Measuring negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Motivation and Pleasure Scale-Self-Report
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Background: The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS) is one of the validated interview measures of negative symptoms in psychotic disorders. The Motivation and Pleasure Scale-Self-Report (MPSR) is a self-report measure that assesses the motivation and pleasure domains of negative symptoms based on the CAINS. This study evaluated the reliability and validity of a Korean version of the MPSR.

Methods: A total of 139 patients with schizophrenia completed the MPSR, CAINS, and for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS), Brief Psychiatric Rating Scales, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia, and other measures of trait and cognitive function.

Results: The 15-item MPSR showed good internal consistency. In addition, it also had a good convergent validity with the Motivation and Pleasure subscale of the CAINS and the anhedonia/ avolition subscale of the SANS. The scale was not associated with psychotic symptoms, agitation/mania, and depression/anxiety, and it showed good discriminant validity. MPSR scores were significantly correlated with Behavioral Activation System total score for trait measure.

Conclusion: The Korean version of the MPSR is a notable self-report method for examining the severity of negative symptoms in schizophrenia.
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Introduction

Negative symptoms of schizophrenia are clearly important issues for determining patients’ quality of life, especially their social lives. The increasing number of researchers and clinicians are focusing their interests and putting their efforts on improving skills to provide appropriate management of negative symptoms for the patients. Negative symptoms are essential to the pathology of schizophrenia and are associated with significant decline of motivation, verbal and nonverbal communication, affect, and cognitive and social functioning. Therefore, the negative symptoms give a huge impact on poor outcome and functioning in schizophrenia. The importance of the negative symptoms accentuates the sound evaluation of the symptoms, and several assessment tools for negative symptoms have been developed. However, the most widely used negative symptom assessments, the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) and the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale, have some limitations. Some items from the two scales may be more related to cognitive functioning which has now been regarded to be conceptually distinct.
from negative symptoms,\(^9,^{11}\) and a conceptual issue of the scales is the overlap with measures of functional outcome.\(^9,^{12}\) Additionally, the measurements mainly concentrate on observable symptoms and fail to evaluate internal experiences of patients.\(^{8,9,13}\)

The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS) was developed to complement the limitations with previous measures of negative symptoms.\(^8,^{12}–^{14}\) The CAINS has two factor-derived scales measuring loss of motivation and pleasure (MP) and expression (EXP).\(^{14,15}\)

A self-report measure could save clinician’s time with quicker initial assessment of the negative symptoms of patients.\(^{14,16}\) Additionally, a self-report measure could make patients more involved with their responses and treatment plans. It could enhance the therapeutic alliance and treatment outcome.\(^17\) Because negative symptoms are associated with patient’s internal experiences, the self-report measure might be more suitable for assessment of patients’ negative symptoms. With an increasing need for self-report tools for negative symptoms, the Motivation and Pleasure Scale-Self-Report (MPSR) has been developed to assess the MP domain of negative symptoms based on the CAINS.\(^14\) The MPSR is a refined measurement focusing on the MP factor of CAINS by removing the EXP factor-related items of CAINS due to poor reliability and validity.\(^14\) The revised 15-item version of MPSR showed excellent internal consistency and good convergent and discriminant validity in a previous study.\(^14\) This study aims to evaluate the reliability and validity of a Korean version of the MPSR in patients with schizophrenia.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The total sample included 139 patients with schizophrenia recruited from outpatient clinics at four sites (Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, Yong-In Mental Hospital, Hallym University Kangnam Heart Hospital, Korea University). Diagnoses were made by psychiatrists using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders.\(^18\) Exclusion criteria included: 1) history of head injury or electroconvulsive treatment, 2) comorbid substance dependence or abuse, 3) serious medical conditions, and 4) low intelligence without the ability to understand or participate in the study. Duration of illness and number of hospitalizations were assessed. Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. All participants provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the Institutional Review Board at all four sites.

**Procedures**

The MPSR\(^14\) was translated into Korean by two researchers fluent in both English and Korean (SHL and JSL) with prior agreement from the developers of the original scale. A final consensus version was discussed with clinically experienced colleagues and was then back-translated into English by one translator (SHL). The translation was compared with the original English version, and no further changes were found to be necessary.

