Long-term effect of ropivacaine nanoparticles for sciatic nerve block on postoperative pain in rats
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Purpose: The analgesic effect of ropivacaine (Rop) for nerve block lasts only ~3–6 hours for single use. The aim of this study was to develop long-acting regional anesthetic Rop nanoparticles and investigate the effects of sciatic nerve block on postoperative pain in rats.

Materials and methods: Rop nanoparticles were developed using polyethylene glycol-co-polylactic acid (PELA). One hundred and twenty adult male Wistar rats were randomly divided into four groups (n=30, each): Con (control group; 0.9% saline, 200 µL), PELA (PELA group; 10 mg), Rop (Rop group; 0.5%, 200 µL), and Rop-PELA (Rop-PELA group; 10%, 10 mg). Another 12 rats were used for the detection of Rop concentration in plasma. The mechanical withdrawal threshold and thermal withdrawal latency were measured at 2 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days after incision. The expression of c-FOS was determined by immunohistochemistry at 2 hours, 8 hours, 48 hours, and 7 days. Nerve and organ toxicities were also evaluated at 7 days.

Results: The duration of Rop absorption in the plasma of the Rop-PELA group was longer (>8 hours) than that of the Rop group (4 hours). Mechanical withdrawal threshold and thermal withdrawal latency in the Rop-PELA group were higher than that in other groups (4 hours–3 days). c-FOS expression in the Rop-PELA group was lower than that in the control group at 2 hours, 8 hours, and 48 hours and lower than that in the Rop group at 8 hours and 48 hours after paw incision. Slight foreign body reactions were observed surrounding the sciatic nerve at 7 days. No obvious pathophysiological change was found in the major organs after Rop-PELA administration at 7 days.

Conclusion: Rop-PELA provides an effective analgesia for nerve block over 3 days after single administration, and the analgesic mechanism might be mediated by the regulation of spinal c-FOS expression. However, its potential long-term tissue toxicity needs to be further investigated.
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Introduction

Acute postoperative pain continues to be a major problem despite the safety of the drugs used in the perioperative period.1 Effective control of acute postsurgical pain is essential for the patient. It can not only contribute to several clinically valuable outcomes, including earlier patient mobilization and quicker recovery, which can result in a shortened hospital stay and reduced costs, but also prevent the development of chronic pain in the long term, which can occur if early acute pain is prolonged.2–6 Besides providing excellent analgesia at rest and in movement, the opioid-sparing effects of local anesthetics are associated with reduced opioid-related side effects.7
Polymethylene glycol-co-polyactic acid (PELA) is an amphipathic, nonionic polymer with certain amounts of hydrophilic polyethylene glycol (PEG) segments. It has been investigated as a delivery system for drugs, protein, and antigen. Govender et al compared the influence of formulation variables on particle size, physicochemical properties, and incorporation efficiency of PELA nanoparticle and polylactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) nanoparticle loaded with procaine; the results indicated that PELA nanoparticle could prolong circulation time and decrease liver uptake. Studies have also shown that the copolymer of PELA was a good drug carrier. It was beneficial to improve the affinity of the matrix polymer and drugs, which might result in an increase in entrapment efficiency and a decrease in the burst release effect to achieve a stable and sustained-release profile.

There is no ideal agent available to better manage the acute pain during the first few days (3–5 days) after surgery. Currently, peripheral nerve block with ropivacaine (Rop), one of the safest local anesthetics in the market, is widely used in the management of acute pain. The analgesic effect of Rop lasts 3–6 hours after intrathecal injection for single use. However, the analgesic benefits of even the longest acting local anesthetics at present are limited to <6 hours when placed subcutaneously and to <20 hours for a typical femoral nerve block. Development of a long-acting and safe local anesthetic for clinical single use is necessary. Previous data shows that single injection of bupivacaine in poly(D,L-lactic acid-co-caster oil) 3:7 caused sensory block in mice that lasted 48 hours. In our previous study, the PELA copolymers were well developed and their released characterization was ~1 week in vitro. However, little information is available about the Rop-loaded nanoparticle (Rop-PELA) and its effects for sciatic nerve block on postoperative pain in vivo. The current study was designed to developed long-acting Rop-PELA nanoparticles and observe the analgesic effects for nerve block in a rat postoperative pain model.

