Canine parvoviral enteritis: an update on the clinical diagnosis, treatment, and prevention
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Abstract: Canine parvovirus type 2 is the cause of a highly contagious acute enteritis associated with high morbidity and mortality, with very low survival rates in untreated dogs. Although severe clinical disease typically occurs in dogs younger than 6 months of age, adults with insufficient immunity may potentially be affected. In this article, the current state of knowledge is reviewed regarding the diagnostic aspects of parvoviral enteritis, with special emphasis placed on the clinical relevance of the detection of viral antigens in the feces, detection of viral antibodies in the serum, or the polymerase chain reaction-based amplification of the viral DNA in the feces. In addition, the components of the supportive and symptomatic treatment aiming to optimize the outcome of the disease in the clinical setting are thoroughly reviewed. Immunization guidelines for the prevention of the disease are also updated.
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Introduction

Canine parvovirus enteritis (PVE), caused by three variants of canine parvovirus type 2 (CPV-2; family Paroviridae, Genus Parvovirus), is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in dogs globally.1,2 CPV-2 emerged as a cause of acute canine enteritis in mid-to-late 1970s, possibly from another carnivore parvovirus (cats or other hosts), spreading rapidly and triggering outbreaks worldwide.3–8 In early-to-mid 1980s, CPV-2 evolved into two variants (CPV-2a and CPV-2b),9,10 while in 2000, a third variant (CPV-2c) was documented in Italy and has since been found in all continents except Australia.11–16 All three variants are thought to have similar pathogenicity leading to indistinguishable clinical disease.8,17 Importantly, CPV-2a, CPV-2b, and CPV-2c strains have a broader host range compared to the original CPV-2 strain and may cause naturally occurring disease identical to feline panleukopenia in cats.3

Although severe clinical disease typically occurs in dogs younger than 6 months of age, adults with insufficient immunity may potentially be affected.17,18 Breed predisposition and seasonal prevalence of the disease are subject to considerable geographic variation.18,19 CPV-2 is ubiquitous and can survive in the environment for more than a year, enabling exposure of susceptible dogs to infected feces, vomitus, or fomites.2 The incubation period following natural or experimental exposure ranges from 4 to 14 days, and virus shedding starts a few days prior to the occurrence of clinical signs, progressively declining 3–4 weeks postexposure.20–23
The principal pathogenetic fact in CPV-2 infection is the virus-induced destruction of rapidly dividing cells, including crypt intestinal epithelial cells, thymus, lymph nodes, and bone marrow precursor cells. As a result, intestinal mucosal barrier disruption, villous atrophy, and malabsorption occur, along with profound leukopenia (mainly neutropenia and/or lymphopenia), leading to profuse diarrhea and vomiting, severe dehydration/hypovolemia, metabolic acidosis (or alkalosis), bacterial translocation with subsequent coliform septicemia and endotoxemia, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), hypercoagulability, multiorgan dysfunction, and death. Comorbid conditions (eg, parasitic, viral, or bacterial intestinal pathogens) or stressors (eg, weaning, overcrowded and unsanitary conditions) may precipitate or exacerbate the disease. Because of the widespread vaccinations and/or the natural exposure of the adult animals, clinically relevant CPV-2-induced myocarditis is now an extremely rare manifestation in the clinical setting, unless infection occurs in utero or in puppies born to unvaccinated bitches.

Clinical diagnosis of canine PVE

Canine PVE has clinical similarities with other causes of acute gastrointestinal disturbances, including, though not limited to, canine distemper infection and other viral enteritides, hemorrhagic gastroenteritis, enteric bacterial infections such as salmonellosis, acute pancreatitis, hypoadrenocorticism, inflammatory bowel disease, intestinal intussusception, gastrointestinal foreign bodies, and various intoxications. Therefore, clinical diagnosis of PVE necessitates the combination of compatible clinical and clinicopathologic abnormalities along with the detection of the viral antigen or the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based amplification of the viral DNA in the feces.

