The prognostic role of serum C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 in patients with metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer

Yoon Ji Choi¹
 Won Jin Chang¹
 Sang Won Shin¹
 Kyong Hwa Park²
 Seung Tae Kim²
 Yeul Hong Kim¹

¹Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University College of Medicine,
²Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

Background: C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) is involved in tumor progression including angiogenesis, metastasis, and survival. However, whether serum CXCR4 levels in metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer have a prognostic role, have not been evaluated.

Methods: We analyzed serum samples from 55 patients with advanced colorectal cancer diagnosed between March 2008 and July 2011. Serum CXCR4 levels were quantified by a commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit.

Results: The median age of the patients was 62 years, and all patients received systemic chemotherapy of two or more lines. The median serum CXCR4 level was 283.47 pg/mL (range: 77.48–846.52). Patients with two or more metastatic sites, liver metastasis, or higher CA 19-9 level (>37 IU/mL) showed significantly higher levels of serum CXCR4 than patients without. The median overall survival (OS) of all patients was 19.53 months. OS was significantly longer in patients with lower CXCR4 levels (≤ 240.45 pg/mL) compared with those having higher CXCR4 levels (>240.45 pg/mL) (median OS: 26.50 vs 17.03 months, $P=0.046$). Univariate analysis showed that liver metastasis, no palliative surgery, and higher levels of CXCR4 (>240.45 pg/mL) had a significantly poor prognostic value with regard to OS ($P<0.05$).

Conclusion: Serum CXCR4 level was positively correlated with metastatic sites, liver metastasis, or higher CA 19-9 level. Also, there was a significant difference in OS according to the level of CXCR4 expression. These findings suggest that serum CXCR4 levels may be a useful surrogate marker of clinical outcome in metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer.

Keywords: CXCR4, colorectal cancer, overall survival, prognosis

Correspondence: Seung Tae Kim
 Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 50 Irwon-dong Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-710, South Korea
 Tel +82 2 3410 0297
 Fax +82 2 3410 1754
 Email seungtae1.kim@samsung.com

Yeul Hong Kim
 Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 126-1, Anam-dong 5-ga, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-705, South Korea
 Tel +82 2 920 5569
 Fax +82 2 920 6622
 Email yhk0215@korea.ac.kr

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and the second in females, with an estimated 1.4 million cases and 693,900 deaths occurring worldwide in 2012.¹ Although the 5-year survival rate for patients with localized CRC approaches 90%, the rate for patients with distant metastatic CRC is 13%.² In most cases, the mortality is caused by liver metastasis.

Recently, the role of chemokines in cancer metastasis has been highlighted. Target organs produce and release specific chemokines that attract distant cancer cells carrying specific chemokine receptors.^{3,4} The C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) and its chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12) are two key factors in the cross talk between cancer cells and their microenvironment, which makes them promising targets for cancer therapy.⁵

CXCR4 overexpression is known to occur in >20 human tumor types, including ovarian,⁶ prostate,⁷ esophageal,⁸ melanoma,⁹ and renal cell carcinoma.¹⁰ CXCR4

expression is also shown to promote angiogenesis, which has been shown to be critical for cancer cell survival in previous studies.^{11,12} Furthermore, preclinical studies using several types of cancer models demonstrated that directed metastasis of cancer cells is mediated by CXCR4 activation and migration of cancer cells toward CXCL12-expressing organs, including bone marrow, liver, lungs, and lymph nodes.^{13–15}

Several retrospective studies have demonstrated that high CXCR4 expression in cancer specimens is associated with a poor prognosis in several human tumor types.^{16–18} Specifically, CXCR4 expression in primary CRC had significant association with recurrence, survival, and liver metastasis in a retrospective study in CRC patients.¹⁷ Most of the studies demonstrating the role of CXCR4 expression as a prognostic factor inspected tumor tissue and CXCR4 levels. However, whether serum CXCR4 levels in metastatic or recurrent CRC have a prognostic role have not been evaluated. Here, we examine serum specimens from patients with CRC to resolve whether serum CXCR4 levels can be a significant prognostic factor for patients with metastatic or recurrent CRC.

