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Abstract: Images of sea urchin oocytes with hundreds of spermatozoa attached to their surface have fascinated scientists for over a century and led to the idea that oocytes have evolved mechanisms to allow the penetration of one spermatozoon while repelling supernumerary spermatozoa. Popular texts have extrapolated this concept, to the mammals and amphibians, and in many cases to include all the Phyla. Here, it is argued that laboratory experiments, using sea urchin oocytes deprived of their extracellular coats and inseminated at high densities, are artifactual and that the experiments leading to the idea of a fast block to polyspermy are flawed. Under natural conditions, the number of spermatozoa at the site of fertilization is extremely low, compared with the numbers generated. The sperm:oocyte ratio is regulated first by dilution in externally fertilizing species and the female reproductive tract in those with internal fertilization, followed by a bottleneck created by the oocytes extracellular coats. In order to progress to the oocyte plasma membrane, the fertilizing spermatozoon must encounter and respond to a correct sequence of signals from the oocytes extracellular coats. Those that do not, are halted in their progression by defective signaling and fall to the wayside. Final success and entry is finely tuned by the spermatozoon anchoring to an actin-rich predetermined site on the plasma membrane. In this review, the variation in the form, function, and number of gametes produced across the animal kingdom and the many ways in which sperm–oocyte interactions are regulated to reduce numbers are discussed. Since in nature, final sperm:oocyte ratios approach unity it would appear that selective pressures have favored the achievement of monospermy, rather than the evolution of polyspermy preventing mechanisms.
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What happens in the laboratory? Activation events that have been adopted as polyspermy preventing mechanisms

Historical

Ernst E Just in the 1920s was particularly interested in the cortex of oocytes and its role in controlling the behavior and destiny of the cell. He forwarded the idea that a wave of negativity crossed the surface of the sea urchin oocyte rendering its surface unreactive to other spermatozoa, and really set the scene for the hypothesis of the “fast electrical block to polyspermy.”

“Any worker possessing only mediocre powers of observation, therefore, should be able to prove to his own satisfaction that eggs, of these three forms at least (referring to three
species of Sea urchin), separate membranes, beginning at
the point of sperm entry.”

Quote from Ernst E Just.1

“Before the actual elevation of the fertilization membrane,
some cortical change beginning at the point of sperm entry
sweps over the egg, immunizing it to other sperm.” In 1939,
Just, in his landmark book,2 suggested this change may be
attributable to nerve conduction, “because among animal
cells it is the most highly excitable and the most rapidly
conducting.”

However, Just was also aware that mishandling gametes
in preparation for an experiment led to artifacts.

**The sea urchin model**

Due to their abundance and ease to use, marine invertebrate
oocytes have been used for centuries in the laboratory, with
the sea urchin, being favored by many renowned scientists
such as Hertwig, Boveri, and Herbst.3 In contrast to the situa-
tion in most animals, sea urchin oocytes have completed
meiosis when they are ovulated and are often called eggs. In
this review, I will use the term oocyte, in keeping with the
other animals mentioned, and use the term germinal vesicle
oocyte (GV) to indicate an immature sea urchin oocyte.
Images of sea urchin oocytes with thousands of spermatozoa
attached to their surface invoked the general concept that
one successful spermatozoon entered, inducing changes in
oocyte physiology, which inhibited progression of the other
spermatozoa. This change was suggested to be composed of
a slow permanent block, the cortical reaction,4 and a faster
partial block5 and in the 1970s, it was proposed that the fast
block was electrical.6

Sea urchins are a member of the echinoderm family and
are found globally. Animals are collected by divers often with-
out taking into account their breeding patterns or sexual matu-
arity. Gametes are obtained, either by injecting a concentrated
salt solution into their body cavity or by manual dissection.
The oocytes are surrounded by a jelly layer, which is often
indiscriminately removed (by acid treatment) and these large
cells are often attached electrostatically to slides to flatten
them out, both for imaging or electrophysiological recording.
Meiotic and cytoplasmic maturity in sea urchin oocytes are
often asynchronous7 and they undergo aging in vitro.8,9

Thus, in using marine oocytes, one has to be careful
and take into account that an experiment has not already
been done in the preparation of the material to suit the
experimenter.

