Emerging role of sphingosine-1-phosphate signaling in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the sixth most frequent cancer type, with an annual incidence of approximately half a million people worldwide. It has a high recurrence rate and an extremely low survival rate. This is due to limited availability of effective therapies to reduce the rate of recurrence, resulting in high morbidity and mortality of patients with advanced stages of the disease. HNSCC often develops resistance to chemotherapy and targeted drug therapy. Thus, to overcome the problem of drug resistance, there is a need to explore novel drug targets. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a bioactive sphingolipid involved in inflammation, tumor progression, and angiogenesis. S1P is synthesized intracellularly by two sphingosine kinases (SphKs). It can be exported to the extracellular space, where it can activate a family of G-protein-coupled receptors. Alternatively, S1P can act as an intracellular second messenger. SphK1 regulates tumor progression, invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance in HNSCC. SphK1 expression is highly elevated in advanced stage HNSCC tumors and correlates with poor survival. In this article, we review current knowledge regarding the role of S1P receptors and enzymes of S1P metabolism in HNSCC carcinogenesis. Furthermore, we summarize the current perspectives on therapeutic approaches for targeting S1P pathway for treating HNSCC.
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Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) is a heterogeneous disease that can arise from cells in the oral and nasal cavity, postnasal spaces (sinuses), lips, salivary glands, pharynx (hypopharynx, oropharynx, and nasopharynx), and larynx and hence can produce tumors at any of these sites.¹ More than 90% of HNCs are squamous cell carcinomas and are referred to as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).¹ HNSCC is the sixth most common cancer type and the eighth most frequent cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.² ³ Squamous cell carcinomas arising in oral cavity are termed as oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). In the Indian subcontinent, OSCC is the most common cancer in men, accounting for one-fifth of all cancers.⁵ HNSCC patients have an average 5-year survival rate of 60%. Despite an expansion of therapeutic strategies for HNSCC over the past three decades, the survival rate for afflicted patients has not increased.

The current treatment for HNSCC usually involves chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or a combination of chemotherapy and radiation, interventions that carry considerable side effects. Molecular targeted therapies offer new treatment options even for heavily pretreated and seriously ill patients who are unable to tolerate chemoradiotherapy or radiation therapy. For example, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-targeted therapies inhibit cellular proliferation, survival, invasion, and angiogenesis and act synergistically
with chemoradiation combination therapies.\textsuperscript{6} Cetuximab, a chimeric humanized monoclonal antibody against EGFR, is currently approved in the USA and Europe as a monotherapy for patients with platinum-refractory recurrent disease\textsuperscript{7} and as a first-line therapy in combination with platinum-based drugs, 5-fluorouracil or docetaxel, for patients with recurrent or metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{8,9} Several monoclonal antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors are now in Phase III clinical trials for the treatment of patients with HNSCC.\textsuperscript{9} Despite clinical gains arising from the use of cetuximab, both intrinsic resistance and the development of acquired resistance are well-recognized phenomena in HNSCC.\textsuperscript{10,11} Identifying novel mechanisms of carcinogenesis operating in HNSCC could lead to the development of targeted therapy combinations that reduce the occurrence of drug resistance, have less toxicity, and achieve better overall efficacy.

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a biologically active signaling lipid that exerts many of its actions by binding to a family of G-protein-coupled receptors known as S1P receptors (S1PRs).\textsuperscript{12} It regulates several diverse cellular and physiological processes, including cell growth and survival, lymphocyte trafficking, vascular development, and inflammation.\textsuperscript{13} S1P signaling is implicated in the processes of carcinogenesis, cancer progression, and drug and radiation resistance.\textsuperscript{14,15} It promotes cancer by inhibiting apoptosis and by enhancing proliferation, transformation, angiogenesis, and inflammation.\textsuperscript{14,16,17} Sphingosine kinase 1 (SphK1), a key enzyme involved in S1P synthesis, promotes tumor progression, invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance in HNSCC, and its expression level correlates with patient survival. In the present article, we reviewed recent advances in understanding the impact of S1P signaling in HNSCC carcinogenesis and summarized the various pharmaceutical strategies targeting S1P pathway that are being developed for the treatment of HNSCC.

