In vitro and in vivo biocompatibility and osteogenesis of graphene-reinforced nanohydroxyapatite polyamide66 ternary biocomposite as orthopedic implant material
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Abstract: Graphene and its derivatives have been receiving increasing attention regarding their application in bone tissue engineering because of their excellent characteristics, such as a vast specific surface area and excellent mechanical properties. In this study, graphene-reinforced nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66 (nHA/PA66) bone screws were prepared. The results of scanning electron microscopy observation and X-ray diffraction data showed that both graphene and nHA had good dispersion in the PA66 matrix. In addition, the tensile strength and elastic modulus of the composites were significantly improved by 49.14% and 21.2%, respectively. The murine bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell line C3H10T1/2 exhibited better adhesion and proliferation in graphene-reinforced nHA/PA66 composite material compared to the nHA/PA66 composites. The cells developed more pseudopods, with greater cell density and a more distinguishable cytoskeletal structure. These results were confirmed by fluorescent staining and cell viability assays. After C3H10T1/2 cells were cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium for 7 and 14 days, the bone differentiation-related gene expression, alkaline phosphatase, and osteocalcin were significantly increased in the cells cocultured with graphene reinforced nHA/PA66. This result demonstrated the bone-inducing characteristics of this composite material, a finding that was further supported by alizarin red staining results. In addition, graphene reinforced nHA/PA66 bone screws were implanted in canine femoral condyles, and postoperative histology revealed no obvious damage to the liver, spleen, kidneys, brain, or other major organs. The bone tissue around the implant grew well and was directly connected to the implant. The soft tissues surrounding the implant grew well and were directly connected to the implant. The soft tissues showed no obvious inflammatory reaction, which demonstrated the good biocompatibility of the screws. These observations indicate that graphene-reinforced nHA/PA66 composites have great potential for application in bone tissue engineering.
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Introduction

The development of new materials in bone tissue engineering has been progressing dramatically. New products in this field require substrate materials that promote cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation.1,2 Nanohydroxyapatite (nHA), which constitutes natural bone together with type I collagen, is now considered an ideal candidate for fabricating orthopedic implants because of its similarity to inorganic components of human hard tissues and its excellent bioactivity and biocompatibility.3–5 nHA ceramics are clinically used to coat the surface of orthopedic implants and for biomedical
applications as bone-repairing materials. However, due to its brittleness and poor mechanical strength, as well as fatigue failure, the applications of nHA are limited.

Polymers, such as high-density polyethylene, polyetheretherketone, and polyamides, have apparent advantages such as good mechanical properties and processability. As a result, nHA has been incorporated into polymers to fabricate organic/inorganic composites, which have improved mechanical properties compared to nHA alone, for bone tissue engineering applications. Polylactic acid (PLA) is widely used in bone tissue engineering because of its biodegradability and thermal plasticity. The combination of nHA and PLA possesses improved mechanical properties and osteoconductivity. The compressive strength and Young’s modulus of nHA/PLA nanocomposites have reached values of 155 MPa and 3.6 GPa, respectively. Polycaprolactone (PCL) is another bioreposable polymer with possible applications in bone and cartilage. nHA/PCL, with a compressive modulus of ~3 MPa, supported the proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and guided their osteogenic differentiation.

nHA/polyamide66 (nHA/PA66) composite materials have shown improved mechanical properties compared to those based on PLA, PCL, and chitosan composites, and they do not generate degradation products that cause immune reactions. Previous studies have demonstrated the good bioactivity, osteoconductivity, and biocompatibility of nHA/PA66 composites. In addition, the elastic modulus of nHA/PA66 composites (3–5 GPa) is similar to that of human cortical bone (3–25 GPa), eliminating the stress-shielding effect of metal implants (70–300 GPa). For many years, nHA/PA66A has been used for fabricating intervertebral fusion devices and bone-defect-filling materials used in clinical surgery. A previous study showed that four years after implantation, nHA/PA66 implants directly connected with bone tissue without the immunological rejection and trabecular bone resorption caused by the stress-shielding effect.

