Marfan syndrome: current perspectives

Abstract: Marfan syndrome (MFS) is a pleiotropic connective tissue disease inherited as an autosomal dominant trait, due to mutations in the FBN1 gene encoding fibrillin 1. It is an important protein of the extracellular matrix that contributes to the final structure of a microfibril. Few cases displaying an autosomal recessive transmission are reported in the world. The FBN1 gene, which is made of 66 exons, is located on chromosome 15q21.1. This review, after an introduction on the clinical manifestations that lead to the diagnosis of MFS, focuses on cardiovascular manifestations, pharmacological and surgical therapies of thoracic aortic aneurysm and/or dissection (TAAD), mechanisms underlying the progression of aneurysm or of acute dissection, and biomarkers associated with progression of TAADs. A Dutch group compared treatment with losartan, an angiotensin II receptor-1 blocker, vs no other additional treatment (COMPARE clinical trial). They observed that losartan reduces the aortic dilation rate in patients with Marfan syndrome. Later on, they also reported that losartan exerts a beneficial effect on patients with Marfan syndrome carrying an FBN1 mutation that causes haploinsufficiency (quantitative mutation), while it has no significant effect on patients displaying dominant negative (qualitative) mutations. Moreover, a French group in a 3-year trial compared the administration of losartan vs placebo in patients with Marfan syndrome under treatment with beta-receptor blockers. They observed that losartan decreases blood pressure but has no effect on aortic diameter progression. Thus, beta-receptor blockers remain the gold standard therapy in patients with Marfan syndrome. Three potential biochemical markers are mentioned in this review: total homocysteine, serum transforming growth factor beta, and lysyl oxidase. Moreover, markers of oxidative stress measured in plasma, previously correlated with clinical features of Marfan syndrome, may be explored as potential biomarkers of clinical severity.
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Introduction

Marfan syndrome (MFS; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man #154700) is an autosomal dominant inherited connective tissue disorder (CTD) mostly caused by mutations in FBN1, the gene encoding fibrillin 1, a structural component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) also involved in the regulation of transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) bioavailability.¹ MFS is a rare pleiotropic disease (1:5,000) characterized by three clinical criteria (thoracic aortic aneurysm and/or dissection [TAAD], ectopia lentis [EL], and systemic features [SFs, multisystemic manifestations] with score ≥7) and two genetic criteria (the presence of a first-grade relative with MFS diagnosed according to revised Ghent-2 criteria and presence of a pathogenic mutation in FBN1.
gene in presence of TAAD or EL) (Table 1). The detection of two of the three clinical criteria or one of the clinical criteria plus a family history or the presence of TAAD with causal $FBN1$ mutation or EL with a causal $FBN1$ mutation allows for the diagnosis of MFS. An exhaustive comparison among Beighton, Ghent-1, and Ghent-2 nosologies has been already performed, reaching the conclusion that Ghent-1 and Ghent-2 criteria improve the detection of patients with MFS compared to Beighton nosology, highlighting the most common and specific mutations and giving a higher score to the more specific manifestations, and that Ghent-2 nosology is easier to use compared to Ghent-1 criteria. The latter issue is due to the simplification of the diagnostic criteria in Ghent-2 nosology by removing the minor criteria, by stating five criteria, and by the increased importance of detection of pathogenic $FBN1$ mutations. It is now common opinion that MFS is associated with mutations in $FBN1$ gene, although mutations in this gene underlie several other diseases such as myopia or mitral valve prolapse, mild aortic dilation, skeleton, and skin (MASS) syndrome, acromelic dysplasia, Weill–Marchesani syndrome, and stiff skin syndrome (SSS). Ghent-2 nosology highlights the importance of performing $FBN1$ mutation screening analysis to confirm the clinical diagnosis because a wide time onset of clinical manifestations, a high inter- and intrafamilial clinical phenotype variability, and a wide spectrum of CTDs in differential diagnosis always make a precocious definite clinical diagnosis more difficult. Early clinical diagnosis allows more appropriate clinical follow-ups, with great advantage to the quality of life of patients and partly reducing the cost for Public Health Service. In this review, we will focus on the perspectives regarding some old and new clinical manifestations and on the recent advancements in biomarkers and molecular genetics.

