The use of fondaparinux for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in a patient with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and thrombosis caused by heparin flushes
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Abstract: Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) is an immunologic drug reaction characterized by paradoxical association with venous and arterial thrombosis. The syndrome is caused by IgG antibodies that are reactive against complexes of platelet factor 4 and heparin. Fondaparinux does not bind to platelet factor 4, is structurally too short to induce an antibody response, and could in theory be a useful agent to treat HIT. A 69-year-old white female presented with a lower extremity extensive iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis after a right total knee arthroplasty and was subsequently found to have a pulmonary embolism. The patient was noted to have heparin flushes during her operation. Her platelet drop decreased >50% from baseline during initiation of antithrombotic therapy. She was started on subcutaneous fondaparinux 7.5 mg once daily injection. Her serotonin release assay and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for heparin antibodies were positive for HIT. Her platelet count nadir was 60 x 10³/mm³ on day 5 and the platelet count rebounded after 8 days of fondaparinux therapy. No recurrent thrombotic or bleeding events were noted throughout her therapy. Anecdotal reports have shown that fondaparinux can be a useful agent to treat HIT with or without thrombosis.
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Fondaparinux (GlaxoSmithKline, Middlesex, UK) is a new synthetic pentasaccaride that binds to antithrombin and potentiates antithrombin inhibition of factor Xa. It does not bind to platelet factor 4 and is unlikely to cause immunoallergic heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) (Dager et al 2004). Data had shown that fondaparinux is structurally too short to induce an antibody response and could be a useful agent to treat HIT (Efird et al 2006).

Case report

A 69-year-old white female presented to the Clinical Thrombosis Center on March 5th, 2007, with a lower extremity extensive iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (DVT) confirmed by Doppler ultrasonography, after a right total knee arthroplasty on February 19th, 2007. The patient had received aspirin prophylaxis 325 mg twice daily during the postoperative period. She was started on subcutaneous enoxaparin injection (sanofi-aventis, Paris, France) at 75 mg twice daily and warfarin 7.5 mg once daily by the emergency department on March 4th, 2007.

Her medical history included hypertension, degenerative joint disease, and dyslipidemia. Her past surgical histories included 3 cesarean sections, hysterectomy, and parathyroidectomy. Her family history was negative for venous thromboembolic
disease. Her medications included verapamil, triamterene/hydrochlorothiazide, lovastatin, aspirin, naproxen sodium, acetaminophen, vitamin C, vitamin E, and a multivitamin. The patient denied any shortness of breath, pleurisy, hemoptysis, or low grade fevers or chills, but complained of dyspnea on exertion. Her blood pressure was 143/76 mm Hg, temperature 97.2 °F, pulse 96, and oxygen saturation 97%. Her laboratories results were significant for white count 9.2 × 10^3/mm^3, hematocrit 37.7%, platelet count 81 × 10^3/mm^3. Her prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), and liver function tests were all within normal limits. Her serum creatinine was 0.8 mg/dl. On physical examination, the right lower extremity showed some severe swelling, especially of the knee. Her lungs were clear to auscultation and cardiovascular examination showed regular rate and rhythm.

Her last platelet count was done preoperatively on February 9th, 2007 and revealed a normal platelet count of 293 × 10^3/mm^3. In view of her thrombocytopenia, the patient was admitted to the hospital for closer observation. Her enoxaparin injection was discontinued and fondaparinux injection was started subcutaneously at a dose of 7.5 mg daily as a bridge to dose-adjusted warfarin, which was continued at 5.0 mg daily. She was also worked up for HIT and disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC).

The patient came into the clinic following her hospitalization for her DVT and thrombocytopenia on March 9th, 2007. The patient complained of pain in her knees, increased cough and continued dyspnea on exertion. She had no complaints of pleurisy, hemoptysis, fevers or chills. In view of her continued dyspnea on exertion, a ventilation/perfusion scan was ordered. The leg swelling on her right was better and she reported less pain on the leg, and the patient was advised to place compression stockings on the affected extremity. There was no evidence of limb-gangrene or warfarin-induced skin necrosis.

Her fingerstick international normalized ratio (INR) was 5.1 and she was on subcutaneous fondaparinux injection 7.5 mg daily (5 doses given to date) and warfarin 5 mg daily. She was also on acetaminophen (Tylenol®) 500 mg every 4 hours for her leg pain. Her platelet count was 60 × 10^3/mm^3 and she tested positive for heparin-induced antiplatelet antibodies. The patient refused to be re-admitted to the hospital for treatment of suspected HIT-associated thrombosis (HITT) with parenteral direct thrombin inhibitors, and after careful discussion with the patient and her family, she agreed to continue treatment on an outpatient basis under careful supervision with subcutaneous fondaparinux. The patient was informed to hold her warfarin and continue fondaparinux until the next clinic visit. She had a repeat INR and complete blood count check on March 12th, 2007. The patient was also advised to stop her Tylenol.

