Autism spectrum disorder in adults: diagnosis, management, and health services development

Abstract: Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a common neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by pervasive difficulties since early childhood across reciprocal social communication and restricted, repetitive interests and behaviors. Although early ASD research focused primarily on children, there is increasing recognition that ASD is a lifelong neurodevelopmental disorder. However, although health and education services for children with ASD are relatively well established, service provision for adults with ASD is in its infancy. There is a lack of health services research for adults with ASD, including identification of comorbid health difficulties, rigorous treatment trials (pharmacological and psychological), development of new pharmacotherapies, investigation of transition and aging across the lifespan, and consideration of sex differences and the views of people with ASD. This article reviews available evidence regarding the etiology, legislation, diagnosis, management, and service provision for adults with ASD and considers what is needed to support adults with ASD as they age. We conclude that health services research for adults with ASD is urgently warranted. In particular, research is required to better understand the needs of adults with ASD, including health, aging, service development, transition, treatment options across the lifespan, sex, and the views of people with ASD. Additionally, the outcomes of recent international legislative efforts to raise awareness of ASD and service provision for adults with ASD are to be determined. Future research is required to identify high-quality, evidence-based, and cost-effective models of care. Furthermore, future health services research is also required at the beginning and end of adulthood, including improved transition from youth to adult health care and increased understanding of aging and health in older adults with ASD.
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Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by pervasive difficulties since early childhood across reciprocal social communication and restricted, repetitive interests and behaviors. Although early research in ASD focused primarily on children, there is increasing recognition that ASD is a lifelong neurodevelopmental disorder that has a potentially detrimental impact on adult functioning.

While health and education services for children with ASD are relatively well established, service provision for adults with ASD is in its infancy. There is a lack of health services research for adults with ASD including identification of comorbid health difficulties, rigorous treatment trials (pharmacological and psychological), development of new pharmacotherapies, transition and aging across the lifespan, sex differences, life skills, consideration of the views of people with ASD, and cost and efficiency of services.

Here, we review recent developments in understanding the etiology, legislation, diagnosis, management, and service provision for people with ASD across the adult lifespan and consider what is needed to support adults with ASD as they age.
Etiology
ASD – a public health concern
ASD was initially described as a rare disorder of childhood. However, the estimated prevalence rate of ASD has changed significantly over the past 40 years, perhaps in part because of increasing awareness of ASD and changes in diagnostic criteria and classification systems. In 1966, the prevalence of autism was estimated to be just four cases per 10,000 people. However, ASD is now recognized as a common, lifelong neurodevelopmental disorder that affects 1% of both the child and adult population.

The estimated prevalence of ASD is increasing, making it more common than several other better recognized conditions, such as heart disease and diabetes. Despite this, 80% of adults with ASD report marked difficulties in accessing diagnostic services. Furthermore, ASD is associated with significant financial and emotional costs to the individual, their families, and society across health, education, and social systems. The estimated lifetime cost of supporting a person with ASD is substantial: $2.4 million in the USA and £1.5 million in the UK. These costs include accommodation, individual productivity loss (employment/education), and health, all of which may be contributed to by unmanaged mental health difficulties in adulthood. Additionally, preliminary evidence suggests that unmet needs arising from comorbid mental health problems in young adults with ASD contribute to caregiver burden.

The unmet health needs of people with ASD, lack of adult services, lack of treatment, and increasing prevalence rates contribute to the escalating cost burden on people with ASD as they age, their families, and society. Hence, there is an urgent need for improved mental health care for people with ASD across the lifespan that facilitates rapid, direct translation of scientific findings to clinical care. This should include better understanding of the neurobiological causes of ASD and associated comorbid and cognitive difficulties for children and adults with ASD, development of clinical treatment trials, the provision of high-quality, evidence-based, and age-appropriate treatments, and consideration of the views of people with ASD in research and services development.

Despite media concerns about an epidemic of autism, the trend of increased prevalence rates is not thought to relate to an increased incidence of ASD. Instead, there is good evidence that increased prevalence rates of ASD are associated with increased awareness of ASD, a resultant relative increase in service availability, and changes in diagnostic criteria. Accordingly, autism, and the associated spiraling increase in demand for clinical service provision for people with ASD, has been identified by the United Nations and the World Health Organization as a public health concern.

Despite this, to date there are no validated treatments for the core symptoms of ASD, and only a limited range of evidence-based treatments exist for associated mental health problems of adults with ASD. As such, there is an urgent need for improved understanding of the neurobiology and health of people with ASD across the lifespan, for consideration of the views of people with ASD and their families regarding service development and research, and for the development of targeted, individualized, age-specific treatments.

ASD – etiology of a highly heritable neurodevelopmental disorder
Asperger and Kanner’s seminal descriptions of children with autism and their families also detailed parental behaviors, and thus provided the first descriptions of possible familial and genetic traits of ASD. However, despite early evidence of a possible genetic contribution to ASD, this was not further investigated for some decades. Instead, psychodynamic theories became influential in the 1960s, and it was suggested that poor parenting and social deprivation caused autism, as evidenced by the damning but previously widely used term refrigerator mothers.

This psychodynamic view of autism was challenged by increasing evidence of genetic associations with autism. For example, Folstein and Rutter’s groundbreaking twin study found not only greater evidence for autism in monozygotic than dizygotic twins but also that identical twins with autism did not have identical symptoms of autism. This provided crucial first evidence of what is now recognized as a determining feature of ASD: heterogeneity.

Genetic investigation of autism started to proliferate in the 1980s with the recognition of the association of rare syndromes and chromosomal disorders with ASD. ASD is now considered to be a highly heritable, heterogeneous, neurodevelopmental disorder, with an estimated heritability of ~90%. However, both genetic and environmental factors are thought to contribute to the development of ASD. A recent twin study estimated that genetic variables contribute 35%–40% toward the risk of developing an ASD and that the remaining 60% is contributed to by prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal environmental factors.