Clinical signs

The clinical manifestations of CPV-2 infection are nonspecific or referable to enteritis (Table 1), commonly including anorexia or lethargy, weakness, depression, foul-smelling diarrhea, which may range from mucoid to purely hemorrhagic, vomiting, dehydration, and fever. Due to intestinal dysmotility, intussusception may occur, an uncommon but potentially fatal complication of PVE. Several dogs demonstrate evidence of SIRS on admission, which may herald a poor prognosis. Rarely, dogs may be presented with congestive heart failure, neurological signs, or erythema multiforme. Subclinical infections are thought to occur commonly in adult unvaccinated dogs; however, severe fatal disease may also occur. Vomiting and depression or lethargy on admission were found to prolong the duration of hospitalization in one study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical sign</th>
<th>Number of dogs (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression/lethargy</td>
<td>67 (71.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>67 (71.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>65 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic</td>
<td>48 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonhemorrhagic</td>
<td>17 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>62 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydration</td>
<td>60 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal pallor</td>
<td>32 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged capillary refill time</td>
<td>31 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>31 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>18 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinicopathologic abnormalities

Leukopenia due to neutropenia and/or lymphopenia is the prominent hematological abnormality in canine PVE due to the destruction of bone marrow precursors, the depletion of lymphoid tissues, and the increased demands of the massively inflamed intestinal tract (Table 2). Anemia, thrombocytopenia or thrombocytosis, pancytopenia, neutrophilic leukocytosis, and monocytosis may also occur. The prognostic significance of total or differential leukocyte counts on admission or over time in PVE has been assessed previously. Lack of significant leukopenia (≥4,500/μL) or lymphopenia (≥1,000/μL) at 24 hours postadmission had a 100% positive predictive value for survival. Glickman et al did not find any association between leukopenia upon admission and outcome, as opposed to leukopenia and/or lymphopenia that decreased the chances for survival. Lymphopenia (<1,000/μL) on admission was found to be significantly associated with prolonged hospitalization time in another study.

Although nonspecific (Table 2), serum biochemistry abnormalities consistently include hypoproteinemia, hypoalbuminemia, hypoglycemia (or mild-to-moderate hyperglycemia) reflecting an interplay among severe malnutrition, septicemia, and/or the stress-induced activation of catecholamines, hypocalcemia, and electrolyte abnormalities such as hypokalemia, hyponatremia, hyperchloremia, and hypomagnesemia. Prerenal azotemia may also occur, while less frequently, liver damage induced by hypoperfusion or SIRS may be denoted by increased liver enzyme activities or hyperbilirubinemia. In a study recently published...
completed in our hospital, it was shown that ~50% of dogs with PVE demonstrated mild acute pancreatitis (indicated by increased serum canine pancreatic lipase immunoreactivity concentration), which did not adversely affect the duration of hospitalization or the final outcome (Kalli et al, unpublished data, 2009). Hypoalbuminemia on admission was found to be significantly associated with prolonged hospitalization time previously.18 Furthermore, another study has suggested that hypocholesterolemia may indicate increased disease severity and a guarded-to-poor prognosis in affected dogs.28

The performance of noninvasive markers such as acute phase proteins in determining disease severity and prognosis of PVE has recently been addressed. Although C-reactive protein (CRP), haptoglobin, and ceruloplasmin were found to substantially increase and albumin concentration to decrease on admission in dogs with PVE, only CRP was associated with disease severity and outcome (survival or death).36,47 In another study, it was shown that higher serum CRP concentrations at 12 and 24 hours after admission were associated with shorter survival time and longer duration of hospitalization; however, the discriminative ability of CRP concentration alone in predicting outcome was only moderately accurate.48 High serum cortisol and low serum thyroxine concentrations 24 and 48 hours postadmission may also herald a poor prognosis in dogs with PVE.49

### Diagnostic imaging

Abdominal radiography or ultrasonography detects largely nonspecific changes, including fluid- and gas-filled intestinal loops, hypomotile intestines, and possibly thinning of mucosal layers.50 However, radiography is valuable in assessing the presence of intestinal foreign bodies, while ultrasonography is an invaluable tool for the early recognition of an intussusception or the presence of peritoneal effusion.2