If the condition of the eggs is not taken into account, the
results obtained by the use of subnormal eggs in experiments
may be due wholly or in part to the poor physiological
condition of the eggs. Thus, the failure of sea urchin eggs
that are freed of their jelly, fully to separate their vitelline
membrane after fertilization, as they normally do, does
not mean that the experimental removal of jelly renders
membrane separation impossible but only that the eggs are
in a bad condition brought about by injurious action of the
agent employed to remove the jelly.

The basis and the control of any experiment is the per-
fectly normal egg; the worker must know therefore what is
a good egg.

Descriptive embryology built upon laboratory observations
stands only if one can assume that the stages observed repre-
sent faithful reproductions of those occurring in nature[…].

Quote from Ernst E Just.2

**The cortical reaction**

At activation, the oocyte changes its role from a quiescent
cell programmed to interact with its male counterpart, to a
dynamic zygote that must be kick-started to progress through
early development. The early embryo must be protected and
isolated from its environment. Protection is afforded by the
cortical reaction. Just below the surface of the sea urchin
oocyte lies 20,000 granules, each measuring ~1 micron
in diameter containing a cocktail of enzymes and macromo-
lecules, including proteins, hyaline, a serine protease,
a peroxidase, and several sulfated mucopolysaccharides.
Cortical granules are derived from the Golgi apparatus and
migrate to the periphery during maturation. They release their
contents into the perivitelline space, following interaction
with the fertilizing spermatozoon, by fusing to the oocyte
plasma membrane. Fusion starts at the site of sperm entry
and then traverses the oocyte in a wave to the antipode taking
~30 seconds to complete. Following the cortical reaction,
a tightly adhering protective layer around the oocyte called
the hyaline layer is formed,10-12 while the peroxidase hardens
the elevated fertilization membrane by cross-linking the tyrosine
residues of the vitelline coat aided by hydrogen peroxide.
This leads to a net increase in the total surface area of the
plasma membrane, which can be observed as a transient
increase in length of microvilli, and presumably changes
the permeability and exchange properties of the oocyte with
the environment.13-16 Therefore, it appears that the cortical
reaction has evolved to change the receptive outer investment
of the oocyte into a hardened protective layer to protect the
developing embryo in the early stages of embryogenesis and
to provide a microclimate for the early division cycles and
morphometric movements.
Kinetic experiments of Lord Rothschild

Rothschild and Swann in the 1940–1950s studied sperm–oocyte interaction in sea urchins at various concentrations and conditions and came up with the idea that the fertilizing spermatozoon induced a fast, yet partial, change in the oocyte surface that preceded the cortical reaction and that reduced sperm receptivity by 1/20th.

“They have of course been known since the 19th century that the surface of the sea urchin egg changes at fertilization, and the method of observing this change, whether with polarized light, dark ground illumination, or ordinary light.”

Observing sea urchin oocytes, under dark field illumination, the authors noted a change in the scattering of light properties that covered the oocyte cortex in ~20 seconds at 18°C.

“At the site of spermatozoon entry, there is a localized and transient decrease in light scattering, the elevation of a fertilization cone and it is here that the fertilization membrane starts to elevate.”

Rothschild then took the assumption that a suspension of spermatozoa was analogous to an assembly of gas molecules, and calculated the number of sperm–oocyte collisions at 10^5/mL to be 1.6, at 10^6/mL to be 16, and at 10^7/mL to be 160, assuming the translator speed of sperm to be 190 microns/s.

Using immature oocytes (the GV stage), Rothschild and Swann demonstrated that from an expected 4,500 collisions at a sperm density of 10^8/mL, in a 5-minute period, <100 actually entered the oocyte. The authors concluded that “only a fraction of the spermatozoa which collide with the egg surface are able to initiate activation” and “attachment of the spermatozoon to an egg is not followed by fertilization unless there exists a particular orientation on a molecular scale, between the egg and sperm surfaces, and provided there has been no previous interaction between spermatozoa and Gynogamone II” (an agglutinating substance released from eggs).

In later experiments, Rothschild and Swann mixed oocytes and sperm at known densities for varying periods of time and, by treating the fertilization reaction as a first-order chemical reaction, found that the fraction of monospermic oocytes increased in time according to the relationship

\[ M(t) = 1 - e^{-\alpha t} \]

below sperm densities of 3×10^6/mL.

The relationship is similar for polyspermic oocytes at densities between 7×10^7/mL and 3×10^9/mL giving a rate of appearance of polyspermic oocytes as \( \alpha' \).

