The effects of ferulic acid on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin in rats after biliary drainage

Abstract: According to previous research studies, warfarin can be detected in human bile after oral administration. Ferulic acid (FA) is the main bioactive component of many Chinese herbs for the treatment of cardiovascular disease. To elucidate the effects of FA on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin in rats after biliary drainage is necessary. Twenty rats were randomly divided into four groups: Group 1 (WN): healthy rats after the administration of warfarin sodium, Group 2 (WO): a rat model of biliary drainage after the administration of warfarin sodium, Group 3 (WFN): healthy rats after the administration of warfarin sodium and FA, and Group 4 (WFO): a rat model of biliary drainage after the administration of warfarin sodium and FA. Blood samples were collected at different time points after administration. The concentrations of blood samples were determined by ultraperformance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. Comparisons between groups were performed according to the main pharmacokinetic parameters calculated by the DAS 2.1.1 software. The pharmacokinetic parameters showed a significant difference between the WN and WO groups, the WO group showed a decrease of 51% and 41.6% in area under the curve from 0 to time (AUC0–t) and peak plasma concentration (Cmax), respectively, whereas time to Cmax (Tmax) was delayed 3.27 folds. There were significant differences between the WFO and WFN groups, the WFN group showed a decrease of 63.8% and 70% in AUC0–t and Cmax, respectively; the delay in Tmax between the WN and WFN groups (mean, from 132–432 minutes) was significantly different; the mean retention time from 0 to time (MRT0–t) between the WO and WFN groups (mean, from 718.31–606.13 minutes) also showed a significant difference. Enterohepatic circulation markedly influences the disposition of warfarin in rats, and FA significantly affected the warfarin disposition in rat plasma.
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Introduction

Warfarin is the most widely prescribed oral anticoagulant and is used by patients with chronic atrial fibrillation, mechanical valves, deep vein thrombosis, and recurrent stroke.1 The annual prescriptions of warfarin typically occur in 0.5%–1.5% of the population.2 Although novel oral anticoagulants, such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban, do not require special laboratory monitoring or dose adjustment like warfarin, warfarin is still the most common oral anticoagulant drug and has remained in use for >60 years.3 This is mainly because warfarin can exhibit anticoagulant effects for several days even after the subject stops taking it, whereas the novel oral anticoagulants do not have this advantage. Several studies have focused on its dose and safety. As a consequence of under- or overanticoagulation, the incidence of hemorrhage or thromboembolism due to the use of warfarin ranges from 16% to 25%.4 The above mentioned risks are most common during...
the initial period of treatment.\textsuperscript{3} The narrow therapeutic range of warfarin is associated with side effects. However, different patients have different therapeutic ranges for warfarin. The reason for these issues is that multiple environmental and genetic factors can influence the metabolism and effects of warfarin in each patient, and it has been reported that there is an at least 20-fold interindividual variability in warfarin sensitivity.\textsuperscript{4} Therefore, it is necessary to carefully monitor the warfarin concentration to keep patients safe and avoid serious side effects.

After oral administration, warfarin can be detected in human bile.\textsuperscript{7} Some of the warfarin in the blood is absorbed from the intestine and secreted into the bile after entering liver cells, is then stored in the gallbladder through the bile duct, and finally is taken into the duodenum by gallbladder contraction.\textsuperscript{8} This nonrenal elimination route is termed the enterohepatic circulation (EHC). During EHC, the warfarin concentration increases on two different occasions. The timing of the second peak is related to gallbladder emptying and eating. Because of the irregularity of gallbladder emptying, the concentration–time curve may show double peaks or multiple peaks. Scientists often use biliary drainage models to investigate the effects of EHC.\textsuperscript{9,10} Unfortunately, the effects of biliary drainage on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin have been unclear.