Environmental risk factors associated with ASD include prenatal and perinatal complications, exposure to viruses (particularly rubella), birth and neonatal complications,
such as hypoxia, and increased paternal age. Studies in
Israel and Sweden have reported that children fathered by
men older than 40–50 years are two to five times more likely
to develop ASD than children fathered by men 29 years or
younger. It has been suggested that the increased risk of
ASD with older fathers may be related to epigenetic changes
associated with aging, including germ-line changes and
exposure to toxins.

The risk of developing autism can now be calculated for
family members; siblings of people with idiopathic autism
have a 2%–8% increased risk of having autism themselves, (a
25-fold increase above the general population), while siblings
of people with ASD and a dominant single gene disorder (such
as tuberous sclerosis) have a recurrence risk of 50%. The
 genetics of autism are complex, partly due to its significant
heterogeneity. Overall, however, there is now overwhelming
evidence that ASD is a complex, neurobiologically deter-
dined, heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorder.

Sex differences

ASD is diagnosed more commonly in males than females,
with a 4:1 male:female ratio. However, the sex vulnerab-
ility to ASD appears to change with functioning level; the ratio
of males to females in children with both ASD and a learn-
ing disability (LD) is 2:1, but it increases to 6:8:1 in ASD
children who do not have a LD. This may itself contribute
toward an ascertainment bias. For example, a diagnosis of
ASD may be more frequently considered in LD females
than in non-LD females. Furthermore, sex differences in
symptom presentation (fewer restricted/repetitive behaviors
in females) may contribute to differential identification of
ASD across sexes. There is preliminary evidence of abnor-
malities and sex-specific differences in the brain structure
of females with ASD. Further, there is first evidence of
possible sex-differences in degree of genetic anomaly associ-
at with ASD. Overall, there is increasing awareness of
the need to better understand females with ASD and how
their neurobiology, symptoms, and clinical detection may
differ from those of males.

Autism legislation

Although the importance of providing mental health care for
adults with ASD is increasingly recognized, to date there is
relatively little evidence-based research regarding health
services for adults with ASD and clinical services for adults
with ASD are markedly limited. However, government leg-
islation has been introduced internationally to raise awareness
of autism and fund research. For example, in the USA,
clinical and social care for their patients with ASD. In order to facilitate this, the UK governing body for family doctors (the Royal College of General Practitioners [RCGP]) designated autism a clinical priority in 2014 and appointed an Autism Champion to provide clinical leadership and deliver innovative clinical programs.

The outcomes of the RCGP autism initiative are not yet available. However, the RCGP plans that it will enable GPs to access high-quality training that will lead to delivery of the best possible community services, including 1) development of ASD friendly services with appropriate referral, 2) timely diagnosis, 3) appropriate support for people with ASD (and their families), and 4) improved health and wellbeing outcomes.

Furthermore, adult community mental health teams and regional councils are setting up local adult autism services to be compliant with Think Autism. Efforts are being made to raise awareness among allied professionals of the needs of adults with ASD and their families, including social workers. Hence, while much remains to be done, community health and social resources for adults with ASD are evolving in the UK. Although it is too soon to determine the impact of Think Autism, it is hoped that joint working across local primary care, community mental health teams, hospitals, and local authorities will enable greater awareness of ASD and timely provision of high-quality evidence-based local services for all adults with ASD. However, there is an urgent need for research to determine the impact of ASD legislation on people with ASD and their families.

**Diagnosis**

**Why is clarity of diagnosis important in ASD?**

Although ASD is a common neurodevelopmental disorder, at the moment clear biomarkers are not yet available, and so ASD is defined and diagnosed on the basis of behavior. The diagnosis of ASD has been revised over the last 35 years, and early brain imaging as well as genetic and behavioral investigations of ASD have contributed to significant advances in our understanding of ASD. However, the results of some early studies were contrasting, which may have been contributed to, in part, by the inclusion of people with unclear diagnoses of ASD.

Hence, standardized assessment measures of ASD were developed that enabled behavioral observations of the individual and collection of collateral developmental history from a parent or carer to be quantified and used in addition to diagnostic classification systems. As ASD is diagnosed behaviorally at present, clarity of assessment and diagnosis is important to better understand associations between brain, behavior, and health in people with ASD across the lifespan and enable inclusion of accurately categorized participants in large multisite studies. Better understanding of brain, behavior, and diagnosis in people with ASD will, in turn, lead to future development of age-appropriate and targeted treatments and thus improved services for people with ASD. As such, the next section will review changes in diagnostic practice in ASD.

**Diagnosis of ASD**

Both an increased awareness of ASD and changes to diagnostic classifications may have partly contributed to the increased prevalence of ASD. Autistic disturbances of affective contact and autistic psychopathy were first described in the 1940s and later translated from German to English by Frith. However, autism was not included as a diagnostic category until the publication of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition (DSM-III) in 1980. Additional diagnostic refinements included the addition of pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS) in 1987 and inclusion of Asperger’s syndrome in DSM-IV.

The DSM diagnosis of ASD was further revised with the recent publication of DSM-5. ASD phenotype diagnoses of autistic disorder, Asperger’s syndrome, atypical autism, and PDD-NOS were replaced with one diagnosis, namely, ASD. Additionally, the three ASD domains included in DSM-IV and International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Edition (ICD-10) (social reciprocity, communication, and restricted and repetitive behaviors) were collapsed into two domains: 1) social communication/interaction and 2) restricted and repetitive behaviors, with evidence required of persistent symptoms that cause functional impairment (currently or historically) in these two domains. Furthermore, abnormalities in sensory reactivity were added to the restricted/repetitive behavior domain. Importantly, DSM-5 acknowledges that, although symptoms must begin in early childhood, they may become more recognizable in later life with increasing social demands. The latter may be particularly relevant for individuals whose symptoms may present a different pattern or become more obvious with the complexities of adult life (eg, females or young people transitioning from a structured school environment to the less-structured environment of college).

