Pulmonary embolism in the elderly: a review on clinical, instrumental and laboratory presentation
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Objective: Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism (PE) remains difficult and is often missed in the elderly due to nonspecific and atypical presentation. Diagnostic algorithms able to rule out PE and validated in young adult patients may have reduced applicability in elderly patients, which increases the number of diagnostic tools use and costs. The aim of the present study was to analyze the reported clinical presentation of PE in patients aged 65 and more.

Materials and Methods: Prospective and retrospective English language studies dealing with the clinical, instrumental and laboratory aspects of PE in patients more than 65 and published after January 1987 and indexed in MEDLINE using keywords as pulmonary embolism, elderly, old, venous thromboembolism (VTE) in the title, abstract or text, were reviewed.

Results: Dyspnea (range 59%–91.5%), tachypnea (46%–74%), tachycardia (29%–76%), and chest pain (26%–57%) represented the most common clinical symptoms and signs. Bed rest was the most frequent risk factor for VTE (15%–67%); deep vein thrombosis was detected in 15%–50% of cases. Sinus tachycardia, right bundle branch block, and ST-T abnormalities were the most frequent ECG findings. Abnormalities of chest X-ray varied (less than 50% in one-half of the studies and more than 70% in the other one-half). Arterial blood gas analysis revealed severe hypoxemia and mild hypocapnia as the main findings. D-Dimer was higher than cut-off in 100% of patients in 75% of studies. Clinical usefulness of D-Dimer measurement decreases with age, although the strategies based on D-Dimer seem to be cost-effective at least until 80 years.

Conclusion: Despite limitations due to pooling data of heterogeneous studies, our review could contribute to the knowledge of the presentation of PE in the elderly with its diagnostic difficulties. A diagnostic strategy based on reviewed data is proposed.
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Introduction
Despite modern guidelines, ruling out or diagnosing pulmonary embolism (PE) represents one of the main medical problem in clinical geriatric practice (Rogers 2007). PE remains in fact an under-diagnosed disease in old people, even though its incidence, prevalence, morbidity, and mortality increase steadily with age (Kniffin et al 1994; Hansson et al 1997; Silverstein et al 1998; Goldhaber et al 1999; Heit et al 1999; White 2003; Stein et al 2004). It has been reported that PE represented the main cause of death that is less suspected by physicians in the elderly (Leibovitz et al 2001). About 40% of PE found at necropsy in older persons were not suspected ante mortem (Leibovitz et al 2001).

The diagnostic process of PE starts from clinical suspicion both in young adults and in elderly patients (Tapson et al 1999; ESC 2000; ACEP 2003; BTS 2003; Goldhaber and Elliott 2003; Stein et al 2006). Assessment of clinical probability represents the first step to reach a prompt diagnosis of PE and to prevent delays in the diagnostic work-up and initiation of appropriate treatment. Assessment of clinical probability derives from an integration of history, analysis of risk factors for venous thromboembolism (VTE),
symptoms and signs with first level investigations such as systolic blood pressure, 12-leads electrocardiography (ECG), chest X-ray, and arterial blood gas analysis (ABG). Clinical pre-test probability (PTP) should be evaluated by using one of the available and validated score, such as the Wells’ score or the Geneva score (Wells et al 1998; Tapson et al 1999; ESC 2000; ACEP 2003; BTS 2003; Goldhaber and Elliott 2003; Le Gal et al 2006; Stein et al 2006). After assessment of clinical probability, D-Dimer measurement is often the next proposed step in diagnostic strategies for suspected PE (Stein et al 2004). However, D-Dimer assay should be performed only in nonhigh PTP (low or moderate PTP) (BTS 2003). PE may be ruled out when nonhigh PTP is associated to negative D-Dimer (<500 µg/L) (Tapson et al 1999; ESC 2000; ACEP 2003; BTS 2003; Goldhaber and Elliott 2003; Perrier et al 2005; Christopher Study Investigators 2006; Stein et al 2006). In the other cases, PE should be confirmed with helical pulmonary angio-computer tomography (angio-CT), preferably multidetector type, lung scan or pulmonary angiography (Tapson et al 1999; ESC 2000; ACEP 2003; BTS 2003; Goldhaber and Elliott 2003; Stein et al 2006). The use of lung scan for confirming PE has been reduced because of the major availability of helical angio-CT, and in reason of the important proportion of inconclusive lung scan, in particular in elderly patients. Legs ultrasonography is noninvasive, and is able to detect deep vein thrombosis (DVT). The presence of a recent DVT is enough to rule in the diagnosis of PE and DVT and start anticoagulation (Le Gal et al 2006). Combination of PTP with different levels of D-Dimer could reduce the number of unnecessary legs ultrasonography for ruling out DVT in patients with symptomatic PE (Yamaki et al 2007). Trans thoracic echocardiogram (TTE), is particularly useful in suspected massive PE, when unability of patient precludes complicated diagnostic algorithms. Moreover it could offer information for prognosis stratification and aid the choice of treatment, as biomarkers (B natriuretic peptides and cardiac troponins) (Tapson et al 1999; ESC 2000; Goldhaber 2002; ACEP 2003; BTS 2003; Goldhaber and Elliott 2003; Kucher et al 2003; Pieralli et al 2006; Stein et al 2006; Becattini et al 2007).

