a Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Association of polymorphisms in interleukin-8 gene with cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 22 case-control studies

Meng Zhang^{1-3,*} Tingting Fang^{1-3,*} Kai Wang^{1-3,*} Hongbing Mei³ Zhaojie Lv³ Feng Wang³ Zhiming Cai³ Chaozhao Liang^{1,2}

¹Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, ²Institute of Urology, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, ³Department of Urology, Shenzhen Second People's Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Chaozhao Liang Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Meishan Road 81, Shushan District, Hefei, Anhui Province 230032, People's Republic of China Tel +86 551 6292 3861 Email liang_chaozhao@163.com



Abstract: Interleukin-8 (IL-8) is a kind of chemokine that plays an important role in the development and progression of many human malignancies. Previous studies have uncovered that polymorphisms in *IL-8* is associated with the risk of many cancer types, but the results were inconsistent and inconclusive. In the present study, we aimed to explore the roles of IL-8 polymorphisms (rs2227307, rs2227306, +678T/C, rs1126647, and +1633C/T) and cancer risk through a systematic review and meta-analysis. Potential source of heterogeneity was sought out through sensitivity analysis. Desirable data were extracted and registered into databases. Finally, a total of ten publications comprising of 22 case-control studies, including 4,259 cases and 7,006 controls were ultimately eligible for the meta-analysis. No significant association was uncovered for all the five polymorphisms and the overall cancer risk. However, in the stratification analysis by cancer type, a significantly decreased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma was identified for rs2227306 polymorphism (T vs C: odds ratio [OR] =0.721, 95% confidence interval [CI] =0.567-0.916, P=0.007; TT vs CC: OR =0.447, 95% CI =0.274-0.728, P=0.001; TT vs TC + CC: OR =0.480, 95% CI =0.304-0.760, P_=0.002). In conclusion, our data shows that rs2227306 polymorphism plays a protective role in hepatocellular carcinoma risk. Future well-designed studies with a larger sample size are warranted to verify our findings. Keywords: interleukin-8, polymorphisms, cancer

Introduction

Interleukin-8 (IL-8) is a member of the CXC chemokine superfamily, which can activate neutrophil and lymphocyte attraction and cause a wide range of proinflammatory chemical reactions, generally by initiating and amplifying the acute inflammatory effects and chronic inflammatory process.^{1,2} It is also reported that IL-8 is related to different malignancies due to the involvement of thrombophilia and angiogenesis.³⁻⁵ Increased secretion of IL-8 may contribute to the metastatic ability of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC),⁶ gastric cancer,⁷ renal cell carcinoma,⁸ colorectal cancer,⁹ prostate cancer, ¹⁰ melanoma, ¹¹ and bladder neoplasms. ¹² These observations indicated that IL-8 might act as a biomarker for monitoring the clinical course of many tumor types.

The interleukin production is regulated by the polymorphisms of cytokine genes in promoter regions.¹³ Thus, IL-8 is located on chromosome 4q13-21 and contains three introns, four exons, and a proximal promoter region.¹⁴ Five polymorphisms in IL-8, +781C/T (rs2227306), +678T/C, 276A/T (rs1126647), +1633C/T, and +396G/T (rs2227307), have been extensively studied.¹⁵ The +781C/T polymorphism is situated in an intron and acts as an important factor in the process of gene transcription and regulation.¹⁶ The +678T/C polymorphism is associated with Behcet's disease

OncoTargets and Therapy 2016:9 3727-3737

Concerning of the second second

and ulcerative colitis risk although it does not regulate the transcript of IL-8.^{17,18}

A large number of investigations were conducted to explore the associations between *IL-8* polymorphisms and risk of human cancers; however, the conclusions were inconsistent.^{19–28} Therefore, it is necessary to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to summarize the current available studies in order to draw a more cohesive conclusion.

Materials and methods Search strategy

A systematic retrieval was performed to identify all eligible studies regarding *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk in PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases up until August 1, 2015. The combination of search terms were presented as follows: ("IL8" OR "Interleukin 8" OR "*IL-8*") AND ("polymorphism" OR "variant" OR "SNP" OR "mutation" OR "genotype") AND ("tumor" OR "carcinoma" OR "cancer"). The language of the enrolled studies was restricted to English or Chinese. We also conducted a hand search of the reference lists of the enrolled studies or reviews to identify additional eligible studies.

Study selection

Studies concerning the association of *IL-8* polymorphisms with cancer risk were included if the following conditions were met: 1) any study described the association between *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk; 2) studies were case–control or cohort type; 3) the genotype frequencies of the cases and controls were available; and 4) each enrolled study should comprise at least one of rs2227306, rs2227307, rs1126647, +678T/C, and +1633C/T polymorphisms. Studies were excluded if: 1) there were no data regarding the associations between *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk; 2) they were duplicate of previous publications (when the same cohort was used in several publications, only the most complete information was included after careful examination); and 3) they were reviews or abstracts.