### Cardiovascular manifestations

#### TAAD and TGF-β in MFS

Cardiovascular manifestations represent the major morbidity and mortality factors requiring main clinical focus while managing Marfan (MF) patients (Figure 1). MFS displays a number of abnormalities of the thoracic and abdominal aorta, ranging from abnormal aortic stiffness to aortic aneurysm and dissection. Histopathological changes are represented by accelerated vessel aging and maladaptive remodeling; tissue histologic analyses display elastic lamellae fragmentation, disorganization of the aortic architecture with excessive collagen and mucopolysaccharide accumulation, and a relative decrease of vascular smooth muscle cells. Thoracic aortic aneurysms (TAAs) can occur early in life and can be also detected in the fetus by echocardiography (hereinafter abbreviated as echo). Because an echo does not allow the visualization of the entire thoracic aorta, periodic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) scan, as appropriate, is necessary. However, the rate of progression and risk of dissection are unpredictable on a single-patient basis. The clinical standardized follow-up of patients with MF syndrome includes limitation in sports, administration of beta-receptor blockers, and an echo test (every 1 year or 2 years), with subsequent prophylactic aortic root surgery in patients with an aortic diameter (>50 mm). However, in patients with a family history of aortic dissection, a rapid increase of the aortic diameter (>3 mm/y on repeated measurements using the same imaging technique, at the same aorta level, with side-by-side comparison and confirmation by another technique), or presence of severe aortic or mitral regurgitation (or if a pregnancy is planned), aortic surgery should be performed when the diameter is >45 mm. Because the aortic diameter in MF males and females still increases during life, reaching sizes at high risk for rupture or dissection, future prospects in this field are the

---

**Table 1 Revised Ghent criteria for Marfan syndrome diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the absence of family history of MFS</th>
<th>In the presence of family history of MFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Aortic dilatation* (Z-score ≥2) AND ectopia lentis = MFS</td>
<td>1. Ectopia lentis AND $FBN1$ mutation with known aortic dilatation* = MFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Aortic dilatation* (Z-score ≥2) AND $FBN1$ mutation** = MFS</td>
<td>2. Systemic score ≥7 points (Table 3) AND family history of MFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Aortic dilatation* (Z-score ≥2) AND systemic score ≥7 points = MFS³</td>
<td>3. Aortic dilatation* (Z-score ≥2 for patients &gt;20 years of age, ≥3 for those younger than 20 years) + family history of MFS = MFS³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
* Aortic diameter at the sinuses of Valsalva above indicated Z-score or aortic root dissection.
** FBN1 (fibrillin 1) mutation defined according to the following criteria: 1) mutation previously shown to segregate in Marfan family; 2) de novo (with proven paternity and absence of disease in parents) mutation belonging to one of the five following categories: nonsense mutation, in-frame and out-of-frame deletion/insertion, splice site mutation affecting canonical splice sequence or shown to alter splicing on mRNA/cDNA level, missense mutation affecting/creating cysteine residues, and missense mutation affecting conserved residues of the epidermal growth factor consensus sequence ((D/N)X(D/N)(E/Q)Xm(D/N) Xn(Y/F), with m and n representing variable number of residues, D aspartic acid, N asparagine, E glutamic acid, Q glutamine, Y tyrosine, and F phenylalanine; 3) other missense mutations: segregation in family if possible + absence in 400 ethnically matched control chromosomes; if no family history, absence in 400 ethnically matched control chromosomes; and 4) linkage of haplotype for n=6 meioses to the $FBN1$ locus. ** FBN1 mutation that has been identified in an individual with aortic aneurysm. * Caveat: without discriminating features of Shprintzen–Goldberg syndrome, Loewy-Dietz syndrome, or vascular form of Ehlers–Danlos syndrome AND after TGFBR1/2, collagen biochemistry, COL3A1 testing, if indicated. Adapted by permission from BMJ Publishing Group Limited. J Med Genet, Loey BL, Dietz HC, Braverman AC, et al. The revised Ghent nosology for the Marfan syndrome, volume 47(7):476–485, copyright 2010.**

**Abbreviation:** MFS, Marfan syndrome.
improvement of drug therapy and the search for biomarkers able to indicate predisposition to dissection or early aortic wall rupture.16