**Molecular basis of HNSCC**

The initiation and progression of HNC is a complex multi-step process that entails a progressive acquisition of genetic and epigenetic alterations. It has been proposed that OSCC starts with the transformation of a limited number of normal keratinocytes. This transformation may be brought about by epigenetic and cytogenetic changes that affect cell-cycle progression, DNA repair mechanisms, differentiation, and/or apoptosis.\textsuperscript{18} The \textit{TP53} (p53 encoding gene) and retinoblastoma (Rb) pathways are almost universally disrupted in HNSCCs, indicating the importance of these pathways in HNSCC tumorigenesis.\textsuperscript{19} In all, >50% of HNSCCs harbor inactivating \textit{TP53} gene mutations and >50% demonstrate chromosomal loss at 17p – the site where the \textit{TP53} gene resides. The progression from the G1 phase to S phase is a critical checkpoint in protecting the cells from abnormal replication, and a key regulator of this process is the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) 4/6-INK4-Rb pathway. Activation of the CDK 4/6 complex contributes to the hyperphosphorylation of the Rb protein, which causes inactivation of its growth-inhibitory function by decoupling it from E2F transcription factors. The newly released E2F transcription factors allow the transcription of genes promoting entry into the S phase and thus cell-cycle progression. The most frequently mutated component of the Rb pathway in HNSCC is the \textit{p16INK4A} tumor suppressor gene. Its gene product prevents cells from entering the cell cycle by inhibiting CDK4 and CDK6. Inactivation of \textit{p16INK4A} can occur via promoter hypermethylation, gene mutation, or deletion, the latter being recognized genetically as a loss of heterozygosity (LOH). Indeed, LOH at the chromosomal region 9p21 (where \textit{p16INK4A} resides) occurs in up to 80% of HNSCC. In addition to the inactivation of \textit{p16INK4A} and \textit{TP53}, mutations in other genes that regulate cell proliferation, including \textit{CDKN2A}, \textit{PTEN}, \textit{PIK3CA}, and \textit{HRAS}, have been implicated in HNSCC.\textsuperscript{20} Whole-exome sequencing data have also shown that 30% of all HNSCC cases harbored mutations in genes that regulate squamous differentiation (eg, \textit{NOTCH1}, \textit{IRF6}, and \textit{TP63}), implicating its dysregulation as a major driver of HNSCC carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{21}

In HNSCC, at least two genetic subclasses can be distinguished: human papillomavirus (HPV)-positive and HPV-negative tumors.\textsuperscript{20} The presence of HPV is a prognostic biomarker associated with better outcome in locally advanced oropharyngeal cancers, with a 40%–80% cure rate. HPV type 16 is a strictly epitheliotrophic, circular double-stranded DNA virus that is known to cause cervical cancer in women.\textsuperscript{22} The HPV virus produces two oncoproteins, \textit{E6} and \textit{E7}, which inactivate p53 and Rb, respectively, thereby promoting unrestrained cell-cycle progression in the infected cells. This is considered the driving force of HPV-mediated carcinogenesis. Mutations in \textit{TP53} occur in 45%–70% of HNSCC.\textsuperscript{23} However, HNSCC tumors that are HPV positive, meaning they express the \textit{E6}- and \textit{E7}-oncogene transcripts, are typically wild type for \textit{TP53}\textsuperscript{24} and patients with this category of tumors have favorable prognosis.

\textit{TP53} mutations are frequently accompanied by loss of chromosome 3p and are associated with advanced clinical stage in HNSCC patients.\textsuperscript{24} A mitogenic signaling pathway that includes EGFR, HRAS, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
(PI3K) has been shown to be altered in >60% of OSCC, and EGFR is overexpressed in >90% of HNSCC. In HNSCC, constitutive activation of EGFR can occur via overexpression of EGFR ligands, mutation or amplification of EGFR, or by activation of other receptors or nonreceptor tyrosine kinases. LOH at chromosomes 3p, 9p, and 17p seems to occur in dysplasia, apparently reflecting early carcinogenesis, whereas other alterations at chromosomes 11q, 4q, and 8 were typically present in carcinomas, probably corresponding to a relatively late phase in carcinogenesis.