Materials and methods
Preparation of nanoparticles
Polymers of PELA (PEG:polylactic acid =1:35) were synthesized by ring-opening polymerization of D,L-lactide in the presence of PEG. Rop-PELA nanoparticles (10%, w/w) were synthesized by o/w emulsion solvent evaporation method and measured in the laboratory of School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering of Shandong University. The copolymers were determined using the methods of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance, thermogravimetric analysis, and gel permeation chromatography. The average molecular weight of Rop-PELA was 83 kDa (PEG: 2 kDa), and the average particle size of Rop-PELA was 50 μm. Rop release of Rop-PELA in vitro was measured using ultraviolet spectrophotometer.

Animals
The investigation was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shandong University. All animal experiments were performed in compliance with the Guiding Principles for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Shandong University, People’s Republic of China. All efforts were made to minimize suffering and the number of animals used. Male Wistar rats weighing 250–300 g were housed three per cage with controlled relative humidity (20%–30%) in a 12-hour light–dark cycle at room temperature (24°C). Food and water were freely accessed. Incision, behavioral testing, tissue isolation, and processing were carried out by the same person to reduce interindividual total variability. A total of 134 rats were used for this study (two were excluded from the study because of death after anesthesia). In order to minimize any changes in gene expression that might be affected by responses to noxious stimuli, two separate groups of rats (n=6, each) were used for the determination of Rop in plasma. In all, 24 rats were used for behavior testing and the rest of them were sacrificed for immunohistochemistry. They were randomly divided into four groups (six rats at every time point). Data from all rats were included in the analysis.

Drug application
During chloral hydrate anesthesia (10%, 3 mL/kg), a standardized surgical technique was used to expose the left sciatic nerve through a 1 cm skin incision in a manner similar to that reported by Brummett et al. The sciatic nerve was freed from its investing fascia, and saline (0.9%, 200 μL), PELA (without Rop, 10 mg PELA), Rop (0.5%, 200 μL, 1 mg Rop diluted by saline), and Rop-PELA (10%, 10 mg nanoparticles, including 1 mg Rop) were applied randomly peripherally to the surroundings of the sciatic nerve. PELA (10 mg) and Rop-PELA (10 mg) were in a white crystal state without dilution by saline and were delivered to the surroundings of the sciatic nerve with a small spoon. The surgical wounds were then closed in a standard manner with nylon suture.


For personal use only. www.dovepress.com
Dovepress
submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com
International Journal of Nanomedicine 2016:11
2082

Dovepress
Postoperative pain model
A postoperative pain model was produced by a hind paw incision as described by Brennan et al. This procedure was performed immediately after the drug application. Briefly, rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (10%, 3 mL/kg) via intraperitoneal injection. The plantar aspect of the left hind paw was prepared with povidone iodine, and a 1.0 cm longitudinal incision was made at a distance of 0.5 cm from the end of the heel. The plantar muscles were elevated using forceps and incised longitudinally from the muscle origin and insertion to remain intact. After hemostasis with gentle pressure, the wound was closed with two mattress sutures using 5-0 silk. The wounds were checked for evidence of dehiscence before behavioral testing. The hind paw incision immediately induced mechanical hypersensitivity in rats, as indicated by the reduced mechanical withdrawal threshold (MWT) in all groups. The rats were not screened in our study if they showed no response to MWT or thermal withdrawal latency (TWL). The person performing the behavioral testing was blinded to the drug administration.

Pharmacokinetics analysis
After chloral hydrate anesthesia, the rats were administered with Rop or Rop-PELA (n=6, each) for sciatic nerve blocking. In all, 0.7 mL of blood was sampled at 0.25 hours, 0.5 hours, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours, 8 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days after administration. After each puncture, 0.5 mL of saline was injected by intraperitoneal routine to compensate blood loss. The samples were transferred to test tubes containing heparin and then centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The plasma samples were kept at −20°C. The plasma concentration of Rop was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography analysis, which was performed on a LC-10A system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), equipped with LC-10ATVP pumps, a Shimadzu SPD-10 AUV detector, and a Phenomenex Gemini C18 column (5 µm, 4.6×250 mm). The detection wavelength was set at 215 nm, and the mobile phase solvent consisting of methanol and 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 3.8 (35:65, v/v)) was pumped at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Bupivacaine hydrochloride was used as an internal standard.

The pharmacokinetic parameters Rop and Rop-PELA in plasma, peak concentration (C_{max}), time to peak concentration (T_{max}), absorption rate constant (K_a), absorption half-life (T_{1/2,abs}), elimination half-life (T_{1/2, elimination}), elimination rate constant (K_e), and area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) of the drug from the time of dosing to the end of the drug release were calculated.