Data extraction

The following data from each article were extracted by MZ and TF independently: name of first author, year of publication, ethnicity of the participants (categorized as Caucasians, Asians, etc), source of control, number of controls and cases, genotyping method, and so on. We extracted the data through the following ways: 1) from the articles directly; 2) from the supplementary materials; and 3) by requesting the author through email to send the data.

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 statistical software (StataCorp LP., College Station, TX, USA). A χ^2 -based Q-test assessed the heterogeneity in each research. If P < 0.05, it indicated significant heterogeneity, the random-effects model was adopted to estimate the pooled odds ratio (OR);²⁹ otherwise, the fixed-effects model was performed.³⁰ Two-sided P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The χ^2 OR and 95% confidence interval (CI) were applied to evaluate the power of associations between *IL-8* gene polymorphisms and cancer risk. Sensitivity analysis was also performed by removing one study at a time to calculate the overall homogeneity and effect size. Publication biases were calculated through Egger's regression test and Begg's funnel plot.^{31,32}

Results

Identification and characteristics of the included studies

As presented in Figure 1, the systematic literature retrieval identified a total of 574 relevant publications on PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases. After the titles and abstracts were checked only 31 articles related to the association between *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk remained. An additional 21 publications were excluded due to the lack of sufficient data, or they were without control groups, or they were related to the survival or treatment. As a result, ten publications comprising of 22 case–control studies were included in the present meta-analysis.

The characteristics of the enrolled studies were presented in Table 1. Approximately 14 studies were conducted among Asian descendants^{19,20,23–26} and eight studies among Caucasians.^{21,22,27,28} In addition, there were eleven studies conducted by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism,^{19–21,24} four performed by TaqMan,^{27,28} and only one used polymerase chain reaction-sequence-specific primer.²⁵ The control groups were composed of ten population-based studies^{22,23,25,27,28} and twelve hospital-based studies.^{19–21,24,26} Approximately 19 of them were conformed with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium,^{19,20,23–27} whereas three others were not.^{21,22,28} Furthermore, of these included studies, six studies^{23,27,28} reported about gastric cancer and five about HCC.^{19,24} Oral cancer²⁰ and ovarian cancer²¹ were described by three studies.

Meta-analysis

Table 2 demonstrated the results of meta-analysis. No significant association was identified between the five polymorphisms in *IL-8* (rs2227306, rs2227307, +678T/C, rs1126647, and +1633C/T) and the overall cancer risk. Table 2 also shows the results of subgroup analyses, and the data suggested



Figure I The flow diagram depicts literature search and study selection. Abbreviation: IL-8, interleukin-8.

SNP	First author	Year	Ethnicity	Genotyping	Source of	Cancer	Case	e		Con	trol		_	
				method	control	type	AA	AB	BB	AA	AB	BB	P(HWE)	Y or N
rs2227306	Wang et al ¹⁹	2014	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	HCC	80	105	20	76	96	36	0.550	Y
	Liu et al ²⁰	2012	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	Oral cancer	117	118	35	129	169	52	0.781	Y
	Koensgen et al ²¹	2015	Caucasian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	OC	48	150	69	128	226	72	0.100	Y
	Savage et al ²³	2004	Asian	PCR	PB	GC	28	41	16	167	177	62	0.186	Y
	Savage et al ²³	2004	Asian	PCR	PB	ESCC	53	51	22	167	177	63	0.186	Y
	Chien et al ²⁴	2011	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	HCC	65	57	9	126	164	50	0.776	Y
	Savage et al ²⁷	2006	Caucasian	TaqMan	PB	GC	80	140	68	133	204	91	0.438	Y
	Kamangar et al ²⁸	2006	Caucasian	TaqMan	PB	GC	47	52	П	81	105	22	0.158	Y
rs2227307	Savage et al ²³	2004	Asian	PCR	PB	GC	29	33	24	152	181	69	0.233	Y
	Savage et al ²³	2004	Asian	PCR	PB	ESCC	49	50	25	152	181	69	0.233	Y
	Savage et al ²⁷	2006	Caucasian	TaqMan	PB	GC	74	142	71	121	207	102	0.464	Y
	Kamangar et al ²⁸	2006	Caucasian	TaqMan	PB	GC	42	55	14	72	112	24	0.047	Ν
rs1126647	Liu et al ²⁰	2012	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	Oral cancer	104	123	43	128	161	61	0.400	Y
	Koensgen et al ²¹	2015	Caucasian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	OC	87	124	57	137	228	61	0.029	Ν
	Chien et al ²⁴	2011	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	HCC	60	55	16	125	156	59	0.392	Y
	Hsieh et al ²⁶	2007	Asian	PCR	НВ	Leiomyoma	27	71	68	32	65	59	0.078	Y
+1633C/T	Liu et al ²⁰	2012	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	Oral cancer	100	126	44	125	164	61	0.569	Y
	Koensgen et al ²¹	2015	Caucasian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	OC	62	123	61	20	31	П	0.865	Y
	Chien et al ²⁴	2011	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	HCC	57	58	16	122	159	59	0.562	Y
+678T/C	Wang et al ¹⁹	2014	Asian	PCR-RFLP	НВ	HCC	100	78	27	100	82	26	0.161	Y
	Ahirwar et al ²²	2010	Caucasian	PCR	PB	BC	149	32	24	187	61	22	0	Ν
	Wei et al ²⁵	2007	Asian	PCR-SSP	PB	NPC	38	104	138	144	111	35	0.065	Y

Notes: AA, AB, BC, homozygotes for the common allele, heterozygotes, and homozygotes for the rare allele, respectively. N refers to $P(HWE) \le 0.05$; Y refers to $P(HWE) \ge 0.05$.

