Evaluation of oxidative response and tissular damage in rat lungs exposed to silica-coated gold nanoparticles under static magnetic fields

Soumaya Ferchichi1
Hamdi Trabelsi1
Inès Azzouz1
Amel Hanini2
Ahmed Rejeb3
Olfa Tebourbi1
Mohsen Sakly1
Hafedh Abdelmelek1

1Laboratory of Integrative Physiology, Faculty Of Sciences of Bizerte, Tunisia
2Laboratory of Vascular Pathology, Carthage University, Carthage, Tunisia
3Laboratory of Pathological Anatomy, National School of Veterinary Medicine of Sidi Thabet, Manouba University, Manouba, Tunisia

Abstract: The purpose of our study was the evaluation of toxicological effects of silica-coated gold nanoparticles (GNPs) and static magnetic fields (SMFs; 128 mT) exposure in rat lungs. Animals received a single injection of GNPs (1,100 µg/kg, 100 nm, intraperitoneally) and were exposed to SMFs, over 14 days (1 h/day). Results showed that GNPs treatment induced a hyperplasia of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue. Fluorescence microscopy images showed that red fluorescence signal was detected in rat lungs after 2 weeks from the single injection of GNPs. Oxidative response study showed that GNPs exposure increased malondialdehyde level and decreased CuZn-superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase activities in rat lungs. Furthermore, the histopathological study showed that combined effects of GNPs and SMFs led to more tissular damages in rat lungs in comparison with GNPs-treated rats. Interestingly, intensity of red fluorescence signal was enhanced after exposure to SMFs indicating a higher accumulation of GNPs in rat lungs under magnetic environment. Moreover, rats coexposed to GNPs and SMFs showed an increased malondialdehyde level, a fall of CuZn-superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase activities in comparison with GNPs-treated group. Hence, SMFs exposure increased the accumulation of GNPs in rat lungs and led to more toxic effects of these nanocomplexes.
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Introduction
The rapid emergence of gold nanoparticles (GNPs) technology holds great promise for future biomedical applications. In fact, due to a high stability, ease of synthesis, and straightforward incorporation of functional groups for targeting bio-applications, GNPs have several applications in cancer treatment, bioimaging, gene, and protein delivery.1-3

However, despite their huge potential benefits in biomedical, environmental, and industrial applications, very little is known about the short- or long-term bioeffects in organisms. Reports show that GNPs can circulate in the body for a long time without being rejected by the body and the immune system.1 All these behaviors are guided by the small size, shape, and surface charges. This is of concern because during synthesis and applications, GNPs of various sizes, shapes, and surface charges are generated that may be of health risk.3

A previous study suggested that GNPs can be used safely.4 However, other data found that gold was toxic to the body, where it becomes soluble by cyanidation and can undergo oxidation.5 Investigations revealed that GNPs are heavily taken up by kidneys and can also initiate eryptosis.5,6 Physicochemical properties related to size,
shape, and surface charge are key factors associated with gold complexes toxicity.

Previous studies showed that following injection of colloidal gold in mice, particles with size range from 10 to 50 nm were dispersed rapidly to all tissues especially in lungs, liver, kidneys, and spleen.\(^1\),\(^2\) These investigations pointed to a size-dependent distribution and potential toxicity of GNPs.\(^1\),\(^2\)

Moreover, the rising use of electronic equipment leads to concerns about the health bioeffect of electromagnetic fields.\(^3\) Previous biochemical investigations have been performed in order to evaluate the bioeffects of static magnetic fields (SMFs) on the metabolism of animals and humans.\(^4\) These studies concluded that SMFs induced disruption in carbohydrate, lipid, and protein metabolism.\(^5\),\(^6\) Other data showed that SMFs are involved in reactive oxygen species production, such as superoxide anion in different cells and organs.\(^7\),\(^8\)

SMFs can affect membrane structure and function and cause DNA damage.\(^7\),\(^8\) Previous data have also reported that SMFs interact with divalent cations in rats such as zinc (Zn),\(^9\) selenium (Se),\(^10\) iron (Fe),\(^11\) and cadmium (Cd).\(^12\) The analysis of the literature showed that there were few data discussing the interaction between SMFs and GNPs as previously described by Shaw et al.\(^2\)

The purpose of our study was to evaluate oxidative response and tissular damages in rat lungs exposed to GNPs under magnetic environment.