**SIP signaling**

SIP is a potent signaling molecule that is present in blood, lymph, and most tissues. It mediates pleiotropic biological functions, including hematopoietic cell trafficking, T-cell differentiation, immunological and allergic responses, inflammation, angiogenesis, vascular integrity, and muscle regeneration. At the cellular level, SIP regulates apoptosis, cell proliferation, survival, migration, invasion, differentiation, and intracellular architecture. It mediates most of its functions by binding to a family of G-protein-coupled receptors, formerly termed endothelial differentiation genes, but now these receptors are known as S1PRs 1–5.

In addition to mediating effects via extracellular receptor-mediated signaling, SIP also acts intracellularly and independent of its receptors. Only few intracellular targets of SIP have been identified. For example, SIP directly binds to TNF receptor-associated factor 2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase that is a key component of the nuclear factor (NF)-κB pathway. Both, SphK1 and the production of SIP are necessary for TNF-mediated NF-κB activation. SIP formed by SphK2 in the nucleus directly binds to histone deacetylase (HDAC) and regulates gene expression. Moreover, it has also been shown that SIP binds and modulates the activity of β amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 in vitro. SIP signaling has a significant impact on the processes of carcinogenesis and drug- and radiation-resistance patterns. Many components of the SIP signaling pathway, including SphKs, SIP lyase, and S1PR, have been mechanistically linked with cancer cell aggressiveness, invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance.

**Circulating SIP in cancer**

In the blood of healthy subjects, SIP concentrations vary in the range of 0.2–1.0 μM and depend upon age and sex. Erythrocytes and platelets are rich sources of plasma SIP, as both of these cell types are exposed to circulating sphingosine, contain SphK activity, and lack most of the SIP-degrading enzymes. In blood, SIP circulates in the high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-bound (65%) and albumin-bound (35%) forms. Further, it has been shown that apolipoprotein M, a lipocalin that resides mainly in the plasma HDL fraction, acts as a carrier of SIP in blood. Furthermore, the HDL-associated apolipoprotein M–S1P complex mediates vasoprotective actions on the endothelium. HDL-bound SIP restrains lymphopoiesis and neuroinflammation by activating SIPR1 on bone marrow lymphocyte progenitors. Several investigators have explored the potential of plasma SIP to serve as a biomarker for the detection of cancer. In ovarian cancer patients, plasma SIP levels were almost twice as high as those of healthy controls. In a separate study, elevated plasma SIP levels have been associated with the increased risk of developing lung cancer. In contrast, in prostate cancer patients, plasma SIP levels were lower than in age-matched controls. Comparisons of plasma SIP levels in HNSCC patients and age- or sex-matched controls have not been reported to date.

**SIP metabolizing enzymes in HNSCC**

Considering the pleiotropic biological functions mediated by SIP, it is not surprising that its cellular and circulating levels are tightly regulated by several enzymes. Two highly homologous SphKs, known as SphK1 and SphK2, catalyze the phosphorylation of sphingosine to form SIP. Sphingosine is generated via the deacylation of ceramide, which in turn is produced by the hydrolysis of sphingomyelin present in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane. Two SIP phosphatas (SGPPs) known as SGPP1 and SGPP2, located in the endoplasmic reticulum, reversibly convert SIP back to sphingosine. In addition to SGPPs, there are three plasma membrane-bound phosphatases known as lipid phosphate phosphatases 1, 2, and 3 (LPP1–3) that can dephosphorylate a broad range of lipid phosphate substrates, including SIP, ceramide-1-phosphate, lysophosphatidic acid, and phosphatidic acid. However, SIP lyase, an endoplasmic reticulum-bound enzyme, irreversibly degrades SIP and functions along with SphK1 as the main regulator of steady-state intracellular SIP levels, as shown by the impact of its inactivation in cells, tissues, and organisms.