Soon after the discovery of perturbation of TGF-β signaling, as part of the pathophysiological mechanism underlying MFS pleiotropic manifestations, an FBN1 mutation knock-in mouse model (Fbn1\textsuperscript{C1039G/+}) was treated with an oral angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), losartan, which totally inhibited dilatation and also showed a regression of aortic ectasia.17 Thus, an ARB seems to lower the excessive activation of TGF-β signaling in mice. Clinicians over the world started clinical trials, some of which are still in progress, to test the hypothesis that losartan therapy or combined therapy including beta-receptor blockers and losartan may act more strongly against aortic dilatation in MFS, and probably also in other inherited TAA\textsuperscript{s}.14,18–23

In the past 3 years, contrasting data came out from the first results of trials regarding the comparative effect of beta-receptor blocker (atenolol) vs ARB (losartan) over 3 years of follow-up. Losartan seems to reduce aortic root dilatation rate (AoDR) in a small retrospective cohort of pediatric patients with a severe MF phenotype and in adult

**Figure 1** Marfan syndrome and related disorders: flow chart of clinical and genetic management.

*Note:* “Clinical follow-up is required for disease progression evaluation and/or earlier diagnosis of clinical adverse manifestations and therapy management.

*Abbreviations:* ECG, electrocardiography; echo, echocardiography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NGS, next-generation sequencing.
patients with MF syndrome. Moreover, in patients with replacement of the aortic root, losartan reduces the dilatation rate of the aortic arch. Instead, no significantly different effect between atenolol and losartan was detected by Lacro et al in children and young adults. Finally, Milleron et al carried out a randomized, large-scale, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with losartan. They concluded that although losartan is able to decrease blood pressure in patients with MF syndrome older than 10 years of age, it is not able to limit aortic dilatation during a 3-year period. Moreover, in normotensive patients, the vasodilator properties of losartan are limited. This study did not show any benefit of losartan in MF patients. Thus, according to these findings, beta-receptor blocker therapy remains the first-line therapy that should be proposed to these patients and, according to Milleron et al, also to patients with TAA and abdominal aortic aneurysms derived from other etiologies.

A challenge in the management of patients with MF syndrome is whether molecular genetics may help in the selection of pharmaceutical therapy (Figure 1). Franken et al, in an article that includes among the authors the Dutch clinicians who performed the COMPARE clinical trial mentioned earlier, reported that losartan reduces AoDR only in patients carrying an FBN1 mutation resulting in a haploinsufficiency (such as splicing mutations or one nucleotide insertions/deletions causing frame shifts). Apparently, patients carrying dominant negative FBN1 mutations (mainly missense mutations) do not gain any advantage from this drug. An explanation of this important difference may be the fact that a dominant negative FBN1 mutation (qualitative defect) may alter functions or foldings of the protein, affecting interactions with fibrillin 1 and/or other proteins, leading to a disorganized ECM. As a result, both the strength of the fibrillin matrix and the release of binding proteins, such as TGF-β, may be altered. Noteworthy, plasma levels of TGF-β turned out to be increased in MFS and related to TAADs. Furthermore, a decrease in fibrillin 1 results in an increase of plasma TGF-β levels due to the quantitative reduction of TGF-β binding and, in turn, its serum level increases. The various mechanisms of haploinsufficiency (quantitative defect) all lead to a decreased level of normal fibrillin 1 protein, probably resulting in a thinner fibrillin 1 matrix, which reduces aortic wall strength. A recent article, based on analysis using new techniques, demonstrates that dominant negative FBN1 mutations among different fibrillinopathies, MFS, SSS, and acromelic dysplasias act through different mechanisms. More precisely, substitutions in fibrillin 1 domains TB4 and TB5 associated with SSS and acromelic dysplasias do not interfere with secretion or assembly into microfibrils; instead, the mutation results in a loss of recombinant proteins in the culture medium and no association with microfibrils.