Behavioral testing
Because the block did not comprise the motor nerves to the hip muscles, all animals were able to withdraw the tested paw in response to pain.

Measurement of mechanical allodynia
Mechanical allodynia was assessed using an electrical von Frey filament (BME-404; Biomedical Engineering Institute of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Rats were acclimated to the testing environment and stimulated for 2 days. On the day of the experiment, rats were placed individually on an elevated wire mesh floor (grid 10×10 mm) covered with a clear plastic cage (20×25×15 cm) and allowed to adjust to the environment for 30 minutes. The steel filament (d=0.4 mm) was fixed to the handheld pressure transducer, and the tip was applied perpendicularly to the area adjacent to the wound with a gradual increase in pressure. The force needed to elicit the withdrawal of the hind paw was automatically recorded as MWT in grams by the pressure transducer. Stimulations were performed five times for each rat at 1 minute intervals, and the mean value of the five readings was used for the analysis as the individual threshold. Stimulations were performed before (pre) and after the hind paw incision (2 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days after application, n=6 for each).

Measurement of thermal hyperalgesia
Thermal hyperalgesia was measured with Full-Automatic Plantar Analgesia Tester (BEM-410C; Biomedical Engineering Institute of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences) as described previously. Rats were placed individually on a glass floor (3 mm) covered with clear plastic cage and allowed to acclimate. TWL was assessed according to the method described by Hargreaves et al. In brief, the radiant heat underneath a glass floor was directed to the middle of incision. The end points in the test were the characteristic lifting or licking of the hind paw. The time to the end point was considered as TWL, which was shown digitally on a display screen. Before the experiments, the intensity of the heat was adjusted to produce TWL of 12–15 seconds in the normal rats. An automatic 25 second cutoff was used to prevent tissue damage. Each rat was tested at least three times, at an interval of 5 minutes. The average of three trials was used to obtain TWL. Stimulations were performed before (pre) and after the hind paw incision (2 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days after application, n=6 for each).
n=6 for each). All behavioral tests were conducted under blind conditions.

Immunohistochemistry
Rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (10%, 3 mL/kg, intraperitoneal injection) and were subjected to sternotomy followed by intracardial perfusion with 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) and 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS at 4°C. The spinal cord was dissected out and post fixed in the same fixative for 48 hours at 4°C. Serial dehydrations with graded ethanol and xylene were followed by embedding in paraffin. The spinal cord segments L4–L5 were cut transversely into 4 µm sections.26

Immunohistochemistry was performed utilizing the labeled streptavidin-biotin method using a Three-Step IHC Detection Reagent (ZSGB-BIO, Beijing, People’s Republic of China). The paraffin-embedded sections were heated for 2 hours at 68°C, deparaffinized in xylene, and rehydrated through graded ethanol at room temperature. Microwave accentuation was used for 10 minutes with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid buffer (1:50, pH =8.0. ZLI-9066; ZSGB-BIO). Then after two rinses in PBS, sections were put in a humid chamber and incubated in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 minutes. After three rinses in PBS, the sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-labeled streptavidin complex (ZSGB-BIO) for 30 minutes at 37°C. The spinal cord segments L4–L5 were cut transversely into 4 µm sections.26

Images were captured on a BX53 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using a QImaging MicroPublisher camera (Abingdon, Virginia, USA) and Q Capture software (VayTek Inc., Fairfield, IA, USA). To quantify FOS+ neurons, a manual tagging feature of Image-Pro Plus was used. The dorsal horn portions were divided into three regions, including the laminae I–II, laminae III–IV, and laminae V–VI according to Molander’s map of the adult rat spinal cord.25 We chose the segmental level of the tissue section using light field microscopy at ×100 magnification and counted each stained FOS+ neuron manually at ×200 magnification. The number of FOS+ neurons for one rat was obtained as the mean of that in five L4–L5 sections selected randomly. In each group, the mean numbers of FOS+ neurons in six rats were obtained similarly. Counting of FOS+ neurons were performed by an investigator blinded to the drug treatment. We compared the numbers of FOS+ cells in four groups at 2 hours, 8 hours, 2 days, and 7 days.