Materials and methods

Study population

From March 2008 to July 2011, blood samples from 65 patients with newly diagnosed metastatic or recurrent CRC were collected, and we reviewed the data of these patients thereafter. We analyzed serum samples from 55 patients after excluding patients with a decreased sample volume or who had already started treatment before the blood samples were obtained. All the samples used in analysis were collected before starting a novel treatment. After collection, the samples were kept at room temperature for 2 hours to allow clotting and then were immediately centrifuged ($1,000 \times g$) for 15 minutes at 4°C and were then cryopreserved at –80°C.

The study was approved by the Korea University Anam Hospital Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Analysis of CXCR4 plasma levels

The level of plasma CXCR4 was quantified using a commercially available ELISA kit (soluble Human CXCR4-chemokine Receptor 4 ELISA Kit; Cusabio Biotech Co. Ltd., Wuhan, People's Republic of China). The detailed procedure of this 4.5-hour solid-phase ELISA was as follows. One hundred microliters of standard and sample were added to each well and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. This step was followed

by the addition of 100 µL of biotin antibody to each well and incubation for 1 hour at 37°C, and then each well was aspirated and washed three times. Horseradish peroxidase-avidin (100 µL) conjugate was added to each well, and the plates were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, and subsequently the aspiration/wash process was performed five times. Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (90 µL) was added to each well, and the plates were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C and protected from light. Stop solution (50 µL) was then added to each well. The optical density of each well was determined using a niMARK™ microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) at 450 nm and wavelength correction was performed at 540 nm. All the serum samples were measured three times, and the mean level of the measurements was used for analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Normality of distribution was tested using the Shapiro–Wilks' *W*-test, and statistical significances of differences were tested using independent *t*-tests. If the groups were not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney *U*-test was applied. Since there was no reference range available for serum CXCR4 levels, we chose the level by using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for predicting 2-year survival rate. Survival analyses were performed using Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and the Cox's proportional hazards model. Univariate analysis was performed to identify several prognostic factors of CRC patients by Kaplan–Meier survival analysis, and the *P*-value was calculated by the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis of meaningful parameters was performed with the Cox regression model. The results were considered statistically significant if $P < 0.05$.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 55 advanced CRC patients were analyzed. Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Of note, patients were predominantly male (63.6%) with the median age of 62 years (range: 39–82), and 85.5% of the patients had metastatic disease. More than half of the patients had undergone palliative surgery, and liver metastases were observed in 67.3% of the patients. Forty-five percent of patients had mutant KRAS and all patients received two or more lines of chemotherapy. The median serum CXCR4 level of all enrolled patients was 271.76 pg/mL (range: 56.52–860.10).

Table 1 Patients' clinical and disease characteristics

Variables	No of patients (N=55)	% of patients
Age, median (range) (years)	62 (39–82)	
≤65	40	72.7
>65	15	27.3
Sex		
Male	35	63.6
Female	20	36.4
Disease status		
Metastatic	47	85.5
Recurrent	8	14.5
Palliative surgery		
Yes	36	65.5
No	19	34.5
Primary site		
Colon	39	70.9
Rectum	16	29.1
No of metastatic sites		
0–1	13	23.6
≥2	42	76.4
Liver metastasis		
Yes	37	67.3
No	18	32.7
KRAS		
Mutant	25	45.5
Wild type	30	54.5
CA 19-9		
≤37 IU/mL	36	65.5
>37 IU/mL	19	34.5
Chemotherapy		
First line	55	100.0
Second line	55	100.0
Third or more line	39	70.9
CXCR4 level, median (range) (pg/mL)	271.76 (56.52–860.10)	

Abbreviation: CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4.

Serum CXCR4 level according to clinical features

Age, sex, disease status (metastatic or recurrent), surgery, location, and tissue KRAS mutation status did not influence the serum CXCR4 levels (Table 2).