They argued that since \( \alpha' \) (the refertilization rate) was found to be much less than \( \alpha \) (the monospermic rate), a rapidly acting partial block reduced the probability of successful reactions after the first had occurred. In order to perform these calculations of refertilization rates (to fix a \( T=0 \)), the authors used a totally unnatural sperm concentration of 10^9/mL, which is slightly less than that found in the testis before dilution. Rothschild and Swann point out the drawbacks of their own experiments: Since \( \alpha' \) is the rate of increase of polyspermic eggs, it is perforce an underestimate of the successful collision rate because it does not take into account the actual number of sperm per polyspermic egg. In addition, the nonlinear dependence of \( \alpha' \) on n may be due to sperm-sperm interactions at higher densities, while we have not taken into account chemotaxis from egg exuded components, the presence of the jelly or the declining fertilizing capacity of spermatozoa in time.

The kinetic experiments are flawed

Although an exercise to be studied by all students of biology, the Rothschild hypothesis has fundamental flaws: first, fertilization is not a first-order chemical reaction and spermatozoa are not analogous to gas molecules. Second, it does not consider the possibility that there are a limited number of sperm entry sites on the oocyte surface. Lastly, it assumes that all spermatozoa are equal in their capacity to penetrate the cell. This is incorrect. Only competent spermatozoa that encounter and respond to the correct sequence of triggering events as they progress through the oocyte investments are successful.

Experiments that led to the proposal for a fast electrical block to polyspermy

In the 1970s, with the advent of more sophisticated electrophysiological recording techniques, the intuitive Rothschild hypothesis on a fast polyspermy block in sea urchin oocytes was translated into a physiological parameter – transmembrane voltage!

Jaffe identified two types of electrical response at fertilization. From a total of 21 current clamp recordings, eight oocytes gave rise to a positive fertilization potential (ie, that overshot 0 mV), while 13 oocytes generated a fertilization potential less positive than −10 mV. Since the former oocytes were all monospermic, while the latter were either monospermic or polyspermic, the author came to the conclusion: “These results suggest that the entry of extra sperm is prevented by the more positive-going activation potential.” The authors also demonstrated that unfertilized oocytes artificially held at a positive potential by the injection...
of large amounts of current could not be fertilized. This intuitively attractive initial report was soon contrasted by more substantial studies using the correct electrophysiological configuration of holding the transmembrane potential at various voltages, the voltage clamp configuration.

**Electrophysiological data suggesting a fast electrical block is artifactual**

Shen and Steinhardt\(^1\) demonstrated that while positive voltages blocked the entry of all the spermatozoa attached to the surface of the oocyte, a negative repolarization permitted the entry of only one spermatozoon. The authors concluded\(^1\)

> "we were somewhat surprised to observe a low rate of polyspermy after the application of a window of negativity. Despite the presence of numerous sperm, all presumably blocked at the same point, nearly all the fertilizations were monospermic."

Using a fluorescent dye to indicate cell–cell fusion, Hinkley et al\(^1\) showed that in sea urchin oocytes voltage clamped at \(-20\) mV, only one spermatozoon fused with the oocyte. Meanwhile, Lynn et al\(^2\), using clamp voltages of \(-20\) to \(+17\) mV, showed that normal monospermic entry was associated with a typical biphasic fertilization current and quoted "As many as 5–25 sperm may attach before a successful encounter occurs that results in an electrophysiological response." These experiments show that the fertilizing spermatozoon is intrinsically different to supernumerary spermatozoa or that it is located at a preferential entry site, irrespective of the membrane voltage.

**Holding the oocytes transmembrane voltage at unnatural levels is artifactual**

In order to measure directly the ion currents across a biological membrane, it is necessary to voltage clamp the membrane, either by the whole cell clamp technique or by using two intracellular electrodes, one to measure the current, the other to inject current to move the transmembrane voltage to a particular value. Voltage clamp was traditionally designed for nerve and muscle cells or in any case cells that are, at most, exposed to variations in voltage or to the application of drugs.

A sea urchin oocyte is nearly 1 million times the volume of a spermatozoon. As it penetrates the oocyte investments, the spermatozoon encounters a sequence of molecular triggers that leads to its physiological competence.\(^2\) Clamping the oocyte at a voltage extraneous to its natural resting potential requires injecting large amounts of current into the oocyte, which will cause a molecular reorganization of the oocyte plasma membrane (frying), interfering with the normal processes of sperm–oocyte interaction. Clamping around the natural resting potential, or at least in a range where little current is required to hold the membrane steady, that is, \(-20\) to \(+15\) mV, permits sperm entry.