Ferulic acid (FA), a hydroxycinnamic acid (Figure 1), is ubiquitous and abundant in many plants\textsuperscript{11} and even some vegetables.\textsuperscript{12} FA is the main active constituent of Angelicae Sinensis Radix (the root of Angelica sinensis [Oliv] Diels)\textsuperscript{13} and Chuanxiong Rhizoma (rhizomes of Ligusticum chuanxiong Hort). According to the traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) theory, the pharmacological action of FA is “to activate the blood circulation and to dissipate blood stasis”, which is similar to the antiplatelet aggregation,\textsuperscript{14} anticoagulation, inhibiting erythrocyte agglutination,\textsuperscript{15} and vasodilation\textsuperscript{16} effects of FA. Previous studies showed that some active components of Chinese herbal medicine, including tanshinone IIA\textsuperscript{17} and ginsenosides,\textsuperscript{18} could influence the pharmacokinetics of warfarin. However, the effects of FA on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin remain unknown.

This study aimed to compare the pharmacokinetics of warfarin in a biliary drainage model with healthy rats and to investigate the potential effects of EHC and FA on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin in rats.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents

FA (C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{10}O\textsubscript{3}, molecular weight [MW], 191.48; lot, F1205050; purity, 99%; CAS, 1135-24-6) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Warfarin sodium (C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{12}NaO\textsubscript{7}, MW, 330.31; lot, 101163-201001; purity, 92.3%; CAS, 129-06-6) and methyclothiazide (C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{14}Cl\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}S\textsubscript{2}, MW, 360.24; lot, 101163-201101; purity, 99.6%; CAS, 135-07-9; internal standard [IS]) were purchased from the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Formic acid was purchased from Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Reagent Factory (Tianjin, People’s Republic of China). High performance liquid chromatography grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA). Deionized water was purified with a TKA Smartpure water purification system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a sensitivity of 18.2 MO.

Surgical procedures

Surgical procedures were performed under sterile conditions in rats anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 10% chloral hydrate (0.4 mL/100 g body weight). A midline incision was made on the abdomen, the liver was opened up, and the common bile duct was noted over the margin of the duodenal bulb. The distal end of the bile duct was ligated, and a polyethylene catheter (inner diameter, 0.8 mm; outer diameter, 1.2 mm) was inserted into the common bile duct for external biliary drainage. Yellow bile could be seen in the tube either immediately or a few minutes after insertion, and the free end of the external biliary drainage tube was fixed to...
the peritoneum and brought out of the body through a small hole made on the back of the rat’s neck.

**Instrumentation and analytical conditions**

The sample analysis was performed on an ultraperformance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) system. The Acquity™ UPLC system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) was composed of a binary solvent delivery manager, an autosampler (set at 10°C), and a column oven (set at 35°C). Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Waters Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1×100 mm inner diameter, 1.7 μm; Waters Corporation, Wexford, Ireland). A mobile phase consisting of A (aqueous buffer containing 0.1% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile) was pumped at 0.3 mL/min. The gradient elution was 0 minute, 10% B; 0–0.25 minutes, 10% B; 0.25–5.0 minutes, linear from 10% to 95% B; 5.0–8.0 minutes, holding at 95% B; then, between 8.0 minutes and 8.2 minutes, an immediate decrease to the initial conditions (ie, 10% B) for equilibration of the column. The typical injection volume was 2 μL. The detection system, a tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK), was operated using an electrospray ionization with the capillary voltage set at 110°C. Nitrogen was used for the desolvation gas flow (650 L/h) and cone gas flow (50 L/h). For collision-induced dissociation, argon was used as the collision gas at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The Masslynx 4.1 software program (Waters Corporation) was used for data acquisition and processing. The multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was selected for quantitation of warfarin and IS, the precursors of warfarin and IS were transited into their production ions as follows: warfarin, 307.03→250.02; IS, 357.81→321.89. The data were collected and processed using the DAS 2.1.1 software.

