Fecal calprotectin: a marker for clinical differentiation of microscopic colitis and irritable bowel syndrome
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Background: The aim of this study is to compare two methods for measuring fecal calprotectin (FC) concentration and to evaluate the possibility of differentiation between microscopic colitis (MC) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).

Methods: Twenty-three patients with MC (six patients with active disease and 17 patients retested in remission) and 20 patients with IBS were prospectively included in this study. Active disease state of MC was determined by clinical symptoms of ≥3 bowel movements per day and histological correlate. All patients underwent ileocolonoscopy, including segmental biopsy samples for histology. FC levels in stool samples were analyzed using a rapid test system (Quantum Blue®) and an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results: FC levels were significantly higher in patients with active MC (median 48 µg/g [23–106]) compared to patients with IBS (median 2 µg/g [1–111.83]), P=0.0001 using an ELISA. FC level of patients with MC in remission was 22 µg/g (1–106.4), which is similar to those identified in patients with IBS. The difference of FC levels between active MC and IBS was not detected by the FC rapid test (P=0.635).

Discussion: FC levels might serve as parameter for differentiation between patients with active MC and IBS. Since there is no surrogate marker available at present for MC, FC appears to be a candidate for differentiating MC from IBS.

Conclusion: High FC levels, which were analyzed by ELISA, are a potential marker for patients with active MC compared to those with IBS. The FC rapid test was less suitable for this purpose.
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Introduction

Chronic or intermittent watery, nonbloody diarrhea is the leading symptom of microscopic colitis (MC) but allows no discrimination from diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) according to the Rome III criteria. IBS is a chronic functional disorder of the gastrointestinal tract dominated by abdominal discomfort and altered bowel habit in the absence of structural or anatomic disorders. Pathophysiology is not well understood, although several mechanisms such as visceral hypersensitivity, bacterial overgrowth, variation in fecal microbiota, and minimal mucosal inflammation have been proposed.²⁻³ MC represents an inflammatory bowel disease of still unknown causes/mechanisms and its diagnosis is based on the histological criteria, whereas the diagnosis of IBS is based on the symptom-based criteria. Recently, MC along with its two entities collagenous colitis (CC) and lymphocytic colitis (LC) have been considered...
as rare diseases when compared to diarrhea-predominant IBS. A recent study from Spain reported that MC was diagnosed in 13.7% of patients with chronic diarrhea6 and in 10.7% of patients with diagnostic criteria for IBS. Population-based studies in Europe reported the increasing incidence for CC from 1.1 to 5.2/100,000 persons per year and for LC from 3.1 to 4.0/100,000 persons per year,7–9 which are paralleled with incidence rates of MC from 1.1 to 19.6/100,000 persons per year in the United States.10

In order to detect MC in patients with chronic diarrhea, biopsies from normal or almost normal appearing colonic mucosa are required; however, this is often neglected in daily routine, because of insufficient awareness of MC. In fact, Limsui et al11 identified 33% of patients with MC who had previously been diagnosed with IBS, confirming the necessity for more alertness to search for MC.

Calprotectin is a calcium-binding protein found in neutrophilic granulocytes, monocytes, and macrophages; it represents the major constituent (>60%) of cytosolic protein in neutrophils12 and is also present in smaller amounts in mononuclear cells.13 Calprotectin remains stable against bacterial degradation14 during intestinal transit, and hence it can be measured in feces. Fecal calprotectin (FC) levels are significantly increased in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and correlate with the clinical disease activity.15 It reliably differentiates between patients with IBD and IBS.16,17

Data on the use of FC in MC and their ability to distinguish MC and IBS are limited18,19 and also controversially discussed.20,21

Currently, FC is measured using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), but it is only used occasionally in clinical laboratories. Thus, a simple rapid FC semiquantitative stool test was developed. This method provides a facile and practicable measurement of FC and can be used in an outpatient setting. We aimed to evaluate the possibility of differentiation between MC and IBS by comparing two methods for measuring FC concentration.

Methods
Study setting
This study was performed in the Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious Diseases, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany (tertiary center). We prospectively included patients presented between May 2010 and October 2010 with a histologically proven diagnosis of MC and patients with diarrhea-dominant IBS according to the Rome III criteria. This study was conducted in accordance with the approval of local ethical committee of Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany. Clinical trial registry number 49/2010.

Patients with MC
Patients with a previously or newly diagnosed MC were included in the study. Every patient underwent a complete ileocolonoscopy with colonic biopsies after signing the patient’s informed consent form.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: age >18 years and histological diagnosis of CC or LC according to the diagnostic criteria.22–24

Active disease of MC was defined as chronic diarrhea for at least 4 weeks with >3 soft or liquid bowel movements per day. MC in remission was defined as <3 soft or solid stools per day.