### Serology in PVE

Antibodies to CPV-2 in the blood serum can be quantified in a laboratory setting using hemagglutination inhibition or semiquantitatively measured with an in-clinic enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). However, since a significant proportion of dogs can be seropositive due to previous, often subclinical infection, maternally- or vaccination-derived antibodies, positive serology is not by itself diagnostic of active CPV-2 infection.1 In contrast, the quantitative assays may be useful in titrating the maternally derived antibodies, possibly allowing for the calculation of the time that vaccination can be performed without the interference of maternal immunity.38 In addition, the serological assays, especially the simple in-practice tests, are thought to be useful in assessing the presence of protective immunity against CPV following the completion of the initial puppy vaccination series, for determining the duration of immunity afforded by CPV vaccines and for improving management of shelter CPV infection outbreaks.51–53 As a rule, lack of seropositivity to CPV 4 weeks after the completion of the initial puppy series at 16 weeks of age indicates the absence of protective immunity justifying revaccination (likely with another vaccine product). Failure again to achieve seropositivity 4 weeks after the new vaccination is a strong evidence that the puppy may be a nonresponder incapable of mounting protective immunity.53 Similarly, veterinarians might wish to be able to offer their clients an alternative strategy to routine core vaccination at 3-yearly intervals. In the latter setting, a seronegative or a seropositive result justifies vaccination or extension of the booster core vaccination interval to >3 years, respectively.53

---

### Table 2 Hematological and serum biochemical abnormalities in 76 dogs with spontaneous parvoviral enteritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>Number of dogs with abnormality/number of dogs examined (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematology</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>11/76 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
<td>26/75 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
<td>6/75 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>6/75 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytosis</td>
<td>24/75 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>24/61 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophilia</td>
<td>12/61 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphopenia</td>
<td>37/61 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytosis</td>
<td>2/61 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytopenia</td>
<td>16/61 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytosis</td>
<td>16/61 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemistry</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperproteinemia</td>
<td>20/73 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoalbuminemia</td>
<td>3/73 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
<td>11/34 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>8/31 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperkalemia</td>
<td>21/31 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperproteinemia</td>
<td>1/47 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased urea nitrogen</td>
<td>2/40 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>11/41 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ALT</td>
<td>5/48 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperbilirubinemia</td>
<td>2/24 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperphosphatemia</td>
<td>5/25 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokalemia</td>
<td>5/54 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperkalemia</td>
<td>1/54 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyponatremia</td>
<td>14/25 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocalcemia</td>
<td>13/38 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia</td>
<td>3/38 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Adapted from Res Vet Sci. 89(2), Kalli I, Leontides LS, Mylonakis ME, Adamama-Moraitou K, Rallis T, Koutinas AF. Factors affecting the occurrence, duration of hospitalization and final outcome in canine parvovirus infection. Pages 174–178. Copyright 2010, with permission from Elsevier.18

**Abbreviation:** ALT, alanine aminotransferase.
Detection of the viral antigen or DNA in the feces

The most cost-effective assays for the virus detection are the rapid point-of-care tests, including ELISA, immunomigration assay, and immunochromatography assay applied in fecal or rectal swab material.\(^5\) Although their specificity typically exceeds 90%, data on their sensitivity vary substantially. Depending on the method utilized as gold standard (eg, PCR or immune-electron microscopy), it has been estimated to range from 16% to 80%.\(^1,7,38,54–58\) False negatives may be due to decreased or intermittent viral shedding in earlier or later stages of the infection, the binding of serum-neutralizing antibodies with antigen in the intestinal lumen, or the dilutional effect of the diarrhea.\(^29,58\) Anecdotal reports indicate that CPV-2c-induced disease may occur in the context of negative ELISA results.\(^29\) However, recent studies have shown that the sensitivity of the ELISA tests is not affected by the virus variant.\(^17,56,57\) False-positive results may be associated very rarely with recent vaccination with modified live vaccines, although in a recent study, neither a CPV-2 nor a CPV-2b vaccine strain was detected at any time in the feces of vaccinated dogs.\(^29,54\) In the light of this evidence, in a dog with compatible clinical and clinicopathologic abnormalities, a negative fecal antigen test does not rule out PVE, while a positive fecal antigen test should be interpreted as reflecting a natural infection, until proven otherwise.