**Measures**

**Clinical symptom measures**

In addition to the MPSR, four more symptom measure scales were applied: CAINS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scales (BPRS), SANS, and Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS). Negative symptoms were evaluated by the CAINS\(^6,^{15}\) and SANS.\(^6,^{19}\) The Korean version of CAINS (CAINS-K) is a 13-item semi-structured interview. The CAINS-K also has two factors like the original CAINS: EXP (four items) and MP (nine items), and has demonstrated good internal consistency (α=0.89 for EXP, 0.91 for MP).\(^14\) The CAINS-K demonstrated good convergent and discriminant validity in our previous study (Jang et al, unpublished data, 2016). The original SANS is composed of 19 items that are divided into five subscales including affective flattening, alogia, avolition-apathy, anhedonia-asociality, and attention.\(^20\) The SANS is regarded as a well-developed tool for negative symptom assessment,\(^11\) with good validity and reliability.\(^6,^{19}\) The BPRS is a clinician-rated measure that

**Table 1** Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants (N=139)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>38.9 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76 (54.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.6 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hospitalizations, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.9 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPRS, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>16.3 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation/mania</td>
<td>4.6 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>7.9 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression/anxiety</td>
<td>9.4 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS (flat affect/alogia), mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.0 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS (anhedonia/avolition), mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.3 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS (total), mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.3 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BPRS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; SD, standard deviation.
assesses psychiatric symptoms such as somatic concern, suicidality, unusual thought content, suspiciousness,14,21,22 and the 18-item BPRS was used in this study.21 The Likert-type scale ranges from 1 (not present) to 7 (extremely severe). The BPRS has four subscale scores (Positive symptoms, Negative symptoms, Agitation/mania, Depression/anxiety) which were used to evaluate the current level of psychopathology.23 The Korean version of BPRS used in the present study has favorable reliability and validity.24,25 The CDSS is a nine-item interview to evaluate depressive symptoms for patients with schizophrenia.14,26 Four-point responses to each item range from 0 (absent) to 3 (severe) in the scale. The CDSS has good reliability and validity.27 The Korean version of CDSS used in this study has been standardized and has good validity.28

Neurocognitive and trait measures
For evaluation of neurocognition, Coding subtest, Trail-Making Test-B (TMT-B), and verbal fluency task were used to examine a potential relationship between the MPSR and neurocognition. The Coding subtest has been known to reflect processing speed, short-term visual memory, and visual-motor coordination in schizophrenia.20 Performance of TMT-B has been related with executive functions such as set-shifting ability.30 Lastly, verbal fluency task has been related with a disorganized semantic system, psychomotor speed, and fronto-striatal dysfunction.31–33

For trait measure, Behavioral Activation System (BAS) and Behavioral Inhibition System (BIS) were used in the study. The BIS and BAS questionnaires34 were used to measure self-reported dysregulation of BIS and BAS. BAS comprises three subscales (Fun seeking, Reward responsiveness, Drive) and BIS is related to inhibition, withdrawal, anxiety, and response to threat.34 The Korean version of BAS/BIS used in this study has been shown to have good reliability and competent validity.35

Data analysis
Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were calculated to investigate the internal consistency of 18 MPSR items. Correlation analyses were implemented to assess the convergent validity of the MPSR with the MP scale of the CAINS and anhedonia/avolition subscale of SANS. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were also calculated to explore the discriminant validity of the MPSR with the EXP scale of the CAINS, flat affect/alogia subscale of SANS, BPRS subscales, and CDSS. Correlation analyses were also conducted to examine the associations between the MPSR and scores on the neurocognitive and trait measures. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Internal consistency
Cronbach’s alpha of the 18-item Korean version of the MPSR was 0.90. All items except three (items 8, 10, and 12) showed acceptable item-total correlation coefficients from 0.357 to 0.773. The item-total correlation coefficients of these three items were 0.051, 0.139, and 0.232, respectively. These poorly performing three items were removed and the Cronbach’s alpha of the revised 15 items of MPSR was 0.93. Deletion of three items yielded higher Cronbach’s alpha than when all items were retained. Therefore, these items were eliminated from the scale and all subsequent analyses were carried out using the remaining 15 items. The three items removed from the Korean version of the MPSR were identical to the original study of the MPSR.14

Convergent and discriminant validity
The results of the correlation analysis between 15 items of MPSR and other measures are presented in Table 2. The MPSR score was significantly correlated with MP subscale of the CAINS and anhedonia/avolition subscale scores of SANS. It was also significantly correlated with EXP subscale of CAINS.