**Sample collection**

The study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Central South University. Animal welfare and experimental procedures were strictly in accordance with the guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health and the related ethics regulations of Central South University. Twenty specific pathogen free grade male Sprague Dawley rats weighing 250–300 g were provided by Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center. They were housed in an specific pathogen free environment on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle at ambient temperature (−20°C–26°C) and 40%–70% relative humidity. All rats were fed standard rodent chow and provided tap water ad libitum, except for the 12-hour fasting before the pharmacokinetic experiment. The experimental Sprague Dawley rats were randomly divided into four groups: Group 1 (WN): healthy rats after a single oral administration of 0.5 mg/kg warfarin sodium; Group 2 (WO): rats subjected to biliary drainage after the oral administration of 0.5 mg/kg warfarin sodium; Group 3 (WFN): healthy rats after the oral administration of warfarin sodium and FA at doses of 0.5 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively; and Group 4 (WFO): rats subjected to biliary drainage after the oral administration of warfarin sodium and FA at doses of 0.5 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively. The doses were calculated from human dose based on body surface area.

On the second day after biliary drainage surgery, 500 μL of tail venous blood was drawn into heparinized tubes prior to treatment and at 0.083 hour, 0.167 hour, 0.5 hour, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 12 hours, and 24 hours postdose. The samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes to obtain the supernatant and were stored at −80°C until analysis. To a total of 200 μL of rat plasma, 20 μL of IS solution, 100 μL of 20% formic acid, and 2 mL of methanol were added, which was followed by vortex mixing for 1 minute and hyperacoustic mixing for 5 minutes. The samples were then centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the residue was extracted by 2 mL of methanol. The two supernatants were combined and evaporated to dryness at room temperature under a gentle stream of nitrogen, followed by reconstitution with 200 μL of a mixture of acetonitrile and water (50:50). This was followed by vortex mixing for 1 minute and hyperacoustic mixing for 5 minutes. The samples were then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 10 minutes, the supernatant was filtered through the 0.22 μm membrane, and 2.0 μL of the processed sample was injected for the analysis.

**Method validation**

The method was validated in terms of selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, extraction recovery, matrix effects, and stability according to the US Food and Drug Administration guidelines for bioanalytical method validation.

**Statistical methods**

Statistical evaluation was performed by SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The analyses of area under the curve from 0 to time (AUC0→t), mean retention time from 0 to time (MRT0→t), and peak plasma concentration (Cmax) were done with analysis of variance. The analyses of time to Cmax (Tmax)
and terminal halftime ($t_{1/2}$) were done using nonparametric methods (Wilcoxon-signed rank test). For all analyses, $P<0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Specificity**

MRM has high selectivity and accuracy of analysis of chemicals. No interference was observed at the retention times of either warfarin or IS (Figure 2) in plasma samples, which were used for the analysis, and the method exhibited good specificity. Typical MRM chromatograms are shown in Figure 2, and the UPLC-MS/MS spectra of warfarin and methyclothiazide are shown in Figure 3.

**Linearity and LLOQ of warfarin**

The linear regression equation was $y=0.0189x−0.0042$ ($r=0.999993$), the linearity range was 10–5,000 ng/mL, and the lower limit of quantitation was 5 ng/mL, where $x$ refers to the warfarin concentration (ng/mL), $y$ indicates the ratio of warfarin peak area to internal standard peak area, and $r$ is the correlation coefficient of the equation.

**Precision and accuracy**

The method showed good precision and accuracy with good intraday and interday precision. All the results were found to be within the accepted variable limits, as shown in Table 1.
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**Figure 2 (Continued)**
Pharmacokinetic study

Figure 4 shows the concentration–time curves for plasma warfarin in the four groups (n=5). The pharmacokinetic parameters (Table 2) of warfarin were calculated using non-compartmental modeling with the DAS2.1.1 software.

