Second-generation long-acting injectable antipsychotics in schizophrenia: patient functioning and quality of life
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Abstract: Long-acting injectable antipsychotics (LAIs) were developed to make treatment easier, improve adherence, and/or signal the clinician when nonadherence occurs. Second-generation antipsychotic LAIs (SGA-LAIs) combine the advantages of SGA with a long-acting formulation. The purpose of this review is to evaluate the available literature concerning the impact of SGA-LAIs on patient functioning and quality of life (QOL). Although several studies regarding schizophrenia patients’ functioning and QOL have been performed, the quantity of available data still varies greatly depending on the SGA-LAI under investigation. After reviewing the literature, it seems that SGA-LAIs are effective in ameliorating patient functioning and/or QOL of patients with schizophrenia, as compared with placebo. However, while methodological design controversy exists regarding the superiority of risperidone LAI versus oral antipsychotics, the significant amount of evidence in recently published research demonstrates the beneficial influence of risperidone LAI on patient functioning and QOL in stable patients and no benefit over oral treatment in unstable patients. However, the status of the research on SGA-LAIs is lacking in several aspects that may help physicians in choosing the correct drug therapy. Meaningful differences have been observed between SGA-LAIs in the onset of their clinical efficacy and in the relationships between symptoms and functioning scores. Moreover, head-to-head studies comparing the effects of SGA-LAIs on classical measures of psychopathology and functioning are available mainly on risperidone LAI, while those comparing olanzapine LAI with other SGA-LAIs are still lacking. Lastly, some data on their use, especially in first-episode or recent-onset schizophrenia, are lacking.
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Introduction

The World Health Organization classifies schizophrenia among the top ten causes of years lost due to its disability.1 This is caused by its specific onset in early adulthood and long-term persistence or sudden mutation of symptoms in at least two-thirds of patients.2

Most patients are unable to reach at least one milestone, such as being in a stable relationship, having full-time competitive employment, or having self-supported independent living. A review of eight controlled trials has highlighted the fact that rates of unemployment for patients with schizophrenia, although they have good support, ranged from only 30% to 80%, with a median of 60% across these studies,3 even in the context that the majority of them frequently expressed their keenness to work.4,5 Otherwise, rates of marriage for patients with schizophrenia were reported to range from about 5% to 30%, with the majority ranging between 15% and 30%.6-12
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The early phase of schizophrenia, including the first 2 years up to 5 years after the onset, is thought to be essential in determining long-term prognosis.  

Symptomatic relapse in schizophrenia can be destructive: the setback can be dramatic, often leading to increased use of outpatient services and resulting in hospitalization. In addition, relapse can be strictly connected with a biological risk. It has been hypothesized that active psychosis reflects a period of disease progression to the extent that patients may not come back to their previous level of function and treatment refractoriness may be evident.

Poor adherence is considered to be the most frequent cause of relapse in schizophrenia. When patients discontinue their medications, even after the first episode, the risk for symptomatic relapse increases dramatically, by nearly five times.

In clinical settings, poor compliance is common, especially in the early stages of the disease, with between 40% and 60% of patients with schizophrenia partially or totally noncompliant with oral antipsychotics and no real difference between first-generation antipsychotics and second-generation antipsychotics (SGA) was found. The Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness study has highlighted that up to 74% of people affected by schizophrenia start to discontinue their medications after 18 months; also, the European First Episode Schizophrenia Trial found that up to 42% of patients stopped their therapy within 1 year after the first psychotic episode.

Nonadherence has a wide variation, probably due to differences in the targeted population, definitions, and measurement methods. However, it has been noticed that studies using more strict measurement methodology, such as pill count, electronic monitoring, and blood drug level, often indicate higher levels of nonadherence. Moreover, the duration of follow-up certainly has a clear influence on the observed frequencies of nonadherence. Therefore, for different reasons, it is reasonable to consider that adherence is much lower in routine care than in clinical trials.

Managing nonadherence must be patient specific. Usually it needs quite a few strategies; however, shared decision making between the patient and physician is virtually always involved. Long-acting injectable antipsychotics (LAIs) were developed to make treatment easier and enhance adherence and/or signal the physician when nonadherence occurs.

Current guidelines

Majority of the available guidelines and algorithms (with the exception of The Schizophrenia Patient Outcomes Research Team (PORT) project, 2009) recommend LAIs for patients who need maintenance treatment and express a preference for them over oral antipsychotics, and/or when it is necessary to improve adherence to medication, with a few guidelines factually suggesting a switch from oral antipsychotics to LAIs during maintenance treatment. However, the Texas Medication Algorithm Project for antipsychotics in schizophrenia and the Canadian guidelines recommend LAIs in all phases of schizophrenia, also in the first 2–5 years. Lastly, the French Association for Biological Psychiatry and Neuropsychopharmacology recommends LAI as first-line treatment to patients with schizophrenia during maintenance treatment.