**Sphingosine kinase 1**

SphK1 is the primary enzyme responsible for SIP generation and is mainly localized in the cytosol. It is activated by diverse stimuli such as growth factors, including epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin-like growth factor-1, hormones (estradiol), and the angiogenic factor vascular endothelial
Upon stimulation by a wide variety of growth factors, cytokines, and lipopolysaccharides, SphK1 gets phosphorylated at ser225 and translocates to the plasma membrane, resulting in its enhanced catalytic activity. SphK1 is an established oncogene, and it is overexpressed in various types of human cancers, including prostate, gastric, breast, lung, colon, glioma, Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and HNSCC. Pharmacological inhibition of SphK1 enzyme activity or Sphk1 gene silencing blocks tumor progression in many types of cancers. Several recent reports suggest that SphK1 plays an important role in HNSCC carcinogenesis, invasion, metastasis, and radiosensitization.

SphK1 expression levels were significantly higher in OSCC primary tumors, squamous carcinoma cell lines, and lymph nodes. Moreover, OSCC patients exhibiting strong immunostaining for SphK1 had shorter survival times. In a chemical-induced model of tongue cancer, SphK1-knockout mice exhibited decreased tumor incidence, multiplicity, and volume when compared with wild-type mice, suggesting that SphK1 is necessary for HNSCC carcinogenesis. SphK1 has also been implicated in regulating tumor invasion and metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of tongue and esophagus. Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and degradation of the extracellular matrix are early events in metastasis. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) play a critical role in ECM degradation and remodeling processes that are required for EMT. Loss of E-cadherin is considered to be a fundamental event in EMT, and E-cadherin expression is inversely correlated with lymph node metastasis in primary HNSCC. Indeed, SphK1 overexpression in tongue squamous carcinoma cells (SCC-25) was associated with increased levels of MMP-2, MMP-9, EGF, and Akt activity and decreased level of E-cadherin. The STAT3 signaling pathway and the S1P/S1PR1 pathway coactivate one another in an inflammatory loop that promotes carcinogenesis. Notably, SphK1 overexpression in SCC-25 cells also increases interleukin 6 (IL-6) concentration and EGF-induced STAT3 phosphorylation in...
S1PR1-dependent manner, suggesting that SphK1 modulates IL-6/STAT3 signaling during invasion.49

EGFR overexpression and chromosome 3p deletion are two frequent events in HNSCC. LRIG1, a negative regulator of EGFR, is located at 3p14 and its copy-number loss correlates with poor clinical outcome. Activation of EGFR-mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) signaling increases SphK1 activity, both increasing its expression level and promoting its phosphorylation.48 Interestingly, S1P has been shown to induce EGFR transactivation through S1PRs.55 Notably, LRIG overexpression in nasopharyngeal carcinoma attenuates EGFR-MAPK signaling and decreases SphK1 expression.52 Conversely, downregulation of LRIG1 in cancer cells enhances EGFR-MAPK-SphK1 signaling and ECM remodeling activity, leading to malignant phenotype of HNC.52

Development of resistance to targeted therapeutic drugs in HNSCC is a major therapeutic challenge. SphK1 plays a crucial role in cancer drug resistance and radioresistance. It has been proposed that release of S1P into the tumor microenvironment due to upregulation of SphK1 could represent an important mechanism of resistance.56,57 Recently, it has been shown that E2F7 transcription factor contributes to anthracycline resistance in HNSCC cells in a SphK1-dependent manner. E2F7 directly induces the expression of SphK1 in squamous carcinoma cells resulting in increased Akt activation, which drives drug resistance.58 SKI-II, a pharmacological inhibitor of SphK1, and short interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated SphK1 silencing restore radiation sensitivity in radiation-resistant tongue squamous carcinoma cells (SCC-15 and SCC-25).50 Further, SCC-15 cells transfected with SphK1-siRNA produce smaller tumors in mice compared to parent cells.50 SKI-II along with the EGFR inhibitor cetuximab reduced clonogenic survival in radiation-resistant HNSCC cells.59 Furthermore, a doxorubicin and SphK1 inhibitor SK1-I reduced tumor size in xenografted mice by sensitizing the SCC cells, which were resistant to doxorubicin alone.60 The above studies suggest that targeting SphK1/S1P might provide a novel strategy for the treatment of advanced stages of HNSCC that are resistant to EGFR therapy.