Several veterinary diagnostic laboratories offer a range of PCR assays (eg, real-time or conventional nested PCR) for the detection of CPV-2 variants.\(^60\) The major clinical indication for PCR is the suspicion of PVE, in the context of negative fecal antigen testing. Unfortunately, as demonstrated by Schmitz et al,\(^54\) positive PCR results for CPV may be seen in dogs without signs of gastroenteritis or even in dogs with chronic diarrhea, a finding of uncertain clinical significance. In addition, attenuated live vaccine virus can uncommonly be detected in the feces or in the blood with PCR assays for an undefined period after vaccination,\(^29,61,62\) although assays using minor groove binder probes have been developed that can differentiate between vaccine and wild-type virus, even in the same animal.\(^38,61–64\) In the future, quantification of virus loads in feces or in the blood using real-time PCR may be helpful in differentiating between the recently vaccinated and the naturally infected dogs.\(^29\)

Treatment of canine PVE

Survival rate may be as low as 9% if no treatment is undertaken but may exceed 80% in tertiary care facilities.\(^25,41,65\) In the majority of cases, inpatient treatment is warranted; surprisingly, in a recent study, the proportion of dogs that recovered after treatment in the hospital (78.3%) did not differ from that of dogs recovered after at-home treatment (63.2%).\(^17\) Although these findings may be biased in that dogs with less severe disease are more likely to receive treatment at home, they still may indicate that mildly affected puppies may be treated on an outpatient basis.\(^1\)

Treatment for PVE is largely supportive and symptomatic. The principal components of treatment include 1) fluid therapy, 2) antibiotic treatment, 3) antiemetic treatment, and 4) nutritional support. An array of other treatment measures including, though not limited to, antiviral treatments and pain management have been assessed in the past or are currently under investigation regarding their potential utility in PVE.

Fluid therapy

Maintenance of hydration and oncotic support as well as correction of acid–base and electrolyte disturbances are of utmost importance in PVE. Since subcutaneous fluid absorption is impaired in dehydrated animals, venous access is the cornerstone of fluid treatment. In case of a peripheral vein catheterization, catheter should be replaced in 72 hours to minimize the chances of bacterial colonization.\(^66\) Provided that the dog can tolerate the procedure, aseptic jugular vein catheterization by a multilumen catheter may be a better venous access option compared to a peripheral vein access in PVE because 1) optimization of fluid therapy can be assisted by central venous pressure measurement, 2) multiple drug and fluid types can be administered, 3) serial blood sampling is facilitated, 4) the catheter may remain in place for the entire period of hospitalization, and 5) contamination of the catheter site from vomiting or diarrhea can be easier to avoid compared to a peripheral vein catheter.\(^57\) Based on the evidence that PVE may be associated with a hypercoagulable state, jugular catheterization may raise the possibility of thrombosis.\(^68\) We have never seen clinically relevant thrombosis associated with jugular catheterization in PVE. If placement of an intravenous catheter is difficult, an intraosseous catheter is a very satisfactory alternative, until access to a vein is established.\(^29,69\)

Puppies admitted with severe hypovolemia need reestablishment of their circulating volume in 1–2 hours. As a rule, a balanced isotonic crystalloid solution (eg, Lactated Ringers) is the fluid of choice for initial restoration of intravascular volume and rehydration, with a rate titrated to improve perfusion parameters, including capillary refill time, mucosal color, pulse character, and mean arterial pressure or lactate concentrations.\(^70\) Typically, the canine shock dose...
(80–90 mL/kg) is split in consecutive boluses of 15–20 mL/kg given over 15 minutes until improvement of the perfusion status is achieved. In general, if the administration of 50% of the calculated shock volume of isotonic crystalloids has failed to achieve sufficient improvement, adding a colloid should be considered. In dogs admitted without evidence of hypovolemic shock, hydration may be restored over 12–24 hours. The daily fluid allowances should incorporate the maintenance requirements (40–60 mL/kg), the current fluid deficits (body weight [kg] × % dehydration = volume [L] to correct), and the ongoing losses (might be subjectively estimated to 250 mL). Paroviral enteritis may be associated with huge protein losses. Therefore, colloidal support should be provided when peripheral edema (subcutaneous, conjunctival, pleural, or abdominal effusions), hypoalbuminemia (<2 g/dL), or hypoproteinemia (<4 g/dL) occurs. Synthetic colloids (eg, 6% hetastarch) appear to be more cost-effective options in the clinical setting, as they provide better oncotic support (allowing for a 40%–60% reduction of the daily crystalloids volume) and are more affordable compared to natural colloids. Although synthetic colloids may reportedly adversely affect von Willebrand’s factor, factor VIII, platelet function, and fibrin polymerization, clinically relevant bleeding tendency has not been documented in animals receiving daily maintenance rate not exceeding 20 mL/kg. Fresh plasma has been suggested in the past because of its purported additional benefits, including coagulation factors and antiviral antibodies. However, plasma has limited availability, may be prohibitively expensive, and has relatively low oncotic pressure, and large volumes (22.5 mL/kg) are required to achieve a mild increase (0.5 g/dL) in the serum albumin concentrations. Human or canine albumin solutions may be used as alternatives to fresh plasma for oncotic support; however, their efficacy in PVE has yet to be evaluated. Whole blood (20 mL/kg, within 4 hours) or packed red blood cells is the preferred choice if severe anemia develops in the course of PVE.