Efficacy/effectiveness of LAIs versus oral antipsychotics

Although LAIs have been developed to enhance adherence and/or signal the physician when nonadherence occurs, evidence regarding their superiority over oral antipsychotics in terms of relapse prevention is ambivalent and also depends on trial design. Even though a meta-analysis has shown a significant benefit of LAI versus oral antipsychotics, two recent meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have highlighted both LAIs and oral antipsychotics were effective in relapse prevention and hospitalization. Two large RCTs have shown a longer time to relapse with an LAI versus oral antipsychotics.

Relevant advantages of LAIs versus oral antipsychotics in reducing psychiatric hospitalization have been shown by a recent meta-analysis performed by Kishimoto et al on 25 mirror-image studies. However, the authors admit that mirror-image studies may also be biased by the fact that treatment status is not blinded, thresholds for hospitalization can modify over time, and patients always start LAI after a period of time in which although oral antipsychotics are taken daily, the outcomes are considered suboptimal. It has also been noted that reverse mirror-image studies (ie, from LAI to oral formulation) are lacking.

The majority of cohort studies detected lower hospitalization rates and/or longer time to discontinuation with LAI, even though several studies noted equivalent or better outcomes for oral medication, and a prescribing/channeling bias in cohort studies suggests that patients treated with LAI have a more severe stage of illness.

Moreover, it has been hypothesized that oral antipsychotics may be as effective as LAIs when adherence and bioequivalence are secured, which appears plausible because LAIs are designed to mimic the pharmacokinetics of oral compounds in adherent patients.

In their recent review, Haddad et al concluded that strengths and weaknesses are inherent in each of the three
different study designs. The typical RCTs cannot necessarily be the best way to evaluate treatments that are considered useful in reducing nonadherence, because of selective recruitment of more adherent patients and they can also modify ecology of the treatment. Treatment is not carried out in a setting in which real-world conditions are preserved. Otherwise, weaknesses of observational studies involve lack of blinding and difficulty estimating the effectiveness of treatment without considering confounders and prescribing bias. The best approach to evaluate comparative effectiveness can be alternative “effectiveness trials” more closely resembling real life. An ideal solution should involve different aspects: trials should be prospective, should be performed at several sites, should use broad inclusion criteria, without requiring a lot of data, should be focused on patients in an early phase of disease, and should follow patients for at least 2 years using relapse and/or hospitalization as the primary outcome measures.51

LAI, patient functioning, and quality of life

Although patients with schizophrenia treated with LAIs demonstrated symptomatic improvement, the improvement is not always associated with functional improvement.52–55 It has been shown that in patients with stable schizophrenia, compliance is mainly related to recognition of the positive effects of the pharmacological therapy on daily life.56,57 In particular, during long-term therapies, subjective perception of general wellness and quality of life (QOL) are clear factors influencing and maintaining compliance to treatment.58 Consequently, a significant role has been assigned recently to the notion of subjective well-being and QOL, even in patients treated with antipsychotics.59 Well-known additional factors determining poor compliance are: side effects (mainly extrapyramidal symptoms), complex treatment plans, and misunderstanding of dosage schemes.60 Considering that the introduction of SGA partially reduced the incidence of side effects, the development of LAIs should further simplify the dosage plans, thus reducing mistakes and improving the regular intake of medications.61 It seems clear that SGA-LAs seem to put together the advantages of SGA with a long-acting formulation.62

Thus, the purpose of this paper is to review the available literature regarding SGA-LAs, evaluating patient functioning and QOL.

Materials and methods

An electronic search was conducted through Medline and PubMed to identify articles relevant to the role of SGA-LAs on patient functioning and QOL in schizophrenia. Keywords used were “second-generation long-acting injectable antipsychotics”, “second-generation depot”, “social functioning”, “quality of life”, “risperidone long-acting”, “paliperidone long-acting”, “olanzapine long-acting”, “aripiprazole long-acting”, “schizophrenia”, “first-episode schizophrenia”, “recent onset schizophrenia”, “refractory schizophrenia”, and “treatment-resistant schizophrenia”. This procedure was supplemented with a manual search of the bibliography included in the articles found, and of relevant reviews, in order to gain access to additional references. Studies with vaguely described interventions or inadequate outcome information were excluded. The methodological quality of the studies was assessed according to the following variables: diagnostic criteria used, demographic representation of indicators, study design (eg, sample size, control groups, direct vs indirect assessment), assessor’s characteristics (eg, bias and blindness), and assessment tools (eg, outcome measures). The design of a study was considered “controlled” when treatments were comparatively evaluated.

We identified 60 English language articles published between January 1998 (when the first SGA-LAI was launched) and December 2015.

This article represents a clinically oriented narrative review of currently available evidence, first including randomized controlled studies and open-label studies, followed by observational ones. We concluded reporting evidence in specific populations, that is, first-episode or recent-onset schizophrenia, and refractory or treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS).

Results

Although several studies on schizophrenia patients’ functioning and QOL have been performed, the quantity of available data still varies greatly depending on the SGA-LAI under investigation.

Risperidone LAI

See Table 1 for the effects of risperidone LAI (RLAI) on functioning and QOL.