The diagnoses of childhood autism, Asperger’s syndrome, atypical autism, and PDD-unspecified are still
recognized in the ICD-10 (Table 1), although ICD-11 (expected publication date 2017) may include revisions to this classification system.

The impact of changes to the diagnostic criteria for people with ASD is yet to be established. However, a recent study investigating ASD diagnoses made in adulthood compared *DSM-5* ASD criteria with ICD-10R and *DSM-IV*; while the specificity of *DSM-5* ASD criteria was good, the sensitivity was relatively poor. For example, 44% of adults who received an ASD diagnosis according to ICD-10R did

### Table 1 ICD-10 and DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for ASD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10 autism (F84.0)</th>
<th>DSM-5 ASD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal or impaired development is evident at the age of &lt;3 years in at least one of the following areas:</td>
<td>Although symptoms must begin in early childhood, they may not be recognized fully until social demands exceed capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Receptive or expressive language as used in social communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The development of selective social attachments or of reciprocal social interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Functional or symbolic play</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A total of at least six symptoms from (1), (2), and (3) must be present, with at least two from (1) and at least one from each of (2) and (3):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Qualitative abnormalities in reciprocal social interaction are manifest in at least two of the following areas:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Failure adequately to use eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body posture, and gesture to regulate social interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Failure to develop (in a manner appropriate to mental age, and despite ample opportunities) peer relationships that involve a mutual sharing of interests, activities, and emotions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Lack of socioemotional reciprocity as shown by an impaired or deviant response to other people's emotions; lack of modulation of behavior according to social context; or a weak integration of social, emotional, and communicative behaviors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, interests, or achievements with other people (eg, a lack of showing, bringing, or pointing out to other people objects of interest to the individual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Qualitative abnormalities in communication are manifest in at least one of the following areas:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) A delay in, or total lack of, the development of spoken language that is not accompanied by an attempt to compensate through the use of gesture or mime as an alternative mode of communication (often preceded by a lack of communicative babbling)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Relative failure to initiate or sustain conversational interchange (at whatever level of language skills is present), in which there is reciprocal responsiveness to the communications of the other person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Stereotyped and repetitive use of language or idiosyncratic use of words or phrases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Lack of varied spontaneous make-believe or (when young) social imitative play</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Restricted, repetitive, and stereotyped patterns of behavior, interests, and activities are manifest in at least one of the following areas:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) An encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest that are abnormal in content or focus; or one or more interests that are abnormal in their intensity and circumscribed nature though not in their content or focus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Apparently compulsive adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Restricted and repetitive behavior domain: two of the four symptoms related to restricted and repetitive behavior must be present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotyped or repetitive speech, motor movements or use of objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive adherence to routines, ritualized patterns of verbal or nonverbal behavior, or excessive resistance to change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10 autism (F84.0)</th>
<th>DSM-5 ASD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) Stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms that involve either hand or finger flapping or twisting or complex whole-body movements</td>
<td>Highly restricted interests that are abnormal in intensity or focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Preoccupations with part-objects or nonfunctional elements of play materials (such as their odor, the feel of their surface, or the noise or vibration that they generate)</td>
<td>Hyper-or hyporeactivity to sensory input or unusual interest in sensory aspects of the environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clinical picture is not attributable to the other varieties of pervasive developmental disorder: specific developmental disorder of receptive language (F80.2) with secondary socioemotional problems, reactive attachment disorder (F94.1) or disinhibited attachment disorder (F94.2), mental retardation (F70–F72) with some associated emotional or behavioral disorder, schizophrenia (F20) of unusually early onset, and Rett’s syndrome (F84.2)

Other ASD diagnoses identified in ICD-10, but not in DSM-5

F84.1 Atypical autism
A type of pervasive developmental disorder that differs from childhood autism either in the age of onset or in failing to fulfill all three sets of diagnostic criteria. This subcategory should be used when there is abnormal and impaired development that is present only at the age of >3 years and a lack of sufficient demonstrable abnormalities in one or two of the three areas of psychopathology required for the diagnosis of autism (namely, reciprocal social interactions, communication, and restricted, stereotyped, repetitive behavior) in spite of characteristic abnormalities in the other areas. Atypical autism arises most often in profoundly retarded individuals and in individuals with a severe specific developmental disorder of receptive language

F84.4 Pervasive developmental disorder, unspecified
This is a residual diagnostic category that should be used for disorders, which fit the general description for pervasive developmental disorders but in which a lack of adequate information, or contradictory findings, means that the criteria for any of the other F84 codes cannot be met.

Notes: For example, not speaking single words by age 2 years and not speaking communicative phrases by age 3 years. Data from ICD-1079 and DSM-5.179


not meet DSM-5 criteria for ASD. Likewise, 22% of adults who met DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria for Asperger’s or autistic disorder did not meet DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for ASD. It is unclear how individuals whose symptoms (and functional impairment) fall within the broader spectrum of ASD according to ICD-10 and DSM-IV, but who no longer meet diagnostic criteria for ASD according to DSM-5, will be identified and cared for in the future.