Table 2 shows that there were significant differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of warfarin between the WO and WN groups. The WO group showed a decrease in AUC_{0-t} to 51.0% compared with the WN group (P<0.01). The C_{max} of the WO group was significantly decreased by 53.0% compared with the WN group (P<0.01), and the T_{max} in the WO group was 3.27 times slower than that in the WN group (P<0.01). The MRT_{0-t} was also delayed by 18.5% (P<0.05). t_{1/2} had a tendency to be extended from 1,221.34 minutes to 1,546.99 minutes, but this difference was not statistically significant.
As shown in Table 2, there were also significant differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of warfarin between the WFO and WFN groups. The WFO group showed a decrease of 63.8% in the AUC$_{0-t}$ compared with the WFN group ($P<0.05$). Compared with the WFN group, the $C_{\text{max}}$ of the WFO group was significantly decreased by 29.6% ($P<0.05$) and the MRT$_{0-t}$ also decreased by 11.5% ($P<0.05$).

Furthermore, Table 2 revealed that the $T_{\text{max}}$ of warfarin in the WFN group was 3.27 times slower than that of the WN group, which showed that FA affected the pharmacokinetics of warfarin in rat plasma. At the same time, there was a tendency for a decrease in the AUC$_{0-t}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ of warfarin caused by the coadministration of FA. FA obviously affected the disposition of warfarin after biliary drainage, because the MRT$_{0-t}$ was significantly different between the WO and WFO groups (mean, 718.31 minutes and 606.13 minutes, respectively, $P<0.01$).

**Discussion**

The notable decreases in the AUC$_{0-t}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ of the WO group compared with the WN group caused by biliary drainage indicated that the operation decreased the bioavailability of warfarin (Table 2), possibly due to the change in EHC. EHC refers to the circulation of bile acid, drugs, or other substances from the liver to the bile, followed by reabsorption into the small intestine and transport back to the liver for systemic circulation (Figure 1). The EHC process extends the drug residence time in the body. Drug interactions can occur during any part of EHC and can result from changes in absorption, distribution, transporters, metabolism, or other mechanisms. A drug undergoing EHC usually shows a multiple-peak phenomenon in terms of its plasma concentration–time profile and a prolonged elimination half-life. EHC induced a second peak in the concentration–time profile of the WFN group (Figure 4). However, the concentration–time profile of the WFO group did not show such double peaks due to blockade of EHC.

The dose–response variations of warfarin are markedly influenced by pharmacokinetic aspects that are determined by genetic, environmental, and other as yet unknown factors. Polymorphisms in cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C9 and VKORC1 are the major determinants of the warfarin dosage requirement. Genetic polymorphisms in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 account for ~50% of the variability in the pharmacological response of warfarin. Other genetic factors involved in vitamin K intake and recycling and warfarin

**Table 1** The intraday and interday precision of warfarin in rat plasma samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiked concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Intraday Measured (ng/mL)</th>
<th>RSD%</th>
<th>Interday Measured (ng/mL)</th>
<th>RSD%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>49.73±3.56</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>50.32±3.31</td>
<td>6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>507.76±46.5</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>489.66±38.7</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,513.02±201.2</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>2,551.78±197.8</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: RSD, relative standard deviation.
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**Figure 4** The mean ± SD plasma concentration–time curves for warfarin (n=5).