Histopathology
To examine the long-term changes of the nerves and tissues around, the surrounding ipsilateral sciatic nerves and tissues in Con, PELA, Rop, and Rop-PELA groups were removed at 7 days after drug administration, post fixed for 48 hours in the fixative solution used for perfusion (4% buffered paraformaldehyde), and embedded in paraffin. The nerves and tissues were then cut into 4 µm thick longitudinal sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. To observe systemic effects of the Rop-PELA, we assessed histopathology of the main organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and brain) of the rats in the Rop-PELA group at 7 days after administration.

Statistics
Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Drug And Statistics (DAS) 2.0 (Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Drug Research Center, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) was used to compare the pharmacokinetics parameters between Rop and Rop-PELA in plasma. A two-way repeated measures analysis of variance with Bonferroni post hoc test was used to compare the differences in MWT and TWL over time between different groups. Immunohistochemical data among groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey’s post hoc test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Drug absorption in vivo
Our results showed that Rop-nanoparticles released 30% Rop for the first 10 hours and continuously released it for over 7 days in in vitro study.19 In vivo study, we found that mass concentration (Y) and peak area (X) had linearity from 25 ng/mL to 2,500 ng/mL, and the linear equation was Y=1.799X+1.447 (correlation coefficient r=0.9998). The parameters of pharmacokinetics between Rop and Rop-PELA groups were as follows: Cmax was 0.613 mg/L and 0.352 mg/L, T1/2 was 0.5 hours and 1 hour, Ks was 2.755/hour and 1.966/hour, T1/2, abs was 0.252 hours and 0.353 hours, T1/2, elimination was 0.919 hours.
and 21.789 hours, $K_e$ was 0.898 hours and 0.831 hours, and AUC was 1.165 mg/L h (0–4) and 0.834 mg/L h (0–8), respectively. The concentration of Rop in the Rop group was too low to be detected at 4 hours, whereas in the Rop-PELA group, Rop lasted for 8.8 hours (Figure 1).

**Mechanical withdrawal threshold**

The results of MWT in the Rop-PELA group were significantly higher than those in the other three groups (from 4 hours to 3 days; $P<0.05$). For the Rop group, significant difference was only produced at 2 hours after incision when compared with the Con group ($P<0.05$). In addition, the MWT of the PELA group was similar to that of the Con group at all time points (Figure 2A).

**Thermal withdrawal latency**

The results of TWL were similar to those of MWT (Figure 2B). The latency was decreased significantly in comparison with the baseline value (from 2 hours to 3 days). The Rop-PELA group showed longer antihyperalgesic effects than the Rop group (3 days vs 2 hours, $P<0.05$). There were no significant differences between the PELA group and Con group ($P>0.05$).

**c-FOS immunoreactivity**

Compared with the Con group, numbers of FOS+ neurons in the Rop-PELA group were lower in laminae I–II after 2 hours–2 days of incision ($P<0.05$); as well as in laminae III–IV and V–VI after 8 hours and 2 days of incision ($P<0.05$). However, the FOS+ neurons in the Rop-PELA group was lower after 8 hours of incision in laminae I–II ($P<0.01$) and V–VI compared with the Rop group ($P<0.05$). Between the Rop group and Con group, there was no difference except after 2 hours of administration in laminae I–II ($P<0.01$), laminae III–IV ($P<0.05$), and laminae V–VI ($P<0.05$; Figure 3).

**Behavioral, histopathological, and toxicity evaluation**

We did not observe any side effects in the PELA and Rop-PELA groups of rats, such as clinical seizures, respiratory distress, terminal apnea, as well as deaths. Slight foreign body (unabsorbed nanoparticles) and minority inflammation cells around PELA nanoparticles were observed till 7 days after administration (Figure 4). No obvious changes were investigated in the major organs with Rop-PELA administration for nerve block (Figure 5).

---

**Figure 1** Plasma ropivacaine concentration in the ropivacaine group (Rop) and slow-released ropivacaine group (Rop-PELA).

**Notes:** Plasma ropivacaine concentrations in different groups were measured by high performance liquid chromatography. Data are shown as mean ± SD (n=6, each).

**Abbreviations:** Rop, ropivacaine; Pela, polyethylene glycol-co-polylactic acid; h, hour; d, day; SD, standard deviation.

---

**Figure 2** Results of behavioral testing of rats in control, Pela, ropivacaine and Rop-PELA groups.

**Notes:** (A) MWT (g); (B) TWL (seconds). Mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia were induced by hind paw incision. Data were expressed as mean ± SD, n=5 in each group. *$P<0.05$ compared with the control group. **$P<0.05$ compared with the ropivacaine group.