**Monitoring the kinetics of sperm–oocyte interaction by electrical recordings**

Since sea urchin oocytes are large and transparent and are easy to penetrate with intracellular microelectrodes, changes in voltage over time have been used to follow fertilization events. Many spermatozoa attach to the jelly-free oocyte, before one, the fertilizing spermatozoon, distinguishes itself by gyrating around its point of attachment.\(^2\) Approximately 3 seconds later, a small electrical step depolarization occurs, with no change in the morphology of the oocyte surface or sperm behavior, until a further 9 seconds later when a larger bell-shaped depolarization starts.\(^2\) The successful spermatozoon then stiffens, stops gyrating, and the cortical reaction is initiated at this point. A protuberance of the oocyte cortex (the fertilization cone) is formed and the sperm head slowly disappears into this cone, while the cortical reaction spreads across the oocyte to the antipode. The cortical reaction leads to the elevation of the fertilization membrane and is completed during the repolarizing phase of the fertilization potential.\(^2\) The time period between the attachment of the successful spermatozoon and the initiation of the cortical reaction is known to be \(-13\) seconds at room temperature, is temperature-dependent,\(^2\) and has been called the latent period.\(^2\) In the latent period, which correlates with the time delay between the two electrical events, that is, the step depolarization and the start of the slower fertilization potential, a microfilament-dependent process appears to occur.\(^2\) If a germinal vesicle (GV) oocyte is impaled with an intracellular microelectrode and inseminated, the events are different to mature oocytes. GV oocytes do not undergo the cortical reaction, as their cortical granules have not yet migrated to the cell surface, and they are naturally polyspermic. Using equivalent sperm concentrations, the step depolarizations occur within 5 seconds of insemination; however, the fertilizing spermatozoon gyrate for 30–60 seconds before stiffening and being engulfed by the fertilization cones. Unsuccessful spermatozoa, that is, those that do not enter the oocyte, maybe identified by one of two behaviors. First, some spermatozoa attach to the surface, but do not generate either electrical step depolarizations or fertilization cones, and these continue gyrating around their point of attachment for several minutes until their energy
resources are depleted and they fall limp to the oocyte surface. A second category of unsuccessful spermatozoa generate step depolarizations that subsequently reverse after ~50 seconds; however, these spermatozoa also do not induce fertilization cone formation, nor enter the oocyte. Using transmission electron microscopy, it was shown that 95% of attached spermatozoa at 20 seconds insemination are not acrosome reacted.27,28

Using the voltage clamp configuration, Longo et al.29 fixed sea urchin oocytes at various times after insemination and showed that the first electrical event was detected 2 seconds after attachment, with gamete fusion occurring at 7 seconds and cortical exocytosis starting at 9 seconds. The fertilization potential was detected at 13 seconds. Sea urchin oocytes can be loaded with a fluorescent dye and cytoplasmic continuity between sperm and oocyte can be determined when the dye flows into the spermatozoon.30 By inseminating voltage clamped (~20 mV) dye-loaded eggs, Hinkley et al.31 inferred that cell–cell continuity occurred at 4–8 seconds after the initial electrical event.

What happens in nature?

Form, function, and numbers of gametes

We have argued previously that laboratory experiments with large numbers of spermatozoa and oocytes deprived of their extracellular layers are artificial and have led to the idea of the oocyte possessing active mechanisms to allow the entry of one spermatozoon, while rejecting supernumerary spermatozoa. The main culprit has been the sea urchin, however, more recently this hypothesis has been extrapolated to mammals, another group that lends itself to laboratory conditions. Furthermore, popular texts have misguided readers into believing that this situation is common across the animal kingdom. This is far from the truth. Gametes vary enormously in form, function, and numbers produced and accordingly there are many ways in which sperm–oocyte interactions are regulated.