Abbreviations: BC, bladder cancer; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; GC, gastric cancer; HB, hospital-based; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HWE, Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OC, ovarian cancer; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; PCR-SSP, polymerase chain reaction-sequence-specific primer; PB, population-based; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

Variables	Case/	T vs C			TT vs CC			TC vs CC		
(rs2227306)	control	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,
Total	1,483/2,772	0.992 (0.813–1.211)	0.000	0.939	0.988 (0.647–1.509)	0.000	0.955	1.016 (0.812–1.272)	0.022	0.888
HCC	336/548	0.721 (0.567-0.916)*	0.250	0.007	0.447 (0.274–0.728)*	0.414	0.001	0.838 (0.548–1.281)	0.154	0.415
GC	484/1,042	1.109 (0.946–1.300)	0.433	0.201	1.244 (0.899–1.723)	0.650	0.187	1.104 (0.860–1.419)	0.407	0.437
Other cancer	663/1,183	1.098 (0.753–1.600)	0.001	0.629	0.886 (0.595–1.320)	0.001	0.507	1.071 (0.641–1.789)	0.022	0.794
Genotyping metho	d									
PCR-RFLP	873/1,324	0.906 (0.615–1.333)	0.000	0.616	0.791 (0.330–1.900)	0.000	0.601	0.988 (0.649–1.505)	0.003	0.956
PCR	211/812	1.128 (0.905–1.406)	0.336	0.285	1.278 (0.823–1.983)	0.482	0.280	1.091 (0.726–1.642)	0.229	0.651
TaqMan	398/636	1.065 (0.889–1.275)	0.372	0.495	1.169 (0.807–1.692)	0.541	0.410	1.034 (0.777–1.375)	0.345	0.817
Ethnicity										
Asian	817/1,710	0.884 (0.716–1.091)	0.029	0.251	0.764 (0.481–1.213)	0.026	0.253	0.894 (0.716–1.117)	0.234	0.199
Caucasian	666/1,062	1.193 (0.910–1.563)	0.030	0.202	1.506 (0.845–2.683)	0.031	0.165	1.220 (0.823–1.810)	0.060	0.322
Source of control										
HB	873/1,324	0.906 (0.615–1.333)	0.000	0.616	0.791 (0.330–1.900)	0.000	0.601	0.988 (0.649–1.505)	0.003	0.956
РВ	610/1,448	1.090 (0.948–1.253)	0.598	0.229	1.213 (0.914–1.610)	0.811	0.186	1.052 (0.847–1.308)	0.498	0.639
	Case/	TT + TC vs CC			TT vs TC + CC					
	control	OR (95% CI)	Pª	P _z	OR (95% CI)	Pa	P _z			
Total	1,483/2,772	1.012 (0.780–1.314)	0.001	0.927	0.979 (0.720–1.330)	0.007	0.890			
HCC	336/548	0.735 (0.493-1.097)	0.159	0.132	0.480 (0.304-0.760)*	0.695	0.002			
GC	484/1,042	1.135 (0.890-1.447)	0.351	0.308	1.156 (0.869–1.536)	0.895	0.319			
Other cancer	663/1.183	0.836 (0.649–1.076)	0.381	0.685	1.220 (0.794–1.874)	0.067	0.365			
Genotyping metho	d				(
	072/1224		0.000	0 0 2 4	0 700 (0 414 1 404)	0.000	0 467			
PCR-RFLF	073/1,324	0.746 (0.377-1.336)	0.000	0.034	0.767 (0.416-1.476)	0.000	0.407			
PCR	211/812	1.139 (0.780–1.665)	0.230	0.443	1.222 (0.818–1.824)	0.824	0.329			
TaqMan	398/636	1.059 (0.802–1.399)	0.305	0.660	1.121 (0.813–1.547)	0.793	0.486			
Ethnicity										
Asian	817/1,710	0.869 (0.676–1.118)	0.095	0.275	0.803 (0.543–1.188)	0.055	0.273			
Caucasian	666/1,062	1.276 (0.820–1.987)	0.020	0.280	1.331 (0.977–1.814)	0.233	0.070			
Source of control										
НВ	873/1,324	0.948 (0.577–1.558)	0.000	0.834	0.789 (0.416–1.496)	0.000	0.467			
PB	610/1,448	1.089 (0.888–1.335)	0.463	0.404	1.160 (0.902–1.490)	0.973	0.251			
Variables	Case/	T vs C			TT vs CC			TC vs CC		
(+678T/C)	control	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,
Total	690/768	1.020 (0.866–1.201)	0.970	0.816	1.165 (0.837–1.623)	0.814	0.365	0.879 (0.696–1.111)	0.390	0.281
Ethnicity		,			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Asian	485/498	1.025 (0.848–1.239)	0.822	0.799	1.092 (0.738–1.617)	0.830	0.659	0.966 (0.738–1.266)	0.922	0.804
Source of control		()						(/		
PB	485/660	1.029 (0.845–1.254)	0.852	0.776	1.224 (0.824–1.818)	0.644	0.316	0.848 (0.638–1.126)	0.194	0.254
HWE		()						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Y	485/498	1.025 (0.848–1.239)	0.822	0.799	1.092 (0.738–1.617)	0.830	0.659	0.966 (0.738-1.266)	0.922	0.804
-	Case/	TT + TC vs CC			TT vs TC + CC					
	control									
Total	690/768	0.952 (0.770–1.177)	0.785	0.650	1.203 (0.874–1.654)	0.702	0.257			
Ethnicity					(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Asian	485/498	0.997 (0.776–1.280)	0.868	0.980	1.109 (0.763-1.612)	0.847	0.589			
Source of control										
PB	485/660	0.944 (0.732–1.216)	0.494	0.654	1.271 (0.867–1.863)	0.503	0.220			
HWE										
Y	485/498	0.997 (0.776-1.280)	0.868	0.980	1.109 (0.763–1.612)	0.847	0.589			
Variables	Case/	T vs A			TT vs AA			TA vs AA		
(rs1126647)	control	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,
Total	831/1,272	0.997 (0.808–1.230)	0.053	0.976	1.049 (0.673–1.634)	0.043	0.834	0.908 (0.741–1.111)	0.307	0.348
Ethnicity										
Asian	563/932	0.944 (0.720–1.237)	0.062	0.674	0.917 (0.535–1.572)	0.073	0.753	0.935 (0.729–1.200)	0.178	0.598
									(Cont	tinued)

