Dietary arginine silicate inositol complex increased bone healing: histologic and histomorphometric study

Background: Arginine silicate inositol complex (ASI; arginine 49.5%, silicon 8.2%, and inositol 25%) is a novel material that is a bioavailable source of silicon and arginine. ASI offers potential benefits for vascular and bone health.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential effects of ASI complex on bone healing of critical-sized defects in rats.

Methods: The rats were randomly assigned to two groups of 21 rats each. The control group was fed a standard diet for 12 weeks; after the first 8 weeks, a calvarial critical-sized defect was created, and the rats were sacrificed 7, 14, and 28 days later. The ASI group was fed a diet containing 1.81 g/kg of ASI for 12 weeks; after the first 8 weeks, a calvarial critical-sized defect was created, and the rats were sacrificed 7, 14, and 28 days later. The calvarial bones of all the rats were then harvested for evaluation.

Results: Osteoblasts and osteoclasts were detected at higher levels in the ASI group compared with the control group at days 7, 14, and 28 of the calvarial defect (P<0.05). New bone formation was detected at higher levels in the ASI group compared with the controls at day 28 (P<0.05). However, new bone formation was not detected at days 7 and 14 in both the groups (P>0.05).

Conclusion: ASI supplementation significantly improved bone tissue healing in rats with critical-sized defects. This study demonstrated that ASI can enhance bone repair and has potential as a therapeutic regimen in humans.
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Introduction

Loss and defects of jaw bone can stem from trauma, tooth loss, cancer surgery, congenital anomalies, periodontal disease, and oral-maxillofacial pathologies.1,2 Regeneration of bone defects is a long healing process, requiring recruitment and differentiation of new bone cells. Various graft materials are used to restore bone defects, but they are prone to failure. Several dietary supplements have been explored for their ability to enhance bone regeneration, such as calcium, vitamin D, and alpha lipoic acid.1,3 Recent studies suggest that dietary arginine and silicon may play important roles in the development, growth, and modeling of long bones.4 Arginine is involved both in the synthesis of substrates implicated in collagen synthesis and in the production of growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, and nitric oxide.5–7 Arginine is clinically suggested for metabolic disturbances in calcium absorption, growth, dentition and ossification defects, rachitism, osteomalacia, decalcification, and convalescence.8,9 Several roles for silicon have been defined, largely on the basis of animal studies.10,11 Its most...
important functions appear to be involved in the growth and development of bone, cartilage, and connective tissue. There is a direct relationship between silicon and calcium; it has been reported that silicon is associated with calcium at an early stage of bone formation. In addition, silicon appears to be required for the synthesis of collagen, the protein matrix found in connective tissue and cartilage, and which is the single most abundant protein in the human body. 

Arginine silicate inositol complex (ASI; arginine 49.5%, silicon 8.2%, and inositol 25%) is a novel, bioavailable source of silicon and arginine and has potential benefits for vascular and bone health. Several studies support the safety of the components of the ASI complex, with clinical studies demonstrating no adverse effects following the administration of arginine. Similarly, no adverse effects were observed following the administration of inositol 12 g/day for 4 weeks or of silicon 45 mg/day for 31 days. The ASI complex is absorbed efficiently, raises plasma arginine levels, and is biologically more effective than the arginine from arginine hydrochloride. The roles of arginine and silicon alone in bone metabolism, osteoporosis, and connective tissue collagen metabolism are well documented, but information about the effects of ASI complex supplementation on bone tissue healing is limited. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine the effects of ASI complex supplementation on the healing of calvarial bone tissue defects in rats.

Materials and methods
A total of 42 female Sprague-Dawley rats were used. Their average body weights were 230–280 g on the first day of the experiment. The animals were kept in temperature-controlled cages, exposed to a 12/12-hour light/dark cycle, and had ad libitum access to food and water. The experimental design and study protocol (protocol number: 2015/25) were approved by the animal ethics committee at the University of Dicle. The Helsinki Declaration for laboratory animal care guidelines was strictly obeyed. The rats were randomly divided into two groups of 21 each. The control group was fed a normal diet for 12 weeks; after the first 8 weeks, a calvarial critical-sized defect was created, and the rats were sacrificed 7, 14, and 28 days later. The ASI group was given dietary ASI complex for 12 weeks; after the first 8 weeks, a calvarial critical-sized defect was created, and the rats were sacrificed 7, 14, and 28 days later. A dose of 1.81 g/kg was chosen based on a previous rodent study.