The median serum CXCR4 level from patients with two or more metastatic sites was 315.10 pg/mL, while that from patients with one or no metastatic site was 224.86 pg/mL. The difference was statistically significant ($P=0.011$). The median serum CXCR4 level from patients with liver metastasis was significantly higher than that from patients with no liver metastasis (321.76 and 222.24 pg/mL, respectively; $P=0.033$). Additionally, there was a meaningful difference with regard to the influence of CA 19-9 levels on serum

Table 2 Comparison of the median serum CXCR4 levels according to clinical features

Variables	No of patients (N=55)	Median CXCR4 level (pg/mL)	P-value
Age, median (range) (years)	62 (39–82)		
≤65	40	277.36	0.424
>65	15	271.05	
Sex			
Male	35	246.29	0.351
Female	20	326.40	
Disease status			
Metastatic	47	271.76	0.467
Recurrent	8	273.67	
Palliative surgery			
Yes	36	250.33	0.502
No	19	301.05	
Primary site			
Colon	39	310.74	0.646
Rectum	16	287.55	
No of metastatic sites			
0–1	13	224.86	0.011
≥2	42	315.10	
Liver metastasis			
Yes	37	321.76	0.033
No	18	222.24	
Tissue KRAS			
Mutant	25	248.43	0.589
Wild type	30	292.0	
CA 19-9			
≤37 IU/mL	36	240.45	0.037
>37 IU/mL	19	351.76	

Abbreviation: CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4.

CXCR4 levels. The median serum CXCR4 level from patients with abnormally high CA 19-9 levels (>37 IU/mL) was 351.76 pg/mL, while that from patients with normal CA 19-9 levels (≤37 IU/mL) was 240.45 pg/mL ($P=0.037$; Table 2).

Survival

The median follow-up time for all patients, estimated by the reverse Kaplan–Meier method, was 44.67 months. The median overall survival (OS) of all CRC patients was 19.53 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 15.90–23.16) (Figure 1). The results of univariate analysis are shown in Table 3. Sex, age, disease status, location of primary site, number of metastatic sites, CA 19-9 level, and tissue KRAS mutation status failed to show any relation to survival. However, liver metastasis was an excellent predictor of outcome. The median OS of patients with liver metastasis was 18.37 months (95% CI: 14.88–21.86), while that

Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival in all patients (N=55).
Note: Dashed lines are upper bound and lower bound, respectively, of 95% CI of Kaplan-Meier estimates.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival.

of patients without liver metastasis was 29.63 months ($P=0.033$). Additionally, patients who had undergone palliative surgery had a better prognosis than patients who had not undergone palliative surgery (23.93 and 16.20 months, respectively; $P=0.036$).

Table 3 Prognostic factors for OS in univariate analysis (N=55)

Variables	Median OS (months)	95% CI	P-value
Sex			
Male	17.03	12.71–21.353	0.529
Female	19.53	9.45–29.61	
Age (years)			
≤65	18.37	14.81–21.93	0.365
>65	23.93	13.24–34.62	
Disease status			
Metastatic	20.33	15.98–24.68	0.728
Recurrent	17.03	15.37–18.69	
Primary site			
Colon	20.33	16.37–18.10	0.585
Rectum	16.20	14.30–23.23	
No of metastatic sites			
0–1	18.37	2.33–34.41	0.322
≥2	19.53	15.05–24.01	
Liver metastasis			
Yes	18.37	14.88–21.86	0.033
No	29.63	20.58–38.68	
Palliative surgery			
Yes	23.93	15.93–31.93	0.036
No	16.20	11.79–20.61	
CA 19-9			
≤37 IU/mL	20.90	12.01–29.79	0.120
>37 IU/mL	17.40	9.91–24.89	
Tissue KRAS			
Mutant	18.37	14.40–22.34	0.281
Wild type	20.90	11.51–30.29	
CXCR4			
≤240.45 pg/mL	26.50	17.37–35.63	0.046
>240.45 pg/mL	17.03	14.67–19.39	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; OS, overall survival.

Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival by serum CXCR4 levels measured in serum samples.

Abbreviation: CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4.

We also sought to identify whether serum CXCR4 levels could predict prognosis. The advanced CRC patients were regrouped according to their serum CXCR4 levels. The best cutoff value of serum CXCR4 level was set up as 240.45 pg/mL (area under the ROC curve [AUC] 0.65, sensitivity 0.697, 1-specificity 0.591) using ROC curves to predict 2-year survival. The median OS of the patients with a relatively higher serum CXCR4 level (≥ 240.45 pg/mL) was 17.03 months (95% CI: 14.67–19.39), whereas that of patients with a relatively lower serum CXCR4 level (< 240.45 pg/mL) were 26.50 months (95% CI: 17.37–35.63). The Kaplan-Meier survival curve according to serum CXCR4 level is shown in Figure 2, and this difference was statistically significant ($P=0.046$).

Multivariate analysis of meaningful parameters was performed with the Cox regression model (Table 4). Liver metastasis and palliative surgery showed statistically significant differences. The hazard ratio of the liver metastasis was 2.114 (95% CI: 1.067–4.191; $P=0.032$) and that of the palliative surgery was 0.456 (95% CI: 0.239–0.870; $P=0.017$). However, serum CXCR4 levels failed to show a statistical significance in the multivariate analysis ($P=0.239$).

Table 4 Prognostic factors for OS in multivariate analysis (N=55)

Variables	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Multivariate P-value
Liver metastasis			
Yes	2.114	1.067–4.191	0.032
No			
Palliative surgery			
Yes	0.456	0.239–0.870	0.017
No			
CXCR4			
≤240.45 pg/mL	1.451	0.781–2.694	0.239
>240.45 pg/mL			

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; OS, overall survival.

Discussion

CXCR4, originally named LESTR or fusin, is a G-protein-coupled chemokine receptor.¹⁹ It exerts its biological effect by binding to its ligand CXCL12 (previously termed stromal cell-derived factor 1),^{20,21} activating the downstream protein kinase B (AKT)/mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) signaling pathway.⁷ Intense *in vitro* research implicated CXCR4 in numerous cancer-specific metastases including breast,³ colon,²² lung,²³ kidney,²⁴ melanoma,^{25,26} and prostate cancer.⁷ A growing body of evidence indicated that directed metastasis of cancer cells was mediated by CXCR4 activation toward a concentration gradient of CXCL12. CXCL12 expression levels are high in the liver, lung, and bone marrow, making them common metastasis sites.

Several retrospective studies demonstrated that high CXCR4 expression in cancer specimens is associated with a poor prognosis in several human tumor types.^{16–18} Specifically, one study suggested that high expression of CXCR4 in tumor specimens from early-stage CRC patients was associated with an increased risk of locoregional recurrence and/or liver metastasis, and that high CXCR4 expression in primary tumor specimens from metastatic CRC patients correlated with worse overall median survival.²⁷ Most of these studies have been conducted in tissue specimens. Few studies have reported serum CXCR4 levels, although one study counted CXCR4-positive circulating endothelial cells and demonstrated that CXCR4-positive circulating endothelial cell levels may predict the prognosis of bevacizumab combination chemotherapy.²⁸ No current study has presented direct serum levels of CXCR4 in CRC.

As the initial report of clinical utility of serum CXCR4 levels, our study may serve as a basis for determining the ranges of low or high serum CXCR4 levels for metastatic CRC patients. In this study, serum CXCR4 levels were positively correlated with more metastatic sites, liver metastasis, and an abnormal level of CA 19-9, implying that serum CXCR4 levels may be associated with tumor burden. These findings suggest that CXCR4 expression may promote tumor metastases. A previous *in vitro* study that demonstrated the role of CXCR4 in metastases supports this suggestion.²²

Another important result of our study was that serum CXCR4 levels were associated with a patient's prognosis. Liver metastases and metastatic burden are a powerful predictor of survival, and serum CXCR4 levels properly reflect liver metastasis and metastatic burden. Thus, we could assume that the serum CXCR4 levels may be a useful prognostic factor. In univariate analysis, serum CXCR4 level was a statistically significant predictor of survival in

metastatic CRC patients. In multivariate analysis, however, serum CXCR4 levels lose statistical power, and the only meaningful factors were liver metastasis and no surgery. This may be caused by small sample size or multiple collinearity between liver metastasis and CXCR4 level.