Table 2 (Continued)

Variables	Case/	T vs A			TT vs AA			TA vs AA		
(rs1126647)	control	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	P ,
Genotyping meth	nod									
PCR-RFLP	669/1,116	0.938 (0.740–1.191)	0.061	0.601	0.928 (0.550–1.568)	0.043	0.781	0.855 (0.690–1.059)	0.686	0.150
Y	563/846	0.944 (0.720–1.237)	0.062	0.674	0.917 (0.535–1.572)	0.073	0.753	0.935 (0.729–1.200)	0.178	0.598
	Case/ control	TT + TA vs AA			TT vs TA + AA					
Total	831/1,271	0.936 (0.774–1.132)	0.158	0.497	1.071 (0.747–1.535)	0.061	0.708			
Ethnicity Asian	563/932	0.912 (0.722–1.152)	0.081	0.789	0.928 (0.684–1.259)	0.297	0.595			
PCR-RFLP	10d 669/1,116	0.881 (0.720-1.077)	0.380	0.216	1.018 (0.610–1.700)	0.027	0.944			
HWE	563/846	0912 (0722 1152)	0.081	0 729	0 928 (0 684 259)	0 297	0 595			
Variables	Casel	0.912 (0.722-1.132)	0.001	0.787	0.728 (0.884–1.237)	0.277	0.373			
(+1633C/T)	control		Da			Da			Da	
((00/752		P	r _z		P*	r _z		P"	
l otal Ethnicity	608/752	0.961 (0.733–1.260)	0.088	0.772	0.893 (0.638–1.249)	0.103	0.508	0.936 (0.728–1.204)	0.448	0.608
Asian	401/690	0.869 (0.702–1.075)	0.247	0.146	0.768 (0.528–1.116)	0.274	0.166	_0.885 (0.674–1.163)	0.468	0.382
	Case/ control	TT + TC vs CC			TT vs TC + CC					
Total Ethnicity	608/752	0.919 (0.725–1.166)	0.199	0.487	0.929 (0.685–1.258)	0.210	0.632	_		
Asian	401/690	0.853 (0.659–1.103)	0.329	0.224	0.820 (0.582–1.156)	0.374	0.258			
Variables	Case/	G vs T			GG vs TT			GT vs TT		
(rs2227307)	control	OR (95% CI)	Pa	Ρ,	OR (95% CI)	Pa	P ,	OR (95% CI)	P ^a	P ,
Total	608/1,442	1.103 (0.960–1.266)	0.492	0.166	1.268 (0.964–1.667)	0.589	0.089	0.980 (0.784–1.225)	0.656	0.857
GC	484/1,040	1.126 (0.962–1.318)	0.348	0.140	1.317 (0.962–1.804)	0.432	0.086	1.024 (0.791–1.325)	0.558	0.859
Ethnicity	210/004		0 220	0 1 00		0.252	0111	0.004 (0.424 1.244)	07(2	0 5 2 2
Caucasian	398/638	1.068 (0.893–1.276)	0.220	0.189	1.177 (0.816–1.697)	0.232	0.384	1.044 (0.779–1.400)	0.762	0.535
Genotyping meth	nod	(,			((,		
PCR	210/804	1.157 (0.931–1.439)	0.220	0.189	1.396 (0.926–2.105)	0.252	0.111	0.896 (0.634–1.266)	0.762	0.533
i aqı*ian HWE	398/638	1.068 (0.893–1.276)	0.444	0.474	1.177 (0.816–1.697)	0.632	0.384	1.044 (0.779–1.400)	0.297	0.772
Y	497/1,650	1.135 (0.976–1.322)	0.458	0.101	1.314 (0.980–1.763)	0.476	0.068	1.017 (0.792–1.306)	0.555	0.892
	Case/ control	GG + GT vs TT			GG vs GT + TT					
Total	608/1.442	1.055 (0.857-1.300)	0.633	0.611	1.249 (0.984-1.585)	0.444	0.067			
GC Ethnicity	484/1,040	1.101 (0.865–1.401)	0.536	0.433	1.257 (0.961–1.646)	0.265	0.095			
Asian	210/804	1.034 (0.754–1.416)	0.444	0.837	1.480 (1.023–2.141)	0.258	0.037			
Caucasian	398/638	1.073 (0.813–1.415)	0.294	0.620	1.115 (0.818–1.520)	0.981	0.491			
Genotyping meth	10d 210/804	1 034 (0 754_1 414)	0 444	0 837	480 (1 023-2 141)	0 226	0 037			
TagMan	398/638	1.073 (0.813–1.415)	0 294	0.620	. 5(0.818 - 1.520)	0.981	0.491			
HWE	570,000		V.277	0.020		0.701	0.171			
Y	497/1,650	1.104 (0.876–1.391)	0.622	0.403	1.269 (0.985–1.634)	0.282	0.065			