Since the evidence showed difficulties in diagnosing of PE in the elderly, the clinical, instrumental and laboratory presentation of PE in elderly patients are reviewed in the present article.

Sources were obtained from articles indexed in MEDLINE database from January 1987 to August 2007 searching terms “pulmonary embolism”, “elderly”, “old”, “venous thromboembolism” in the title, abstract and/or text. Additional references were identified by reviewing the bibliographies of retrieved articles. Only prospective and retrospective English language studies dealing with the clinical, instrumental and/or laboratory presentation of PE patients aged more than 65 years were considered for the review. Studies were included if they reported patients with confirmed PE. We did not perform a systematic review, but we reported data of more relevant articles.

**Results**

**Symptoms, risk factors of VTE, first line instrumental examinations, and arterial blood gas analysis (ABG)**


Dyspnea (range 59%–91.5%), tachypnea (46%–74%), tachycardia (29%–76%), and chest pain (range 26%–59%) represented the main symptoms reported in clinical studies about PE in the elderly. Bed rest (range 15%–67%) and DVT (range 15%–50%) were the main risk factors for VTE (Table 1).

Sinus tachycardia (range 18%–62.5%), right bundle branch block (4.5%–40.5%) and ST-T abnormalities (9%–37%) were the most represented 12-leads-ECG findings; the typical S1Q3/S1Q3T3 ECG pattern was found in 4.5%–14% of patients.

Three studies reported abnormalities in less of one half of patients at chest X-ray (Busby et al 1988; Ramos et al 2000; Le Gal et al 2005) while in other three studies more than 70% of patients had abnormalities (Stein et al 1991; Masotti et al 2000; Ceccarelli et al 2003). Cardiomegaly (range 22%–64%), pleural effusion (15.8%–57%), and
Table 1 Main clinical aspects of elderly patients with PE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>(1988–2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>V/Q scan</td>
<td>V/Q scan/</td>
<td>V/Q scan/pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>V/Q scan/</td>
<td>V/Q scan/</td>
<td>Q scan/pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>Q scan/Q scan</td>
<td>hCT</td>
<td>V/Q scan/hCT</td>
<td>V/Q scan/hCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>helical computer tomography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>pulmonary angiography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Number</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>17/20</td>
<td>32/40</td>
<td>10/16</td>
<td>24/40</td>
<td>22/46</td>
<td>18/41</td>
<td>12/17</td>
<td>29/41</td>
<td>31/17</td>
<td>61/106</td>
<td>246/404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age(years ± SD)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>80 ± 3</td>
<td>69 ± 17</td>
<td>78.6 ± 7.7</td>
<td>81.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>76.4 ± 8.37</td>
<td>72.7 ± 6.1</td>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>(65–99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>(8%–32%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symptoms and signs

- Dyspnea: 65% 78% 85% 66% 88% 91.5% 59% 74% 84.5% 81.9% (59–91.5%)
- Tachycardia: nr 29% nr 11% 74% 67% 31% 44% nr (29–76%)
- Chest pain: 57% 51% 35% 27% 40% 39% 59% 26% 48.3% 46.1% (26–57%)
- Tachypnea: 46% 74% 50% 47% nr 30% 23% nr 73.1% (46–74%)
- Syncope: 8% 62% 11% 13.5% 20% 19% 27.6% 18.6% (8–62%)
- Shock: 5% 31% 12% 22% 20% 24% nr 16% nr 73.1% (5–31%)
- Cough: 43% 35% 12% 22% 20% 24% nr 16% nr 24% (12–43%)
- Hemoptysis: 11% 8% 0% 3% nr 6% 9% 4.8% 3% (3–14%)