**Abbreviations:** Con, control; Rop, ropivacaine; PELA, polyethylene glycol-co-polylactic acid; MWT, mechanical withdrawal threshold; h, hour; d, day; TWL, thermal withdrawal latency; s, second.
Figure 3: Immunohistochemistry of FOS+ neurons in ipsilateral spinal cord after paw incision of rats.

Notes: (a) Schematic drawings of the segment L4 of rat, L-X, spinal cord laminae. (b) Negative control. The specimen was treated with PBS instead of primary antibody (×100). (c) FOS+ neurons expressed in dorsal horn of spinal cord (×100). (d) Higher magnification (×400) of FOS+ neurons, with characteristic brown nuclear staining (arrows). Photomicrographs (A–P) showed FOS+ immunoreactivity after administration with saline (A–D), PELA (E–H), Rop (I–L), Rop-PELA (M–P) at 2 h, 8 h, 2 d and 7 d after administration (×200), respectively.

Abbreviations: Con, control; PELA, polyethylene glycol-co-polylactic acid; Rop, ropivacaine; h, hour; d, day; PBS, phosphate buffer solution.
Figure 4 Sciatric nerves and surrounding tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin at 7 d after administration.

Notes: (A) Image of rats in control group, no inflammation cell was observed (×100). (B) Image of rats treated with PELA, some inflammation cells were observed (×100). (C) High-magnification images of (B), arrows to the inflammation cells and microparticles (×200). (D) Image of rats in Rop group, slight inflammation was observed near the sciatric nerve (×100). (E) Image of rats in Rop-PELA group, granulation tissue and moderate degree inflammation were detected at the site of administration (×100). (F) High-magnification images of (E) (×200). Sciatric nerves in all groups were almost normal.

Abbreviations: Rop, ropivacaine; Pela, poly ethylene glycol-co-poly lactic acid; d, day.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that plasma Rop released from PELA nanoparticles had rapid initial release of drug (burst effect) and could continuously release Rop in vivo for more than 8 hours. The behavioral testing results showed that Rop from nanoparticles could inhibit hyperalgesia caused by incision for over 3 days. Expression of c-FOS in the spinal cord was also suppressed significantly in the Rop-PELA group. No harm was observed in nerves and major organs at 7 days.

With the development of medical polymeric materials, sustained-release formulations of local anesthetics have been produced in various forms,20,27,28 including liposomes,29–31 poly(D,L-lactic acid; PLA)32 nanoparticles, and PLGA
nanoparticles. PLA hydrolyzes into nontoxic hydroxyl-carboxylic acid through ester bond cleavage in the aquatic environment and then is metabolized into water and carbon dioxide through a citric acid cycle. However, weaker hydrophilicity has prevented PLA and PLGA from achieving their full potential in drug delivery.

Theoretically, the slow-released drugs usually exhibit a “double peak” phenomenon at early stage after the drug administration. Previous data showed that sciatic nerve block with lidocaine caused plasma lidocaine concentration to increase sharply at the early stage (burst effect) and then decline to the baseline; however, slow-release lidocaine sheet maintained the plasma lidocaine concentration in a continuous low level, lacking the initial burst effect. Different from double peak phenomenon, we only observed a burst release peak both in Rop and Rop-PELA group, the second peak about Rop from Rop-PELA was not detected. These results are similar to the results of Tobe et al. The lower peak concentration in plasma and the longer duration could be due to the size of the particles and lower absorption surrounding the nerve. Indeed, we could not predict the dose of Rop realized at a given moment; therefore, the duration of action might not only be determined by the total dose of the Rop-PELA compound. Lack of the second peak plasma concentration was not due to the “noneffective” release but due to the lack of absorption of the Rop from the Rop-PELA compound, as well as metabolism of Rop at the local site. Large molecular weight decreased the absorption through the nearby blood vessels, and lack of diminished of nanoparticles due to its better histocompatibility.

As a widely used local anesthesia in clinics, the efficacy of Rop was broadly similar to bupivacaine. More importantly, it was preferred because of its reduced cerebral and cardiac toxic potential and its lower propensity for motor block. Thus, we imagined that peripheral approach for treatment of postoperative pain with long-acting Rop is exciting because it is inherently simple and targets pain at the site of origin before centrally mediated changes can occur in the spinal cord or the cerebral cortex.