Her platelet count was 100 × 10^3, fingerstick INR 3.6, hematocrit 38.8% at the next visit. She restarted warfarin at 2.5 mg daily. The serotonin release assay (SRA) and repeat enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) HIT studies were still pending at the point of consultation. The right leg swelling and inner thigh calf erythema were slightly decreased. The patient was advised to place compression stockings. We were informed by the patient’s daughter that the patient had received heparin flushes during the time of her operation.

The patient had her follow up 4 days later. Her V/Q scan showed a high probability for pulmonary embolism. The platelet count was 123 × 10^3/mm^3, hematocrit 34.4%. HITT was confirmed by high pretest probability (significant thrombocytopenia of >50% from baseline associated with unfractionated heparin (UFH) and low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) exposure at most 25 days from baseline associated with venous thrombosis) and positive ELISA HIT results and SRA done on March 9th, 2007 (86%). Her fingerstick INR was 4.1. Her warfarin dose was adjusted and fondaparinux was discontinued. She was counseled against any future use of heparins or LMWHs in view of her history of HITT. Her platelet counts are shown in Table 1.

**Discussion**

HIT is an immunologic drug reaction characterized by paradoxical association with venous and arterial thrombosis (Warkentin et al 1995; Warkentin and Kelton 2001). The venous thromboembolic events dominate over arterial thromboembolic events at a ratio of approximately 4:1 (Warkentin and Kelton 1996; Wallis et al 1999; Kelton 2005). The syndrome is caused by IgG antibodies that are reactive against complexes of platelet factor 4 and heparin (Amiral et al 1992).

HIT occurs 5–10 days post exposure to heparin. Thrombocytopenia can occur quickly, even minutes to hours in patient who have HIT antibodies post previous exposure to heparin (Warkentin 1999). HIT antibody formation is accompanied by an unexplained platelet count fall (usually ≥50% fall, even if the platelet count nadir remains >150 × 10^3/L), or by skin lesions at heparin injection sites or acute systemic reactions (eg, chills, cardiorespiratory distress) after intravenous heparin bolus administration (Warkentin and Greinacher 2004). The incidence of HIT
varies with the patient type (Warkentin et al 2000) and the heparin preparation (Powers et al 1984). The frequency of HIT is greater in surgical than in medical patients. In orthopaedic patients given subcutaneous prophylactic heparin, the incidence is approximately 5% with UFH and 0.5% with LMWH (Warkentin et al 2000). HIT can occur in 0.5% to 1% of patients who receive lower doses of heparin (subcutaneous or flushes) or even the tiny amounts that leach from heparin-coated catheters (Laster and Silver 1988; Kadidal et al 1999; Mayo et al 1999; Lawrence et al 2002). Only a minority of patients who form HIT antibodies during heparin therapy develop clinical HIT. Most patients who form HIT antibodies develop seroconversion without thrombocytopenia or thrombosis. The risk of HIT occurs predominantly in patients who also develop thrombocytopenia (Warkentin 1999).

The management of HIT includes the discontinuation of UFH and LMWH therapy, and the use of an alternative agent such as the heparinoids and the direct thrombin inhibitors. An alternative agent is required as the patient is still at substantial thrombosis (Kuo and Kovacs 2005). Only a minority of patients who form HIT antibodies during heparin therapy develop clinical HIT. Most patients who form HIT antibodies develop seroconversion without thrombocytopenia or thrombosis. The risk of HIT occurs predominantly in patients who also develop thrombocytopenia (Warkentin 1999).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Platelet count (×10^3)/mm³</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2007</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Right total knee arthroplasty with aspirin 325 mg BID given for thromboprophylaxis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4/2007</td>
<td>Doppler CUS with extensive right lower extremity ileofemoral DVT. Patient treated with enoxaparin 75 mg SQ BID and warfarin 7.5 mg daily. Patient complaining of dyspnea on exertion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/5/2007</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>First visit to the Clinical Thrombosis Center and first platelet count done postoperation. Enoxaparin discontinued, fondaparinux 7.5 mg SQ daily started, warfarin continued, and patient admitted to hospital. ELISA and SRA studies for HIT ordered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2007</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Platelet counts done while hospitalized. Patient discharged on fondaparinux 7.5 mg SQ daily and warfarin 7.5 mg daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/12/2007</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Warfarin restarted at 2.5 mg daily; fondaparinux continued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2007</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Repeat ELISA and SRA studies positive for HIT. Ventilation-perfusion scan positive for pulmonary embolism, fondaparinux discontinued, fingerstick INR 4.1, warfarin dose adjusted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/20/2007</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>Patient doing well, no clinical VTE recurrence, continue dose-adjusted warfarin, target INR 2.5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BID, twice daily; CUS, cranial ultrascopography; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; INR, international normalized ratio; SQ, subcutaneous; SRA, serotonin release assay; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

The direct thrombin inhibitors lepirudin, argatroban, and bivalirudin have been used for the treatment of HIT (Efird and Kocker 2006). These agents have chemical structures, which are different from UFH and LMWH and do not generate or cross react with antiheparin-platelet factor 4 antibodies (or HIT antibodies) and are effective and safe for the prophylaxis or treatment of thrombosis (Hassell 2005). However, their use is complicated by the need for continuous intravenous infusion administration, monitoring using aPTT, dose modification based on hepatic or renal function, and acquisition cost. Hence, other HIT treatment options are essential (Efird and Kocker 2006).