The successful application of nHA/PA66 composites in the abovementioned area encouraged us to explore a broader range of their possible applications. Although the nHA/PA66 composites have improved mechanical properties compared to PLA, our previous studies revealed that the mechanical strength of nHA/PA66 composites is still insufficient. To overcome this difficulty, many recent studies have used toughening materials, such as glass fibers and carbon fibers, to enhance the mechanical properties of nHA/PA66 composites.

Graphene is another typical additive used for toughening of polymer materials. Graphene has a two-dimensional honeycomb crystal structure of tightly packed SP2 hybridized carbon atoms and is known to be the thinnest, lightest, and strongest material. The large specific surface area of graphene allows the Young’s modulus and storage modulus of polymer/graphene nanocomposites to be considerably enhanced. A large number of studies have shown that graphene-reinforced composites can promote the adhesion, growth, and osteogenic differentiation of stem cells. Therefore, this study added graphene as a toughening agent to nHA/PA66 to improve the mechanical properties and bioactivity of the composite materials. The influence of graphene on the adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of MSCs was also investigated. Currently, to the best of our knowledge, reports regarding the in vivo application of graphene-reinforced polymer composites for bone tissue engineering are rare. We prepared a graphene/nHA/PA66 bone screw, implanted it into dogs, and studied its in vivo biocompatibility. The results revealed that graphene effectively enhanced the mechanical properties of nHA/PA66 composites, and demonstrated remarkable bioactivity and biocompatibility. The G/nHA/PA66 nanocomposites prepared in the present study have potential orthopedic applications.

Materials and methods

Synthesis of G/nHA/PA66

Graphene-reinforced nHA/PA66 was prepared by means of a solution blend method and an injection molding method. First, PA66 (Ultramid A3K, BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany) and nHA (Meilan Chemical Technology Co Ltd, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) were added to formic acid, and the mixture underwent vigorous mechanical stirring. In this process, the PA66 dissolved and nHA dispersed. Graphene (purchased from Henggu Graphene Technology Co Ltd, Suzhou, People’s Republic of China, purity: >95%, thickness: ~1.0 nm) was added to formic acid separately to form a different mixture, which underwent ultrasonic dispersal treatment for 30 minutes. The two solutions were then blended and underwent ultrasonication, as well as vigorous mechanical stirring for 30 minutes. Then, ethanol was added to precipitate the composite phase. The nanocomposite was filtered, washed with ethanol, and dried at 25°C. The following composites were prepared: PA66 only; PA66 and nHA (30% w/w); and PA66, nHA (30% w/w), and graphene (1% w/w). The above composites were added into a double screw extruder (TSE-30A, Ruiya, Nanjing Haisi Extrusion Equipment Co Ltd., Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China) for further processing at 265°C. During this process, the
nanocomposites were more thoroughly mixed. Finally, dumbbell-shaped sample bars for mechanical tests, sheets for cell culture, and bone screws (3.5 mm in diameter) were prepared according to the ISO 527-2-2012 standard using an injection molding machine (160/120C, BOLE Shuangma Machinery Co. Ltd., Zhengzhou, Henan, People’s Republic of China).

Characterization of G/nHA/PA66

The obtained nanocomposite was crushed after being submerged in liquid nitrogen and surface-coated with gold; its cross-section was then observed by scanning electron microscopy (Nova NanoSEM 400, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) with an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. Scanning X-ray diffraction microscopy was performed using an X-ray diffractometer (D/Max-2550, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan). The tensile strength, bending strength, and compressive strength were tested by a mechanical testing instrument (Reger 3050, Reger Instrument Co. Ltd., Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China) with a testing speed of 5 mm/min, following the guideline of American Standards for Testing and Materials standards. Five samples were subjected to each test. The testing condition was room temperature and 60% relative humidity.

