Managing fatigue in patients with Parkinson’s disease: a patient-focused perspective

Andrew Ridder¹
Kelvin L Chou₁,₂

¹Department of Neurology, ²Department of Neurosurgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Abstract: Fatigue, experienced as a significantly diminished energy level or an increased perception of effort disproportionate to attempted activities or general activity level, is being increasingly recognized as a common and disabling problem in patients with Parkinson’s disease. There are no commonly accepted criteria to diagnose fatigue in Parkinson’s disease and there is limited evidence regarding treatment of this symptom. This article reviews the current knowledge surrounding fatigue in Parkinson’s disease, including symptoms, epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment, with a focus on the patient’s perspective.
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Introduction

The term “fatigue” can be interpreted differently between different patients. It has been used throughout the medical literature to refer to many disparate symptoms. In common usage, fatigue generally refers to difficulty initiating or maintaining focus on an activity or to a feeling of disconnection between what a person perceives they should be able to do and with what they can do.¹ Most people experience this feeling at some point, and it represents a physiologic reaction to physical or emotional stress. This feeling improves with rest and does not interfere with daily life. As a medical complaint, however, fatigue is more complex. It may refer to a sense of inability to start or maintain normal activities in the absence of significant activity or it is described as a “lack of energy” or exhaustion in the face of activity. Improvement with rest is much less robust.² Fatigue in this sense can significantly interfere with activities of daily life. Many patients with fatigue do not endorse apathy or a lack of motivation to perform activities, just the lack of energy.³ It is this type of fatigue that is a frequent non-motor symptom of Parkinson’s disease (PD) and other chronic illnesses.¹, ⁴⁻⁶

Fatigue has only been recently recognized as a common problem in PD.⁷⁻⁸ Over half of PD patients consider fatigue among their most disabling symptoms,⁸⁻¹⁰ and yet fatigue often goes unrecognized and is not addressed by neurologists.¹¹ In this paper, we will review the current knowledge surrounding fatigue in PD, including symptoms, epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment, with a focus on the patient’s perspective.

What is fatigue from a PD patient’s perspective?

Throughout the approximately 10-year course of my illness, I have experienced symptoms of worsening fatigue and exhaustion. The amount of fatigue I experience is generally correlated with my level of physical and mental activity, as well as stress, but is not...
entirely predictable. One thing I can count on is that fatigue occurs daily and worsens as the day goes on. My stamina and clarity are significantly impaired during the bouts of fatigue and at those times I try to avoid interactions professionally and with family and friends. The result is a significantly decreased quality of life. [Personal communication from patient with PD on living with fatigue]

There are multiple terms used throughout the medical literature in attempts to better quantify fatigue and study possible interventions. As an example, mental fatigue refers to the perception of cognitive slowing or difficulty with concentration, while physical fatigue is a difficulty initiating or sustaining voluntary actions. Fatigue may also be split into central and peripheral subtypes. Central fatigue may refer to decreased recruitment of muscles secondary to motor cortex failure, central conduction block from demyelination, reduced central drive, reduced coordination of motor unit firing, or decreases in joint flexibility from spasticity, and includes mental fatigue. It has also been described as a “failure of physical and mental tasks that require self-motivation and internal cues in the absence of demonstrable cognitive failure or motor weakness”. Peripheral fatigue refers to a loss of muscle contraction force or atrophy. There is also the term “fatigability”, which is defined as a decrease in performance of an activity over time usually in terms of strength, accuracy, or speed.

These terms illustrate the difficulty that medical professionals have in defining fatigue. Patients have an even harder time describing this phenomenon. When developing the Parkinson Fatigue Scale, Brown et al conducted a series of focus groups with patients in order to elicit comments on their perception of fatigue. The adjectives used to describe the fatigue included “tiredness”, “lack of energy”, “exhaustion”, “heavy”, and “drained”. These feelings could be separated from normal states of tiredness as well as from sleepiness. For fatigue severity, terms such as “extreme” or “total” were used, suggesting that the intensity of fatigue may be a prominent part of their experience. Because of the difficulty in defining fatigue, many scales that assess fatigue do not define it explicitly but ask about how fatigue affects a patient’s life, utilizing the patient’s own definition. This lack of a standard definition has certainly made research on PD fatigue more difficult. However, the fact remains that the subjective experience of fatigue substantially affects the lives of many patients with PD.