Notes: *Statistically significant (P<0.05). Y refers to P(HWE)>0.05. Statistically significant figures are shown in bold.

Abbreviations: Cl, confidence interval; GC, gastric cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; OR, odds ratio; P^a , P value of Q test for heterogeneity test; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; P_a , P value of Z test for significance test; HB, hospital-based; PB, population-based.

that rs2227306 polymorphism in *IL-8* had no significant association with cancer risk in the subgroup analyses sorted by either genotyping method or ethnicity. However, as for the subgroup analysis categorized by cancer type, it demonstrated a decreased risk of HCC (T vs C: OR =0.721,

95% CI =0.567–0.916, P_z =0.007, Figure 2A; TT vs CC: OR =0.447, 95% CI =0.274–0.728, P_z =0.001, Figure 2B; TT vs TC + CC: OR =0.480, 95% CI =0.304–0.760, P_z =0.002, Figure 2C), whereas no evidence showed significant relevance between the *IL*-8 rs2227306 polymorphism and gastric cancer

Α		
Study ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight (%)
нсс		
Chien et al ²⁴	0.63 (0.46–0.86)	11.82
Wang et al ¹⁹	0.81 (0.61–1.07)	12.42
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =24.4%, <i>P</i> =0.250)	0.72 (0.57–0.92)	24.24
ос		
Liu et al ²⁰	0.84 (0.66–1.05)	13.47
Koensgen et al ²¹	——— 1.53 (1.23–1.90)	13.80
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =92.7%, <i>P</i> =0.000)	1.13 (0.63–2.04)	27.27
GC		
Savage et al ²³	1.28 (0.91–1.79)	11.25
Savage et al ²⁷	1 .12 (0.91–1.38)	13.92
Kamangar et al ²⁸	0.93 (0.66–1.31)	11.11
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.433)	1.11 (0.95–1.30)	36.29
ESCC		
Savage et al ²³	1.03 (0.77–1.38)	12.20
Overall (<i>I</i> ² =77.1%, <i>P</i> =0.000)	0.99 (0.81–1.21)	100
0.464	1 2.16	

B Study ID			OR (95% CI)	Weight (%)
нсс				
Chien et al ²⁴	•		0.35 (0.16–0.75)	10.78
Wang et al ¹⁹			0.53 (0.28-0.99)	12.22
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.414)	<>		0.45 (0.27–0.73)	23.00
ос				
Liu et al ²⁰	•	<u> </u>	0.74 (0.45–1.22)	13.62
Koensgen et al ²¹			2.56 (1.60-4.08)	13.91
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =92.1%, <i>P</i> =0.000)			- 1.38 (0.41-4.64)	27.53
GC				
Savage et al ²³			1.54 (0.78–3.04)	11.70
Savage et al ²⁷			1.24 (0.82–1.89)	14.39
Kamangar et al ²⁸			0.94 (0.43-2.07)	10.59
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.650)	<	\sim	1.24 (0.90–1.72)	36.68
ESCC				
Savage et al ²³	\sim	*	1.12 (0.63–1.99)	12.79
Overall (<i>I</i> ² =76.7%, <i>P</i> =0.000)	<	\geq	0.99 (0.65–1.51)	100
0.162		1	6 19	

Figure 2 (Continued)



Figure 2 OR estimates with the corresponding 95% CI for the association of *IL-8* rs2227306 polymorphism with overall cancer risk.