Patients with a known history of IBD, history of previous gastrointestinal or colonic surgery (except appendectomy and cholecystectomy), malignancies, oral medication of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and signs of gastrointestinal infections (positive stool cultures for Clostridium difficile toxin, Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., Campylobacter or Yersinia, ova, and parasites) were excluded.

A medical history, including number of bowel movements, stool consistency according to Bristol stool scale,25 smoking habits, weight loss, and actual or former (eg, treatment with Budesonide) medical treatment, were assessed during inclusion. Every patient was asked to fill out a questionnaire. A fecal sample was obtained before performing ileocolonoscopy.

Patients with IBS
Patients with chronic diarrhea of unknown origin were referred to our outpatient department for further diagnosis. Every patient with diarrhea-predominant IBS was assessed according to the Rome III criteria. The control group was assessed in the same way as in patients with MC (see “Patients with MC” section).

Colonoscopy
A complete ileocolonoscopy was performed under sedation (midazolam ± disopivran) in every patient and control. Two biopsy samples were obtained using a standard, one type endoscopic biopsy forceps from the cecum and/or colon ascendens, transverse colon, descending and sigmoid colon, and rectum. The biopsies were fixed separately in 4% formalin and were routinely processed.
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Histopathology
Differentiation of CC and LC based on the histopathological criteria was assessed by an expert pathologist according to the established diagnostic criteria.22–24

Fecal calprotectin
Fecal samples (~5 g) were collected from patients with MC and IBS before performing ileocolonoscopy. Patients were asked to collect a stool sample 1 day before starting the preparation for colonoscopy, and the samples were kept at room temperature and were submitted within 48 hours. Stool samples were then frozen at −20°C, and after thawing, 5 mg aliquots of all samples (~100 mg) were subjected to the extraction protocol as described in the manufacturer’s protocol (Bühlmann Laboratories AG, Schönenbuch, Basel, Switzerland). FC levels were analyzed 1) semiquantitatively using the Quantum Blue® calprotectin lateral flow assays (LF-CAL20-RD; Bühlmann Laboratories AG) and 2) quantitatively using the commercial calprotectin ELISA (EKCAL; Bühlmann Laboratories AG). Final data of ELISA are expressed as microgram of calprotectin per gram of stool. Semiquantitative assessment (Quantum Blue®) resulted in the qualitative stratification of “negative” (<30 µg/g) and two “positive” groups (either 30–60 µg/g or >60 µg/g).

Statistics
Data are expressed as number, relative proportion, median ± range, or mean ± standard deviation, if not stated otherwise. Nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test was applied if more than two groups were compared. Post hoc analyses for pairwise comparisons between groups were performed using Mann–Whitney U test. Age was analyzed by analysis of variance and Student’s t-test, and frequencies were analyzed by chi-square test. All tests were applied two-sided with a level of significance of P < 0.05.

Results
We included 23 patients with MC (four male 17% and 19 female 83%, mean age 63.8 years ±11.7, range 42–86 years). Nineteen patients fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of CC, and four patients presented with LC. Figure 1 shows the stratification of patients with MC. Patients’ characteristics are listed in Table 1 according to the questionnaire.

The rapid test Quantum Blue® was performed in all patients with MC and IBS.

Comparing FC concentrations using Quantum Blue® rapid test, no significant difference was identified between patients with CC, LC, and IBS (Figure 2A). Median FC concentration in all three groups was 30 µg/g. Mean variation showed a tendency toward higher FC concentrations in CC (30–179 µg/g) than in LC (30–42 µg/g) and control group (30–80 µg/g). Classification into active and quiescent MC was not associated by different FC concentrations (Figure 2B).

Comparing all MC patients (CC + LC) with IBS patients, we could demonstrate a significant difference in FC concentrations (P=0.03) using an ELISA (Figure 3). FC measured by ELISA could show a significant difference between CC and IBS (P=0.01). FC in four patients with LC was similar to that in patients with IBS (Table 2). Number of active LC was n=1 (Figure 1).

After grouping patients with MC in active and in remission, a highly significant difference in median FC concentrations between active MC and IBS groups (P < 0.0001) was identified (Table 3), whereas median FC concentrations of patients with MC in remission did not significantly differ from patients with IBS (Table 3).

Discussion
In our prospective study, patients with active MC (CC and LC) had significantly higher FC concentrations as compared to patients with IBS if analyzed by ELISA. We also found a significant difference in FC concentrations when comparing active and quiescent MC in respect to IBS.