Who gets fatigue in PD and what is its impact?
The prevalence of fatigue is difficult to estimate, as the symptoms occur on a continuum from an expected and transient result of strenuous activity to a debilitating, life-altering symptom. We report the prevalence of the subjective experience of global fatigue that can impact daily life, since that is most relevant to the patient. The prevalence of fatigue in the general population is between 5% and 56%, and the prevalence of chronic fatigue is between 2% and 11%, depending on the study. In ~10% of primary care office visits, fatigue is the presenting complaint. Prevalence of fatigue increases with medical comorbidities and age. It is more common in neurologic disease, occurring with a frequency between 75% and 89% in myasthenia gravis and between 38% and 83% in multiple sclerosis. It has the highest prevalence in cancer patients, up to 90%–100%, especially in those undergoing chemotherapy or radiation treatments.

While fatigue was recognized as a part of PD by some of the major clinical neurologists of the 19th century, recent reports demonstrate that patients consider fatigue to be one of the most frequent and debilitating non-motor symptoms of PD. It may predate and be more bothersome than the motor symptoms in some patients. Between 35% and 75% of PD patients experience fatigue. In PD patients who experience it early in their disease course, fatigue worsens with disease duration, but patients without early fatigue tend not to develop it later. The severity of fatigue does not correlate with motor symptoms, and improving motor symptoms does not always reduce fatigue. PD patients with fatigue have a significantly poorer health-related quality of life, although controlling for depression and anxiety reduces the effect.

Fatigue is part of the diagnostic criteria for depression and generalized anxiety disorder and separating these symptoms can be difficult. Mood disorders are also among the most common non-motor symptoms in PD, which are reported in up to 65%–70% of patients. Fatigue, anxiety, and depression in PD have significant clinical overlap and all independently have a negative impact on quality of life. This suggests that treating anxiety and depression may improve fatigue. However, PD-related fatigue does not always respond to the treatments of anxiety and depression and can be separated from mood as an independent symptom.

The interdependence of sleep and fatigue is another important and sometimes confounding factor. Sleep disorders are very common in PD. These include rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behavior disorder (15%–59%), sleep fragmentation, insomnia (up to 80%), restless legs syndrome, periodic limb movements of sleep, obstructive sleep apnea, and excessive daytime sleepiness. Excessive daytime sleepiness is often confused with fatigue in the medical
literature, but as mentioned earlier, PD patients with fatigue can separate this feeling from sleepiness. Furthermore, rating scales for excessive daytime sleepiness and fatigue differ and patients with these symptoms show differing responses to treatments. The symptoms of excessive daytime sleepiness in PD are more diurnal compared to that of fatigue. In fact, increased sleep in PD patients correlates with worsened fatigue and daytime sleepiness in some studies.

**How is fatigue diagnosed in PD?**

Because the pathophysiology of fatigue in PD is unknown, there are no tests that allow for a diagnosis of fatigue. There are also no commonly accepted criteria on how to define clinically significant fatigue in PD. A Parkinson Disease Foundation working group recently developed a case definition of PD-related fatigue based on expert opinion (Table 1), in accordance with the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV* style used for defining cancer-related fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome. At the core of this definition is a daily or nearly daily feeling of significantly diminished energy or an increased perception of effort disproportionate to attempted activities or general activity level. Additionally, patients must experience at least four of the nine symptoms in Section A, as well as meet criteria in Sections B, C, and D. These criteria have not yet been validated, but they provide a foundation for diagnosing PD-related fatigue in a consistent fashion.

Validated scales may help with the recognition of PD fatigue by screening for symptoms. Validated fatigue measures are also needed in order to track fatigue intensity on a consistent basis, as well as to track the response to therapeutic interventions. The Movement Disorders Society Task Force on Rating Scales for Parkinson’s Disease conducted a critical review of existing scales for fatigue in 2010. A total of nine scales that had been applied to PD fatigue research were critiqued: the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS), the Fatigue Assessment Inventory (FAI), the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue Scale (FACIT-F), the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI), the Parkinson’s Fatigue Scale (PFS), the Fatigue Severity Inventory (FSI), the Fatigue Impact Scale for Daily Use (D-FIS), Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), and the Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGIS). The Task Force rated the scale as “recommended”, “suggested”, or “listed” based on three criteria: 1) whether the scale had been applied to PD populations, 2) whether there were data in clinical studies beyond the group that developed the scale, and 3) whether it had been studied psychometrically in PD. “Recommended” scales met all three criteria. “Suggested” scales met the first criterion and one of the other two criteria. “Listed” scales met only the first criterion. The scales were evaluated relative to their ability to screen for fatigue in PD and measure severity of fatigue. The FAI, D-FIS, FSI, VAS, and CGIS all failed to receive a designation of “recommended” in either category.