Hypokalemia is a frequent issue in PVE, which may result in weakness, ileus, and cardiac compromise. Typically, maintenance fluids are supplemented with ≥20 mEq/L of potassium chloride for sustaining normokalemia or restoring hypokalemia. The rate of potassium administration should not exceed 0.5 mEq/kg/h, and daily measurement of serum level is warranted for better monitoring. Hypoglycemia may be a severe complication of PVE, especially in toy breeds. Therefore, glucose measurement should be performed at least once or twice daily, and supplementation of the maintenance fluids with 2.5%–5% dextrose may be warranted if a declining serum glucose concentration is documented.

**Antibiotic treatment**

Parenteral administration of wide-spectrum bactericidal antibiotics is warranted in dogs with severe PVE due to the high risk of septicemia associated with the disruption of the mucosal barrier and the concurrent profound neutropenia. Ampicillin and cefoxitin as single-agent treatments or in combination with enrofloxacin (Table 3) are rational empirical choices offering protection against Gram-positive, Gram-negative, and anaerobic organisms. Enrofloxacin may cause cartilage damage in young growing dogs; however, this is a rare occurrence if standard doses are used and the duration of treatment does not exceed 5 days. Aminoglycosides may also be considered in well-hydrated animals.

**Antiemetic treatment**

Metoclopramide, a dopaminergic antagonist that blocks the chemoreceptor trigger zone and exerts a prokinetic effect in the upper intestinal tract, may be given as a bolus or as a constant-rate infusion in dogs with severe vomiting (Table 3). The serotonin receptor antagonists ondansetron or dolasetron may be used successfully in cases of intractable vomiting. The recent advent of maropitant, an antagonist of neurokinin1 receptors, has improved substantially the efficacy of antiemetic treatment in dogs. Although the efficacy of maropitant in canine PVE has yet to be thoroughly evaluated, in a recent study, it was shown that maropitant was effective in preventing vomiting caused by stimulation of either central or peripheral emetic pathways, whereas metoclopramide or ondansetron prevented vomiting caused by either central or peripheral stimulation, respectively, but

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose and interval</th>
<th>Route</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>20–40 mg/kg/8 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>20–30 mg/kg/8 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrofloxacin</td>
<td>5–10 mg/kg/24 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoclopramide</td>
<td>0.2–0.4 mg/kg/6–8 hours</td>
<td>IV, IM, SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>0.1–0.15 mg/kg/24 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolasetron</td>
<td>0.5 mg/kg/24 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maropitant</td>
<td>1 mg/kg/24 hours</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butorphanol</td>
<td>0.1–0.2 mg/kg/4–6 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>0.01 mg/kg/6 hours</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IV, intravenously; IM, intramuscularly; SC, subcutaneously; CRi, constant-rate infusion.
not both. In another study, a single daily dose of maropitant was more effective than metoclopramide administered two or three times daily in the treatment of emesis caused by various etiologies in dogs. In the latter study, only four of 183 (2%) examined dogs had evidence of acute viral enteritis/parvoenteritis, thus impairing the establishment of valid conclusions regarding the efficacy of the drug in dogs with PVE. In another study, maropitant was evaluated for safety and efficacy in the treatment and prevention of acute vomiting due to various etiologies in 275 dogs (26% of which had been diagnosed with PVE) in a randomized clinical trial. Although in that study mitigation of emesis was not assessed separately for the subgroup of dogs with PVE, overall, emesis was significantly reduced in maropitant-treated dogs compared to placebo-treated ones. Based on our experience, the administration of maropitant once daily, singly or in combination with metoclopramide, is very effective in reducing or abolishing emesis in PVE. Overall, the drug appears to be safe; dogs treated with maropitant may exhibit transient pain on injection site, which may be substantially reduced if the drug solution is kept refrigerated instead of stored at room temperature prior to being injected. Although antiemetic treatment is definitely warranted in PVE, many affected dogs have protracted vomiting despite antiemetic administration, and in a previous study, prolonged duration of hospitalization was found in dogs that received antiemetic treatment compared to those that did not.