Notes: (A) T vs C, (B) TT vs CC, and (C) TT vs TC + CC. The sizes of the squares represent the weighting of the included studies. Weights are from random effects analysis.

Abbreviations: Cl, confidence interval; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; GC, gastric cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OC, ovarian cancer; OR, odds ratio.

(T vs C: OR =1.109, 95% CI =0.946–1.300, P_z =0.201; TT vs CC: OR =1.244, 95% CI =0.899–1.723, P_z =0.187; TC vs CC: OR =1.104, 95% CI =0.860–1.419, P_z =0.437; TT + TC vs CC: OR =1.135, 95% CI =0.890–1.447, P_z =0.308).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

By deleting one study at a time to evaluate the influence of an individual study on synthetic statistics, we referred this method as a sensitivity analysis. As presented in Figure 3, although each study was removed, the overall results did not alter obviously, which indicated the stability of our eligible statistics. In addition, all the enrolled articles were examined by using Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test in order to find whether publication bias existed, and as shown in Figure 4, no obvious biases were identified. In addition, the study quality was assessed by Newcastle–Ottawa Scale³³ (Table 3).

Discussion

IL-8 is a kind of chemokine, which has a significant role in tumorigenesis process, particularly for tumor growth, invasion, and angiogenesis.³⁴ Growing evidence has suggested

that abnormal expression of IL-8 may lead to several tumor types, including prostate, breast, lung, and liver cancers.^{6,10,11} To illustrate the complicated process of tumorigenesis and improve the theory for preventive interventions, main genes associated with cancer risk should be explored.³⁵ Genotypingrelated polymorphisms were regarded as eligible and valuable methods in predicting cancer risk and prognosis.

The *IL-8* gene, located on chromosome 4q12-21, is 5.2-kb long and is made up of four exons and three introns. A total of five polymorphisms in *IL-8* were reported, such as rs4073, rs2227307, rs2227306, +678T/C, rs1126647, and +1633C/T.^{19,20,22-27} However, only rs4073 and rs2227306 polymorphisms are related to the expression alteration of IL-8.^{36,37} Rs4073 polymorphism is located at the promoter region of *IL-8*, and a rare allele A of this polymorphism has been identified, which contributed to an increased level of IL-8.³⁸ In addition, previous studies have confirmed that A allele is associated with an increased risk of many cancer types.^{19,20,39} Recently, several meta-analysis have been conducted and have identified that rs4073 polymorphism was related to an increased risk of overall cancers and potentially



Meta-analysis estimates, given named study is omitted

Figure 3 Sensitivity analysis of overall OR coefficient for *IL-8* rs2227306 polymorphism (T vs C). Notes: Results were calculated by omitting each study in turn. The two ends of the dotted lines represent the 95% Cl. Abbreviations: Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

offered an evidence-based medical certificate to investigate cancer risk.^{35,40,41} Considering that a large number of metaanalyses concentrated on *IL-8* rs4073 polymorphism and cancer risk were performed, we focus only on several other polymorphisms in *IL-8* and cancer risk. Rs2227306 polymorphism is located at an intron region of *IL-8* and is involved in the promotion of gene transcription and regulation,⁴² while the best strengthening influence on *IL-8* expression was the common haplotype rs4073-rs2227306.³⁶ Although several other polymorphisms do not influence the expression of *IL-8*, many studies have confirmed the association of those polymorphisms with cancer risk. Wang et al¹⁹ identified that no association of rs4073, rs2227306, -353A/T, and +678T/C polymorphisms in *IL-8* gene and HCC risk was revealed in the Chinese population. Then, Wang et al³⁹ performed a case–control study enrolling 474 breast cancer patients and



Figure 4 Publication bias in studies of the association between the *IL-8* rs2227306 polymorphism and cancer susceptibility assessed by Begg's funnel plot. Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

Polymorphism	Author	Ethnicity	Adequacy of case definition	Representativeness of the cases	Selection of controls	Definition of controls	Comparability cases/controls	Ascertainment of exposure	Same method of ascertainment	Nonresponse rate
rs2227306	Wang et al ¹⁹	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Liu et al ²⁰	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Koensgen et al ²¹	Caucasian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Savage et al ²³	Asian	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
	Savage et al ²⁷	Asian	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
	Chien et al ²⁴	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Savage et al ²³	Caucasian	*	*	*	NA	**	*	*	*
	Kamangar et al ²⁸	Caucasian	*	*	*	NA	**	*	*	*
rs 26647	Liu et al ²⁰	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Koensgen et al ²¹	Caucasian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Chien et al ²⁴	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Hsieh et al ²⁶	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
rs2227307	Savage et al ²³	Asian	*	*	*	*	××	*	*	*
	Savage et al ²⁷	Asian	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
	Savage et al ²³	Caucasian	*	*	*	NA	**	*	*	*
	Kamangar et al ²⁸	Caucasian	*	*	*	NA	**	*	*	*
678T/C	Wang et al ¹⁹	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Ahirwar et al ²²	Caucasian	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
	Wei et al ²⁵	Asian	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
1633C/T	Liu et al ²⁰	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Koensgen et al ²¹	Caucasian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
	Chien et al ²⁴	Asian	*	*	NA	*	**	*	*	*
Notes: This table id	entifies "high-quality"	choices with a	'star (*)". A study can be	awarded a maximum of one s	star for each num	ibered item withi	the selection and ex	cposure categories. A r	naximum of two stars (*:	*) can be given for

Table 3 Methodological quality of the included studies according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale

comparability as per the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.³³ Represents "yes". **Abbreviation:** NA, not applicable.

