of cortical shape.  
Notes: Reference images presenting dense trabeculation and a normal mandibular cortex with even and sharp endosteal margin (A), mixed trabeculation, and a moderately eroded cortex with endosteal margin showing semilunar defects (B), sparse trabeculation, and severely eroded cortex, with the cortical layer being clearly porous (C).
resonance. However, the cost and complexity of these methods limit their utility for routine use. Therefore, a simple three-step visual index has been introduced. It was initially meant for bone evaluation before implant treatment, but the index has been proven a valuable indicator for osteoporosis risk and for fracture risk assessment.

The index classifies the mandibular premolar bone, which is the standard site, as having either sparse, mixed dense plus sparse, or dense trabecular bone (Figure 4). Sparse trabeculation has large intertrabecular spaces in most of the alveolar processes, especially in the crestal, dentate, and premolar area. Dense trabeculation has small intertrabecular spaces and well-mineralized trabeculae in the entire radiographed area. Mixed dense plus sparse trabeculation is mostly dense crestally and sparse apically. In case of uncertainty, the mixed category is chosen. Most important is identifying individuals with sparse trabeculation because of their high fracture risk. Dense trabeculation is protective. Most individuals have mixed dense and sparse trabeculation in the mandible; BMD varies greatly in this trabeculation group and fracture risk is moderate. The older the participants, the better the fracture prediction.

The Kaplan–Meier curve in Figure 5 shows the graded association between trabecular density and fracture risk. All participants start free of fracture. The upper curve describing dense trabeculation remains relatively stable, which means ~90% of those with dense trabeculation stay free of fracture during the period 1980–2006, whereas the lowest curve describing sparse trabeculation dives distinctly; only 25% remained fracture free. The curve in between for mixed dense plus sparse also dives, illustrating ~62% free of fracture at the end of the 26-year follow-up. The hazard ratio of future fracture for sparse trabeculation compared to mixed trabeculation was 2.9 (95% CI: 2.2–3.8, P<0.0001) and for dense versus mixed trabeculation 0.21 (95% CI: 0.1–0.4, P<0.0001).

When the visual trabecular pattern was tested in males, it was not a significant factor for the prediction of osteoporosis, but significant for fracture prediction.

Trabeculation does not change visually after 5 years, but radiographs of females performed after 12 and 24 years show a gradual transformation with increased intertrabecular spaces and less mineralized trabeculae. Similar bone changes to those that could be seen after 12 years in the mandible could be measured in the radius after 1 year using three-dimensional, high-resolution, peripheral quantitative computed tomography. In females (mean age 77 years), total density and trabecular number decreased, while trabecular thickness, separation, and heterogeneity increased.

Both the trabecular visual index and the automated methods work best on intraoral radiographs, which are most widely used in dental practices. With training, the visual trabecular index can be used on panoramic radiographs but the noise level is larger.

**Periodontitis**

Periodontitis is induced by specific bacteria from biofilms on tooth surfaces, which triggers an immunoinflammatory response in the adjacent bone tissue. Bacteria are required to initiate the disease process where host proteolytic enzymes mediate direct destruction of the periodontal tissue. The progression of periodontitis is influenced by factors such as genetics, general health, smoking, and diet. Furthermore, education and socioeconomic factors are determinants. Severe periodontitis is linked to some systemic diseases, for example, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Periodontitis and osteoporosis both progress with advancing age, smoking, estrogen deficiency, and family history. Current knowledge regarding the effects of osteoporosis or osteopenia on periodontal disease and alveolar bone loss is inconclusive. Some previous studies have indicated a relationship between periodontal disease and osteoporosis, while others have not shown any significant relationship. The lack of consistency in the results may be due to differences in the alveolar bone structure and thickness, which were not considered. This could be supported by the

---

**Notes:** Reference images presenting the trabecular pattern as sparse trabeculation in females with: large intertrabecular spaces (A); mixed dense plus sparse trabeculation with small intertrabecular spaces cervically and larger spaces more apically (B); and dense trabeculation with small intertrabecular spaces (C).
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**Figure 4** Visual index for assessment of trabecular bone.
fact that individuals with high mineral levels in the skeleton seem to retain their teeth with deep periodontal pockets more easily than those with osteoporosis.91 Furthermore, individuals with broad, dense jaws have more bone substances to lose than those with thin jaws, and therefore the size of an individual may play an important role for the vertical changes of the alveolar process.91

The multifactorial etiology and confounding factors have limited the understanding of the relationship between osteoporosis and periodontitis. The coarseness of trabeculation may be one such confounding factor. In a new report, the group with sparse trabeculation had more skeletal bone loss, lower BMD, and larger marginal bone level decrease after 5 years than those with not-sparse trabeculation, but surprisingly the little group with dense trabeculation experienced both the greatest 5-year skeletal bone loss and marginal bone level decrease.92 The reason may be that dense trabeculation implies the greatest trabecular endosteal bone surface, and consequently the largest number of bone cells and remodeling sites. Negative events may lead to the most negative responses in dense trabecular bone but research is warranted to clarify whether dense trabeculation leads to the best results after appropriate treatment?

Estrogen deficiency influences BMD,21,93,94 and the remodeling of the alveolar process negatively, whereas treatment with hormone replacement therapy ameliorates the periodontal condition and local bone mass.85,95

Patients with cancer and bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw have significantly fewer teeth, and lower average bone height compared to controls after adjusting for the number of bisphosphonate infusions.96 The antiresorptive effect of bisphosphonates is therapeutic when used in treatment of osteoporosis for a limited period, but the problem is the extremely long elimination time due to large accumulations of bisphosphonate in bone, diminishing bone turnover and preventing bone renewal.16

Clinical relevance
To maintain quality of life for the elderly, targeting individuals with high fracture risk is an important challenge to the dentist. An assessment of the trabecular bone can easily be included in an annual examination.

The trabeculation pattern is of direct interest to the dental profession, since a dense trabeculation indicates a need to exercise prudence when drilling for implants because of increased heating and consequently increased risk of local
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**Figure 5** Kaplan–Meier survival curve showing cumulative “fracture” survival and risk time for fracture in three different trabeculation groups.

*Notes:* 1) Survival of women with dense trabeculation, 2) mixed trabeculation, and 3) sparse trabeculation. Risk time represents the time interval between baseline assessment and fracture event. All participants included (n = 518), started “fracture-free” at baseline (1980) and experienced 136 first, incident fractures during the period 1980-2006.
necrosis.97 A periapical radiograph revealing sparse trabeculation may indicate a need for cortical fixation and long duration of the healing process before the implant can be loaded.98

In adult orthodontics, a different tissue reaction could be expected when teeth are moved with sparse or dense trabeculation. This could be supported by the findings that rats with lower initial bone density have a faster orthodontic tooth movement than rats with significantly higher initial bone density.99 Orthodontic tooth movement is faster in lactating rats on a calcium-deficient diet than in rats on a normal diet.100 Dense trabeculation implies that extraction and surgical extirpation of wisdom teeth are more complicated and probably more difficult to obtain full anesthesia.

Because dentists are in an ideal position to assess oral bone loss on radiographs, they may be the first to discover early signs of osteoporosis. Identifying individuals with high fracture risk before the first fracture occurs is important in order to avoid suffering and minimize high costs to society. If these patients can be easily identified by a dentist, they can improve their bone quality through training and nutrition, and appropriate medication for older people can be prescribed.
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