Cell proliferation

A mouse MSC line (C3H10T1/2, Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) was cultured on ultraviolet-disinfected G/nHA/PA66 and nHA/PA66 tablets (10×10×2 mm) at a density of 4×10^5 cells/mL in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium, with 1 g/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate, (Cellgro, Corning, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin under 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C. The medium was changed every 2 days. The cell viability was detected by Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) 1, 3, and 7 days after the culture according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell Counting Kit-8 was added to the medium at 10 µL/well, and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. The absorbance at 450 nm was read with a microplate reader. Each assay was repeated three times.

Cell morphology

Cell morphology was examined by fluorescence staining. After being cocultured with the composite for 4, 24, or 72 hours, the cell samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Boster, Wuhan, People’s Republic of China) incubated for 1 hour with fluorescein isothiocyanate-phalloidin (Actin-Tracker Green Kit, Beyotime, Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China) in the dark and then incubated for 5 minutes with 2-(4-amidinophenyl)-6-indolecarbamidine dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Finally, the cytoskeleton was observed under laser confocal microscopy (FV1000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Alizarin red staining and quantitative detection

C3H10T1/2 cells were inoculated onto G/nHA/PA66 discs (10×10×2 mm) at 4×10^5 cells/mL and were cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium containing 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 10^-8 M dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.2 mM ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). The media was changed every 2 days. After being cultured for 7 and 14 days, the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes, and rinsed with deionized water three times. Next, 1% alizarin red was added at 1 mL/well. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes, quickly washed with deionized water three times, and observed under a microscope (TE2000-U, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Finally, 500 µL of 10% acetic acid solution was added to each well for 5 minutes to extract color, and the absorbance at 450 nm was measured with a microplate reader (Multiskan GO, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assay

After the cells were cultured in osteogenic medium for 7 and 14 days, RNA was extracted by a total RNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA). Complementary DNA was synthesized using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) (37°C for 15 minutes and 85°C for 5 seconds). A quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay was performed using SYBR premix ex taq (TaKaRa) with a CFX connect real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Primers were designed and synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co Ltd (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) using the Primer Premier software (PREMIER Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The primer sequences were as follows: alkaline phosphatase (ALP): F-5′-ACACTCAAGGGAGAGTTCCA-3′, R-5′-GAATAAGGCGAGGCAGGA-3′; and osteocalcin (OC): F-5′-CCGCTTCACACCGCATCTT-3′, R-5′-CAGGGCAGAGAGGCA-3′. The relative expression of the target gene was calculated using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) according to the Ct value measured in previous reports.
Surgical procedure
Eighteen adult dogs were randomly divided into two groups (nine in each group). In the experimental group, bone screws were implanted in the femoral condyle according to the method reported previously. First, 3% pentobarbital (Sigma-Aldrich) intraperitoneal injections for anesthesia (1 mL/kg) were given to the dogs. The lower limbs were shaved and disinfected. The knee was flexed, and a 5 cm paramedian incision was placed. In order to fully expose the surgical site, the skin, superficial fascia and deep fascia was opened layer by layer. The knee was then extended to allow patellar dislocation to expose the femoral condyle. Two pieces of G/nHa/PA66 screws were implanted from the lateral side of the condyle. The incision was rinsed with iodophor and saline, and was closed in a layered fashion. Bilateral knees were operated. No restriction on activities was enforced after the surgery. Eating and other general activities of the animals were observed. The animals were sacrificed 7, 28, and 120 days after the operation, and specimens were collected. The same surgery, but without implantation of screws, was performed in the sham operation control group. Ethical approval was obtained from the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of Chongqing Medical University of China. The housing facility is in keeping with Chinese national standard Laboratory Animal-Requirements of Environment and Housing Facilities (GB 14925-2010). The care of laboratory animals and animal experimental operation conformed to Chongqing government order No 195).

Bone formation around the implant
At 7 and 28 days after surgery, the kidney, liver, spleen, brain, and soft tissue around the implanted screws were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. The samples were cut into sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to determine whether there was significant organ damage or a local inflammatory response at the implant.