Risk factors

- Bed rest: 25% 67% 15% 45% 65% 54% 21% 40% nr (15%–67%)
- DVT: 16% 15% 50% 47% 33% 34% 16% 19% 44.8% nr (15%–50%)
- Cancer: 32% 26% 4% 20% 16.5% 18.5% nr 30% 13.8% 9.0% (4%–32%)
- Surgery: 22% 44% 19% 14% 7% 5% nr 9% 26% 6.6% 5%–44% (5%–44%)
- Heart failure: 30% nr 33% 25% 32% nr 5% 15% 5% 15% (5%–33%)
- Previous DVT/PE: 27% nr 33% 24% 23% 35% 19% 35% 41% (18%–41%)
- Stroke: 11% nr 13.5% 12% nr 19% 35% 15% nr 3% (3%–13.5%)
- AMI: 11% nr 3% nr 13.5% 27% nr 2% 8.4% 2%–27% (2%–27%)
- COPD: nr nr nr 13.5% nr 2% 8.4% 8% (2%–27%)

Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; nr, not reported.

*Mean heart rate 95 ± 17 beats per minute; †Mean heart rate 100 ± 16 beats per minute; Right ventricular failure 46%; the study of Gisselbrecht15 was referred to massive pulmonary embolism. V, ventilation; Q, perfusion; hCT, helical computer tomography. R, retrospective; P, prospective.
(8.5%–71%) were the most frequent reported aspects. More than 50% of patients had features of right heart overload at TTE (Masotti et al 2000; Ceccarelli et al 2003; Kokturk et al 2005; Chung et al 2006).

A severe hypoxemic respiratory failure was found as main ABG pattern. In five studies, this pattern was associated to low arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure (PaCO₂). In three studies the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, D(A-a)O₂, was analyzed (Jones et al 1993; Masotti et al 2000; Ceccarelli et al 2003), and was found to be elevated (range 44.8–46.6 mmHg).

Table 2 summarizes the first line instrumental findings.

### Pre-test clinical probability and D-Dimer

Two studies have analyzed the field of applicability of PTP combined with D-Dimer for ruling out PE in the elderly (Righini et al 2004; Söhne et al 2005). These studies have reported that the percentage of high PTP increases in elderly compared to younger patients, whereas the percentage of low PTP decreases. One study suggested that Geneva score seemed to perform better in elderly patients compared to Wells score (Righini et al 2004). One of these studies has demonstrated that the sensitivity and negative predictive value of a combination of low PTP and negative D-Dimer were high in elderly patients. Unfortunately the prevalence of elderly having the association non high PTP-negative D-Dimer is low (14% of age over than 75 years) (Söhne et al 2005). However a strategy combining PTP and D-Dimer is still cost-effectiveness at least until 80 years (Righini et al 2007).

The specificity of D-Dimer in patients with suspected PE decreases steady with age. One study has demonstrated that the specificity of ELISA D-Dimer (VIDAS, Biomérieux, France) in suspected VTE reduces from 70.5% in patients under 40 years to 4.5% in patients over 80 years (Harper et al 2007). Similar specificity (5%) was found in another study in patients over 80 years with nonhigh PTP by using the same ELISA D-Dimer method (Righini et al 2000). The very low specificity of D-Dimer in the elderly has led to suggest an increased cut-off value of D-Dimer. Two series have concluded that an increased cut-off of D-Dimer reduced the false positives but increased the percentage of false negatives. Conversely, another study has demonstrated that increasing the cut-off from 500 microg/L to 750 microg/L and 1000 microg/L, led to a rise in D-Dimer specificity in patients over 80 years (from 4.5% to 13.1% and 27.3%, respectively) without loss of sensibility (Aguiar et al 2001; Righini et al 2001; Harper et al 2007).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>(21%–50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus tachycardia</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(4.5%–40.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(4.5%–14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBBB</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(4.5%–40.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1Q3/S1Q3T3T3</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(4.5%–14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-T abnormalities</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>(4%–56%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>(20%–96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomegaly</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>(22%–64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary edema</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>(13%–30.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>(15.8%–57%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>(8.5%–71%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemidiaphragm elevation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>(8.5%–28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean paO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>61 ± 12</td>
<td>61.4 ± 9</td>
<td>60 ± 10</td>
<td>54.6 ± 14.7</td>
<td>53.5 ± 15.4</td>
<td>59.5 ± 9.8</td>
<td>(53.5–61.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean paCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>30 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
<td>41.7 ± 15</td>
<td>42.1 ± 16.5</td>
<td>32.9 ± 9.8</td>
<td>(30–42.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean D(A-a)O₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>45.3 ± 22.4</td>
<td>44.8 ± 21.6</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>(44.8–46.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: paO₂, oxygen arterial partial pressure; paCO₂, dioxide arterial partial pressure; D(A-a)O₂, alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient; nr, not reported; RBBB, right bundle branch block.
One study (Masotti et al 2000) has shown that 86% of patients with PE had D-Dimer $>500 \mu\text{g/L}$ measured with latex agglutination. In another study D-Dimer, measured by immunoturbidimetric method, was over the cut-off value in 98.5% of patients (Söhne et al 2005). Four studies demonstrated that all patients (100%) had D-Dimer values higher than cut-off (Tardy et al 1998; Barro et al 1999; Masotti et al 2000; Ceccarelli et al 2003).