**Sphingosine kinase 2**

While SphK1 has been the subject of intense investigation, SphK2 has not received as much attention. Early studies showed that SphK2 can oppose some functions of SphK1. For example, SphK2 has been shown to induce apoptosis, whereas SphK1 suppresses apoptosis.60–62 SphK2 is localized in the mitochondria, nucleus, and endoplasmic reticulum. S1P produced in the nucleus by SphK2 inhibits HDAC1/2 activity similar to classical HDAC inhibitors, whereas reduction of nuclear S1P increases HDAC activity and concomitantly decreases histone acetylation.34 SphK2 has shown a protective role in the development of colitis-associated cancer (CAC) in mice, whereas SphK2 deficiency exacerbates CAC.17 Interestingly, it has been shown that nuclear S1P produced by nuclear SphK2 suppresses transcription of SphK1 by inhibiting HDAC1/2 and activating the transcription factors c-Jun and AP-1. Indeed, Sphk2-deficient mice exhibit increased levels of SphK1 mRNA and protein and elevated levels of plasma S1P.17 In contrast, SphK2 has also been shown to play a crucial role in tumor progression in several types of cancers,61 including multiple myeloma,62 lymphoblastic leukemia,63 prostate cancer,64 lung cancer,65 and breast cancer.66 However, the potential of SphK2 as a therapeutic target in cancer remains unclear and in HNSCC has not been explored at all. Nonetheless, few selective inhibitors of SphK2 have shown antitumor, antiangiogenic activities in cancer cell lines as well as mouse models.67–69

**S1P phosphatases**

SGPP1 is expressed in most tissues, with the highest levels found in the vascular tissues of placenta and kidney,45 whereas SGPP2 is predominantly expressed in the heart and kidney.70 In mammalian cells, overexpression of SGPP1 elevates ceramide levels and enhances apoptosis in cancer cells. SGPP1 regulates EGF-induced chemotaxis,44 autophagy,71 and keratinocyte differentiation.72 These observations suggest that it might play an important role in squamous cell carcinoma, as all these processes have been linked to HNSCC carcinogenesis. SGPP1 has been associated with drug resistance in ovarian cancer.73 The expression of SGPP2 is decreased in gliomas compared to normal gray matter, resulting in the accumulation of S1P in the tumors.74 SGPP1 has been identified as a target gene for oncogenic micro-RNA (miR)-21 and miR-95 in cancer stem-like cells isolated from lung cancer patients.75 The elevated expression of miR-21 and miR-95 contributes to radioresistance in lung, prostate, and breast cancers by decreasing the protein levels of SGPP1.75,76 In contrast, SGPP1 has also been identified as a target gene of tumor suppressor miR-27a in human colorectal tumors and colorectal cancer cell lines.76 SGPP2 has been identified as a novel vitamin D-responsive gene associated with lung function.77 However, the role of S1P phosphatases in HNSCC has not yet been explored.
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Lipid phosphate phosphatases

Expression of LPPI is low in many cancer cell lines and tumors compared with normal tissues. Overexpression of LPPI in cancer cells attenuates tumor growth and metastasis in mice. LPPI expression decreases the growth, survival, and tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer cells. In contrast, elevated expression of LPP3 was observed in primary glioblastoma tumors and in U87 and U118 glioblastoma cell lines. Further, LPP3 knockdown inhibits both glioblastoma cell proliferation in culture and tumor growth in xenograft assays by reducing β-catenin, cyclin D1, and CD133 expression.

S1P lyase

S1P lyase expression is downregulated in human colon adenomas and prostate adenocarcinoma tissues. Furthermore, S1P lyase deficiency in human cancer cells induces resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs and ionizing radiation. In a CAC model, mice lacking intestinal S1P lyase exhibited greater disease activity, colon shortening, cytokine levels, S1P accumulation, increased tumor incidence, STAT3 activation, STAT3-activated miRs (miR-21 and miR-181b-1), and suppression of miR-targeted antioncogene products (PTEN and CYLD). Indeed, HPV-positive HNSCC tumors exhibit frequent genetic alterations in PTEN. Recently, it has been shown that upregulation of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters contributes to chemoresistance of S1P-lyase-deficient fibroblasts. Whether S1P catabolizing enzymes play any role in HNSCC needs further study.