Comparing ELISA and rapid FC test, the rapid test failed to detect active MC in almost every case. Rapid FC test showed a consistent value of 30 µg/g in all groups, which had been defined as the lowest cutoff level of the measurement range according to the methodology instructions (Bühlmann®). However, a study by Veestergaard et al26 showed a good association of a rapid test (PreventID®...
Table 1 Patient characteristics collected via patient questionnaires: IBS, CC versus LC, and active MC versus MC in remission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>CC (n=19)</th>
<th>LC (n=4)</th>
<th>Active MC (n=6)</th>
<th>MC in remission (n=17)</th>
<th>IBS (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (range)</td>
<td>66 (42–86)</td>
<td>63 (55–78)</td>
<td>71 (42–82)</td>
<td>59 (49–78)</td>
<td>45 (33–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (84)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>15 (88)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool frequency per day at time of first diagnosis, median (range)</td>
<td>7 (2–15)</td>
<td>3 (2–8)</td>
<td>7 (4–10)</td>
<td>5.5 (2–10)</td>
<td>4.5 (3–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal diarrhea, n (%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss, n (%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (89)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>16 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool consistency (Bristol stool scale), n (%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss, n (%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (89)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>4 (66)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watery (type 7)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushy (types 5 and 6)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumpy (types 3 and 4)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus with stool, n (%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal calprotectin (µg/g)</td>
<td>All consistencies</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus with stool, n (%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15 (79)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>13 (76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CC, collagenous colitis; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; LC, lymphocytic colitis; MC, microscopic colitis.

CalDetect®; Preventis GmbH, Bensheim, Germany) with calprotectin measured by ELISA. Calprotectin cutoff value in this specific setting was 15 µg/g, and sensitivity and specificity of the new rapid test were 96% (95% confidence interval, 87%–100%) and 70% (95% confidence interval, 55%–83%), respectively. The use of our FC rapid test with a standard range <30 µg/g to <60 µg/g for differentiation between MC from IBS requires readjustments and is not suitable in its present version.

The majority of previous studies examined FC as a fecal marker for differentiating inflammatory intestinal disorders (eg, IBD) from noninflammatory bowel diseases (eg, IBS) and reported a consistent and good correlation with histological findings, endoscopic disease activities, and clinical symptom scores. For MC, only two other studies have been reported as of to date. In these two studies discriminating CC and IBS patients with diarrhea, elevated FC levels and elevated inflammatory stool markers (fecal eosinophil cationic protein and eosinophil protein X) were detected in patients suffering...
Microscopic colitis and fecal calprotectin

The results of elevated FC in active MC are consistent with our findings. Absolute FC levels vary among our and previous studies\textsuperscript{19} and may be explained since MC is histologically characterized by intraepithelial lymphocytosis (>20 intraepithelial lymphocytes/100 surface epithelial cells) and a variable infiltrate in the lamina propria dominated by chronic inflammatory cells,\textsuperscript{22} including neutrophils and active cryptitis, in 30\%–40\%.\textsuperscript{31} A recent study demonstrated that CC inflammation is mainly dominated by activated eosinophils, and neutrophil activity could not be detected; on the other hand, CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells were increased in numbers but did not show a significant difference in activity patterns than in healthy controls.\textsuperscript{30}

These conflicting results might be due to small sample sizes or different stages of the disease with different inflammatory activities, since there is no consensus definition existing for active or remission of MC neither clinically nor histologically in the literature.

The value of testing FC is best addressed by the fact that endoscopic appearance of the mucosa in patients with MC is macroscopically normal, MC still is considered to be a rare disease, and biopsies of the colonic mucosa are often not considered. Therefore, increased FC concentrations in active MC – in contrast to patients with IBS – will alert biopsy sampling for the confirmation of MC diagnosis. In a study from Denmark, time between first endoscopy and diagnostic endoscopy was 6 (0–84) months in CC and 3 (0–50) months in LC,\textsuperscript{32} which underlines the possible beneficial role of FC determination in identifying patients with MC.

Our results could show that there is no significant difference of FC levels in patients with MC in remission (after treatment) in comparison to patients with IBS. A further potential use of FC is to measure/monitor the outcome of the treatment of MC patients under Budesonide therapy.

A significant decrease in FC levels in patients with active CC during treatment with Budesonide on day 28 was previously reported.\textsuperscript{29}

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we prospectively demonstrate that elevated FC levels measured by ELISA are a potential noninvasive surrogate marker in differentiating patients with active MC from patients with IBS. A multicenter study with robust numbers of patients with MC is needed for further confirmation. Furthermore, our data suggest that FC could become part of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>FC concentration in CC, LC, and IBS measured by ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC (n=19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal calprotectin (µg/g) ELISA, mean (range)</td>
<td>27.6 (1–217.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CC, collagenous colitis; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FC, fecal calprotectin; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; LC, lymphocytic colitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>FC concentration in active MC, MC remission, and IBS measured by ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active MC (n=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal calprotectin (µg/g) ELISA, mean (range)</td>
<td>47.92 (22.7–106.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CC, collagenous colitis; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FC, fecal calprotectin; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; LC, lymphocytic colitis; MC, microscopic colitis.
the clinical workup to determine which patients with chronic diarrhea should undergo biopsies for histological assessment of active MC.
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