Why does losartan act only on patients with haploinsufficiency? A possible explanation is that the aortic wall, being thinner because of the decreased fibrillin matrix, may suffer from hypertension, which as a consequence, alone or with aortic stretch, may directly damage the aorta by activating angiotensin II (AngII) receptor type 1 (AT1R), which responds to the damage by producing TGF-β (Figure 2). Local AngII has been associated with aortic valve insufficiency. Patients with MF syndrome with haploinsufficiency have a more beneficial effect from losartan because they have more AngII in the aortic wall. Losartan, by blocking AT1R, decreases TGF-β production and blood pressure, as well as increases proinflammatory responses, myofibroblast differentiation, and production of reactive oxygen species (all AngII-mediated detrimental processes in the aortic wall) (Figure 2).

Our group reported plasmatic signs of oxidative stress in patients with MF syndrome, with a well-defined and statistically significant positive correlation between plasma protein carbonyl content as an expression of reactive oxygen species and clinical involvement (according to Ghent-1 criteria), thus suggesting that in MFS, the intensity of oxidative stress may be a marker of clinical severity. These data may be now partly associated with the blocking of AT1R. Other data will be required to confirm this hypothesis. It would be interesting to know whether each single MF patient with haploinsufficiency has beneficial effects from losartan and what are the results of the French trial based on the two groups of patients separated on the base of qualitative vs quantitative mutations (Figure 2).

Thoracic aortic dissection (TAD) may occur as a result of TAA; nonetheless, aortic diameter is an unreliable predictor of TAA rupture or dissection because a significant proportion of TAD happens at diameters well below the suggested threshold for prophylactic aortic surgery. Thus, future prospective studies should include a search for potential predictors of TAD.

Défaint et al reported that, by the age of 60 years, ~100% of patients with MF syndrome will have developed aortic root dilatation at varying degrees and three quarters of them would have undergone aortic root replacement on the basis of increased aortic diameter to critical levels and/or symptomatic aortic valve insufficiency (or Stanford type “A” dissection). Regarding life expectancy and quality of life
of patients with MF syndrome, an optimal timing of these operations is highly required to avoid complications. Moreover, cardiac surgical interventions performed unreasonably early (with smaller aortic diameters than that stated by the European Society of Cardiology and the American College of Cardiology) in young adulthood or childhood may lead, in certain cases, to an increase in the probability of a reoperation. Furthermore, when a mechanical valve is implanted, lifelong anticoagulation therapy is required, thereby worsening the quality of life further. TAD may also develop in patients with the aorta having normal or smaller diameters than those reported in the current surgical criteria. These data suggest that good indicators or biomarkers of TAADs are not available at the moment.\(^\text{30}\) Recently, increased total serum levels of TGF-\(\beta\)1 have been investigated as a potential marker for TAAD in MFS. Franken et al\(^\text{26}\) analyzed 99 patients with MF syndrome carrying an \(FBN1\) mutation. After a follow-up of 38 months, they concluded that elevated TGF-\(\beta\)1 levels correlate with 1) larger aortic root diameters, 2) faster aortic root growth, and 3) earlier aortic root surgery when TGF-\(\beta\)1 level is >140 pg/mL.

Hillebrand et al\(^\text{31}\) have extended the analysis of total serum TGF-\(\beta\)1 to genetic aortic syndromes. They found that TGF-\(\beta\)1 levels were elevated in the following groups: 1) patients with MF syndrome with causative \(FBN1\) mutation and bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) patients with causative \(NOTCH1\) mutation vs patients without mutations; 2) patients with BAV with causative \(NOTCH1\) mutations vs patients with \(ACTA2/MYH11\) mutations with tricuspid aortic valves; 3) patients with MFS or BAV vs patients without genetic aortic syndromes; 4) patients with MF syndrome with \(FBN1\) in-frame mutations.

Recently, TGF-\(\beta\) has been used as a therapeutic biomarker for effectiveness of losartan on the AoDR in patients with MF syndrome.\(^\text{27}\) Baseline plasma TGF-\(\beta\) levels in 22 healthy controls and in 99 patients with MF syndrome (121 pg/mL vs 54 pg/mL; \(P=0.006\)) were measured. After 1 month of treatment with losartan, TGF-\(\beta\) levels were remeasured in 42 patients with MF syndrome. AoDR was assessed by MRI at baseline and after 3-year follow-up. As a result, 15 out of 42 patients with higher baseline TGF-\(\beta\) levels (189 pg/mL vs 54 pg/mL in 27/42 patients) were found to respond to losartan.
therapy with a decrease of the plasma TGF-β level and an increase of AoDR.\textsuperscript{26} These data suggest that increased AngII, but not elevated TGF-β, is the initiator of aorta dilatation. TGF-β now seems to be a marker of aortic damage instead of being considered the initial cause of aortic dilatation.\textsuperscript{27}