Recent use of antagonist peptides to the CXCR4 receptor has shown promise for future therapeutic strategies that may allow control of tumor spread by blocking the CXCR4 receptor.^{29,30} Additionally, CXCR4 level was shown to be correlated with VEGF level in a previous study investigating osteosarcoma,³¹ and CXCR4-positive circulating endothelial cells were correlated with the prognosis of bevacizumab, a VEGF monoclonal antibody in the CRC patients.²⁸ Further evaluation is needed to verify the role of serum CXCR4 level as a predictive marker for CXCR4 antagonists or VEGF inhibitors.

There are some limitations of this study. First, the number of study samples was relatively small. Also, serum CXCR4 levels of healthy control subjects and early-stage CRC patients were not assessable. For this reason, we could not compare serum CXCR4 levels of metastatic CRC patients with healthy subjects and early-stage CRC patients. Thus, the role of CXCR4 in tumorigenesis and progression of localized cancer stage could not be fully investigated. Next, this study could not verify the role of serum CXCR4 as a predictive marker of bevacizumab because we did not examine VEGF levels and the number of patients receiving bevacizumab was only six of the 55 patients.

Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that serum CXCR4 levels are strongly associated with the number of metastatic sites and liver metastasis in advanced CRC. In addition, serum CXCR4 levels may be a possible prognostic marker in metastatic/recurrent CRC. To clarify the role of serum CXCR4 as a definite prognostic marker and predictive marker for bevacizumab, future studies of molecular mechanisms and the correlation with CXCR4 and VEGF expression in cancer tissues are needed with large samples.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI14C2750).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2015;65:87–108.
2. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2014;64:9–29.
3. Muller A, Homey B, Soto H, et al. Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *Nature*. 2001;410:50–56.
4. Choi YJ, Kim ST, Park KH, et al. The serum bone morphogenetic protein-2 level in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Med Oncol*. 2012;29:582–588.
5. Domanska UM, Kruizinga RC, Nagengast WB, et al. A review on CXCR4/CXCL12 axis in oncology: no place to hide. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49:219–230.
6. Hall JM, Korach KS. Stromal cell-derived factor 1, a novel target of estrogen receptor action, mediates the mitogenic effects of estradiol in ovarian and breast cancer cells. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2003;17:792–803.
7. Taichman RS, Cooper C, Keller ET, Pienta KJ, Taichman NS, McCauley LK. Use of the stromal cell-derived factor-1/CXCR4 pathway in prostate cancer metastasis to bone. *Cancer Res*. 2002;62:1832–1837.
8. Kaifi JT, Yekebas EF, Schurr P, et al. Tumor-cell homing to lymph nodes and bone marrow and CXCR4 expression in esophageal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2005;97:1840–1847.
9. Kim SY, Lee CH, Midura BV, et al. Inhibition of the CXCR4/CXCL12 chemokine pathway reduces the development of murine pulmonary metastases. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 2008;25:201–211.
10. Zagzag D, Krishnamachary B, Yee H, et al. Stromal cell-derived factor-1 α and CXCR4 expression in hemangioblastoma and clear cell-renal cell carcinoma: von Hippel-Lindau loss-of-function induces expression of a ligand and its receptor. *Cancer Res*. 2005;65:6178–6188.
11. Orimo A, Gupta PB, Sgroi DC, et al. Stromal fibroblasts present in invasive human breast carcinomas promote tumor growth and angiogenesis through elevated SDF-1/CXCL12 secretion. *Cell*. 2005;121:335–348.
12. Liang Z, Brooks J, Willard M, et al. CXCR4/CXCL12 axis promotes VEGF-mediated tumor angiogenesis through Akt signaling pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2007;359:716–722.