To create the calvarial defects, general anesthesia was administered using ketamine, and the surgical operations were performed under sterile conditions, after the skull skin was shaved. A skin incision was made on the skull over the linea media. A periosteal elevator was used to lift the flap and periosteum to reach the skull bone. The skull skin was sutured with 4/0 polyglactin resorbable sutures. Cephalosporin antibiotics and an analgesic were injected intramuscularly into all animals after the operation. After 7, 14, and 28 days, the rats were sacrificed by anesthetic overdose. A surgical drill attached to an electrical hand motor was used to harvest the calvarial bone. The calvarial bone specimens were then separated from muscles and soft tissues.

Histologic and histomorphologic analysis
The original defect area and the surrounding tissues were used for histological analysis. The specimens were fixed in 10% formaldehyde for 72 hours and demineralized in 10% formic acid; after this, they were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin wax, and sectioned for hematoxylin and eosin staining for light microscopy analysis. Sections of 6 μm thickness, corresponding to the bone defect area, were evaluated with light microscopy. Osteoblast numbers were scored in the total defect area as follows: 0, no osteoblast cells; 1, osteoblasts seen as mild; and 2, osteoblasts seen as dense. Osteoclast cells were detected visually on histomorphometric analysis. Osteoclast cells with ruffled borders were found in both the groups. Osteoclast morphology was scored as follows: 0, no osteoclasts; 1, mild osteoclasts; and 2, dense osteoclasts. To measure bone formation histologically, lamellar bone tissue formation was detected and scored. Trabeculations and bone marrow in lamellar bone tissue, unlike in old bone, were detected. Bone formation was scored as follows: 0, no bone formation; 1, mild visible bone formation; 2, moderate visible bone formation; and 3, dense visible bone formation. Images of all of the histological specimens were captured with a digital camera attached to a light microscope with original magnification and were saved on a computer. The Olympus imaging system (Olympus Bx51, Light Microscope; Olympus DP71, Imaging System; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the histomorphometric analysis.

Statistical analysis
For statistical analysis, SPSS software (version 22) was used (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). After the healing period, mean values and standard deviations were calculated. According to homogeneity and normality of data, the Wilcoxon test was used for pairwise comparisons in dependent groups. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
During the postsurgical period, healing was uneventful for both the control and the experimental group animals, and there were
Relatively no complications of inflammatory tissue responses or allergic reactions. As seen in Table 1 and Figures 1–3, at days 7 and 14, new bone formation was not detected in either group and at day 28, new bone formation in both the groups was increased compared with that at days 7 and 14 (P<0.05). Overall, the mean new bone area in the control group was lower compared with that in the ASI group at day 28 (P<0.05).

At days 7, 14, and 28, osteoclast numbers were higher in the ASI group compared with those in the control group (P<0.05). At day 7, no statistically significant difference in osteoblast numbers was detected between the two groups (P>0.05). At days 14 and 28, osteoblast numbers were higher in the ASI group compared to the controls (P<0.05) (Table 1; Figures 1–3).

The ASI complex used in the present study does not cause adverse effects when administered orally for up to 8 weeks in rats; and it lacks mutagenic potential, as demonstrated in two separate genotoxicity studies.

Rat calvarial defects are considered a preferred experimental model for bone regeneration. For this reason, a 5-mm rat calvarial critical-sized defect model was used, in accordance with previous studies.

In the literature, research is limited with regard to the ASI complex in osteoblastic metabolism, connective tissue and vessel collagen metabolism, and osteoporosis. However, the positive immunological activities of arginine and silicon are well known, and the osteoblastic activity and wound-healing processes in bone and connective tissues are well documented.

Based on the present study, ASI complex supplementation may be an effective material for the treatment of bone tissue defects.

Two components of the product used in the present study, arginine and silicon, can increase osteogenesis.

Table 1 The effects of ASI on histomorphometric changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histomorphometric parameters</th>
<th>Time (days)*</th>
<th>Control (n=21)</th>
<th>ASI (n=21)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBF</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBF</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBF</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.14±0.38</td>
<td>1.57±0.53*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.86±2.55</td>
<td>40.14±3.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33.86±2.68</td>
<td>38.14±2.41*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30.29±1.8</td>
<td>34.58±2.64*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.86±0.69</td>
<td>7.29±0.76*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.14±0.69</td>
<td>4.14±1.21*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.29±0.49</td>
<td>0.57±0.53*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. *Significant difference between the without ASI group (control) and the with ASI group (ASI) (t-test). *Days after the calvarial critical-sized defect was created.

Abbreviations: ASI, arginine silicate inositol complex; NA, not applicable; NBF, new bone formation; OB, osteoblast; OC, osteoclast.

Figure 1 Histopathologic sections of both the groups at 7 days.