**Instrumental examinations for confirming PE**

Two studies have analyzed the effect of age on the diagnostic performance of helical angio-CT to diagnose PE. Either by single detector or multidetector helical pulmonary angio-CT, the sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values are not influenced by age, making applicable and safe the diagnostic strategies based on these investigations (Righini et al 2004; Stein et al 2007).

In selected elderly patients without previous cardiopulmonary diseases ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) lung scan has similar applicability in the elderly compared with younger patients (Stein et al 1996). In unselected patients, rate of non-diagnostic lung scan is higher in elderly patients (Calvo-Romero et al 2005). The diagnostic yield of lung scan decreases from 68% in patients under 40 years to 42% in the patients aged 80 years and older (Righini et al 2000).

Sensitivity of legs ultrasonography for detection of DVT increase with age, whereas specificity does not change with age, close to 100% (Righini et al 2000).

Sensitivity and specificity of pulmonary angiography remain similar to young adults but this procedure could be limited by higher risk of acute renal failure due to iodinate contrast (Stein et al 1991).

A recent meta-analysis on prognostic values of cardiac troponins has shown that elevated levels of troponins identify high risk patients for death and adverse outcomes, even though in the studies concerning patients with mean age $\geq65$ years. (Giannitsis et al 2000; Enea et al 2004; Hsu et al 2006; Kaczynska et al 2006; Becattini et al 2007). One study has evaluated the prognostic role of BNP in the elderly, and concluded that this biomarker was not a solid indicator of complicated PE (Ray et al 2006).

**Discussion and implications for clinical practice**

The two crucial questions about PE in the elderly are: in which patients should PE be suspected and searched for, and which diagnostic procedures and algorithms could be safely performed.

PE represents one of the main causes of acute respiratory failure (ARF) in the elderly patients. In a recent observational study on 514 elderly patients with ARF, 18% of them had confirmed PE. An inappropriate initial treatment due to uncorrected diagnosis was associated to an increased mortality (Ray et al 2006). PE was one of the main causes incorrectly diagnosed (Ray et al 2006).

In elderly patients, making the difference between PE symptoms and other cardio-respiratory diseases such as congestive heart failure, pneumonia and/or COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) exacerbation could be very challenging because of the frequent similar clinical picture and coexistence of diseases (Ray et al 2006). As shown in the present study, the most frequent symptoms and signs of confirmed PE in elderly patients are dyspnea, tachypnea, tachycardia, and chest pain. All these symptoms and signs are non-specific and similar to that of PE in younger patients (PIOPED Investigators 1990; Miniati et al 1996; Goldhaber et al 1999). Chest pain seem to be less frequent and tachycardia and syncope more frequent in the elderly compared with nonelderly patients (Busby et al 1988; Stein et al 1991; Gisselbrecht et al 1996; Kokturk et al 2005; Punukollu et al 2005). Bed rest is the most frequent risk factors for PE in the elderly, together with surgery and cancer. Evident implication is that primary prophylaxis using mechanical or pharmacological measures should be carefully evaluated in elderly bedridden patients. (PIOPED Investigators 1990; Miniati et al 1996; Goldhaber et al 1999).

The specificity of instrumental and laboratory examinations is low both in elderly and nonelderly patients: 12-leads-ECG, chest X-ray, and ABG do not increase the suspicion of PE in the elderly.