Other cardiovascular manifestations

Mitral valve elongation and myxomatous thickening usually lead to mitral valve prolapse (MVP) and regurgitation. MVP is the most common valvular abnormality in MFS, with a highly variable reported prevalence of 28%–75% in comparison with an overall prevalence of 2.4% in the general population.\textsuperscript{32} Noteworthy, in children, MVP can be complicated by severe mitral regurgitation and myocardial dysfunction, resulting in heart failure.\textsuperscript{33} Surgical repair of MVP can be performed in patients with MF syndrome who develop severe regurgitation; however, they have an increased risk of developing a TAD, secondary to the rapid postoperative increase in cardiac output.\textsuperscript{34} Neonatal MF patients may present valvular insufficiency, atrial and ventricular septal defects, and congestive heart failure.\textsuperscript{35,36} Left ventricular (LV) systolic and diastolic dysfunction in adult MF patients is usually considered to be secondary to valvular insufficiency and LV volume overload. Increased arterial stiffness may contribute to cardiac dysfunction by altering the hemodynamic load on the LV. Moreover, researchers\textsuperscript{37} have identified primary heart disease in the absence of MVP or TAA, suggesting an intrinsic problem with myocardial function in MFS. In asymptomatic patients with MF syndrome, early primary LV dysfunction can be detected by new echo techniques.\textsuperscript{38} The LV dysfunction has been found to be related to the severity of gene mutation, suggesting possible primary cardiomyopathy in patients with MF syndrome. Follow-up studies with regard to the prognostic value of these observations are warranted.\textsuperscript{38}

Ocular manifestations

EL is one of the five criteria used to diagnose MFS, while myopia >3 diopters (D) belongs and contributes to the SF criteria (Table 1). They may both trigger complications such as retinal detachment, glaucoma, and amaurosis.\textsuperscript{2,6}

Systemic features

The SFs include chest, vertebral, and feet skeletal deformities; excessive elongation of upper and lower limbs; and altered ratios among the body’s segments (Table 1). Moreover, apical pulmonary blebs predisposing to spontaneous pneumothorax, striae distensae, MVP, myopia >3 D, and dural ectasia (DE) are also SF indicators (Table 1).\textsuperscript{2} The presence of SFs with an increasing score characterize the following clinical phenotypes: ≤4: nonspecific CTDs; 5–6: MASS syndrome; ≥7: potential Marfan; ≤4+ MVP: MVP syndrome.

Dural ectasia

Among the diagnostic features, DE is now (Ghent-2 nosology) considered only one manifestation, a part of the SFs\textsuperscript{2} with a score of two, while earlier (Ghent-1 criteria),\textsuperscript{4} it was considered a major criterion in the diagnosis of MFS. This change is due to the fact that DE may also be present in neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), Ehlers–Danlos syndrome (EDS), Loeys–Dietz syndrome (LDS), and probably is present in other CTDs. DE usually is localized in the lumbar or sacral spine in MFS, while in EDS, it is present also in the dorsal spine. It is characterized by a widening of the spinal canal, a posterior scalloping of the vertebral body, an increased thinning of the cortex of pedicles and laminae, a widening of the neural foramina, or the presence of a meningocele.\textsuperscript{4}