Although behavioral diagnostic criteria may fluctuate, it is also important to consider potential neurobiological factors underlying symptoms and traits of ASD and their developmental consequences. For example, language delay may serve as a potential marker of atypical brain development and behavior, which is of both clinical and research utility and may conceivably enable the development of individualized treatment.70–72 According to current European diagnostic criteria,72 people with autism and Asperger’s both meet symptom criteria across the three ASD domains, but people with Asperger’s do not have a history of language or cognitive delay. The behavioral phenotype is underpinned by biological differences in brain in both gray matter volume71,72 and white matter structure.70 Hence, the particular timing of language acquisition may be a possible marker of specific developmental changes in brain that may be atypical in some people with ASD.70,71 Overall, the debate about the phenomenological boundaries of autism continues. However, the outcome of this may have significant implications for translational research, novel treatment trials, personalized therapeutic options, and evidence-based service development.

Health
Physical health
Physical health and ASD
There is limited investigation of the physical health of adults with ASD. However, a recent retrospective review of health records (1,507 adults with ASD and 15,070 adults without ASD) found that adults with ASD had significantly increased rates of both mental (depression, anxiety, bipolar affective...
disorder, obsessive–compulsive disorder [OCD], psychosis, and self-harm) and physical (sleep, immune and gastrointestinal [GI] disorders, obesity, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, seizures, cerebrovascular accidents, and Parkinson’s disease) health problems. Similarly, higher rates of seizures, hypertension, and allergies have been reported in smaller samples of adults with ASD, along with lower rates of sexually transmitted diseases, smoking, and alcohol misuse.

Importantly, adults with ASD were also found to have the same risks of developing some common—and treatable—health conditions as the general population: hypothyroidism, hyperlipidemia, constipation, and urinary incontinence. Furthermore, high rates of poor health have been identified among young adults with ASD (34% obesity, 31% hyperlipidemia, and 19% hypertension), thereby placing them at increased risk of developing diabetes, heart disease, and cancer in later life.

Despite having common and treatable health conditions, adults with ASD report difficulty in accessing health care. Overall, the findings underline the importance of providing readily accessible evidence-based, age-appropriate primary and hospital health care for adults with ASD. This may be facilitated by a twofold approach: raising awareness in health professionals about ASD in adults and their susceptibility to increased rates of some mental and physical health disorders but also encouraging health professionals to look beyond the diagnosis of ASD and to consider the everyday health needs of adults with ASD as they would for people who do not have ASD.

Overall, there is a dearth of research regarding the physical health of adults with ASD. As such, we review three health problems reported by children and adults with ASD, but using available (pediatric) literature: sleep, GI problems, and epilepsy. It is hoped that this may generate both future health research and development of health services for adults with ASD.

Sleep

Sleep problems are commonly reported in children with ASD and typically include difficulties with sleep onset, settling, and night waking. There has been little investigation of sleep in adults with ASD, although there is preliminary evidence of subjective insomnia and polysomnograph findings of increased sleep latency and night wakings in ASD adults. It has been suggested that abnormalities in melatonin production and circadian timing may contribute to insomnia and circadian sleep disturbances in people with ASD. However, other health variables (medication, obesity, epilepsy, GI problems, mood, and anxiety) may also contribute to poor sleep in people with ASD.

The Autism Treatment Network (ATN) and the National Initiative for Children’s Healthcare Quality have developed an expert clinical consensus pathway regarding the assessment and management of insomnia in young people (but not adults) with ASD. This includes recommendations that all young people with ASD should be screened for insomnia and possible associated factors and, if treatment is indicated, it should include parent training, consideration of medication, and follow-up to determine efficacy. There is preliminary evidence that melatonin can be effective in treating insomnia in ASD children, but sleep assessment and treatment trials in ASD adults are warranted. Overall, although sleep should be included in health reviews of people with ASD, greater understanding of the causes, treatment, and age trajectories of poor sleep are required.

GI

GI problems are one of the most commonly reported health concerns for children with ASD, although prevalence rates and treatment options are poorly understood. High rates of GI and other health problems have been recently reported in adults with ASD. However, there is little specific investigation of GI disorders in ASD adults. A recent meta-analysis found that, in comparison with non-ASD children, ASD children have a threefold elevated risk of GI complaints, constipation, and diarrhea and a twofold elevated risk of abdominal pain. The ATN has released guidelines regarding management of constipation in young people with ASD.

Furthermore, feeding and eating problems are five times more common in ASD children and may contribute to GI difficulties. For example, food selectivity (eating a highly limited range of food or just one food) may be associated with poor nutrition, altered gut motility, and constipation in people with ASD. Recently published nutritional guidelines for the management of GI symptoms in ASD children advise that poor nutrition may be contributed to by restricted dietary choices (restriction or elimination diets) imposed by carers. Although data are limited, a small randomized, double-blind, crossover study of 15 children (2–16 years old) with ASD on gluten- and casein-free diets found no significant change in ASD symptoms. However, despite any absence of evidence supporting their efficacy, children with developmental disorders are more likely to be placed on restricted or elimination diets by their carers.
Additionally, social communication difficulties (and associated health problems, such as anxiety) may make reporting of physical symptoms difficult for some people with ASD. Hence, clinicians should consider whether changes in, or exacerbations of, behavior such as altered sleep patterns, irritability, anxiety, or self-injury may be indicative of physical problems. Consensus recommendations from an expert panel of gastroenterologists emphasize the need for increased research and evidence-based clinical care. However, they also contain the important proviso that clinicians should expect to see at least the same rate of GI problems in people with ASD as they see in people who do not have ASD and should provide appropriate assessment and treatment accordingly.