Sperm entry sites

In insects, squid, and some teleosts, the oocytes lack cortical granules and the extracellular coat is impenetrable, called the chorion. It is worth noting in these species that the spermatozoon lack an acrosome.30 In these species, the spermatozoa enter the oocyte through a preformed entry site, the micropyle,31 where, in fish at least, a sperm attractant, a glycol-protein, has been identified responsible for guiding the spermatozoon to the micropyle.32 In the anuran, Discoglossus pictus, oocytes are highly polarized with the animal pole marking the position of the meiotic plate and organelles distributed in a gradient toward the vegetal pole. Here, the spermatozoa may only enter through a restricted depression at the animal pole, called the dimple, where the fine structural organization is different to the rest of the oocyte surface.33 In tunicates, the spermatozoon enters the oocyte at a preferential site at the vegetal pole. Polarized sperm entry coincides with polarized oocyte activation events. The first event being the release of calcium from intracellular stores, which traverses the oocyte from the point of sperm entry to the antipode.34 For example, in jellyfish and the anuran Xenopus laevis, where the sperm enters the animal pole of the oocyte, the calcium wave starts at the animal pole and traverses the oocyte to the antipode.35,36 While in ascidian and nemertean oocytes, the wave initiates at the vegetal pole, the site of sperm entry.37,38

In teleosts, where the spermatozoa are forced to enter at the animal pole through the micropyle, the calcium wave starts at the animal pole.39–41 Since in mammals and echinoderms, the calcium wave is also initiated at the point of sperm entry,42–45 more work is necessary to determine whether in these groups there are also preferential sperm entry sites.

Naturally polyspermic animals

In other animals, it is normal for more than one spermatozoon to enter the oocyte cytoplasm; however, only one sperm nucleus interacts with the maternal nucleus to form the zygote nucleus, while the others degenerate. Physiologically polyspermic animals include ctenophores, many insects, elasmobranchs, some amphibians, reptiles, and birds.4,5,30,46 The most classical studies include those on the urodeles by Fankhauser47–49 and those in birds by Harper.50 In birds, hundreds of millions of sperms are inseminated, but only a few hundred reach the ovum,51 the vast majority are ejected by the female tract early after copulation. Recently, it has been shown in the zebra finch and domestic fowl that the female tract regulates the number of sperm reaching the site of fertilization and it has been suggested that although one or few spermatozoa are sufficient to activate the oocyte, the presence of several supernumerary spermatozoa in the cytoplasm of the oocyte is a prerequisite for embryogenesis.52

Low sperm numbers

Later we shall discuss that in animals that produce large numbers of spermatozoa, dilution (externally fertilizing species) or dilution and regulation in the reproductive tract (internally fertilizing species) followed by a bottleneck created by the oocyte extracellular coats reduces the number of spermatozoa at the site of fertilization. In other animals,
such as some insects and nematode worms, sperm utilization is very efficient. In Drosophila, Leufre and Johnson discovered a 1:1 ratio between the progeny produced and the number of sperms in the seminal receptacles. In the nematode worm, Caenorhabditis elegans, every spermatozoon fertilizes an oocyte; however, not all oocytes are fertilized because in fact oocytes are produced in excess. This high efficiency of sperm utilization in insects and nematodes may be an important adaptation as it enables a minimal volume and nutrients for the stored sperm. Low numbers reduce genetic variability, which may be offset by sperm displacement from second matings.

**The culprits: sea urchins and mammals**

### Dilution, transport, and chemotaxis

#### External fertilization

In externally fertilizing animals, such as the sea urchin, it is commonly thought that oocytes and spermatozoa are released into the environment in an uncontrolled haphazard mode with the oocytes being bombarded by hoards of competent spermatozoa. Fertilization starts with the interaction of gametes at the level of their extracellular coats, followed by interaction at the cellular level, leading to sperm entry and ending with the formation of the zygote. In order to progress, each gamete must encounter and respond to a correct sequence of signals from its partner. It is not, as suggested by Rothschild in the 1940s, a first-order chemical reaction but a fine-tuned, gradual, and controlled encounter of gametes. Fertilization success in nature depends on the spawning behavior of the organisms, population size, current velocity, oocyte size, sperm swimming capacity, and many other factors. It is true that sea urchins produce ~10,000 spermatozoa for every oocyte produced, which incidentally is one-hundredth of that sea urchins produce sperm swimming capacity, and many other factors. It is true that sea urchins produce ~10,000 spermatozoa for every oocyte produced, which incidentally is one-hundredth of that.