Owing to the protrusion of the hydrophilic PEG segment to the surface of nanoparticles, a large burst release in the initial stage might exist as a previous study reported. In addition, particle size was ~50 μm. These reasons could affect the release of the Rop in vivo. As has been mentioned by Polakovic et al, two main mechanisms determining the lidocaine release from the PLA matrix are diffusion and solid drug dissolution, but the drug release varies strongly with the parameters such as the molecular weight of nanoparticles, particle size, or drug content. Söderberg et al found that the high percentage formulations showed the longest duration of action yet reported for sciatic nerve block in rats. The researchers also indicated that increasing initial lidocaine content proportionately increased the duration of functional sciatic nerve block and single treatment with a slow-release lidocaine sheet (30%, w/w) inhibited hyperalgesia caused by paw incision for 1 week. In our study, Rop nanoparticles were used and its inhibition effect for hyperalgesia lasted for over 3 days. Despite the precise concentration of Rop released from nanoparticles into the space around the sciatic nerve being difficult to detect, the plasma concentration of Rop in the Rop-PELA group at 0.5 hours was approximately one-third of the peak concentration in the Rop group and only showed initial burst release, which is consistent with the result in vitro. Thus, we can predict that the duration would be longer when the drug content increased.

Allodynia and hyperalgesia were observed 5–7 days after incision, similar to that reported by Brennan et al. The group treated with Rop-PELA did show antihypersensitivity when compared with the control group for 3 days. There was no significant difference during the experimental period in the rats without Rop (Con and PELA). However, the duration of sciatic nerve block in behavioral testing was much longer than the absorption of Rop in plasma. We thought that the block length associated with the Rop-PELA could be due to the long-lasting local exposure of the nerve to Rop with the metabolism of the PLEA nanoparticles. Exposing the nerve to a higher concentration of local anesthetic was not the reason for its long-acting effect.

As one of the immediate early genes, c-FOS and its protein product FOS were expressed in cells rapidly and transiently after various forms of stimulation. It was reported that sensory inputs, especially nociceptive inputs, could increase expression of FOS. In the current study, inhibition of FOS expression was obviously in the Rop-PELA group for a long time. These results corresponded with those of others. In spite of dissociation between analgesics on pain behaviors and FOS expression in the spinal cord dorsal horn described by researchers, we found the FOS expression to correspond with the testing of pain behaviors. Therefore, the sustained release of Rop-PELA nanoparticles might be promising for the management of acute postoperative pain.

The pathogenesis of long-acting local analgesic-induced tissue toxicity is poorly understood. A previous study showed that PLA itself did not produce nerve inflammation or demyelination when used for the sustained release of bupivacaine. However, other researchers showed
different views. One study reported that a slight foreign body reaction occurred around PLA nanoparticles and suggested that the reaction may cause local acidosis.40 Padera et al noted that myocardotoxicity may be related to both the release kinetics of anesthetic (burst and duration of release) and the presence of the particles themselves.41 Ischemia is one of the possible causative mechanisms that may result from changes in peripheral blood flow.42 An immune-mediated mechanism may be possible as suggested by others.21,43 In the current study, we observed that the local inflammatory process associated with the Rop-PELA might be due to the stimuli by unabsorbed Rop nanoparticles. We did not observe the long-term side effects and safety of Rop-PELA on tissues and nerve toxicity at ∞2 weeks–1 month.

We tested the behaviors of rats by electrical von Frey, which measured the paw withdrawal threshold at once and showed the results on a computer screen. Therefore, the need for finding the threshold by up–down method and the limitations of variability in the filament’s strength were overcome in our study. In spite of this, the study had some limitations. First, the way of administration limits its clinical application. The nanoparticles were placed directly following surgical exposure. It might be suitable for surgical site, such as abdominal incisions. Second, we detected neural and organic changes by routine histologic examination using a light microscope, but the toxic effects of nanoparticles on muscle cells, neurons, and Schwann cells should be detected by specialized imaging with either high-powered light microscopy or electron microscopy. Thus, more research should be done in the future. Drug Rop released from the nanoparticles should be controlled by designing different proportion of PEG and PLA, to meet the demands for postoperative pain management. Moreover, the dosage form of Rop nanoparticles for injection should be developed for the requirement of clinical application.

Conclusion

The Rop-PELA nanoparticles produced an analgesic effect for nerve blocking for over 3 days after single administration, and the analgesic mechanism might be mediated by the regulation of spinal c-FOS expression. However, the long-term safety of Rop-PELA nanoparticles on tissues or nerves needs to be further investigated.
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