Biocompatibility test
At 120 days after surgery, the kidney, liver, spleen, brain, and soft tissue around the implanted screws were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. The samples were cut into sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to determine whether there was significant organ damage or a local inflammatory response at the implant.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 for Windows 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. A t-test was used for comparison between the groups, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All in vitro experiments were done in triplicate unless specified.

Figure 1 SEM micrographs of nHA/PA66 (A) and G/nHA/PA66 (B) and XRD patterns (C). (Magnification 2000x).

Abbreviations: G/nHA/PA66, graphene/nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66; SEM, scanning electron microscope; XRD, X-ray diffraction; nHA/PA66, nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66.
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Results
Characterization of materials
Scanning electron microscope was used to observe the fracture surface morphology of the composites. A significant difference in nHA dispersion was observed between the composites with or without graphene. Agglomeration of HA (Figure 1A) was observed in nHA/PA66, whereas both graphene and HA were evenly dispersed in the PA66 matrix in G/nHA/PA66 (Figure 1B). The X-ray diffraction image of G/nHA/PA66 was almost identical to that of nHA/PA66. There was no characteristic graphene peak observed at 26 degrees (Figure 1C), suggesting good dispersion of graphene in the composite with no aggregation. The mechanical test results showed that the tensile strength and Young’s modulus of G/nHA/PA66 were significantly increased compared to those of nHA/PA66 (Table 1).

G/nHA/PA66 promotes cell adhesion and proliferation
Cell viability assays were performed after the cells were cocultured with the composite materials for 1, 3, and 7 days. Figure 2 shows the optical density value of each group at different time points. The results show that the optical density value of each group increased over time. The group with graphene additives in nHA/PA66 exhibited higher metabolic activity than that of nHA/PA66 alone, indicating that the G/nHA/PA66 composite provided a better growth surface for C3H10T1/2 cells.

Cell morphology was observed by immunofluorescence staining. After being cocultured with G/nHA/PA66 for 4 hours, the morphology of C3H10T1/2 was flat and the area large, indicating that the cell spreading is good. Many pseudopodium were observed in the cells, indicating that the cell extending is good, while the cells in the control group were smaller with fewer pseudopods. After coculturing for 24 and 72 hours, the cells showed increased density in both groups with good extending and spreading. Cells cocultured with G/nHA/PA66 exhibited a higher density and a significantly clearer cytoskeleton (Figure 3).

G/nHA/PA66 promotes osteogenic differentiation
Calcium salt deposition by C3H10T1/2 cells during osteogenic differentiation was detected by an alizarin red staining assay. Cells were cocultured with G/nHA/PA66 and nHA/PA66 in osteogenic medium. After 7 and 14 days, a large area of orange staining was observed in the surrounding material, indicating the precipitation of calcium salts. No significant difference was observed between the two groups (Figure 4A–D). However, the quantitative detection results showed that the graphene-reinforced nHA/PA66 cocultured cells produced more calcium salt precipitation (P < 0.05) than the control group (nHA/PA66) (Figure 4E). This may be due to more calcium salts precipitating on the surface of the disc, which cannot be observed under a microscope; however, the color can be extracted and quantified.

At the molecular level, qRT-PCR was used to detect the osteogenic differentiation-related genes expression, ALP and OC. After the cells were cocultured with the composite materials for 7 or 14 days, the expression of ALP and OC was significantly upregulated (P < 0.05) in the G/nHA/PA66 cocultured cells compared to the control group (nHA/PA) (Figure 5).