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals**

Silica-gold stabilized nanoparticles were purchased from Nano-H-SAS (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). The size distribution of silica-coated GNP is determined to be 102.70 nm (Figure 1). The study of spectral properties showed that GNPs used in our investigation have a wide excitation spectrum with a maximum absorbance detected at 525 nm.

By contrast, GNPs have a narrow emission spectrum. The highest emission was detected at 575 nm. All other chemicals used in the present study were of analytical grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and Chemi-pharma (Le Bardo, Tunisia).

**Animals**

In the present investigation, adult Wistar male rats (SIPHAT, Bin Arous, Tunisia) were used. Animal weights ranged from 180 to 200 g during the experimental period. Rats were randomly divided into four groups of six: control, GNPs-treated rats, SMF-exposed rats, and coexposed rats (GNPs + SMF). The animal well-being was considered during the study. Rats were housed at 25°C (12/12 hours light/dark cycle). Animals had free access to commercial mash and water. All our experimental protocols were approved by the Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte Ethics Committee. Animals were cared for, under the Tunisian code of practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes.

**Treatment protocol by silica-coated GNPs and SMFs**

The control group was intraperitoneally injected once with 0.10 mL of 0.90% saline solution. The GNPs-treated rats were injected once with silica-coated GNPs (1,100 µg/kg of body weight, intraperitoneally). The SMFs-exposed group was exposed for 1 h/day to the SMFs over 14 days. Coexposed rats (GNPs + SMF) were injected once with GNPs (1,100 µg/kg of body weight, intraperitoneally) exposed to SMFs (1 h/day) over 14 days.

**Exposure system**

Lake Shore Electromagnets (Lake Shore Cryotronics, Inc, Westerville, OH, USA) are compact electromagnets suited for many applications, such as magnetic resonance demonstrations. For the present experiment, we have used an air gap of 15 cm. Water-cooled coils provide an excellent field for stability and uniformity when high power is required to achieve the maximum field capability for the electromagnet. We have an accurate pole alignment by precise construction of the air gap adjustment mechanism.\(^2\) Intensity of SMF was measured and standardized over the total floor area of the Plexiglas cage at 128 mT. The cage is 20×10×20 cm. The two bobbins of the Lake Shore System were separated by 12 cm. Rats were placed at the
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**Figure 1** Data related to size distribution of silica-coated gold nanoparticles.
center of uniform field area (Figure 2). Uniformity of the SMF in the active exposure volume was ±0.2% over 1 cm. The cage in the Lake Shore System contained two rats for each exposure.

Histology and light microscopy
Lung fractions were fixed in buffered formalin. Fractions were blocked in paraffin and sections of ~5 µm were performed. These sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The analysis of histopathological data was carried out by a semistatistical evaluation based on the frequency of structural changes. The following symbols were used: −, absence of structural changes; →, a rare structural change within a group; ++++, a structural change observed in almost all animals of a group; ++++, a structural change found in all animals of a group.

Fluorescence microscopy analysis
Lung fractions were fixed in formaldehyde. Fluorescence microscopy analysis was performed using a Leica DM-IRB Inverted fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The used microscope is equipped with mercury arc lamp with an excitation wavelength of 540 nm and with a digital camera (CCD camera CoolSNAP™, Princeton Instruments, Trenton, NJ, USA). Fluorescence images were obtained with ×40 enlargement and an emission filter set at 620 nm. Image processing was carried out using Leica IM50 version 5.00 software (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Tissue preparation
All groups were sacrificed and their lungs were harvested. Lungs were weighed, rinsed, and dried. Lungs were homogenized in buffer (tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane 10 mmol/L, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid 1 mmol/L, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride 1 mmol/L; pH 7.4). The homogenates were centrifuged at 600× g for 10 minutes and centrifuged again at 13,000× g for 20 minutes at 4°C to have a postnuclear homogenate and postmitochondrial supernatant fractions.