501 female nontumor controls and identified that TT genotype of *IL-8* rs4073 polymorphism has a significantly reduced risk of breast cancer (TT: OR =0.48, 95% CI =0.33–0.72, P<0.001). In another study, Liu et al²⁰ enrolled 270 patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma and 350 healthy controls, and their results demonstrated that four polymorphisms (rs4073, rs2227306, +1633C/T, and +276A/T) were not related to the risk of oral cancer or clinic pathological characteristics.

Currently, the relationship between *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk has been widely reported, but the conclusions remained controversial. Meta-analysis has been regarded as a crucial method to evaluate the influence of chosen genetic polymorphisms on cancer risk. To the very best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive meta-analysis of genetics studies on the relevance between *IL-8* gene polymorphisms and cancer risk. We indicated a significantly decreased risk of HCC for rs2227306 polymorphism in allele contrast, recessive, and homozygous models.

Limitations

Although we have conducted a general retrieval for all eligible studies, there are several drawbacks that should be mentioned. First, the results may lack statistical power because of the limited sample size and limited number of studies enrolled. Second, only publications indexed in PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases were retrieved, while some relevant studies might have been ignored in other databases. Finally, unadjusted estimates were obtained in this work, and a more accurate analysis should be conducted on the basis of specific details such as sex, age, alcohol status, and environmental conditions.

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis suggests that there is no significant relationship between polymorphisms in *IL-8* (rs2227307, rs2227306, +678T/C, rs1126647, and +1633C/T) and overall cancer risk. However, in the subgroup analysis by cancer type, a decreased risk of HCC was found for rs2227306 polymorphism. Well-designed studies are needed to further explicit the actual relevance between *IL-8* polymorphisms and cancer risk.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

 Matsushima K, Baldwin ET, Mukaida N. Interleukin-8 and MCAF: novel leukocyte recruitment and activating cytokines. *Chem Immunol.* 1992;51:236–265.

- Yuan A, Chen JJ, Yao PL, Yang PC. The role of interleukin-8 in cancer cells and microenvironment interaction. *Frontiers Biosci*. 2005;10: 853–865.
- Gunter MJ, Canzian F, Landi S, Chanock SJ, Sinha R, Rothman N. Inflammation-related gene polymorphisms and colorectal adenoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006;15:1126–1131.
- 4. Lerebours F, Vacher S, Andrieu C, Espie M, Marty M, Lidereau R, Bieche I. NF-kappa B genes have a major role in inflammatory breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2008;8:41.
- Zabaleta J, Su LJ, Lin HY, et al. Cytokine genetic polymorphisms and prostate cancer aggressiveness. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009;30:1358–1362.
- Ren Y, Poon RT, Tsui HT, et al. Interleukin-8 serum levels in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma correlations with clinic pathological features and prognosis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9:5996–6001.
- Zhang XY, Chan WY, Whitney BM, et al. Changes of interleukin expression correlate with Helicobacter pylori infection and lymph node metastases in gastric carcinoma. *Diagn Mol Pathol.* 2002;11:135–139.
- Slaton JW, Inoue K, Perrotte P, et al. Expression levels of genes that regulate metastasis and angiogenesis correlate with advanced pathological stage of renal cell carcinoma. *Am J Pathol.* 2001;158:735–743.
- Li A, Varney ML, Singh RK. Expression of interleukin 8 and its receptors in human colon carcinoma cells with different metastatic potentials. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001;7:3298–3304.
- Kim SJ, Uehara H, Karashima T, Mccarty M, Shih N, Fidler IJ. Expression of interleukin-8 correlates with angiogenesis, tumorigenicity, and metastasis of human prostate cancer cells implanted orthotopically in nude mice. *Neoplasia*. 2001;3:33–42.
- Huang S, Mills L, Mian B, et al. Fully humanized neutralizing antibodies to interleukin-8 (ABX-IL8) inhibit angiogenesis, tumor growth, and metastasis of human melanoma. *Am J Pathol.* 2002;161: 125–134.
- Sheryka E, Wheeler MA, Hausladen DA, Weiss RM. Urinary interleukin-8 levels are elevated in subjects with transitional cell carcinoma. *Urology*. 2003;62:162–166.
- Bidwell J, Keen L, Gallagher G, et al. Cytokine gene polymorphism in human disease: on-line databases, supplement 1. *Genes Immun*. 2001; 2:61–70.
- Mukaida N, Shiroo M, Matsushima K. Genomic structure of the human monocyte-derived neutrophil chemotactic factor IL-8. *J Immun*. 1989;143:1366–1371.
- Hull J, Thomson A, Kwiatkowski D. Association of respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis with the interleukin 8 gene region in UK families. *Thorax*. 2000;55:1023–1027.
- Li K, Yao S, Liu S, Wang B, Mao D. Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin 8 and risk of ulcerative colitis in the Chinese population. *Chinica Chimica Acta*. 2009;405:30–34.
- 17. Lee E, Kim J, Zhao J, Park M, Song Y. Haplotype association of IL-8 gene with Behcet's disease. *Tissue Antigens*. 2007;69:128–132.
- Wigmore SJ, Maingay JP, Fearon KC, Ross JA. Endogenous production of IL-8 by human colorectal cancer cells and its regulation by cytokines. *Int J Oncol.* 2001;18:467–473.
- Wang JL, Nong LG, Wei YS, Tang YJ, Wang JC, Wang CF. Association of interleukin-8 gene polymorphisms with the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol Biol Reports*. 2014;41:1483–1489.
- Liu CM, Yeh CJ, Yu CC, et al. Impact of interleukin-8 gene polymorphisms and environmental factors on oral cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. Oral Dis. 2012;18:307–314.
- 21. Koensgen D, Bruennert D, Ungureanu S, et al. Polymorphism of the IL-8 gene and the risk of ovarian cancer. *Cytokine*. 2015;71:334–338.
- 22. Ahirwar DK, Mandhani A, Mittal RD. IL-8-251 T > A polymorphism is associated with bladder cancer susceptibility and outcome after BCG immunotherapy in a northern Indian cohort. *Arch Med Res.* 2010; 41:97–103.
- 23. Savage SA, Abnet CC, Mark SD, et al. Variants of the IL8 and IL8RB genes and risk for gastric cardia adenocarcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2004;13: 2251–2257.