Fondaparinux is a synthetic pentasaccharide that catalyzes the inhibition of factor Xa by antithrombin, resulting in the inhibition of thrombin generation (Kuo and Kovacs 2005). Data had shown that an increased risk for HIT-related antigen production is dependent on molecular weight and length of polysaccharides (>2.4 kDa and >10 saccharide units, respectively); and structural components for UFH and LMWH are above the minimum requirements for increasing the risk of these antigens (Table 2). Amiral and colleagues (1997) had found no cross reactivity of fondaparinux with antibodies to the heparin-PF4 complexes in his in vitro assay. Warkentin and colleagues (2005) found that anti-PF4/heparin antibodies were generated at similar frequencies in patients treated with fondaparinux or enoxaparin (Warkentin et al 2005). Although antibodies reacted equally well in vitro against...
PF4/UFH and PF4/LMWH, and sometimes weakly against PF4/danaparoid, none reacted against PF4/fondaparinux, including even those sera obtained from patients who formed antibodies during fondaparinux treatment. Hence, despite similar immunogenicity of fondaparinux and LMWH, PF4/fondaparinux, but not PF4/LMWH is recognized poorly by the antibodies generated, suggesting that the risk of HIT with fondaparinux, if likely, is very low. Recently, a single case report of HIT with the use of fondaparinux has been reported (Warkentin et al 2007).

Anecdotal reports have shown that fondaparinux could be a useful agent in the treatment of HIT (Lian et al 2003; Parody et al 2003; Bradner et al 2004; Herenberg et al 2004) and HITT (Kuo and Kovacs 2003; Rubin 2003).

Kuo and Kovacs (2003) administered fondaparinux to 5 surgical patients who developed heparin/PF4 ELISA-confirmed HIT after receiving UFH for venous thromboprophylaxis. Thrombocytopenia occurred between 6 and 10 days after the initiation of heparin anticoagulation and the platelet count nadir ranged from $5 \times 10^9/L$ to $79 \times 10^9/L$. Pulmonary embolism ($n = 2$), deep vein thrombosis ($n = 2$), and left atrial and mechanical mitral valve thrombosis ($n = 1$) were noted. In all cases, UFH or LMWH was discontinued and patients were treated with subcutaneous injections of fondaparinux 7.5 mg daily as soon as the clinical diagnosis of HIT was made and UFH therapy had stopped. All patients responded with the platelet count becoming normal between 2 and 9 days after the initiation of anticoagulation with fondaparinux. Warfarin was initiated (and fondaparinux continued) when the platelet count was $>100 \times 10^9/L$. Fondaparinux was then discontinued when the target INR was $\geq 2.0$ for two consecutive days. No recurrence of thrombosis occurred during the therapy.

Rubin (2003) reported a case of fondaparinux use in a 29-year-old pregnant female who had HIT during her first trimester of pregnancy secondary to UFH treatment for pulmonary embolism. She had a platelet count drop of more than 50% from baseline after 5 days of treatment with UFH. The assay for HIT antibodies was positive. She was started on fondaparinux 2.5 mg subcutaneously twice daily. No further complications occurred throughout her pregnancy and the baby was born healthy without any problems with delivery.

Our patient presented with a large iliofemoral DVT and thrombocytopenia after major orthopedic surgery in which she was thromboprophylaxed with a weak antithrombotic agent, aspirin. She was treated with fondaparinux for HITT that was verified by a high pretest probability as well as positive ELISA and SRA studies. Further evaluation revealed that the patient likely had a concurrent pulmonary embolism during her index event. Interestingly, her HITT could be due to the heparin flushes she had gotten during her surgery and aggravated by the LMWH (enoxaparin) that she received in the emergency department for initial treatment of her DVT, in which initial suspicion of HITT was missed. Our patient reported no complications or bleeding from the use of fondaparinux subcutaneous injections, and other than complete blood counts and INRs, no other routine monitoring was done, which enabled the patient to complete her course of treatment in the outpatient setting. Her platelets counts rebounded after 7 days of treatment and we are still following her progress. Her last known platelet count on March 20th, 2007 was $179 \times 10^9$. We had also used fondaparinux injection in 2 previous patients with suspected HIT with no further complications or bleeding, although their clinical course is less well described.

**Conclusion**

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) with or without thrombosis is an immunologic drug reaction caused by IgG antibodies that are reactive against complexes of platelet factor 4 and heparin. Antibodies against PF4/fondaparinux have been described during fondaparinux therapy and a single case report of HIT with the use of fondaparinux has been reported. However, unlike the approved agents that are used to treat HIT (argatroban, lepirudin, and bivalirudin), fondaparinux does not require routine laboratory monitoring. Anecdotal reports have shown that fondaparinux can be a useful agent to treat HIT or HITT, possibly in the outpatient setting, but further larger trials are needed to assess the above.
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