**Nutritional support**

The nil per os feeding strategy in PVE has recently been challenged. Enteral feeding is associated with improved mucosal integrity, faster repair, and as a result, reduced possibilities for bacterial translocation. This was accentuated in a relatively recent study, in which early enteral nutrition via nasoesophageal catheter starting 12 hours postadmission was associated with earlier clinical improvement, significant weight gain, and possibly improved gut barrier function compared to dogs subject to the traditional food withholding until cessation of vomiting for 12 hours. Parenteral nutrition is rarely needed in PVE because of the acute course of the disease.

**Antiviral treatments**

Use of convalescent serum from dogs that have recovered from CPV infection as a means of providing passive immunization has been reported anecdotally. In a recent study, the administration of a single 12 mL dose of CPV-immune plasma as adjunctive treatment for canine PVE after the appearance of clinical signs did not improve any assessed parameter, including time to hematologic recovery, viral load, severity of clinical findings, and duration of hospitalization. However, a beneficial effect may still be possible to achieve if a larger volume of plasma is given prior to the occurrence of the clinical signs.

Recombinant feline interferon-ω (rFeIFN-ω) has been promising in previous studies. In a study of 94 dogs with naturally occurring PVE, severity of clinical signs and mortality reduced significantly in those treated with rFeIFN-ω (2.5 mU/kg, intravenously, daily for 3 days) as opposed to placebo-treated dogs. In another experimental study, rFeIFN-ω (2.5 mU/kg, intravenously, daily for 3 days) was also similarly effective. Currently, the limited commercial availability and the particularly high cost prevent rFeIFN-ω from being regularly included in the clinical setting.

Oseltamivir, a neuraminidase inhibitor, has attracted attention for the treatment of PVE. In a previous study, the use of oseltamivir (2 mg/kg, per os, for 5 days) improved body weight and hematological parameters in dogs with PVE compared to placebo-treated dogs; however, no tangible benefit was documented in terms of survival or duration of hospitalization. In addition, in a recent study in our hospital, oseltamivir at the same dose scheme was ineffective in decreasing morbidity and mortality in dogs with PVE. The lack of any clinically relevant benefit, along with the concern that widespread use of the drug in dogs may favor the development of oseltamivir resistance in humans with influenza infections, does not justify the routine inclusion of this drug in the treatment of PVE.

**Pain management**

Abdominal pain occurs frequently in PVE as a result of severe enteritis, and less commonly due to concurrent intussusception, and may adversely affect appetite. Therefore, analgesic treatment may be warranted. In this respect, butorphanol or buprenorphine (Table 3) may be useful. Interestingly, maropitant is a blocker of substance P, a mediator of visceral pain; ongoing research is focusing on the potential usefulness of maropitant to reduce visceral pain, which might be of value in PVE.

**Miscellaneous treatments**

The efficacy of recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) has been evaluated in PVE. No beneficial effect could be documented in terms of the time to hematological recovery, the duration of hospitalization,
or the survival rate.\textsuperscript{89,90} In a recent study, the efficacy of recombinant canine G-CSF (rcG-CSF) was assessed in a clinical trial. Hematological recovery was hastened, and duration of hospitalization was reduced in rcG-CSF-treated dogs compared to nontreated ones; however, no survival benefit was demonstrated, and in fact, the rcG-CSF-treated dogs had shorter survival time.\textsuperscript{50} On the other hand, it has been documented that in neutropenic dogs with experimental PVE, endogenous G-CSF increases promoting neutrophil count recovery.\textsuperscript{91} Therefore, the benefit of exogenous G-CSF in PVE, if any, has yet to be substantiated.