- Chien MH, Yeh CB, Li YC, et al. Relationship of interleukin-8 gene polymorphisms with hepatocellular carcinoma susceptibility and pathological development. *J Surg Oncol.* 2011;104:798–803.
- Wei YS, Lan Y, Tang RG, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism and haplotype association of the interleukin-8 gene with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin Immunol.* 2007;125:309–317.
- 26. Hsieh YY, Chang CC, Tsai CH, Lin CC, Tsai FJ. Interleukin (IL)-12 receptor beta1 codon 378 G homozygote and allele, but not IL-1 (beta-511 promoter, 3953 exon 5, receptor antagonist), IL-2 114, IL-4-590 intron 3, IL-8 3'-UTR 2767, and IL-18 105, are associated with higher susceptibility to leiomyoma. *Fertil Steril*. 2007;87:886–895.
- Savage SA, Hou L, Lissowska J, et al. Interleukin-8 polymorphisms are not associated with gastric cancer risk in a Polish population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006;15:589–591.
- Kamangar F, Abnet CC, Hutchinson AA, et al. Polymorphisms in inflammation-related genes and risk of gastric cancer (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control*. 2006;17:117–125.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1959;22:719–748.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–188.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50:1088–1101.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–634.
- 33. Margulis AV, Pladevall M, Riera-Guardia N, et al. Quality assessment of observational studies in a drug-safety systematic review, comparison of two tools: the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale and the RTI item bank. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2014;6:359–368.

- Diekstra MH, Liu X, Swen JJ, et al. Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in IL8 and IL13 with sunitinib-induced toxicity in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;71:1477–1484.
- Xue H, Liu J, Lin B, Wang Z, Sun J, Huang G. A meta-analysis of interleukin-8-251 promoter polymorphism associated with gastric cancer risk. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e28083.
- Hacking D, Knight JC, Rockett K, et al. Increased in vivo transcription of an IL-8 haplotype associated with respiratory syncytial virus disease-susceptibility. *Genes Immun.* 2004;5:274–282.
- Ross OA, O'Neil C, Rea IM, et al. Functional promoter region polymorphism of the proinflammatory chemokine IL-8 gene associates with Parkinson's disease in the Irish. *Human Immunol.* 2004;65: 340–346.
- Jin WJ, Xu JM, Xu WL, Gu DH, Li PW. Diagnostic value of interleukin-8 in colorectal cancer: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20:16334–16342.
- Wang Z, Liu QL, Sun W, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in inflammatory response genes and their associations with breast cancer risk. *Croat Medical J.* 2014;55:638–646.
- Wang N, Zhou R, Wang C, et al. -251 T/A polymorphism of the interleukin-8 gene and cancer risk: a huge review and meta-analysis based on 42 case-control studies. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2012;39:2831–2841.
- Gao P, Zhao H, You J, Jing F, Hu Y. Association between interleukin-8-251A/T polymorphism and risk of lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Investig.* 2014;32:518–525.
- Li K, Yao S, Liu S, Wang B, Mao D. Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin 8 and risk of ulcerative colitis in the Chinese population. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. 2009;405:30–34.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.