Evaluation of oxidative response
Malondialdehyde (MDA) content was evaluated by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances. The analysis of catalase (CAT) activity was performed by ultraviolet spectrophotometry. The activity of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) was studied according to Gunzler et al. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was investigated based on the inhibition of the auto-oxidant of pyrogallol at 420 nm, according to the modified method published previously by Marklund and Marklund.

Statistical analysis
All our data were reported as mean ± standard deviation and the level of significance was set at P<0.05. GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to perform a one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Results
Histopathological analysis
The analyses of lung sections of control rats and rats exposed to SMFs have not revealed any structural changes. However, hyperplasia of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue was evident after GNPs treatment (1,100 µg/kg, single injection, intraperitoneally) (Table 1, Figure 3). Interestingly, coexposure to GNPs (1,100 µg/kg, intraperitoneally) and SMFs led to higher hyperplasia of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue than GNPs-treated group. In addition, light microscopic

Table 1  Histopathological findings in lungs of rats following gold nanoparticles treatment and/or static magnetic fields exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histopathological findings</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SMF</th>
<th>GNPs</th>
<th>GNPs + SMF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar compression</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: −, absence of structural changes; →, a rare structural change within a group; ++++, a structural change observed in almost all animals of a group; ++++, a structural change found in all animals of a group.

Abbreviations: GNPs, gold nanoparticles; SMF, static magnetic field.
examination showed the presence of granuloma and an alveolar compression after coexposure to GNPs and SMFs (Table 1, Figure 3).

Fluorescence microscopy analysis
In control rats, images showed the absence of fluorescence signal (Figure 4A and B). Similar results were observed following SMFs exposure (Figure 4C and D). By contrast, fluorescence image processing revealed red fluorescence signal in lungs after GNPs treatment (Figure 4E and F). Importantly, coexposure to GNPs and SMFs increased fluorescence intensity compared to GNPs-treated rats (Figure 4G and H).

Evaluation of oxidative response following GNPs treatment under SMFs
MDA level
Exposure to SMFs led to an increase in MDA level (1.51±0.05 nmol/mg protein vs 1.08±0.05 nmol/mg protein; P<0.05). In addition, GNPs treatment (1,100 µg/kg, intraperitoneally) increased MDA level (1.93±0.05 nmol/mg protein vs 1.08±0.05 nmol/mg protein; P<0.01). Coexposure to GNPs and SMFs led to a higher increase in MDA level compared with control rats (2.83±0.06 nmol/mg protein vs 1.08±0.05 nmol/mg protein; P<0.001) (Figure 5). Interestingly, MDA level was higher in coexposed group to GNPs and SMFs compared to GNPs-treated rats (2.83±0.06 nmol/mg protein vs 1.93±0.05 nmol/mg protein; P<0.01).

Evaluation of antioxidant enzymes activities
Antioxidant enzymes assays showed that acute exposure to SMFs (128 mT, 1 h/day) induced a decrease in GPx (−56%), CAT (−15%), and CuZn-SOD (−80%) activities (Table 2). In addition, GNPs treatment (1,100 µg/kg, intraperitoneally) reduced the pulmonary activities of GPx (−48%) compared with control. The same treatment induced a depletion of CAT (−62%) and CuZn-SOD (−82%) activities compared to control. Furthermore, coexposure to SMFs and GNPs decreased GPx (−53%), CAT (−71%), and CuZn-SOD (−87%) in rat lungs (Table 2).

Discussion
The aim of our study was to evaluate the effects of SMFs on silica-coated GNPs acute toxicity in rat lungs.
GNPs could induce tissular damage and oxidative stress in rat lungs

Figure 4 Fluorescence microscopy images of lung fractions of rats exposed to gold nanoparticles and/or static magnetic fields.

Notes: Light microscopy (A) and fluorescence microscopy (B) of lung sections of control rats. Light microscopy (C) and fluorescence microscopy (D) of lung sections of SMF-exposed rats. Light microscopy (E) and fluorescence microscopy (F) of lung sections of GNPs-treated rats. Light microscopy (G) and fluorescence microscopy (H) of lung sections of coexposed rats to gold nanoparticles and static magnetic field. ×100 magnification.