S1P transporters

S1P is generated intracellularly by SphKs, and it is exported out of the cells by transporter proteins. Several ABC family members, such as ABCA1, ABCC1, and ABCG1, have been proposed to transport S1P. Spinster homolog 2 (Spns2) is a non-ATP-dependent S1P transporter. Advanced lung cancer patients exhibit decreased Spns2 expression, and ectopic Spns2 expression induced apoptosis in non-small-cell lung cancer cells, whereas its knockdown enhanced cell migration.

S1PRs in HNSCC

Many functions of S1PRs have been attributed to the activation of S1PR1, S1PR2, S1PR3, S1PR4, and S1PR5. To date, five S1PRs (S1PR1, S1PR2, S1PR3, S1PR4, and S1PR5) have been identified in vertebrates. S1PRs are ubiquitously but differentially expressed on all cells. Each S1PR couples to different heterotrimeric G-proteins and activates or inhibits downstream signaling pathways (Figure 2). For example, S1PR1 and S1PR4 couple mainly to G12/13, whereas S1PR2 and S1PR3 activate G13.

S1PR5 binds to G12/13. Downstream signaling pathways that are activated or inhibited by S1PRs include extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), c-Jun N-terminal kinase, PI3K, Rac, Rho, cyclic adenosine monophosphate, and phospholipase C.

While S1PRs have been specifically studied in different types of cancer such as breast, gastric, thyroid, melanoma, and glioblastoma, their role in HNSCC is poorly understood. STAT3 is a key transcription factor implicated in inflammation and cancer. STAT3 is activated by many growth factors and inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6. S1P signaling through S1PR1 participates in a reciprocal positive feedback loop with STAT3 resulting in latter’s persistent activation and promotes tumor progression and metastasis. S1P–S1PR1–STAT3 feedback loop plays an essential role in tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer, neuroblastoma, and HNSCC. S1P mediates invasion of HNSCC cells through S1PR1, and pharmacological inhibition or genetic knockdown of S1PR1 gene reduces invasion of SCC-25 cells through an IL-6/STAT3-mediated pathway.

S1PR2 activation has been shown to inhibit cancer cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. Crosstalk between S1P–S1PR2 and transforming growth factor beta signaling pathways has been implicated in progression and invasion of esophageal cancer through ERK1/2 activation. Targeted disruption of the S1PR2 gene in mice leads to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma formation, and mutations in S1PR2 gene has been reported in germinai center B-cell like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Whether similar mutations occur in S1PR2 gene in HNSCC is not yet known.

One theory of carcinogenesis espouses that cancers arise from and are perpetuated by a subpopulation of tumor cells called cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs exhibit radiation and chemotherapy-resistant properties and can give rise to all other cells in tumors from which they are isolated. S1P has been shown to play a fundamental role in the expansion of glioma stem-like cells and CSCs through the activation of S1PR3. High expression of S1PR4 in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer patients is associated with shorter disease-free and disease-specific survival. S1PR5 has been shown to exert antiancic effects by inducing autophagy in PC3 prostate cancer cells and by inhibiting migration and proliferation in esophageal cancer cells.