The FSS was the only scale to be “recommended” for both diagnostic screening and severity measurement of fatigue. The FSS is a generic, self-administered, nine-item rating scale, with each item rated on a seven-point Likert scale. The total FSS score is the mean of each of the nine items and is the most frequently used fatigue-specific scale in chronic disease, including PD.

The MFI was “recommended” for rating fatigue severity in PD, but not for diagnostic screening. It is a 20-item self-administered rating scale with five dimensions and has

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Criteria for diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease–related fatigue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Symptoms may be induced by routine activities of daily living.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Symptoms may occur with little or no exertion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Symptoms limit the type, intensity, or duration of activities performed by the patient.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Symptoms are not reliably relieved by rest or may require prolonged periods of rest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Symptoms may be brought on by cognitive tasks or situations requiring sustained attention including social interactions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Patients avoid rigorous activities due to fear of experiencing worsening of symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mild to moderate exertion may induce a worsening of symptoms lasting from hours to days.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Symptoms have a predictable diurnal pattern regardless of activities performed (eg, worsening in the afternoon).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Symptoms are unpredictable and may have a sudden onset.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. The patient experiences clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of function as a result of fatigue.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. There is evidence from the history and physical examination suggesting fatigue is a consequence of PD.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. The symptoms are not primarily a consequence of comorbid psychiatric disorders (eg, depression), sleep disorders (eg, obstructive sleep apnea), or medical conditions (eg, anemia and congestive heart failure).</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Patients must report significantly diminished energy levels or increased perceptions of effort which are disproportionate to attempted activities or general activity level. Symptoms must be present for most of the day, every day, or nearly every day during the previous month. In addition, patients must have four or more of the symptoms from Section A as well as meet criteria in Sections B, C, and D. Reproduced from Kluger BM, Herlofson K, Chou KL, et al. Parkinson’s disease-related fatigue: a case definition and recommendations for clinical research. Mov Disord. 2016;31(5):625–631. With permission from John Wiley and Sons, © 2016. Abbreviation: PD, Parkinson’s disease.
been used in 14 studies of patients with PD. In contrast, the FACIT-F and PFS were both “recommended” for diagnostic screening but not for evaluation of fatigue severity. The FACIT-F was originally developed in a cancer population. It consists of 13 items with five ordered responses for each item. Total scores for the FACIT-F range from 0 to 52, with higher scores representing less fatigue. The PFS was developed to evaluate the physical aspects of fatigue in PD and is a 16-item self-administered scale. The items are rated on a 0–4 scale, with anchors describing the severity of the fatigue. The total PFS score is the average score across all 16 items, though an alternative binary scoring method can also be used.

In addition, the developers of the Movement Disorder Society–sponsored Unified PD Rating Scale included an item on fatigue in the scale. It asks whether subjects have usually felt fatigued over the past week and whether they were able to differentiate it from being sleepy or sad. The fatigue is rated on a 0–4 scale, with anchors describing the severity of the fatigue.

All of the above scales are attempts to objectify the subjective experience of fatigue. While objective measures of motor fatigability exist, such as sustained muscle contractions or repetitive movements, motor fatigability typically does not correlate with subjective fatigue, so motor fatigability measures are unlikely to be used clinically to help diagnose or document the severity of PD-related fatigue.