ABG could reveal an hypoxemic respiratory failure associated to normal or mildly reduced PaCO$_2$ and increased D(A-a)O$_2$ similarly to congestive heart failure and pneumonia. It should be kept in mind that in two studies enrolling patients with previous cardio-pulmonary diseases, mean values of PaCO$_2$ were in normal range. Only one third of patients had respiratory alkalosis (Masotti et al 2000; Ceccarelli et al 2003), suggesting an impaired response to acute stress in elderly patients. Compared with younger patients, ABG in elderly patients seems to reveal lower hypoxemia and higher values of alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (PIOPED Investigators 1990).

The role of D-Dimer is well recognized to rule out PE when it is negative and associated to a non-high PTP. However, the specificity and the clinical usefulness of D-Dimer decrease with age because of high percentage of elderly
patients with D-Dimer higher than cut-off. Unfortunately increasing cut-off of D-Dimer could lead to increase the percentage of patients wrongly considered negatives, and is therefore considered unsafe.

Recently, the first diagnostic algorithm, not validated, for suspected PE in the elderly has been reported (Righini et al 2005). The most important findings of this diagnostic strategy are represented by the non invasivity of first-line investigation (PTP evaluation, and legs ultrasonography), and angio-CT as confirming examination. In this algorithm D-Dimer is not widely recommended; its assay should be reserved to selected conditions (limited availability of other diagnostic tests or presence of high risk of adverse reactions due to instrumental examinations with contrast). However, more recently the same Authors demonstrated that in elderly outpatients the strategies based on PTP evaluation, and D-Dimer assay are cost-effectiveness at least until 80 years and that strategies with legs ultrasonography are more expensive but not safer. Therefore, measuring D-dimer in outpatients more than 80 years may be differently appreciated. On one side, one could argue that the clinical usefulness is so limited that D-dimer measurement is not indicated. On the other side, D-dimer measurement does not increase the costs of diagnostic strategies. To avoid complicated recommendations or age-adapted diagnostic strategies (for example not dosing D-dimer in elderly patients), a systematic measurement may be proposed, at least in outpatients. Moreover, D-dimer might still be justified in patients above 80 years when the availability of other diagnostic tests is limited or when the risk of imaging using hCT is higher because of impaired renal function.

Helical pulmonary angio-CT should be encouraged in the elderly since it has been proved to be safe and accurate in the oldest and since lung scan has limited accuracy in this subset of patients. (Stein et al 1996; Righini et al 2000, 2004; Calvo-Romero et al 2005; Stein et al 2007).

Figure 1 shows an algorithm for elderly patients with suspected PE derived from the most recent evidence of literature.

**Limits of the study**
We are aware of some limitations of our review. First we pooled data from heterogeneous studies with different methodology. This review was not systematic and could be scientifically subjected to criticism. Studies about diagnostic strategy for diagnosing PE in the elderly are lacking, and our conclusions are based upon small series. Table 3 summarizes the implications of this review in the clinical practice.

**Conclusion**
PE is a potentially life-threatening condition, difficult to diagnose in elderly patients. Misdiagnosis is more frequent in the elderly patients. Clinical signs of PE are neither sensitive nor specific enough to rule in or out the diagnosis. Our review suggests that PE should be suspected in any elderly patient at risk with unexplained dyspnea, tachypnea, or tachycardia.

---

**Figure 1** Diagnostic algorithm for elderly patients with suspected PE derived from literature evidence.
Table 3 Implications for clinical geriatric practice

| Incidence, prevalence, morbidity and mortality increase steadily with age |
| Comorbidity could influence symptoms and signs |
| Spectrum of differential diagnosis of PE is wider in elderly patients due to high prevalence of cardio-respiratory diseases in these patients |
| Higher percentage of elderly patients have high PTP compared with younger patients |
| Low percentage of elderly patients with suspected PE have nonhigh PTP and negative D-dimer |
| Specificity of D-Dimer reduces with age |
| Increased cut-off of D-Dimer could reduce false positives but, unfortunately, could increase false negatives |
| 12-leads electrocardiogram, chest X-ray and echocardiogram could have a lower specificity with respect to younger patients |
| Hypoxemia and increased alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient have a high sensitivity and low specificity |
| Respiratory and metabolic acidosis could be more frequent compared with younger patients |
| Lung scan could be less useful in the elderly for higher percentage of patients with pre-existing pulmonary diseases or abnormal chest X-ray |
| Single detector and multidetector pulmonary angio-CT seem to be not influenced by age |
| Pulmonary angiography could be limited in the elderly because of the higher risk of side effects compared with younger patients |

However these findings are highly nonspecific, which reduces the diagnostic value.
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