**Notes:** WN: healthy rats after a single administration of warfarin sodium (0.5 mg/kg); WO: rats with biliary drainage after a single administration of warfarin sodium (0.5 mg/kg); WFN: healthy rats after the administration of warfarin sodium and ferulic acid (0.5 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively); WFO: rats with biliary drainage after the administration of warfarin sodium and ferulic acid (0.5 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively).
The differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of warfarin between the WFO and WFN groups indicated that biliary drainage can affect the disposition of warfarin when it was coadministered with FA in rats (Table 2). Bile acid circulation therefore played an important role in the pharmacokinetics of warfarin combined with FA, and we speculated that FA influenced the pharmacokinetics of warfarin by affecting its EHC. It is unclear how it exerts its effects. Furthermore, the WFN group delayed $T_{\text{max}}$ of warfarin compared to the WN group, which showed that FA can slow down the absorption rate of warfarin. At the same time, the tendency of decrease in the $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ of warfarin of the WFN group caused by the coadministration of FA indicated that FA decreased the bioavailability of warfarin, possibly due to metabolic inhibition, or local interactions of warfarin with FA in the intestine. In addition, the shortened MRT of the WFO group compared to the WO group indicated that FA obviously affected the disposition of warfarin after biliary drainage. In TCM theory, FA shows the function of activating the blood circulation and dissipating blood stasis. Suzuki et al. verified that FA presents a function of vessel dilating. Moreover, EHC blockage led to more FA entering the circulation. Thus, increasing level of FA accelerated the warfarin clearance in biliary drainage rats.

In fact, the FA metabolism in conjugation with glucuronic acid and/or sulfate, which takes place mainly in the liver, is the principal pathway in vivo. FA is also metabolized into $m$-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid by the intestinal microflora through reduction, dehydroxylation, and demethylation. The identification and detailed quantitative assessment of the importance of various enzyme/transporter pathways in the elimination of FA are still lacking, although previous studies on its pharmacokinetics showed that these processes may involve CYPs, uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferases, sulfotransferases, and the monocarboxylic acid transporter.

However, the bioavailability of free FA is very low due to its rapid conjugation process in the liver and $t_{1/2Z}$ of FA is within an hour. FA seems to have limited effects on the pharmacokinetics of warfarin. It is believed that pharmacokinetic interaction between warfarin and FA in vivo refers to several factors rather than those mentioned above. Further study on this problem should be performed. Because of absent evidence about different enzymes and metabolism rates between rats and humans in metabolism of warfarin, the results of the present study partly contribute to beneficial guidance to clinical practice.

### Conclusion

EHC significantly influenced the disposition of warfarin in rats. FA coadministration significantly affected the disposition of warfarin in rats subjected to biliary drainage. The present study can provide clinical guidance for the administration of warfarin, especially when it is combined with the herbal medicines that contain FA.

### Table 2 The pharmacokinetic parameters of warfarin (mean ± SD, n=5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>WN</th>
<th>WO</th>
<th>WFN</th>
<th>WFO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ (ng/mL·min)</td>
<td>2,304.76±631,144.86</td>
<td>1,175.817.25±192,837.94</td>
<td>1,919.361.85±243,763.82</td>
<td>1,224.894.50±413,691.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{MRT}_{0-\infty}$ (minutes)</td>
<td>606.31±66.18</td>
<td>718.31±40.74</td>
<td>685.20±62.08</td>
<td>606.31±52.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1/2Z}$ (minutes)</td>
<td>1,221.34±528.65</td>
<td>1,546.99±778.57</td>
<td>1,104.66±544.20</td>
<td>884.79±498.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (minutes)</td>
<td>132±65.73</td>
<td>432±107.33</td>
<td>432±262.91</td>
<td>240±278.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>2,308.36±414.84</td>
<td>1,085.02±293.33</td>
<td>2,030.68±727.57</td>
<td>1,429.50±321.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Compared with the WN group P<0.01. Compared with the WFN group P<0.05. Compared with the WFN group P<0.001. Compared with the WO group P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** WN, healthy rats after a single administration of warfarin; WO, rats with biliary drainage after a single administration of warfarin; WFN, healthy rats after the administration of warfarin and ferulic acid; WFO, rats with biliary drainage after the administration of warfarin and ferulic acid; $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$, area under the curve from 0 to time; $C_{\text{max}}$, peak plasma concentration; $\text{MRT}_{0-\infty}$, mean retention time; $t_{1/2Z}$, terminal half-time; $T_{\text{max}}$, time to $C_{\text{max}}$. 
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