Epilepsy

Many studies report that people with ASD have an increased risk of epilepsy, although rates vary widely from 2% to 46%. This variability may be due in part to methodological differences, including study inclusion criteria and rigor of diagnosis of both ASD and epilepsy. However, a recent review identifies female sex and learning disabilities as risk factors for epilepsy in people with ASD. To date, no seizure types are particularly associated with ASD, and there are no treatment reviews available regarding the treatment of seizures in people with ASD. However, there are concerns regarding both under and overdiagnosis of epilepsy in people with ASD. As such, it is recommended that people with ASD and possible seizures are referred to neurologists for review, that electroencephalograms (EEGs) are performed only if there is clinical concern about seizures, and that sleep and metabolic disorders are considered in the assessment and management of seizures in people with ASD.

Mental health

Mental health and ASD

Although the mental health needs of adults with ASD are less well characterized than those of children with ASD, there is evidence that adults with ASD have significantly increased rates of mental health problems, including mood and anxiety disorders, OCD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and psychotic disorders. Furthermore, these comorbid mental health difficulties persist from childhood to adulthood and occur in both males and females with ASD. Moreover, people with ASD can have specific cognitive anomalies, including poor planning, decision making, timing, and motor skills, which may adversely impact on their everyday living skills and ability to access health services.

ADHD in people with ASD

Difficulties with attention are common in people with ASD, including problems with switching attention and sustained (focused) attention. It has been suggested that attention problems are part of the cognitive phenotype of ASD and have significant implications for the diagnosis and management of ASD and associated mental health difficulties. According to DSM-IV and ICD-10 guidelines, an individual with ASD cannot also be diagnosed with ADHD. However, there is increasing recognition that ADHD is common in people with ASD and persists from childhood to adulthood, and DSM-5 does allow for the diagnosis of both ASD and ADHD in one person. Furthermore, adults with ASD are aware of having difficulties with attention and appropriately rate their ADHD symptoms using the Barkley ADHD questionnaire.

There is preliminary evidence that children with ASD and comorbid ADHD can benefit from treatment of ADHD, including stimulant medication, atomoxetine, and psychological management. However, some young people with ASD may respond poorly to medication for ADHD or have increased side effects. For example, in a randomized control trial of methylphenidate in children with ASD and ADHD, 49% had a therapeutic response (compared with a 69% response rate in children with ADHD in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD (MTA) study). Furthermore, 18% of children with ASD discontinued medication because of side effects, including irritability, loss of appetite, emotional outbursts, and poor sleep (compared to 1.4% of children with ADHD in the MTA study).

The Autism Speaks ATN Psychopharmacology Committee has provided a clinical pathway for the assessment and management of ADHD in children and adolescents with ASD. Because of the limited available evidence to date, these are primarily based on expert clinical consensus but provide guidance on evaluation of symptoms of ADHD in children with ASD and, where indicated, choice of medication. The ATN pathway recommends evaluation of both ASD and ADHD using validated assessments, gathering collateral history across settings (family/school) where available, and consideration of behavioral, environmental, and medical factors (including GI, seizures, and anxiety) that may be contributing to poor attention. If ADHD is confirmed, short-acting methylphenidate is recommended as the first-line pharmacological treatment of ADHD in young people with ASD, with careful clinician monitoring for efficacy and possible side effects and exclusion of any cardiac problems prior to the initiation of stimulants.
To the best of our knowledge, no published evidence is yet available regarding pharmacological or psychological treatment of ADHD in adults with ASD. As such, best practice guidelines (recommendations for clinicians about the care of patients with specific conditions, based upon the best available research evidence and practice experience) should apply (Table 2), including liaison of primary care and community physicians with psychiatrists and psychologists experienced in prescribing and providing psychological management for adults with ASD and ADHD.

Furthermore, there is a debate regarding whether people with ASD, ADHD, or combined ASD and ADHD have shared or different neurobiology. This is important, as an increased understanding of the causal mechanisms underlying specific symptoms may contribute to future development of individually tailored treatments. For example, recent novel functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have found both shared and disorder-specific brain differences in youth with ASD and ADHD across fMRI tasks of sustained attention\textsuperscript{120} and reward-related temporal discounting.\textsuperscript{121} Further studies are warranted to better understand the neurobiology of ASD and common comorbidities, which may enable the development of more personalized treatments.

### Mood disorders, anxiety, and OCD in people with ASD

Affective disorders, including depression,\textsuperscript{99} anxiety,\textsuperscript{98} and OCD,\textsuperscript{100} are common in adults with ASD (across both sexes).\textsuperscript{34}

For example, high rates of depression and anxiety were identified in adults with Asperger’s syndrome in Sweden; of the 26 men and 28 women studied, 70% had at least one episode of major depression, 50% had recurrent depressive episodes, and 50% had anxiety disorders, although psychosis and substance use were uncommon.\textsuperscript{99} More recently, a retrospective review of clinical assessments of 474 adults with ASD found that 58% had at least one other psychiatric diagnosis (typically anxiety disorders, OCD, depression, and ADHD).\textsuperscript{103}

Despite high rates of anxiety in ASD adults, including generalized anxiety, social anxiety, phobias, and OCD,\textsuperscript{100,122} anxiety is often overlooked and assumed to be part of an ASD profile, rather than warranting a separate diagnosis, which may limit access to treatment. However, there is evidence that assessment instruments validated for the assessment of OCD have direct clinical utility in the assessment of OCD in adults with ASD. For example, 40 male and female adults with high-functioning ASD and 45 sex-matched adults with OCD completed the Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) symptom checklist.\textsuperscript{100} Additionally, the type of OCD symptoms differed across diagnoses; adults with ASD + OCD reported more sexual obsessions than OCD adults, who reported more somatic obsessions. Recent evidence suggests that adults with ASD + OCD engage in significantly more checking, ordering, and obsessive behaviors than people with ASD without OCD and also order and hoard more than people with OCD.\textsuperscript{123} Additionally, self-report measures of OCD (Obsessive–Compulsive Inventory-Revised) appear to be useful in screening adults with ASD\textsuperscript{23} and so may be a valuable and cost-effective triage tool for adult ASD mental health services.