After spawning, sea urchin sperm motility is activated by exposure to the higher pH of the sea water, which leads to the activation of a Na+/H+ exchanger and a Dynein ATPase. Lillie was the first to show that in sea urchins sperm behavior is further modulated by factors released from the extracellular coats of the oocyte. Since then, many sperm-activating peptides in the jelly layer have been identified, for example, speract and resact. Sperm-activating peptides stimulate sperm motility and respiration by a cascade of intracellular signaling events that involve cyclic nucleotides, pH, and Ca2+. Since the jelly layer can be removed without impeding fertilization, it has often been considered an accessory rather than an integral part of the oocyte. The jelly is composed of high molecular weight fucose-sulfate-rich glycoconjugates and the pH in some species is lower (6.3–7.6) than that of the surrounding sea water (7.8–8.2), which may play a role both in maintaining the metabolic repression of the oocyte and regulating sperm–oocyte interaction. Hagstrom has shown that even at relatively high sperm:oocyte ratios, 90% of spermatozoa are unable to penetrate the jelly layer and remain immobilized at various depths within the jelly. Those that pass through must arrive in a physiological condition that both promotes binding and subsequently penetration of the vitelline membrane. The radial organization in situ of the jelly layer is unknown; however, a jelly canal at the animal pole has been identified in sea urchins and suggested to be a preferential sperm entry site, analogous to a micropyle. In amphibian oocytes, the jelly is a fibrillar matrix of high molecular weight glycoproteins, interspersed with globular proteins of lower molecular weight, and an unusual hypertonic milieu of 70 mM Na+, 30 mM K+, 6 mM Ca2+, and 7 mM Mg2+. Leaching these ions, in particular calcium, and the low molecular glycoproteins, in hypotonic medium, leads to a marked yet reversible decrease in fertilizability. Considering that in aurans spermatozoa enter the oocyte in the animal hemisphere, it is probable that the jelly layer differs from antipode to antipode.

Thus, in animals with external fertilization: oocyte ratios are extremely low and need to be enhanced by chemotactic mechanisms even to ensure a minimum of oocytes are fertilized. The outer jelly layer attracts and traps spermatozoa, however, incompetent spermatozoa (whether due to the sperm or the oocyte) are prevented from progressing toward the oocyte.

#### Internal fertilization

Mammals produce many more spermatozoa than they do oocytes, with the sperm:oocyte ratio at origin being as high as 10^4:1. Nonetheless, to ensure fertilization, sperm deposition must be synchronized with ovulation. In some mammals, the spermatozoa are deposited in the vagina (eg, humans), in others, such as mice, they are deposited directly into the uterus. However, in both cases the vast majority of spermatozoa are rapidly eliminated from the tract, with a minority reaching the site of fertilization, the ampulla or...
ampulla–isthmic junction. The major barrier for sperm ascent in mice is the uterotubal junction, with spermatozoa being progressively released from the lower part of the oviductal isthmus at ovulation. In order to avoid premature aging of the oocyte, sperm ascent and oocyte descent to the ampulla must occur synchronously. The first barrier to sperm ascent in humans is the highly folded mucus-filled cervix, where sperm are retained and released over a period of several days. Contractility of the uterine wall aids sperm ascension to the lower isthmus, where they are sequestered until ovulation (see studies by Hunter74,75 for domestic animals). Spermatozoa stored in the isthmus in pigs are in close contact with the epithelium; however, the mechanism by which bound sperm are selectively released is not clear. Release may be regulated by changes in the sperm head plasma membrane and capacitation (see also Gualtieri et al77). Finally, migration from the isthmus to the ampullae appears to be due to sperm motility and contractile activity of the oviduct.78 So in conclusion, in the mammals, the number of sperm that reach the site of fertilization are regulated by the female tract. There is a dramatic variation across the animal kingdom in sperm shape, sperm size, and the numbers produced to maximize fertilization success. In fruit flies, it was shown that owing to competition for storage and sperm displacement, sperm size is selected for, while in passerine, birds selection is stronger on sperm number.79 Recently, examining over 100 mammalian species in a meta-analysis on sperm size and number in relation to body mass, Lupold and Fitzpatrick80 came to the conclusion that evolutionary responses favored sperm number rather than sperm size with increasing body size, that is, the bigger the animal the greater the selection pressure on the number of sperm per ejaculate rather than sperm length. Essentially, larger animals such as elephants, produce more sperm per ejaculate (corrected for body mass) than a small mammal such as a mouse. Here, again, we encounter the dilution effect.

