Persistent corneal epithelial defect responding to rebamipide ophthalmic solution in a patient with diabetes
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Objective: Rebamipide ophthalmic suspension was developed for the treatment of dry eyes and for other corneal diseases, promoting the secretion of both mucin in tear fluid and membrane-associated mucin, increasing the number of goblet cells, and restoring the barrier function of the corneal epithelium. We report a case of a persistent corneal epithelial defect in a patient with diabetes treated with topical application of rebamipide ophthalmic suspension.

Case presentation: A 73-year-old woman had a history of type 2 diabetes for 35 years and nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy for 23 years. She presented to our department with discharge and ophthalmalgia in the left eye. A corneal ulcer was detected, and culture of corneal scrapings was performed, with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus canis* being isolated. The infection was treated with levofloxacin eye drops and ofloxacin ophthalmic ointment based on the sensitivity profile of the isolate. However, a corneal epithelial defect persisted for approximately 2 months despite continuing treatment with 0.1% hyaluronic acid ophthalmic suspension and 0.3% ofloxacin eye ointment. Her hemoglobin A1c was 7.3%. The persistent corneal epithelial defect showed improvement at 2 weeks after treatment with rebamipide unit dose 2% ophthalmic suspension, and it did not recur even when vitrectomy was subsequently performed for vitreous hemorrhage due to progression of diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusion: This is the first report about efficacy of rebamipide unit dose 2% ophthalmic suspension for presenting persistent corneal epithelial defect in a patient with diabetes. In the present case, the suggested mechanisms are the following: improving the corneal barrier function, stabilization of mucin on the keratoconjunctival epithelium, and improving the wettability and stability of the tear film, which resulted in the promotion of healing of the corneal epithelial defect in a short time period.
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Introduction

Ocular complications of diabetes include diabetic retinopathy, diabetic cataract, diabetic ocular motility disorder, neovascular glaucoma, secondary glaucoma, diabetic optic neuropathy, uveitis, and diabetic keratopathy. Among them, diabetic keratopathy is unlikely to be associated with irreversible visual impairment, but it can lead to persistent corneal epithelial damage that is difficult to treat. Moreover, there is the risk that corneal epithelial disorder could increase susceptibility to bacterial or fungal infections. In patients with diabetes, attention must be paid to the general increased risk of infection, which is not only a problem for the eyes.

Rebamipide was developed in the 1990s as an oral agent for the treatment of gastric ulcer and gastritis. In recent years, its mucosal-protective effect has also been applied...
to protect the keratoconjunctival epithelium, following the development of ophthalmic rebamipide products for the treatment of dry eyes. Rebamipide ophthalmic suspension has been reported to exhibit multiple pharmacological effects, such as promoting the secretion of both mucin in tear fluid and membrane-associated mucin, increasing the number of goblet cells, restoring the barrier function of the corneal epithelium, and anti-inflammatory activity. It has been reported that rebamipide ophthalmic suspension is effective not only for dry eyes but also for other corneal diseases such as Sjögren’s syndrome, persistent corneal erosion, superficial limbic keratopathy, alkaline corneal injury, lid wiper epitheliopathy, and lagophthalmos. However, no reports have been published concerning the efficacy of rebamipide ophthalmic suspension for persistent corneal epithelial defects associated with diabetic keratopathy. Here, we report a case with persistent corneal epithelial defect after infectious corneal ulcer in a patient with diabetes, which resolved following topical application of 2% rebamipide ophthalmic suspension for 2 weeks.

**Case presentation**

A 73-year-old woman presented to the Department of Ophthalmology of Juntendo University Shizuoka Hospital with a sudden onset of discharge and ophthalmalgia in the left eye. She had a history of type 2 diabetes for 35 years. Hemoglobin (Hb) A1c had ranged between 7.2% and 8.0% in recent years, indicating inadequate glycemic control. Cataract surgery had been performed on both eyes 20 years ago. She also had a history of nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy for 23 years and had not undergone retinal photocoagulation. Her family history was unremarkable.

At the first visit to our hospital, a corneal ulcer was noted in the left eye with corneal opacity (Figure 1). Further, conjunctival injection and chemosis and/or conjunctivochalasis on the lower part of bulbar conjunctiva were observed. Infectious corneal ulcer was then diagnosed. Funduscopy revealed mild retinal punctate hemorrhages in both eyes due to nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy. Corrected visual acuity was 14/20 and 16/20 in the right and left eyes, respectively. Topical application of 1.5% levofloxacin (LVFX) antimicrobial eye drops (Cravit® ophthalmic solution 1.5%; Santen Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) four times daily was commenced together with 0.3% ofloxacin ophthalmic ointment (Tarivid® ophthalmic ointment 0.3%; Santen Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd) twice daily. Cefdinir capsules (Cefzon; Astellas Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) were also administered orally (100 mg three times daily for 3 days). *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus canis* were isolated by culture of corneal scrapings, and both of these bacteria were found to be sensitive to LVFX. At the first hospital visit, HbA1c was 7.3%. Tear secretion was evaluated at 12 mm in the right eye and 11 mm in the left eye by Schirmer’s tear test. Tear breakup time in both eyes was 4 seconds.