OCD is, by definition, intrusive, distressing, and time-consuming to the individual and their family. OCD is ranked by the World Health Organization as a top 20 cause of years lived with disability.\textsuperscript{124} Barriers to care that contribute to this ranking include lack of knowledge and poor access to treatment. However, there is evidence that psychological treatments adapted for people with ASD can provide effective treatment of OCD and anxiety in adults with ASD\textsuperscript{125} and, crucially, that treatment gains are maintained.\textsuperscript{31} Hence, although repetitive behaviors are characteristic of ASD, it is important not to presume that adults with ASD cannot also have OCD or other comorbid (and treatable) difficulties. Failure to accurately diagnose symptomatology that is present may lead to inadequate treatment and increased morbidity.

In summary, adults with ASD commonly experience comorbid mental health difficulties.\textsuperscript{98} However, to date, access to ASD diagnostic and management services to enable identification and treatment of these disabling conditions is extremely limited.\textsuperscript{5} There is an urgent need for increased

---

**Table 2 Maudsley Hospital National Autism Service recommendations for good prescribing practice in adults with ASD**

1. Start medication at low doses
2. Gradually titrate to maximal efficacy with regular monitoring for side effects and individual response to medication targets (eg, use of mood, ADHD, and OCD rating scales)
3. Health monitoring before the initiation of, and during the use of, medication as appropriate (eg, cardiac review if patient/family cardiac history before methylphenidate initiation and lipid/weight monitoring with antipsychotics)
4. Stop any aversive or ineffective medication
5. Discuss medication/seek an expert second opinion as indicated
6. Avoid polypharmacy
7. Schedule planned reviews, including whether to continue or stop the medication

**Abbreviations:** ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; OCD, obsessive–compulsive disorder.
awareness of ASD, assessment of associated health difficulties, clinical treatment trials, and development of evidence-based health care for males and females with ASD across the adult lifespan.

**Service development**

**Recommendations for assessment of ASD**

In the UK, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) provides evidence-based guidelines (available online) regarding the assessment and management of a variety of physical and mental conditions, including the care of adults with ASD. Although developed for the UK, these first recommendations can be adapted for international use and provide a benchmark of appropriate care for newly developing adult ASD services.

For example, NICE recommends that adults referred for assessment of ASD should have a comprehensive, multidisciplinary assessment by trained professionals, which includes diagnosis (assessment of core ASD difficulties, early development, medical and family history, behavior, education, and employment), needs assessment, risks, and feedback to the individual. Where possible, a collateral neurodevelopmental history should be obtained from parents/carers who have known the individual well since early childhood.

If resources allow, NICE recommends the use of validated assessment tools to contribute structure and validity to diagnostic assessments, such as the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R), the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic (ADOS), the Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorders, the Asperger’s Syndrome (and high-functioning autism) Diagnostic Interview, and the Ritvo Autism Asperger Diagnostic Scale-Revised. The ADI-R and ADOS significantly contributed to the scientific investigation of ASD by providing robust, reliable, and validated ASD assessment criteria, have been adopted as gold standard ASD assessment tools, and are also specifically recommended for assessment of ASD in people with LD. ASD screening questionnaires available for use with adults include the Social Responsiveness Scale and the Autism Quotient (AQ), although a recent comparison of the AQ with expert clinical diagnostic consensus (ICD-10, ADI-R and ADOS) found the AQ had limited specificity. Similarly, screening questionnaires for other common mental health comorbidities (eg, the Barkley measure of ADHD or the Obsessive–Compulsive Inventory-Revised) can contribute to the provision of comprehensive health services for adults with ASD, including both initial assessment and monitoring of response to treatment.

The NICE guidelines also include a range of recommendations for adult service provisions, including care planning, risk assessment (to self and from others), challenging behavior, health passports, crisis plans, second opinions, and meeting social and educational needs.

As with any condition where multiple etiologies and/or comorbid difficulties are possible, an adequate assessment of ASD should include a full medical history, physical examination, and consideration of known genetic associations with ASD, such as 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, fragile X syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis. Additional medical investigations and liaison with appropriate physicians are recommended where appropriate (Table 3).

However, the behavioral assessment of ASD can be time-consuming and expensive and, in the absence of biomarkers, relies on expert clinical assessment that is not always available. As such, tools to improve accuracy, speed, cost, and availability of assessment of ASD are important. Innovative brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) diagnostic classification analysis has provided preliminary evidence that neuroimaging may aid the behavioral diagnosis of ASD. For example, Ecker et al demonstrated that adults with ASD may be distinguished from typically developing adults using neuroanatomical brain scans at an overall

### Table 3 Maudsley Hospital National Autism Service recommendations for medical investigations for adults with ASD

| 1. Genetic investigation: history of dysmorphic features, congenital anomalies, associated physical health problems (eg, cardiac, metabolic, skeletal, and immune), learning difficulties, or family medical history |
| 2. Metabolic investigation: history consistent with anxiety or mood disorder or cramps/leg pains (eg, thyroid and calcium levels) |
| 3. Hematological: history consistent with anxiety or mood disorder |
| 4. Blood monitoring (eg, monitoring of health with psychoactive medication and lipids and drug levels of prescribed medication) |
| 5. Cardiac: eg, ECG/cardiac review prior to starting medication if personal or family cardiac history prior to starting stimulants for ADHD, blood pressure monitoring for people prescribed stimulant medication for ADHD, and echocardiography for all people diagnosed with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome |
| 6. Neurological: eg, EEG for possible epilepsy, brain MRI if indicated |
| 7. Renal: renal ultrasound for all people diagnosed with 22q11.2 DS |
| 8. Immunology: eg, if recurrent infections |

**Abbreviations:** ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ECG, electrocardiogram; EEG, electroencephalogram; DS, deletion syndrome; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
accuracy of 80%–90%. This awaits replication in a clinical setting, but it raises the possibility that MRI brain scans may contribute to objective, accurate, and time-effective assessment of ASD in the future.