Notes: Control: (A) 20× magnification, (B) 40× magnification, (C) 100× magnification; ASI: (D) 20× magnification, (E) 40× magnification, (F) 100× magnification. Osteoclast morphology was scored as follows: 0, no osteoclasts; 1, mild osteoclasts; and 2, dense osteoclasts. Bone formation was scored as follows: 0, no bone formation; 1, mild visible bone formation; 2, moderate visible bone formation; and 3, dense visible bone formation.

Abbreviation: ASI, arginine silicate inositol complex.
Figure 2 Histopathologic sections of both the groups at 14 days.
**Notes:** Control: (A) 20× magnification, (B) 40× magnification, (C) 100× magnification; ASI: (D) 20× magnification, (E) 40× magnification, (F) 100× magnification. Osteoclast morphology was scored as follows: 0, no osteoclasts; 1, mild osteoclasts; and 2, dense osteoclasts. Bone formation was scored as follows: 0, no bone formation; 1, mild visible bone formation; 2, moderate visible bone formation; and 3, dense visible bone formation.

**Abbreviation:** ASI, arginine silicate inositol complex.

Figure 3 Histopathologic sections of both the groups at 28 days.
**Notes:** Control: (A) 20× magnification, (B) 40× magnification, (C) 100× magnification; ASI: (D) 20× magnification, (E) 40× magnification, (F) 100× magnification. Osteoclast morphology was scored as follows: 0, no osteoclasts; 1, mild osteoclasts; and 2, dense osteoclasts. Bone formation was scored as follows: 0, no bone formation; 1, mild visible bone formation; 2, moderate visible bone formation; and 3, dense visible bone formation.

**Abbreviation:** ASI, arginine silicate inositol complex.
Arginine deficiency may be involved in osteoporosis, fractures, and bone defects and arginine may be involved in the synthesis of polyamine and L-proline (which act as substrates for collagen synthesis) and of growth hormone and IGF, and in nitric oxide production. Nitric oxide has been shown to prevent corticosteroid-induced bone loss in mature rats. Torricelli et al reported that arginine in human osteoblasts markedly increased certain parameters called bone-formation markers, such as alkaline phosphatase, nitric oxide, type I collagen, and IGF. These markers increase the synthesis of bone matrix, acting at the cellular level in bone tissue. Torricelli et al also reported that the effects of arginine on bone tissue were directly related to the effect on the cell proliferation mechanism. In the same study, IL-6 production was determined to be lower in osteoblasts exposed to arginine.

Silicon has been implicated as an important component in bone formation, and its deficiency negatively influences skeletal development. The primary effect of silicon in bone and cartilage is thought to be on matrix synthesis, although it also has a significant influence on calcification. Silicon supplementation inhibits bone resorption and increases trabecular bone volume and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Although limited research has been done in vivo, silicon increases osteoblastic activity and decreases osteoclastic activity in vitro. Schroder et al reported that the effects of arginine on bone tissue were directly related to the effect on the cell proliferation mechanism of NF-κB ligand. These researchers stated that the increased osteoprotegerin emission suppressed the receptor activator of NF-κB ligand, and consequently increased osteoclastogenesis. Additionally, Sahin et al and Önderci et al examined the effects of ASI on bone tissue in a study on poultry fed a diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg and 1,000 mg/kg, respectively. In these studies, an increase in the levels of bone mineral density, calcium, and phosphorus in the tibia and of osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase in the serum, depending on the dose in both the experimental groups compared to the controls, was determined. The findings of the present study confirmed these previous results. In this study, bone formation and bone metabolism associated with bone remodeling and the bone tissue healing process were statistically significantly higher (eg, osteoblast and osteoclast numbers and area of new bone formation) in the ASI-supplemented group compared to the controls. During the bone tissue healing and remodeling process, osteoblasts and osteoclasts act together. These findings also indicate significant positive associations between ASI intake and bone metabolism in the bone tissue defect model used in this experiment.

This study had some limitations. Only the calvarium of the animals were used; however, other skeletal animal parts, for example, long bones (tibia and femur), would be useful for an analysis of the long-bone tissue effects of ASI supplementation. In addition, the histomorphometric method was used for the analysis of the bone tissue effects of ASI, but micro-computerized tomography would be very useful for a better analysis of ASI supplementation in calvarial bones. In addition, the potent anti-inflammatory effects of arginine are well known; however, no research about these effects was made.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of this study, ASI complex could increase bone tissue healing in the rat calvarial critical-sized defect model. Further studies are needed on the effects of ASI complex on bone tissue healing mechanisms and on the effects of new materials on these mechanisms.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Nutrition 21 Inc (NY, USA) for providing ASI complex. This work was supported in part by the Turkish Academy of Sciences (KS).

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

**References**