At 2 weeks after the start of treatment, there were no signs of infection, the corneal ulcer reduced, and her symptoms of eye discharge and the ophthalmalgia resolved. In contrast, a lesion of corneal epithelial defect ∼1 mm in size was still noted. Even though additional administration of 0.1% hyaluronic ophthalmic suspension (Hyalein® ophthalmic solution 0.1%; Santen Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd) six times daily, the corneal epithelial defect persisted (Figure 2). We diagnosed persistent corneal epithelial defect associated with diabetes as well as corneal hypoesthesia and diabetic keratitis. Vitreous hemorrhage occurred in the left eye 2 months after the start of treatment, and visual acuity decreased from 16/20 to 8/20. The patient required vitrectomy, but it could not be done until the corneal epithelial defect healed. Accordingly, she was treated with 2% rebamipide ophthalmic suspension (Mucosta ophthalmic suspension unit dose 2%; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) four times daily.
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**Figure 1** Slit lamp microscopic images of the left eye at the time of the initial presentation.
**Notes:** (A) A corneal ulcer with corneal opacity on the lower part of the cornea and conjunctival injection appeared. (B) Slit lamp image of the cornea with fluorescein staining showed defect of corneal epithelium. Chemosis and/or conjunctivochalasis on the lower part of bulbar conjunctiva were shown.
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**Figure 2** Slit lamp images from 2 months after the start of treatments.
**Notes:** (A) Despite the treatment, the corneal epithelial defect and opacity on the lower part of the cornea persisted. (B) Slit lamp image with fluorescein staining showed that the area of the corneal epithelium defect reduced. Chemosis and/or conjunctivochalasis were still shown.
daily, and the corneal epithelial defect healed after 2 weeks. Vitrectomy was then performed, and administration of this drug was discontinued after vitrectomy, while LVFX eye drops and 0.1% betamethasone ophthalmic suspension were used. After surgery, any corneal epithelial defect did not recur after surgery (Figure 3), and her corrected visual acuity had recovered to 16/20 at 3 months after surgery.

**Discussion**

In patients with a persistent corneal epithelial defect, a corneal epithelial lesion caused by trauma or surgery fails to heal. It is often difficult to treat, and patients may have underlying diseases such as diabetes, corneal neuropathy, or corneal epithelium adhesion factor disorder. A persistent corneal epithelial defect is generally treated by topical application of artificial tears, hyaluronic acid, and ophthalmic ointment, wearing a therapeutic soft contact lens, or topical application of serum. However, the defect is often refractory to these treatments. Use of fibronectin or peptide has also been investigated by some researchers, but these agents are not available yet clinically. The risk of infection is increased, particularly when the patient has diabetes. In the present patient, bacterial infection could have occurred due to a corneal epithelial disorder related to poor glycemic control, and a persistent corneal epithelial defect was noted after treatment of the infection. Diabetic keratopathy may be associated with neuropathic keratitis in patients with a persistent corneal epithelial defect, and dry eyes may occur secondary to a reflex decrease of tear secretion due to corneal hypoesthesia and/or secondary to reduced tear and mucin secretion due to efferent nerve dysfunction. Tear secretion was not decreased in this patient, but there was a possibility of other neurological dysfunction. The development of diabetic keratopathy has been also suggested to be related to loss of nerve-derived trophic factors following a decrease in corneal sensation. Furthermore, there was another possibility of dysfunction of the tear meniscus and/or an ectopic tear meniscus by conjunctivochalasis or chemosis as shown in Figures 1 and 2. It might bring disturbance of stability of the tear film as described in the previous report and lead to persistent corneal epithelial defect.

In this patient, a persistent corneal epithelial defect that was refractory to standard treatment resolved after ~2 weeks of topical therapy with rebamipide ophthalmic suspension and did not recur when vitrectomy was performed subsequently. During the follow-up period, HbA1c ranged between 6.7% and 7.3%, showing little fluctuation. The main pharmacological effects of rebamipide ophthalmic suspension include promoting the secretion of mucin in tears and membrane-associated mucin, increasing the number of goblet cells, and restoring the barrier function of the corneal epithelium by increasing the expression of tight junction proteins, as well as an anti-inflammatory effect. Such actions could have been exhibited in this patient with diabetic keratopathy. In brief, as a result of junctions between corneal epithelial cells being strengthened to improve corneal barrier function, mucin was stabilized on the corneal epithelium, and this improved the wettability and stability of the tear film, resulting in promotion of healing of the corneal epithelial defect. It has also been reported that inflammation occurs due to oxidative stress and increased expression of nuclear factor-kappa B in diabetic keratopathy. Rebamipide has been reported to have an anti-inflammatory effect and to inhibit the production of interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor-α by human corneal epithelial cells, so these anti-inflammatory effects would also lead to improvement of persistent corneal epithelial defect.

**Conclusion**

Rebamipide ophthalmic suspension exhibited a beneficial effect on a presenting persistent corneal epithelial defect in a patient with diabetes relatively soon after the start of treatment.
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