MPSR scores were not significantly correlated with Positive symptoms, Depression/anxiety, and Agitation/mania subscale of BPRS. MPSR scores were not significantly correlated with depressive symptoms of CDSS.

Table 2 Convergent and discriminant validity: correlations between MPSR and clinician-rated CAINS, SANS, BPRS, and CDSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical symptom measures</th>
<th>MPSR (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAINS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation and Pleasure</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhedonia/avolition</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat affect/alogia</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation/mania</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression/anxiety</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level; **correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Abbreviations: MPSR, Motivation and Pleasure Scale-Self-Report; CAINS, Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; BPRS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia.
The discriminant validity of the MPSR was also satisfactory. The MPSR was not significantly correlated with depressive symptoms or with whole subscales of the BPRS (the Positive symptoms or Depression/anxiety or Agitation/mania subscales). These results were comparable to the studies investigating the clinician-administered CAINS MP subscale\(^{16}\) and a preliminary study of a self-report version of the CAINS.\(^{37}\) As expected, the Korean version of the MPSR was not associated with the Agitation/mania subscale of the BPRS. In contrast, Llerena et al’s study\(^{14}\) reported that the MPSR was correlated with the Agitation/mania subscale of the BPRS. One possible explanation for this discrepancy could be the characteristics of the participants in the two studies. Future studies would be needed to verify the association between the MPSR and the subscales of the BPRS.

MPSR scores were not related to age, sex, or neurocognitive function in the present study. In a previous study, Park et al reported that general cognitive ability was not correlated with CAINS Experiential (avolition, anhedonia, asociality) subscale.\(^{37}\) Also, there was no association between the MPSR and cognitive ability.\(^{14}\) Although our neurocognitive measure was not same as the previous two studies, the neurocognition measures examined in our study were related to processing speed, short-term visual memory, executive function, and visual-motor coordination, which have been demonstrated to be prominent deficient domains in schizophrenia.\(^{38,39}\) In line with the previous results,\(^{14,37}\) the Korean version of the MPSR showed good discriminant validity.

Moreover, the present study and a previous study\(^{36}\) found that the MPSR was correlated with BAS, not BIS. BAS has been considered to control approach behavior reacting to cues of reward via dopaminergic activity in the mesolimbic system.\(^{40,41}\) Reduced dopaminergic sensitivity in
the striatum is connected to negative symptoms and may diminish motivational and emotional responses to reward related situations. Therefore, lower sensitivity to reward (lower BAS) with high levels of negative symptoms could be expected. Because the MPSR focused on the negative symptoms associated with MP, it could be correlated with the BAS, not BIS. Given that the behavioral trait can be assessed well by self-reported measurements like BIS/BAS, the MPSR could be a proper tool to assess the relationship between the trait and negative symptoms.

This study has some limitations. First, other forms of self-reports regarding motivation or pleasure such as the Revised Social Anhedonia Scale or the Social Closeness Scale of the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire used in Llerena et al’s study were not available. To verify the MPSR’s unique associations with negative symptoms as a self-report measurement, examining the association with other forms of self-reports would be needed in future studies. Second, no functioning measures were evaluated in this study. One previous study reported that the original version of the MPSR was related to social network relationship in schizophrenia. Regarding the importance of functioning in patients with schizophrenia, future studies should examine whether this relationship is replicable. This study had a relatively larger sample size than the study for the original version of the MPSR, but the symptom severity was similar to that of the previous one. Future research is needed to mention the issues about possibilities of the MPSR as a tool for evaluating patients with high levels of negative symptoms.

Conclusion
This study revealed that the Korean version of the MPSR is a reliable and valid self-report measure of negative symptoms in schizophrenia. The Korean version of the MPSR was validated on the largest sample since the original study, and extended the validation of the measure to the Korean population. This self-report form for negative symptoms could enhance treatment outcome by improving clinician’s understanding of internal experiences of patients and facilitating patients’ involvement in their treatment plans.
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