In the few in vivo studies where spermatozoa have been counted in situ, 700 spermatozoa were found in sheep ampullae,8 and five in man,8 while in rodents the sperm:oocyte ratios at the site of fertilization were usually unity or below.71,75,83–85 In human in vitro fertilization, it is the normal practice to inseminate cumulus intact oocytes with a concentration of at least 100,000 spermatozoa/mL.86 The oocytes remain in this sperm bath for 18 hours until they are cleaned and transferred to fresh medium for a check on whether they have been fertilized correctly or not. When oocytes were removed from the sperm bath at various times prematurely and cultured in a sperm-free medium, it was found that an initial exposure of 5 minutes was sufficient for normal fertilization to progress. In these cases, approximately ten spermatozoa entered the cumulus complex, with one successfully reaching and fertilizing the oocyte. Those that did not were often blocked at various levels in the cumulus complex.57 This study shows that in the mammals, hoards of spermatozoa are not required for normal fertilization and coincides with the concept that in natural in vivo insemination, not more than a handful of spermatozoa approach the oocyte. It is an open debate as to whether or not mammalian spermatozoa respond to chemotactic stimuli,84 an odorant receptor gene expressed in the testis may be involved in sperm chemotaxis in humans.89,90 Chemical modulation of the zona by oviductal-specific glycoproteins before the oocyte encounters the spermatozoon has been described, which may also be involved in the fine tuning of sperm–oocyte interactions in mammals.91,92

In conclusion, few spermatozoa reach the oocyte under natural conditions, of those that do, some are not able to progress through the outer oocyte coating, the cumulus oophorus. A second extracellular coat, the zona pellucida, which impedes sperm progression even further is composed of several glycoproteins that differ between species.93–98 The zona pellucida serves to modulate sperm binding and to protect the embryo during early development; however, we know little about its topographical constitution and if indeed sperm entry is piloted to a specific site. Experiments on mammalian oocytes deprived of their outer investments led to the idea that different species had developed different “polyspermy blocking mechanisms” ranging from the plasma membrane, as in the rabbit,81 to the zona pellucida as in the sheep and pig,99 or a combination of both as in the mouse.99 An equally plausible alternative explanation is that the spermatozoon exposed to an incorrect sequence of oocyte signals, due to investment removal, behave differently from one species to the next.

In many animals, including mammals, the extracellular coats may be removed without inhibiting fertilization and these initial gamete interactions may be bypassed by micro-injecting the spermatozoon directly into the oocyte. This is often erroneously interpreted as showing the inutility of the extracellular coats and is purely a laboratory artifact. In nature, passage through these coats is a prerequisite for normal fertilization, oocyte activation, and subsequent paternal nuclear decondensation.

Finally, the last barrier for sperm interaction is the plasma membrane and to date there is still little information on its structure. In a preliminary study at the scanning electron microscope, the plasma membrane of the human metaphase II...
oocyte appears to be unpolarized; however, further molecular studies are necessary to determine whether or not spermatozoa may fuse at any site around the plasma membrane.100 The plasma membrane in mouse and rat oocytes is polarized with a flat microvillus-free area overlying the metaphase spindle where spermatozoa are not able to fuse.101,102

The cortical reaction in mammals appears to follow the same principles as in invertebrates, but is less dramatic and slower. The lateral diffusion of proteins and lipids in the plasma membrane of the mouse oocyte is strongly restricted after fertilization.103 The zona pellucida glycoproteins, ZP2 and ZP3, are partially hydrolyzed by a protease released from the cortical granules at fertilization, which causes a hardening of the zona pellucida.94,104,105

Back to the laboratory!

Activation of the oocyte

Fertilization is a complex interaction of two complimentary cells, the oocyte and the spermatozoon, where each gamete triggers physiological changes in its partner. In order to proceed through the layers of extracellular coats that surround the oocyte, the spermatozoon encounters a series of consecutive signals that induce changes in its physiology and are a prerequisite for further progression. If one observes sperm–oocyte interaction in echinoderms or mammals with their coats intact, it can be seen that many sperm are halted partially through their voyage.11,28,87 Once the spermatozoon has reached the oocyte plasma membrane, and probably following fusion of the two cells, this small cell (500,000 times the volume of the oocyte) triggers the quiescent oocyte into metabolic activity that eventually leads to meiotic resumption and formation of the zygote. The first signs of oocyte activation are changes in the ion permeability of the plasma membrane and the entry and subsequent release of intracellular calcium. While the former maybe localized to the site of sperm entry, the latter starts at the entry site and spreads in an autocatalytic wave to the antipode. Simultaneously, and probably in conjunction, there is a massive reorganization of the cell cortex involving cytoskeletal elements and a cascade of cell cycle kinases. All activation events are propagative and have precise temporal and spatial characteristics that are predetermined and independent of sperm concentration.