Therapeutic approaches for HNSCC targeting S1P signaling pathway

Owing to the central role of S1P signaling in immunity and tumorigenesis and the druggability of SphKs and S1PRs, S1P...
signaling pathway is an attractive pharmacological target for drug discovery (Figure 3). Cumulative evidence from studies of many types of cancers suggests the involvement of SphK1 in tumor progression, invasion, metastasis, and radio- and chemoresistance. Furthermore, high expression of SphK1 correlates with poor patient outcomes in many cancers, including HNSCC. Selective SphK1 inhibitors have been tested in cell lines and animal models of several types of cancers,\textsuperscript{113} eg, leukemia\textsuperscript{114} and glioblastoma.\textsuperscript{115} Safingol, a SphK1 inhibitor combined with cisplatin,
has been successfully tested in a Phase I clinical trial for advanced solid tumors.\textsuperscript{116} Safingol induces apoptosis in OSCC by generating reactive oxygen species.\textsuperscript{117} In addition to SphK1 inhibitors, ABC294640, a SphK2 selective inhibitor, suppresses cell proliferation of a number of cancer cell lines and reduces the in vivo tumor growth of mammary adenocarcinoma xenografts.\textsuperscript{140} ABC294640 also exhibits synergistic effects with sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor.\textsuperscript{118} Gold nanorods (GNRs) play a critical role in protecting the siRNA molecules against physiological degradation as well as delivering them inside target cells. SphK1-siRNA delivered as a GNR-siRNA complex demonstrate enhanced radiosensitization of HNSCC cells in vitro.\textsuperscript{119} Furthermore, intratumor injection of GNR–siRNA nanoplexes in HNSCC tumors grown in mice, prior to the initiation of radiation therapy, results in >50% tumor regression compared to that of control.\textsuperscript{119} Sphingomab, a murine monoclonal S1P antibody, acts as "molecular sponge" to neutralize plasma S1P.\textsuperscript{120} It has been shown to reduce cell growth, invasion, and angiogenesis in multiple tumor lineages, including ovarian, lung, and breast cancer.\textsuperscript{121} Sonepcizumab,\textsuperscript{122,123} a humanized version of sphingomab, was developed and tested for safety and dosage tolerability in Phase I clinical trials for solid tumors.\textsuperscript{123} Though a Phase II clinical trial (NCT01762033) of sonepcizumab in renal cancer (late stage disease) conducted by LPath, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA) has been unsuccessful, the Phase II trial has not been done with HNSCC.

A number of therapeutic agents that target the sphingolipid pathway have been developed.\textsuperscript{124,125} FTY720, a synthetic analog of sphingosine, which binds to all the S1PRs, except S1PR2, has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for treatment of patients with the autoimmune disease relapsing multiple sclerosis patients. FTY720 inhibits tumor development and angiogenesis in mice harboring human hepatocellular, bladder, and lung cancers.\textsuperscript{126,127} Therefore, there is potential for repurposing this US Food and Drug Administration approved drug for treatment of cancer patients. Several S1PR-specific agonist and antagonist compounds have been generated for therapeutic purposes. A more specific and potent agonist of S1PR1, siponimod (also known as BAF312), has been developed, which is now used in Phase III trials for multiple sclerosis.\textsuperscript{120} Whether these agonist or antagonist compounds could be used as a drug in HNSCC needs further investigation. A number of obstacles must be overcome if targeting S1PRs for cancer treatment is to be successful. Not all S1PRs mediate tumorigenic responses; hence, selectivity of receptor antagonism is crucial in determining the success of sphingolipid receptor-based therapeutics.\textsuperscript{124,125,126,127}

**Conclusion**

S1P signaling regulates many aspects of HNSCC carcinogenesis, and S1P-targeted therapy for HNSCC is an exciting possibility. SphK1 inhibitors in combination with conventional chemotherapy agents, such as doxorubicin and cisplatin, have been used for sensitizing squamous carcinoma cells that were either resistant to radiation, doxorubicin, or cisplatin alone. Until now, most studies have focused on SphK1. However, the potential of targeting other S1P-related proteins, such as S1P catalyzing enzymes, transporters, and S1PRs, in HNSCC has yet to be investigated. Furthermore, novel strategies that combine targeting both the S1P signaling pathway and other carcinogenic pathways with which it interacts (especially those implicated in HNSCC), such as EGFR, STAT3, AKT, ERK/MAPK, and NF-κB, could be very effective. For example, a Phase II clinical trial using the S1P antibody sonepcizumab for cancer treatment has been initiated and might represent a new potential therapeutic option for HNSCC in combination with EGFR inhibitors.\textsuperscript{121} To overcome the problem of radioresistance, actively targeted gold nanoparticles have been tested as novel radiosensitizer agents in HNSCC. GNRs carrying SphK1-siRNA have shown promising results in mouse models for radiosensitizing HNSCC tumors. Thus, identification of potent and specific inhibitors of SK1 and selective agonist/antagonist of S1PRs combined with novel drug delivery tools may improve the prognosis of HNSCC patients. As we learn more about this interesting growth-regulatory signaling pathway, its potential to serve as a useful therapeutic target and biomarker of disease in HNSCC will be revealed.
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