Good biocompatibility of G/nHA/PA66 implants in vivo
At 120 days after G/nHA/PA66 implantation in vivo, histological observation of the liver, spleen, kidney, brain, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Bending strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Breaking elongation (%)</th>
<th>Elastic modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nHA/PA66</td>
<td>72.80±2.06</td>
<td>41.6±0.40</td>
<td>4.05±0.35</td>
<td>2.76±0.28</td>
<td>76.11±5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/nHA/PA66</td>
<td>85.27±1.98</td>
<td>62.06±0.52</td>
<td>5.31±0.36</td>
<td>3.60±0.24</td>
<td>93.74±1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human cortical bone</td>
<td>50–80</td>
<td>50–150</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>3–20</td>
<td>70–280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P < 0.05 compared to the respective control (n=5 specimens/group).
Abbreviations: G/nHA/PA66, graphene/nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide; nHA/PA66, nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide; OD, optical density.
tissues around the implants was performed using hematoxylin and eosin staining. No significant inflammatory response was observed in the surrounding tissues. No significant abnormalities were found in the brains or other organs (Figure 6), although a previous study showed that graphene could pass across the blood–brain barrier.\(^8\)

The process of bone growth around the implants was observed using histological staining and micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) scanning 7 and 28 days after the surgery. At 7 days after the surgery, a small amount of new bone formation was detected around the implant, and there was a gap of considerable size between the implant and the new bone. The BIC value was 26.80%±7.84% (Figure 7). At 28 days after surgery, the trabecular bone was closely connected to the implant with fewer gaps, and the BIC value had reached 64.78%±12.43% (Figure 7C–E). This observation was further confirmed by micro-CT scanning (Figure 8). At 28 days after surgery, the bone volume/trabecular volume, trabecular number, and trabecular thickness had significantly (P<0.05) increased by 122.6%, 30.4%, and 81.9%, respectively, compared to 7 days after surgery (Figure 8).
Discussion

Bone has a complex layered structure that can be considered a type of composite material composed of type I collagen, hydroxyapatite, and water, in which inorganic and organic components account for 1/3 and 2/3 of the volume, respectively.4,5 PA66 has a molecular structure similar to that of natural human collagen with good biocompatibility.39 Therefore, based on the above bionics theory, the application of nHA/PA66 composite was proposed.16 nHA/PA66 has good bioactivity and biocompatibility, and is nontoxic. nHA/PA66 has been used in clinical surgical implants, such as artificial bone and intervertebral fusion devices.15,40,41 However, due to its brittleness and poor mechanical properties, the application of nHA/PA66 composite was limited. To improve the mechanical properties of nHA/PA66 composite, carbon fiber and glass fiber have been added to nHA/PA66 as additives to enhance the mechanical strength of the composite materials.17,19,20 In this study, graphene was used as an additive to enhance the mechanical properties of nHA/PA66 composite. The large specific surface area of graphene increased the interaction of the polymer materials, which enhanced the mechanical strength of the composite and increased the bioactivity of the material.

In recent years, graphene has received wide attention due to its electronic, mechanical, and thermal properties, and graphene is often used as an additive for toughening polymer composite materials. The folds on the outer surface of graphene can provide a space restriction at the nanometer scale, thereby enhancing its affinity for polymer materials. In addition, hydroxyl groups and other oxygen-containing functional groups in chemically modified graphene can form a chemical bond with polymer materials, thus greatly improving the load transfer between graphene and its substrates.37 Bao et al11 prepared G/PLA composite materials by adding graphene. Due to the comprehensive effects of graphene, such as the excellent mechanical properties, large specific surface area,
Figure 7 Histological observation of bone-implant interface for G/nHA/PA66 screws 7 (A, B) and 28 days (C, D) after operation (100×). The BIC was calculated (E).

Note: *P<0.05 compared to the respective control (n=4 specimens/group).

Abbreviations: BIC, bone-implant contact; G/nHA/PA66, graphene/nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66.
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Figure 8 Micro-CT three-dimensional reconstruction of peri-implant bone with 1 mm with G/nHA/PA66 screws 7 (A) and 28 days (B) after operation. Peri-implant bone structural indices of G/nHA/PA66 materials (C–H).

Note: *P<0.05 compared to the respective control (n=5 specimens/group).