In stark contrast to somatic cell biology, oocyte activation that involves thousands of biochemical and physiological pathways simultaneously progressing in a spatial and temporal pattern does not lend itself to traditional molecular biological studies, such as depletion or over expression of any particular component. Activation processes are not specific to gametes, but are biochemical and physiological processes common to all cells, however, with different kinetics.

Inducing polyspermy in the laboratory

In the echinoderms and mammals, under natural conditions, very few sperm reach the site of fertilization and those that do are often trapped or prevented from progressing through the intracellular coats. The fact remains that in the laboratory, using oocytes depleted of their extracellular coats and unnaturally high sperm densities, often only one sperm enters. Inducing polyspermy in these animals in the laboratory is instrumental in elucidating the mechanism of sperm entry.

During maturation, a series of physiological and structural changes creates a cell unit geared to interact with the fertilizing spermatozoon at a particular moment in time called cytoplasmic maturity. This is often asynchronous with nuclear maturity as first shown by Delage.7 If the oocyte is not fertilized, the maturation process continues and the cell ages lead to polyspermy, parthenogenesis, apoptosis, early extrusion of cortical granules, a decrease in M-phase-promoting factor and mitogen-activated protein kinases and changes in histone acetylation.

Sea urchin oocytes may age in the ovary,8 or after removal from the ovary; however, in the latter situations the process is considerably accelerated. Aging is associated with a lower rate of oxygen consumption,8 alterations to membrane permeability and the cytoskeleton,9 and dissolution of the jelly layer and precocious dissolution of the cortical granules. Thus, aged sea urchin oocytes have altered receptivity to spermatozoa, a condition that can be mimicked by treating oocytes with a variety of physical and chemical agents such as heat shock and nicotine106,107 and drugs that affect actin.108 The latter authors have also cast doubt on the existence of a slow block to polyspermy in sea urchin oocytes, since they demonstrated that multiple sperm entry may occur in the presence of a fertilization membrane and no sperm entry when the fertilization membrane was prevented from elevating. Polyspermy is also common in aged mammalian oocytes, both in vivo and in vitro.109

Privileged sperm entry

If we observe fertilization in the sea urchin oocyte, with moderate sperm densities, we see that many sperm attach to the oocyte surface gyrating around their attachment sites. One becomes motionless with the tail stiffening perpendicular to the oocyte surface (Figure 1). This is the successful spermatozoon that induces the step depolarization25 and simultaneously the cortical flash, which is the global instantaneous release of cortical calcium that precedes the calcium wave by 10 seconds (the latent period).110 The successful
spermatozoon, by virtue of its competence, or because it is attached to a predetermined site, is different to its counterparts. There is no evidence that supernumerary spermatozoa are physiologically equivalent, nor if they are attached to parts of the oocyte surface that would permit entry (Figure 1). Consequently, there is no reason to believe that activation events would prevent their further progression.

The length and density of microvilli, location of cortical granules,12,27,111 and the priming of the intracellular Ca²⁺ release mechanism112 are events in oocytes that are tightly controlled by the actin cortical cytoskeleton.113 In the 1970s, it was already suggested that G-actin, sequestered on the membrane, polymerizes during the first few seconds of sperm–oocyte interaction.114,115 In the starfish oocyte, the acrosome reaction can be seen to be induced at the outer layer of the jelly and a long tubule then grows from the tip of the spermatozoon to perforate the jelly and the vitelline coat to fuse with the oocyte plasma membrane.116 The successful spermatozoon needs to encounter a series of consecutive signals that induces changes in its physiology and are a prerequisite for progression before triggering a localized subcortical polymerization of actin, beneath the fertilization cone, which is then involved in the movement of the spermatozoon into the oocyte.113,117 At high sperm densities, several sperm may undergo the acrosome reaction, contacting the oocyte plasma membrane, but these unsuccessful spermatozoa induce transient localized activation events that abort. Only one, the successful spermatozoon, locates a site that induces a complete fertilization response that propagates globally and leads to sperm entry. This successful sperm anchor site is regulated by the underlying actin cytoskeleton and, in any case, must be predetermined.117

Figure 1 Spermatozoa on the surface of a sea urchin oocyte. Note: Are they attached to sites which permit entry?
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