Abbreviations: GNPs, gold nanoparticles; SMF, static magnetic field.
Effects of silica-coated gold nanoparticles and static magnetic field on pulmonary MDA level.

Notes: Results represent mean ± SD of six animals per group. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 compared with control rats. *P<0.01 compared with GNP-treated group. Results are in response to a one-way analysis of variance.
Abbreviations: C, control; GNP, gold nanoparticles; MDA, malondialdehyde; prot, protein; SMFs, static magnetic fields; SD, standard deviation.

The investigation indicated that GNP-induced lung nanotoxicity in rats as previously demonstrated with other kinds of nanoparticles in lungs and different organs.28–30 Light microscopy data showed the absence of tissular damages in lungs of control rats. Moreover, the exposure to SMFs failed to alter lungs architecture in rats. However, GNP treatment induced mild epithelial hyperplasia, prominent inflammatory cells infiltrate, and enlarged airway cavities. Previously, similar data were reported by Terentyuk et al31 and Schulz et al;32 indicating that gold may induce inflammatory processes. Previously, Abdelhalim and Jarrar33 showed that exposure to GNP led to a focal inflammatory reactions represented by higher number of alveolar macrophages. The same investigation revealed an intracytoplasmically located GNP associated with granulocytes and alveolar type II hyperplasia.33 Similarly, Hanini et al34 reported that exposure to magnetic nanoparticles such as iron oxide nanoparticles led to lung damages and increased leukocytes number related to an inflammatory process in rat.

Optical properties of GNP were associated with plasmonic phenomena. The plasmonic properties of GNP could be useful for biomedical applications.1 Interestingly, red fluorescence signal was detected in rat lungs following GNP treatment. The superposition of light microscopy images and fluorescence images revealed a localized fluorescence in lung cells but not in alveoli. In fact, biodistribution studies revealed that GNP are promptly translocated from the bloodstream into organs as lungs, liver, and spleen.34–36 In addition, many investigations reported that following parenteral administration, GNP were detected in blood, lungs, heart, liver, spleen, stomach, small intestine, and kidneys.37–40

Moreover, previous investigations showed that distribution of GNP in tissue is size-dependent,42,43 dose-dependent,46 and surface charge-dependent.37,38 Furthermore, James et al41 examined the biodistribution of GNP and demonstrated a high accumulation of GNP in lung 4 hours, 14 days, 21 days, and 28 days following the single administration.

Previous data pointed that parenteral or oral administration of metals induces biosynthesis of metallothioneins (MTs).42,43 Gold (Au) could generate gold–MTs (Au–MTs) complexes after being bound to cysteine residues in MTs as previously demonstrated by Ariyasu et al.43

Trabelsi et al42 found that subacute exposure to cadmium ions “Cd” could lead to nanoparticle biosynthesis in different organs. This finding was explained by the ability of Cd to react with sulfur in MTs and/or with selenium (Se) in rat hepatocytes and nephrocytes.44 By an analogical explanation, we suggest that gold could interact with lung elements and generate nanocomplexes. The present hypothesis will be demonstrated in future studies.

The nanotoxicity of GNP could be modulated by different environmental parameters such as SMFs, which is widely used. Our investigation showed cotreatment with GNP and SMFs (GNP + SMF) increased red fluorescence signal in lungs. This finding can be explained by a higher accumulation of GNP in rat lungs under magnetic environment. Bae et al45 showed that SMFs enhanced cellular uptake of magnetic nanoparticles and generate their aggregation. Histological findings demonstrated that nanoparticles uptake was higher under SMFs. Previous data showed that magnetic fields accelerate the sedimentation of magnetic nanoparticles on cell surface.46–48

The appearance of lymphocytic infiltrate in lung tissues can be explained by the effects of GNP on oxidative response leading to reactive oxygen species generation.33 Oxidative