13. De Falco V, Guarino V, Avilla E, et al. Biological role and potential therapeutic targeting of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 in undifferentiated thyroid cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67:11821–11829.
14. Wang Z, Ma Q, Liu Q, et al. Blockade of SDF-1/CXCR4 signalling inhibits pancreatic cancer progression in vitro via inactivation of canonical Wnt pathway. *Br J Cancer*. 2008;99:1695–1703.
15. Bartolome RA, Ferreira S, Miquilena-Colina ME, et al. The chemokine receptor CXCR4 and the metalloproteinase MT1-MMP are mutually required during melanoma metastasis to lungs. *The Am J Pathol*. 2009;174:602–612.
16. Scala S, Ottaviano A, Ascierto PA, et al. Expression of CXCR4 predicts poor prognosis in patients with malignant melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2005;11:1835–1841.
17. Yopp AC, Shia J, Butte JM, et al. CXCR4 expression predicts patient outcome and recurrence patterns after hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastases. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012;19(Suppl 3):S339–S346.
18. Akashi T, Koizumi K, Tsuneyama K, Saiki I, Takano Y, Fuse H. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 expression and prognosis in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci*. 2008;99:539–542.
19. Caruz A, Samsom M, Alonso JM, et al. Genomic organization and promoter characterization of human CXCR4 gene. *FEBS Lett*. 1998;426:271–278.
20. Bleul CC, Farzan M, Choe H, et al. The lymphocyte chemoattractant SDF-1 is a ligand for LESTR/fusin and blocks HIV-1 entry. *Nature*. 1996;382:829–833.
21. Oberlin E, Amara A, Bachelier F, et al. The CXC chemokine SDF-1 is the ligand for LESTR/fusin and prevents infection by T-cell-line-adapted HIV-1. *Nature*. 1996;382:833–835.
22. Zeelenberg IS, Ruuls-Van Stalle L, Roos E. The chemokine receptor CXCR4 is required for outgrowth of colon carcinoma micrometastases. *Cancer Res*. 2003;63:3833–3839.
23. Burger M, Glodek A, Hartmann T, et al. Functional expression of CXCR4 (CD184) on small-cell lung cancer cells mediates migration, integrin activation, and adhesion to stromal cells. *Oncogene*. 2003;22:8093–8101.
24. Staller P, Sulitkova J, Lisztwan J, Moch H, Oakeley EJ, Krek W. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 downregulated by von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor pVHL. *Nature*. 2003;425:307–311.
25. Robledo MM, Bartolome RA, Longo N, et al. Expression of functional chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CXCR4 on human melanoma cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276:45098–45105.
26. Payne AS, Cornelius LA. The role of chemokines in melanoma tumor growth and metastasis. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2002;118:915–922.
27. Kim J, Takeuchi H, Lam ST, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 expression in colorectal cancer patients increases the risk for recurrence and for poor survival. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23:2744–2753.
28. Matsusaka S, Mishima Y, Suenaga M, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitors and CXCR4-positive circulating endothelial cells are predictive markers for bevacizumab. *Cancer*. 2011;117:4026–4032.
29. Tamamura H, Fujisawa M, Hiramatsu K, et al. Identification of a CXCR4 antagonist, a T140 analog, as an anti-rheumatoid arthritis agent. *FEBS Lett*. 2004;569:99–104.
30. Takenaga M, Tamamura H, Hiramatsu K, et al. A single treatment with microcapsules containing a CXCR4 antagonist suppresses pulmonary metastasis of murine melanoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2004;320:226–232.
31. Lin F, Zheng SE, Shen Z, et al. Relationships between levels of CXCR4 and VEGF and blood-borne metastasis and survival in patients with osteosarcoma. *Med Oncol*. 2011;28:649–653.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal>

Dovepress

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.