**Medication**

Despite the increasing prevalence of ASD and associated costs, no medication is approved for the treatment of either core symptoms or comorbid mental health difficulties in adults with ASD. Although there is more available evidence for psychotropic use in children with ASD than adults, overall there is a lack of treatment trials and guidance. However, both risperidone and aripiprazole are approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of irritability in young people with ASD in the USA. In the UK, NICE recommends that medication options for adults with autism and associated psychiatric comorbidity are informed by existing NICE guidelines for the associated condition (e.g., ADHD/OCD).

Despite the lack of treatment trials, there is evidence that people with ASD are more likely to be prescribed psychotropic medication (primarily sleep medication, stimulants, antidepressants, and antipsychotics) than the general population. Furthermore, psychotropic medication is increasingly prescribed for young people and adults with ASD; in Carolina, 30%–45% of people with ASD are now prescribed medication. However, prescribing rates do vary internationally; prescribing rates of psychotropics for people with ASD in the UK appear more conservative (29%), although polypharmacy still occurs.

Crucially, once people with ASD are prescribed medication, it is continued. Remarkably, they are eleven times more likely than those without ASD to remain on psychotropic medication and nearly five times more likely to remain on nonpsychotropic medication, suggesting either considerably increased rates of health problems requiring medication or poor physical and mental health care that lacks medication review.

It has been suggested that some people with ASD are vulnerable to medication side effects, including reduced efficacy, toxicity, and idiosyncratic response to medication (NICE 2012). The National Autism Service at the Maudsley Hospital has been providing specialist in- and outpatient clinical mental health services for ASD adults for over 20 years. In the absence of any available guidelines, Table 2 summarizes the recommended good practice used by the authors and colleagues at The Maudsley Hospital, National Autism Service, when prescribing for adults with ASD.

Overall, research is urgently needed to increase the understanding of response to available medication, develop new individualized therapeutic options, identify valid and reliable outcome measures, and determine best practice guidelines for adults with ASD. It is hoped that recent international multidisciplinary collaborations will enable the development of bench to bedside novel therapeutic options that may include consideration of both genetic and neurobiological causes of ASD and comorbid difficulties and response to treatment. For example, European Autism Interventions - A Multicentre Study for Developing New Medications (EUA-AIMS) is a multicenter, European-wide autism initiative to develop novel therapeutic options, develop expert clinical sites, and provide a shared knowledge platform for people with ASD and professionals.

**Psychological management**

There are few rigorous studies investigating the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions or cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in adults with ASD. To date, there is one randomized control trial of modified CBT for treatment of OCD/anxiety in adults with ASD (finding good treatment response and maintenance over 12 months) and a preliminary investigation of mindfulness (finding significant reduction in anxiety, depression, and ruminations). A randomized, controlled open trial of CBT and recreational activity in adults with ASD found no significant difference between interventions in measurements of quality of life, although CBT participants rated themselves as more improved in expressing needs and understanding difficulties. An uncontrolled 18-month trial of cognitive enhancement therapy (rehabilitation of social and nonsocial cognitions) in 14 adults with ASD reported participant satisfaction and significant improvement of cognitive and social outcomes. Overall, much remains to be done to determine the most efficacious evidence-based psychological treatments for associated difficulties of adults with ASD.

**Transition**

There is increasing recognition of the need for young people with neurodevelopmental disorders to have a planned transition from child to adult health services (NICE 2012) but very limited investigation of how best to do this. Differential funding of child and adult services, differing eligibility criteria for care and limited awareness among physicians and other professionals of adult neurodevelopmental disorders may contribute to mismatched resources. Young adults with ASD may therefore become lost to health.
care at an important time of increased vulnerability on transition from adolescent to adult health and education systems. Perhaps not surprisingly, many professionals and families feel confused about how to navigate the system between child and adult services.\textsuperscript{150}

To date, there has been little investigation of the transition of young people with ASD to adult services. Consensus guidelines regarding transitions for young adults with special care health needs have been available for the last decade.\textsuperscript{151} However, there remains a lack of clear pathways providing planned and informed transition between child and adult services for people with ASD. Furthermore, successful transition requires adaptive skills that can be learned and adapted for use across future transitions. For example, in addition to moving from child to adult services, transitions occur naturally across many life events: adult relationships, parenthood, employment, death of a parent/carer. There is increasing recognition from both people with ASD\textsuperscript{7} and professionals\textsuperscript{149} of the need for transition services to also consider lifelong functioning and adaptive skills. Despite this, young people with ASD are 64\% less likely than other youth with special health care needs to receive transition services to adult care.\textsuperscript{148} Furthermore, there is preliminary evidence that access to transition services for young people with ASD can be more problematic for those from ethnic minorities, with behavioral difficulties or anxious parents.\textsuperscript{152}

**Older adults**

Little is known of the mental and physical health of older adults with ASD.\textsuperscript{10,153} However, an increasing number of older adults are being diagnosed with ASD, and future research should include the determination of mental and physical health in older adults with ASD, including dementia, development of age-appropriate treatment options, and consideration of social and financial factors. For example, the death of a parent, partner, or older sibling who has been caring for an older adult with ASD may adversely impact on their mental health and ability to live independently.