Table 2 Effects of silica-coated gold nanoparticles and static magnetic fields exposure on antioxidant enzymes activities in lungs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>GPx (U/mg prot)</th>
<th>CAT (U/mg prot)</th>
<th>CuZn-SOD (U/mg prot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.71±0.17</td>
<td>210.08±1.56</td>
<td>636.30±94.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>2.07±0.03</td>
<td>179.30±6.92</td>
<td>127.90±5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP</td>
<td>2.46±0.04</td>
<td>81.30±1.73</td>
<td>117.10±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP + SMF</td>
<td>2.26±0.06</td>
<td>62.24±7.12</td>
<td>84.16±14.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Results represent mean ± SD of six animals per group. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 compared with control rats. Results are in response to a one-way analysis of variance.
Abbreviations: CAT, catalase; CuZn-SOD, CuZn-superoxide dismutase; GNP, gold nanoparticles; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; prot, protein; SMF, static magnetic field; SD, standard deviation.
response results showed that exposure to GNPs or coexposure to GNPs and SMFs led to a higher MDA levels. In fact, previous data reported by Chater et al\textsuperscript{49} and Amara et al\textsuperscript{50} found that SMFs increased MDA level and induced a depression of antioxidant enzymes in rat liver, kidneys, and testis. These findings were associated with an imbalance of the intracellular redox homeostasis. Furthermore, SMFs may alter mineral elements homeostasis, which are essential in antioxidant enzyme biosynthesis.\textsuperscript{49,50} Alteration of trace element (Se, Zn) by SMFs may disrupt the activities of antioxidant enzymes.\textsuperscript{51} In addition, SMFs could induce change in the conformational structure of antioxidant enzymes, which alter their activities. Moreover, GNPs caused oxidative stress and cytotoxicity effects by catalyzing nitric oxide production. Reactive oxygen species generation could be related to the proportionately high surface area of GNPs.\textsuperscript{52–55}

Furthermore, gold effects in cells could be related to its interactions with divalent mineral such as calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}), zinc (Zn\textsuperscript{2+}), copper (Cu\textsuperscript{2+}), iron (Fe\textsuperscript{2+}), and selenium (Se\textsuperscript{2+}). The mechanism of gold toxicity can be explained by its interactions with the enzymatic systems of cells resulting from the substitution of these mineral elements (Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Se\textsuperscript{2+}) in metalloenzymes.\textsuperscript{55}

The present results showed the ability of gold to induce oxidative stress in lungs as evidenced by a high level of lipid peroxidation (MDA) following GNPs treatment. These data are in agreement with previous findings reported by Ferreira et al.\textsuperscript{56}

The lung MDA level in rats treated with gold is associated with a decrease in SOD, CAT, and GPx tissues activities, indicating an imbalance of the intracellular redox homeostasis.

The disruption of CuZn-SOD activity could be explained by the substitution of Zn by Au in CuZn-SOD. de Paula et al\textsuperscript{57} showed that gold can occupy the site of Zn and other divalent elements. The mechanism of substitution of divalent element by metals (platinum [Pt], palladium [Pd], Au) can explain the oxidative disruption and probably the lack of generation of CuZn-SOD molecules, leading to an inactive form of the enzyme (CuAu-SOD). GNPs increased lipid peroxidation under magnetic environment. In addition, the combined effects of GNPs and SMFs led to higher antioxidant enzymes activities depletion compared to GNPs-treated rats. This result can be correlated to a higher red fluorescence signal detected after coexposure to SMFs and/or GNPs compared to GNPs-treated group. Previously, Trabelsi et al\textsuperscript{29} established a ratio correlating the number of CdS/CdSe nanoparticles to oxidative response. Based on our findings, a similar ratio can be described correlating the number of GNPs or fluorescence intensity to oxidative response parameters.\textsuperscript{29}

\[
\text{NanoMet(X)OR} = \frac{\text{Intensity of red fluorescence signal (number of GNPs)}}{\text{Antioxidant marker}}
\]

where NanoMet(X) refers to metallic nanoparticles and OR to oxidative response. The ratio increase is correlated to the increase of GNPs number associated with fluorescence and a decrease in antioxidant enzymes activities. SMFs increased the accumulation of GNPs in lungs and lead to more depletion of antioxidant enzymes activities. This result must be evaluated by fluorescence imagery methods based on red fluorescence intensity.

**Conclusion**

Our investigation reported that silica-coated nanoparticles could induce tissular damages and oxidative stress in rat lungs. In addition, acute exposure to SMF reinforced oxidative stress, observed following GNPs treatment in rat lungs.
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