### How is fatigue managed in PD?

A recent Cochrane review evaluated clinical trials of both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions on fatigue in PD. Unfortunately, the number of randomized, controlled, clinical trials on PD-related fatigue reported in the literature is limited, especially those with fatigue as a primary outcome measure. Typically, fatigue is reported as a secondary outcome. Other limitations of these studies include small sample sizes, different definitions of fatigue, and inconsistent accounting for confounding variables, such as depression and sleep. Pharmacologic therapies for PD-related fatigue that have been studied in a randomized, controlled fashion include stimulants/wake-promoting agents, dopaminergic agents, antidepressants, and others (eg, memantine and sodium oxybate). Randomized, controlled trials of non-pharmacologic therapies include exercise, acupuncture, and cognitive behavioral therapy. These clinical trials are summarized in Table 2. There has been no randomized, controlled clinical trial of deep brain stimulation on PD-related fatigue, but one study showed no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age (SD)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Years since diagnosis (SD)</th>
<th>Hoehn &amp; Yahr (SD)</th>
<th>Fatigue is primary outcome</th>
<th>Fatigue scale</th>
<th>Effect on fatigue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lou et al</td>
<td>Modafinil</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>66.8 (8.5)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6.1 (5.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MFI, FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondo et al</td>
<td>Modafinil</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>64.8 (11.3)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyne et al</td>
<td>Modafinil</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59.1 (NR)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>2.2 (NR)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendonca et al</td>
<td>Methylphenidate</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.49 (0.42)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS, MFI</td>
<td>Small positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rios Romenes et al</td>
<td>Doxepin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64.4 (12.4)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5 (3.3)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasclo et al and Olanow et al</td>
<td>Rasagiline</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>62.2 (9.7)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.375 (0.38)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.5)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiffito et al</td>
<td>Levodopa</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>64.5 (10.92)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.52 (0.54)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.55)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Chaudhuri et al</td>
<td>Rotigotine</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>64.6 (9.72)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.8 (4.43)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NMMSS</td>
<td>Small positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondo et al</td>
<td>Memantine</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>69.1 (7.8)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.4 (0.48)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postuma et al</td>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>66.5 (9.88)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>7.9 (4.18)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondo et al</td>
<td>Sodium oxybate</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>61.5 (8.7)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.6 (5.5)</td>
<td>2.35 (0.37)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FSS, FSS</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghahari et al</td>
<td>Behavioral program</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50.25 (12.3)</td>
<td>11.44 (13.4)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rios Romenes et al</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66.4 (12.4)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winward et al</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64.1 (8.17)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.79 (4.27)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canning et al</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61.8 (8.0)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.65 (3.97)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cugusi et al</td>
<td>Exercise (Nordic walking)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>2.35 (0.65)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klugler et al</td>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>63.7 (11.7)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.30 (0.65)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MFIS, FSS</td>
<td>Positive in both groups, but no difference between groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Disease modification trial; †participants included with multiple sclerosis (74%), post-polio syndrome (13%), and PD (8%).

**Abbreviations:** PD, Parkinson’s disease; eSS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; MFI, Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory; NMSS, Non-Motor Symptom Scale; PFS, Parkinson’s Fatigue Scale; VAS, Visual Analog Scale; MFIS, Modified Fatigue Impact Scale; NR, not reported; Y, yes; N, no; CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy.
change in PFS scores 6 months after bilateral subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation.53

Stimulants/wake-promoting agents
Stimulants and wake-promoting agents, including caffeine, methylphenidate, and modafinil, are the most studied pharmacologic intervention for fatigue in PD. Postuma et al studied the effect of caffeine at 100 mg twice a day for 3 weeks and then at 200 mg twice a day for 3 weeks.52,54 At the end of the study, there was no difference between caffeine and placebo groups in terms of fatigue, sleepiness, or depression. Caffeine did not cause increased side effects compared to placebo, but it resulted in a clinically significant improvement in Unified PD Rating Scale scores.34 Mendonca et al investigated the effect of methylphenidate on fatigue scores in 34 patients with PD.52,55 While methylphenidate significantly lowered both FSS and MFI scores after 6 weeks of treatment,53 there were no statistically significant differences between the methylphenidate and placebo groups after 6 weeks of treatment.52 There is also concern for abuse in PD patients with methylphenidate, especially those with impulse control problems and those on dopamine agonists already.53

Three studies included in the Cochrane review looked at modafinil, a wake-promoting agent thought to act through the dopamine and noradrenergic systems, possibly through orexin/hypocretin pathways.33,56 When pooled together, there was no statistically significant difference found between modafinil and placebo on fatigue impact,52 though there was more of an effect in depressed patients (and it slightly improved their depression). Pooled data did show a clinically significant decrease in Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) scores, although objective sleepiness measures (sleep latency and maintenance of wakefulness) were unchanged.33,52 A review of modafinil for fatigue in neurologic disorders including multiple sclerosis, PD, post-polio syndrome, and traumatic brain injury (TBI) found a slight improvement in fatigue only in the TBI population.56

Dopaminergic agents
Rasagiline is a monoamine oxidase inhibitor that has been used in the treatment of motor fluctuations and some non-motor symptoms57 and has been looked at for fatigue. In the ADAGIO study, a large (n=1,176) randomized controlled trial investigating rasagiline at doses of 1–2 mg/day over 36 weeks of treatment, there was an improvement in physical fatigue in the rasagiline group compared to placebo, without an increase in side effects.52,58 However, this study was designed to look for disease-modifying effects; fatigue was not the primary outcome. Also, the fatigue severity in the rasagiline group did not improve per se; the placebo group became significantly more fatigued.58

As part of the ELLDOPA study, Schifitto et al examined fatigue in the 349 subjects who completed fatigue measures.59 These subjects were randomized to placebo or three different doses of carbidopa–levodopa. While FSS scores increased more in the placebo group than in the levodopa groups, this did not meet statistical significance.52 The results were limited by inclusion of many non-fatigued patients in all arms of the trial, which could blunt the effects compared to looking at only patients with fatigue.