Anterior sacral meningocele is known to be a consequence of DE in MFS. Two cases initially described as nongynecological pelvic masses highlight the clinical difficulty in both the diagnosis and interpretation of the classic radiological findings.\textsuperscript{39} Although, at present, there is no standardized method for the diagnosis of DE, two qualitative\textsuperscript{4,40} and one quantitative\textsuperscript{41} methods have been reported. DE prevalence has been investigated by MRI in MFS, whereby 92% (76 out of 82 patients) had DE starting from the young age of 12 years.\textsuperscript{40} A higher capacity for DE detection was attributed to MRI compared to CT.\textsuperscript{4,42} Recently, DE prevalence in LDS has also been investigated with one of these three methods by comparing LDS to MFS and healthy controls. The qualitative method applied to patients with LDS showed 40% of DE, the quantitative method 1 showed up 50%, and quantitative method 2 identified 70%. The corresponding prevalences in patients with MF syndrome were 50%, 75%, and 85% and prevalences in controls were 0%, 0%, and 5%. Both patients with LDS and MFS had a significantly wider DE compared to controls.\textsuperscript{43} DE is also reported to be aspecific and abundantly present: 58% (19/33 patients) even in patients with MF syndrome in whom FBN1/TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 mutations were excluded and who did not reach the diagnosis of MFS.\textsuperscript{44} In our experience, it was still useful because its presence allowed us to make an MFS diagnosis at a younger age than usual.\textsuperscript{45}

Classic clinical manifestations of DE in MFS are represented by low back pain, headache, proximal leg pain, weakness and numbness above and below the knee, and genital/rectal pain. Their daily/several-times-per-week expression
ranges between moderate and severe, is exacerbated by upright posture, and is not always relieved by recumbency.  

**Old and new emerging genetic and biochemical information**

MFS is due to mutations in the \textit{FBN1} gene, which at the moment is known to be the only major gene. More than 2,000 mutations in this gene have been published. In addition to dominant mutations, some recessive mutations in the \textit{FBN1} gene have been detected. Therefore, autosomal recessive transmission has to be always kept in mind, especially in sporadic cases.

MFS displays a high interfamilial and intrafamilial phenotype variability that suggests the presence of important modifying genes. At present, we know that in MFS, mainly transmitted in an autosomal dominant manner, the following conditions may act as modifier allele/gene: a) the normal allele of \textit{FBN1} gene, b) mild mutations in \textit{FBN1}, \textit{TGFBR1} and \textit{TGFB2} alleles, c) mild mutations in other genes that may involve/affect a single organ/apparatus or more, or a single clinical feature.

In particular, our group studied patients subdivided into three subgroups based on the severity of cardiovascular manifestations: subgroup A: no involvement; subgroup B: mild involvement; and subgroup C: aortic dilatation or aortic dissection. Total homocysteine (tHcy) was significantly higher in subgroup C than in subgroup B. In subgroup C patients with dissection, tHcy was higher than in those without dissection. In subgroup C, the prevalence of 677T homozygotes was higher, but not significantly so, than in the subgroup B. In patients with dissection, the prevalence of 677T homozygotes was significantly higher than in those without dissection and higher than in subgroup B. In the logistic regression analysis, severe cardiovascular manifestations and aortic dissection in patients with MF syndrome were associated with plasma levels of tHcy. These data indicate an association between the severity of the cardiovascular manifestations, in particular aortic dissection, and elevated tHcy levels. This suggests an important role for tHcy in determining phenotypic variability in patients with MF syndrome.

Recently, Radonic et al. showed that both TGF-\(\beta\) and inflammation are upregulated in patients with MFS. They analyzed transcriptome-wide gene expression in patients with MF syndrome and levels of TGF-\(\beta\) and various cytokines in their plasma. Within the MF population, increased plasma levels of TGF-\(\beta\) were found, especially in patients with MF syndrome with aortic root dilatation, when compared to patients with MF syndrome with normal aorta. Microarray data showed that increased expression of inflammatory genes was associated with major clinical features within the patients with MF syndrome group, namely, severity of the aortic root dilatation (\textit{HLA-DRB1} and \textit{HLA-DRB5} genes), ocular lens dislocation (\textit{RAET1L}, \textit{CCL19}, and \textit{HLA-DQB2}), and specific skeletal features (\textit{HLA-DRB1}, \textit{HLA-DRB5}, and \textit{GZMK}). Patients with progressive aortic disease had higher levels of macrophage colony stimulating factor in blood. When comparing MF aortic root vessel wall with non-MF aortic root wall, increased number of CD4+ T-cells was found in the media and increased number of CD8+ T-cells in the adventitia of the patients with MF syndrome.