Equine endotoxin antiserum has been utilized in the past with inconclusive results,\textsuperscript{45,92} while the use of recombinant bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (rBPI\textsubscript{21}) failed to decrease endotoxin concentration and duration of hospitalization or to increase survival.\textsuperscript{65}

In PVE, administration of anthelminthic treatment may also be of value in removing a comorbid condition that may exacerbate the clinical severity of the disease. An array of other empiric medications, including gastrointestinal protectants and H\textsubscript{2} blockers, may be given for PVE at the discretion of the clinician; however, evidence-based justification for their use is currently lacking.\textsuperscript{1}

**Prevention of canine PVE**

Effective immunization is essential for the protection of the individual pet and the decrease of the population of susceptible animals in a region, thus promoting the “herd immunity”.\textsuperscript{53} Modified live vaccines (MLVs) are currently used worldwide affording prolonged (7 years or longer) immunity that would confer protection against both disease and infection.\textsuperscript{93–96} The initial puppy vaccination series starts normally at 6–8 weeks of age, and then every 2–4 weeks until 16 weeks of age or older.\textsuperscript{53} If the dog is admitted for the initial vaccinations after the age of 16 weeks, two doses 2–4 weeks apart are generally recommended, but even one dose of MLV is very likely protective.\textsuperscript{96} According to the recently revised guidelines for the vaccinations of dogs and cats endorsed by the World Small Animal Veterinary Association, the first booster vaccine after the end of the initial series is now recommended to be delivered at any time between 6 and 12 months of age; however, 6 months of age is a convenient timing for the puppies that have completed their initial series at the age of 4 months. Thereafter, vaccinations for CPV (similar to other canine core vaccines) are given no more often than every 3 years.\textsuperscript{53}

In the shelter environment, a more stringent vaccination schedule may be implemented. Vaccinations for CPV (along with other core vaccines) may start immediately on admission, as early as 4 weeks of age and be repeated at 2- to 3-week intervals until 20 weeks of age if the animal is still in the facility. For dogs older than 16–20 weeks on admission, one dose prior to or immediately on admission and a repeat in 2 weeks is proposed.\textsuperscript{53}

Challenge studies have shown that currently available CPV vaccines containing CPV-2 or CPV-2b variants confer protection against all natural variants including CPV-2c.\textsuperscript{97–100} However, there is an increasing number of reports documenting severe outbreaks of PVE in young and adult dogs, despite being properly vaccinated.\textsuperscript{101–104}

Health care beyond vaccination is also an integral part of every prevention strategy. Good hygienic practices in the kennels including disinfection of all exposed surfaces and personnel are important, given the extremely hardy nature of the virus in the environment. Sodium hypochloride (common household bleach) is an effective viricidal (one in 30 dilution), provided that contact time is at least 10 minutes.\textsuperscript{38} Importantly, socialization classes attended by vaccinated puppies aged <16 weeks were not found to be associated with a greater risk of CPV infection than vaccinated puppies that did not attend those classes.\textsuperscript{105}

**Conclusion**

Canine parvoviral enteritis is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in dogs younger than 6 months of age, despite the availability of safe and highly efficacious MLVs. Although the diagnosis of the disease is usually straightforward (compatible clinical and hematological abnormalities in a suboptimally vaccinated puppy, with or without a positive fecal viral antigen test), treatment and prevention strategies are ever evolving in an attempt to decrease the incidence of this life-threatening disease. Future studies should try to optimize the clinical management of the affected dogs by 1) improving the monitoring tools during hospitalization (eg, establishment of more robust noninvasive markers of the disease severity and prognosis), 2) establishing the best fluid therapy strategy (eg, to substantiate the beneficial role of and refine the most effective colloid solutions), and 3) suggesting more cost-effective antiemetic and antiviral treatments. On the other hand, further research may be warranted in elucidating to which extend the apparent vaccination failures in the clinical setting are vaccine-associated (eg, vaccines with reduced immunogenicity against the new field variants) or vaccination policy-associated (eg, level of herd immunity in an area, schedule of primary vaccination series, booster timing).
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