The RECOVER study was a randomized controlled trial of 287 PD patients randomized to rotigotine – a non-ergot dopamine agonist transdermal patch – vs placebo.60 In a post hoc analysis, a significant improvement in the sleep/fatigue domain of the Non-Motor Symptom Scale was found in the rotigotine group compared to the placebo group. However, it is unclear if dopamine agonists can be used successfully for PD fatigue, since fatigue is a common side effect of other agonists, including ropinirole and pramipexole.61

Antidepressants
Many studies have looked at antidepressants in PD, but very few have fatigue as an outcome measure.52 One study investigating doxepin, a tricyclic antidepressant, was included in the Cochrane review. In this study, 18 patients were randomized (six each) to placebo, doxepin, and cognitive behavioral therapy. At the end of 6 weeks, doxepin decreased the impact of fatigue on activities of daily living and fatigue severity, as measured by the FSS, without significant risk of side effects.52 Unfortunately, the study was not sufficiently blinded, introducing substantial risk of bias.52

Other agents
Ondo et al investigated the effects of memantine, an N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonist, on fatigue, but they found no effect.52,57 They also studied sodium oxybate, a metabolite of γ-aminobutyric acid with central nervous system depressant properties and a salt of γ-hydroxybutyrate (the “date-rape drug”), which is approved by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for narcolepsy and has been evaluated for fatigue symptoms in fibromyalgia and PD.63 In this study, the sodium oxybate group showed a significant decrease in both ESS and FSS as well as increased Stage III non-REM sleep and decreased REM sleep on polysomnography.64 However, this is a highly regulated and potentially dangerous drug. In the study, there was a clinically significant increase in apnea–hypopnea index in several patients. It also has a black box warning for being both a central nervous system depressant and for significant abuse potential.33
Non-pharmacologic therapies
Exercise and dance have been looked at as a treatment for non-motor symptoms in general, but there are only a few randomized, controlled trials focused on fatigue as an outcome measure. Some actually include fatigue as an adverse event. The many studies on dance interventions in PD patients have focused strongly on motor outcomes and none specifically on fatigue. Some epidemiologic and small, noncontrolled studies show an inverse correlation between exercise and fatigue scores. An open label study involving nine PD patients showed a decrease of 17.5% in the PFS (from 4.0 to 3.3 points) after participating in 60–70 minutes of exercise three mornings a week for 9 weeks. However, randomized, controlled trials comparing treadmill or strength exercises to normal care have generally shown no improvement on fatigue scores. A single study on cognitive behavioral therapy and another study comparing an online fatigue management program with controls showed no improvement in fatigue scores. The authors concluded that “acupuncture likely confers benefit through placebo or other nonspecific effects” and left the decision to the reader to consider it for fatigue treatment based on its effects. A single study on cognitive behavioral therapy and another study comparing an online fatigue management program with controls showed no improvement in fatigue scores.

A practical approach to managing fatigue
Given the limited evidence detailed earlier regarding the treatment of PD fatigue, we propose the following approach. First, it is important to recognize fatigue and let patients know that many others with PD experience fatigue. Because of the overlap of fatigue with depression, anxiety, and sleep disorders, attempts should be made to treat these confounding factors, which by themselves may help fatigue. Despite the limited number of randomized controlled trials showing a benefit of exercise for fatigue thus far, we would still recommend exercise as part of the treatment regimen for fatigue, as it has benefits on other aspects of PD and because PD patients often feel that exercise alleviates fatigue.

Conclusion
Fatigue is a common and debilitating problem in PD. It is experienced as a significant lack of energy and may worsen with even mild activities. While the underlying pathophysiology of fatigue in PD is not well understood, it appears to present in patients early on and worsens with time. The impact on quality of life is significant. There is a clear need for further research in both understanding the underlying mechanism and finding new treatments, and this review cannot recommend any treatments strongly. A multidimensional approach focused on treating sleep and psychiatric disorders as well as fatigue is likely to be the most effective.
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