Other potential causes of aortic wall damage and potential biomarkers are lysyl oxidase (LOX) enzyme and LOX isoforms (LOXLs), important in ECM overstructure formation and, in particular, for the correct structure of the aortic wall. Recently, studies on LOX, an enzyme involved in the formation of covalent cross-linking of collagens and elastin essential for ECM maturation, have highlighted its importance in the correct formation and maintenance of the aortic wall. LOX catalyzes the conversion of lysine and hydroxylysine groups of collagen and elastin into highly reactive aldehydes, which eventually condense with other aldehyde groups or intact lysine residues to form a variety of inter- and intrachain cross-linkages. The cross-links provide the tensile strength and elastic properties for various ECMs, including vascular walls. Interestingly, both genetic and pharmacological inactivation of LOX enzymatic activity is linked to TAA, cardiovascular dysfunction, perinatal death in mice, and abdominal aortic aneurysms. Recently, Busnadiego et al. showed elevated LOX expression levels in aorta of patients with MF syndrome. Their work supported a protective role for some LOX enzymes in the development of aneurysms in MFS, as shown in the tissues of an MFS mouse model (\textit{Fbn1} \textit{C1039G/+}). LOX isoforms may act as potential modifiers of the MFS phenotype. In support of these results, a case report described a spontaneous arterial wall dissection associated with ECM disorganization and highly reduced LOX expression. Noteworthy, LOX decrease/inhibition affects mainly the ascending aorta compared to the aortic root. Ascending aorta ectasia is highly associated with BAV, wherein hemodynamic derangements are thought to cause alterations in the ascending aorta, suggesting that both collagen and collagen cross-linking play an important role in providing the ascending aorta with the mechanical properties required to sustain the hemodynamic load. Moreover, the ascending aorta in mice treated with LOX inhibitors, such as AngII, goes through aneurysm and rupture only in presence...
of hypertension. This observation confirms that the formation of aortic ascending ectasia requires a concomitant structural alteration of the aortic wall, associated with an increment of the hemodynamic loading.63 Taken together, this model may be conceived as an association of MFS and BAV, a human pathology first reported by our group,64 which becomes a more severe aortic involvement, with a higher and earlier requirement of surgical intervention. A higher overlap exists between MFS and BAV because some MFS/BAV and two patients with BAV in whom MFS was clinically excluded display mutations in the FBN1 gene.64–66

High levels of LOX and LOX-like 1 (LOXL1) expression might stabilize aneurysms, while low levels may be associated with increased risk of aortic rupture. LOX isoforms are secreted out of the cells; therefore, they may be measured in serum samples as markers of tissue expression and as a prognostic biomarker in MFS. Studies are in progress to verify this hypothesis.67

Regarding the still-discussed utility of losartan in MFS, analyzed through clinical trials,14,18–20 the Dutch group in a recent article shows that LOX inhibition results in an overactivation of both canonical (SMAD) and non-canonical (ERK) TGF-β-signaling pathways. They also show that AT1R antagonism with losartan prevents β-aminopropionitrile (a compound shown to irreversibly inhibit LOX activity in vitro and in vivo)-mediated aorta deterioration while restoring basal levels of activation of TGF-β-dependent pathways. The fact that losartan shows effectiveness in preventing aortic disease in MF mice, with or without inhibition of LOX activity, positions the AT1R as a central receptor in the pathogenetic route leading to aortic aneurysms. Current hypotheses on the pathogenesis of aortic aneurysm in MFS invoke mechanosignaling-dependent pathways, such as AngII/AT1R, coupled to downstream TGF-β signaling as a major factor responsible for disease progression.68–70

According to this model, LOX inhibition may aggravate the aortic pathology by worsening the aortic phenotype through weakening of the ECM; this, in turn, activates the hemodynamic stress that increases TGF-β signaling and TGF-β-dependent aortic responses. Properly cross-linked collagen protects from events leading to pathological remodeling.71 Alternatively, recent in vitro and in vivo evidences suggest a direct role for LOX in the control of active TGF-β through a regulatory TGF-β/LOX feedback loop.72