There is first evidence of increased rates of Parkinsonism in adults (≥49 years old) with ASD.\textsuperscript{154} Following neurological examination and use of the Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, ~20\% of adults with ASD were found to have Parkinsonism (including rigidity of tone, bradykinesia, resting tremor, and postural instability).\textsuperscript{154} Although the findings are preliminary, if confirmed, they have significant implications both for understanding the neurobiology of ASD and the development of age-specific health services for adults with ASD. Furthermore, there is preliminary evidence of an increased risk of early onset age-related disease in genetically determined neurodevelopmental disorders that are associated with ASD, for example, early onset Alzheimer’s in Down syndrome\textsuperscript{155} and preliminary evidence of early onset Parkinson disease in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.\textsuperscript{156,157} Further research is warranted to investigate the health of older adults with ASD\textsuperscript{10} and to determine possible genetic vulnerability toward age-related disease.

**Brain development across the lifespan in ASD**

ASD is a lifelong neurodevelopmental disorder. Brain imaging studies offer the opportunity for greater understanding of brain development and associated behavioral difficulties across the lifespan of people with ASD, which may contribute to the development of age-appropriate treatments.\textsuperscript{158} For example, there is accumulating longitudinal evidence of abnormal, age-related changes in the brain anatomy of individuals with ASD in comparison to typically developing individuals, particularly in frontotemporal and striatal regions in early childhood\textsuperscript{159–161} and adolescence.\textsuperscript{162} Furthermore, there is increasing cross-sectional evidence of region-specific and age-related changes in the brain structure of people with ASD from childhood to adulthood across a number of areas associated with ASD symptoms, including parameters of volume (cerebellum,\textsuperscript{1 amygdala,\textsuperscript{163 striatum\textsuperscript{164}} and surface-based measures of cortical thickness and surface area (medial frontotemporal\textsuperscript{165} and parietal regions\textsuperscript{166}). Earlier brain imaging investigations in people with ASD suggested that macrocephaly (head circumference ≥97\% centile for age and sex) may be a risk factor in the development of ASD.\textsuperscript{167} However, more recent investigations in larger samples have questioned this\textsuperscript{168} and suggested that true brain overgrowth occurs only in a small subgroup of ASD children.\textsuperscript{158,169}

To date, there is a limited fMRI investigation of functional brain maturation in people with ASD. However, there is fMRI evidence that sustained attention brain networks in people with ASD are activated abnormally and, furthermore, that this is associated with abnormal functional brain maturation of fronto-striatal-cerebellar attention networks between late childhood and adulthood in people with ASD.\textsuperscript{170} Abnormal activation and maturation of attention networks may contribute to attention difficulties across the lifespan\textsuperscript{101,102} and the associated costs of ASD, such as loss of earnings/employment or education.\textsuperscript{19} However, to
date, there is limited longitudinal investigation of brain maturation in people with ASD from childhood through adulthood.

Future developmental investigations are warranted to enable better understanding of brain, cognition, and behavior in people with ASD across the lifespan, identify biomarkers, and develop effective age-appropriate, personalized treatments.

**Neurochemistry**

There is evidence that ASD adults have differences in brain chemistry, which may contribute both to ASD symptoms and differential response to treatment. Three neurotransmitter systems have been a focus of current investigation: gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA), glutamine, and serotonin. In brief, GABA plays a central role in both neurodevelopment and inhibitory neurotransmission and binds differentially in adults with ASD.\(^1\)\(^7\) Conversely, glutamatergic (excitatory) neurotransmission appears to be enhanced,\(^6\) while serotonin anomalies have been associated with the recognition of emotion and response inhibition in adults with ASD.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^2\)\(^3\)

Crucially, serotonin levels may be modifiable and so may offer opportunities for future treatment development. For example, an fMRI investigation of the neural processing of facial emotions found that modulation of serotonin levels normalized the brain activation patterns of ASD adults in social brain regions (including frontal lobe, lingual gyrus, and limbic areas) to that of typically developing controls.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^3\) Similarly, fMRI studies of serotonin modulation in tasks of impulsivity and inhibition found normalization of brain activation of ASD adults in key brain inhibition regions (frontal, striatal, and cerebellar).\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^2\) Positron emission tomography studies have reported abnormalities in both serotonin and dopamine transporter binding in adults with ASD.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^4\)

In addition to its better known hormonal role in facilitating uterine contractions and milk let down, oxytocin also acts as a neuromodulator and is thought to be implicated in social cognition. Although results of early oxytocin trials are mixed,\(^1\)\(^7\) there is preliminary evidence that intranasal doses of oxytocin are associated with improved empathy and reduced repetitive behaviors in adults with ASD.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^6\) Furthermore, a 12-week modified maximum tolerated dose study of oxytocin in 15 young people with ASD found that daily administration of oxytocin was well tolerated, with no reported serious adverse events, and was associated with some changes in measures of social cognition, repetitive behavior, and anxiety.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^7\)

Overall, translational work is urgently required to better understand the potential relationship between brain chemistry and behavior in people with ASD and to facilitate the development of safe new treatments for adults with ASD.

**Conclusion**

There has been a considerable increase in ASD research over the last 20 years but much remains to be done in health services research for people with ASD. In particular, research is required to determine a better understanding of the needs of adults with ASD, including health, aging, service development, transition, treatment options across the lifespan, sex differences, and the views of people with ASD. Significant legislative efforts have been put in place internationally to increase community and professional awareness of ASD in adults and to develop community diagnostic and management services for adults with ASD. However, the outcomes of this legislation remain to be determined. Further research is required to identify evidence-based and cost-effective models of care and to enable adults with ASD to easily access coordinated, high-quality local health and social care. Additionally, future work is warranted at both ends of adulthood in ASD: improved transition from youth to adult health care and increased understanding of aging and health in older adults with ASD.
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