Abbreviations: BV, bone volume; BV/TV, bone volume/trabecular volume; G/nHA/PA66, graphene/nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66; Tb.N, trabecular number; Tb.Th, trabecular thickness; Tb.Sp, trabecular separation; TV, trabecular volume; micro-cT, micro-computed tomography.

and promotion of crystallization of the polymer, the material storage modulus was considerably improved. Marques et al\textsuperscript{32} studied the effects of different graphene contents on the mechanical properties of composites. The results showed that with the increase in the graphene content, the mechanical strength of the composite enhanced. However, when the content of graphene increased to a certain ratio, the mechanical strength weakened instead. A 1% mass fraction of graphene was suggested for maximum enhancement of the mechanical properties of these composites. The G/nHA/PA66 prepared in this study obtained a good dispersion for both graphene and nHA. Compared to nHA/PA66, the tensile strength increased by 49.14%, and the bending strength by 17.11%. Although the enhancement of this mechanical property was not exceptional and is still lower than metallic implant materials,\textsuperscript{33,42} the composite was close to meeting the material performance requirement for nonmetallic orthopedic implants.\textsuperscript{43}

The application of graphene in the field of bone tissue engineering has drawn great attention not only because of its vast specific surface area, which can enhance the mechanical
properties of polymer materials, but also because of its bioactivity, which can promote MSC adhesion, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation.\textsuperscript{29} In this study, enhancing the nHA/PA66 composite with graphene led to enhanced cell spreading and extending. The cytoskeleton was more obvious, and the cell density was higher in early coculture stages. These results may be due to the unique nanotopography of the graphene-reinforced polymer composites increasing the expression of various integrins and connexins in MSCs.\textsuperscript{27,28} Another mechanism of graphene that can promote osteogenic differentiation is its provision of a concentrated platform for the chemical substances required for the induction of osteogenic differentiation.\textsuperscript{44} Strong π–π stacking allows graphene to adsorb chemicals consisting of benzene rings, such as β-glycerophosphate and dexamethasone. Dexamethasone in combination with β-glycerophosphate plays an important role in upregulating the expression of osteogenic differentiation-related proteins and key enzyme genes.\textsuperscript{45,46}

Additionally, insulin, which is an important chemical in the adipogenic differentiation process, is also adsorbed by graphene due to the π–π stacking effect, which leads to insulin dysfunction after a conformational change upon graphene adsorption. This effect inhibited adipogenic differentiation while strengthening osteogenic differentiation in MSCs.\textsuperscript{44}

Biocompatibility and noncytotoxicity are also very important properties for orthopedic implants. Numerous studies have thoroughly demonstrated the biocompatibility of nHA/PA66 composites in vivo and in vitro.\textsuperscript{9,16,19} However, the biological safety, especially in vivo safety, of graphene–polymer composites is controversial. There have been very few related studies on the in vivo safety of such composite materials; moreover, the results from these studies have not been consistent and are even contradictory.\textsuperscript{29} In this study, the liver, spleen, kidney, brain, and other major organs were investigated, and no obvious abnormalities were observed. The tissue surrounding the implant showed no inflammation. The bone around the implant tissue grew well. These results confirmed the good biocompatibility of G/nHA/PA66, which provides the basis for the feasibility of not removing the implant with a second operation. A long-term histocompatibility study of G/nHA/PA66 and studies of related molecular biological mechanisms still need to be conducted.

Conclusion

In this study, novel graphene-reinforced nHA/PA66 composites were prepared using a solution blending method and an injection molding method. The results demonstrated a uniform dispersion of graphene and nHA in the PA66 matrix. Compared to nHA/PA66 composites, the G/nHA/PA66 composites showed significantly improved mechanical properties and bioactivity, which enhanced the adhesion, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells; the composites also demonstrated good biocompatibility. In the in vivo implantation experiment, no major organ damage or inflammation around the implants was observed, which suggests a good histocompatibility of G/nHA/PA66. Therefore, G/nHA/PA66 exhibits great potential for orthopedic implant and bone tissue engineering applications.
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