We also know that mutations in many other genes, coding for proteins of TGF-β signaling (TGFBRs, SMADs), vascular smooth muscle cell contractility (MYH11, ACTA2), and other ECM proteins (COL3, FBN2) cause clinical phenotypes overlapping with MFS. For these reasons (and others), many groups are switching from the analysis of single genes to the analysis of multiple genes, also considering that the time and cost of the analysis will be lower, although the cost of personnel will be higher. Recently, two papers reported the setting up of a next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel for MF and MF-like syndromes’ assay73 and a second one for syndromic and nonsyndromic TAAAs.74 Wooderchak–Donahue et al73 used a panel of ten genes: ACTA2 (TAAD), MYH11 (TAD with patent ductus arteriosus), COL3A1 (EDS), FBN1 (MFS, MASS, and TAAD), FBN2 (CCA), SMAD3 (LDS type 3 with osteoarthritis), TGFBR1, TGFBR2 (LDS type 1 and 2), MYLK (TAAD), and SLC2A10 (ATS). NGS results were analyzed and variants validated by Sanger sequencing. Of a total of 175 patients, 18 showed a pathogenic mutation and 32 had a variant of uncertain significance. Most (72%) of the pathogenic variants were detected in the FBN1 gene. A novel large SMAD3 duplication and FBN1 deletion were detected. The mutation detection displays a low rate, but the authors propose to add other genes; moreover, revision of the uncertain mutations will allow for the detection of further 10% of mutations.

Proost et al74 set up the analysis of 14 genes for TAA: ACTA2, COL3A1, EFEMP2, FBN1, FLN4, MYH11, MYLK, NOTCH1, SKI, SLC2A10, SMAD3, TGFBR1, TGFBR2. They first analyzed 100 patients with MF syndrome and detected FBN1 mutations, 44 of which were novel, in 90 patients. By multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification analysis, they found six other large deletions in the FBN1 gene. They excluded the presence of FBN1, TGFBR1, and TGFBR2 by direct Sanger sequencing analysis in the remaining four patients. Then, they investigated 55 patients with TAA and detected 15 mutations: seven in FBN1, two in NOTCH1, and one in each of the following genes: ACTA2, COL3A1, TGFBR1, MYLK, SMAD3, and SLC2A10 (homozygous). These last six variants were rare and all were classified as rare. These results are very encouraging, and due to the rapid improvement of high-throughput technologies and their methods of data analysis, they represent a big challenge in increasing the knowledge and improving the genetic diagnosis of MFS and related disorders. Overall, the negative aspect in these articles is that there are no complete and clear clinical data that allow the interpretation of the genetic results, and this is a problem common to many articles that do not allow performing a genotype–phenotype correlation. The same problem seems to affect the MFS databases.75
Conclusion and perspectives

On the whole, there are exciting and interesting data regarding the correlation between losartan therapy and different types of \textit{FBN1} mutations. The increase of disorders in differential diagnosis with MFS required the increase of \textit{FBN1} mutation analysis because the detection of \textit{FBN1} gene in a patient highly suspected to be affected by MFS may allow an early diagnosis, which in turn permits preventive therapies and more accurate clinical follow-up. New data will come out in the next few years regarding new major/modifier genes associated with MFS and related disorders, aided by improved techniques such as NGS, which will allow a better clinical follow-up and a more precise surgery timing. In addition, identification of new biochemical and genetic markers, as well as the extensive characterization of the biomarkers already detected, will help in a more precise prediction of some clinical events and their progression.

The detection of other pleiotropic clinical manifestations that can trigger complications, such as retinal detachment, glaucoma, or amaurosis caused by myopia or EL or intrapelvic meningocele, and dural leak with postural headache caused by DE, as well as the highly variable onset of clinical manifestations (0–60 years of age) and their unpredictable progression, require a wide clinical follow-up of patients with MF syndrome and of patients with related disorders such as MASS phenotype, MVP syndrome, and EL syndrome.

Last but not the least, at present, it is desirable to frame the patients with MF syndrome in a more general psychosocial context. For example, many patients are anxious to reach a final diagnosis; therefore, the detection of a pathogenic \textit{FBN1} mutation or the exclusion of an \textit{FBN1} mutation may help in reducing anxiety. Moreover, more attention should be given to correct lifestyles. Thus, the choice of the right physical exercise and/or the correct diet also depending on the clinical manifestations may help in improving prevention and a long-term enhancement of quality of life.
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