RCTs and open-label studies

Stable patients

Significant improvements were induced by RLAIs in five of the 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) domains (emotional role, bodily pain, social functioning, general health, and mental health) after 8 weeks and in all SF-36 domains after 12 weeks, when compared with placebo (the US general population).63 These results are in line with those of Fleischhacker et al,64 who reported an improvement of SF-36 after 12 months of RLAi treatment.
### Table 1 Summary of RLAi: effects on functioning and quality of life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Patients (n)/type</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasrallah et al&lt;sup&gt;93&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>db-RCT</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>185&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keks et al&lt;sup&gt;94&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Oral olanzapine</td>
<td>547&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>53 weeks</td>
<td>VQ-QLI</td>
<td>No differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al&lt;sup&gt;96&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>db-RCT</td>
<td>Oral risperidone</td>
<td>50&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>Benefit on social domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenheck et al&lt;sup&gt;98&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Various AP</td>
<td>369&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/acute</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>PSP, QLS, QWB-SA</td>
<td>No differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leatherman et al&lt;sup&gt;101&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Oral AP</td>
<td>187&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/unstable</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>QLS</td>
<td>No differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raignoux et al&lt;sup&gt;100&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Naturalistic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>71&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/unstable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>TEAQV</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihajlović et al&lt;sup&gt;95&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Haloperidol depot</td>
<td>60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>SFS, SWLS, WHO-QoL-BREF</td>
<td>Better RLAi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastpar et al&lt;sup&gt;90&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>Oral olanzapine</td>
<td>192&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36, QLS</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleishhacker et al&lt;sup&gt;94&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>615&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasser et al&lt;sup&gt;111&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>66&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50 weeks</td>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emsley et al&lt;sup&gt;113&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>50&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>SF-12</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arupongpaisal et al&lt;sup&gt;97&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>184&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napryeyenko et al&lt;sup&gt;114&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>294&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabinowitz et al&lt;sup&gt;115&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>294&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, QLS</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborne et al&lt;sup&gt;92&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>98&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>HRQoL</td>
<td>Improvement with 3-monthly RLAi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al&lt;sup&gt;91&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>96&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al&lt;sup&gt;95&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>522&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>QLS</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Möller et al&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Various AP</td>
<td>1,876&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmauss et al&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Oral risperidone</td>
<td>568&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llorca et al&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Dept and oral AP</td>
<td>202&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossi et al&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Various AP</td>
<td>347&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al&lt;sup&gt;76&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Dept and oral AP</td>
<td>182&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>GAF, SF-36</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmauss et al&lt;sup&gt;83&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Oral risperidone pretreated and SGA pretreated</td>
<td>206&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>QOL</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niolu et al&lt;sup&gt;61&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Switching therapy</td>
<td>Oral antipsychotics</td>
<td>27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/unstable</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>S-QOL</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivares et al&lt;sup&gt;96&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/stable</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubois et al&lt;sup&gt;116&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>155&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/unstable</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Relapsing. <sup>b</sup>Patient type not reported.

**Abbreviations:** AP, antipsychotics; db-RCT, double-blind randomized controlled trial; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; PSP, Personal and Social Performance Scale; QLS, Heinrichs–Carpenter Quality of Life Scale; QOL, quality of life; QWB-SA, Quality of Well-Being Scale Self-Administered; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RLAi, risperidone long-acting; SF-12, 12-item Short Form Health Survey; SF-36, 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SFS, social functioning scale; SGA, second-generation antipsychotics; S-QOL, Schizophrenia Quality of Life Scale; SWLS, The Satisfaction with Life Scale; TEAQV, Tableau d’évaluation assistée de qualité de vie [evaluation of quality of life]; WHO-QoL-BREF, short version of World Health Organization quality of life scale; VQ-QLI, Wisconsin Quality of Life Index.
A cross-sectional study highlighted higher scores in 30 patients on RLAI concerning social activities and satisfaction with themselves, their health, and sleep, when compared to 30 patients treated with haloperidol depot, with no statistically significant difference found in the QOL scales (social functioning scale, satisfaction with life scale, and the short version of World Health Organization QOL scale [WHO-QoL-BREF]).65

Hospitalized stable patients
In a 12-week randomized, single-blind study, 50 inpatients with stable schizophrenia, previously treated with oral risperidone for at least 3 months, were randomized to the RLAI and oral risperidone groups.66 Patients in the RLAI arm displayed significantly higher scores on SF-36 social life domains, improvement of side effects, and reduced prolactin levels.

In a multicenter, open-label, single-arm study, 184 patients were treated with RLAI; they got significantly better over 12 weeks, as reflected by the finding that 53.2% of patients were considered as “not ill” or “borderline ill” at the endpoint, when compared to 5.9% at baseline.67 SF-36 scale got better in all domains except one, consisting in bodily pain.

Osborne et al68 reported that an increasing time interval between LAI injections (3-month interval vs 2- and 4-weeks intervals) was associated with the highest QOL scores.

Unstable patients
On the other hand, a comparative clinical trial indicated that RLAI was not superior to oral antipsychotics when Heinrichs–Carpenter Quality of Life Scale (QLS), Personal and Social Performance Scale (PSP), and self-reported Quality of Well-Being scale were used to assess QOL and functioning in unstable patients with schizophrenia.69 This was confirmed by a naturalistic study conducted in 71 inpatients of three psychiatric hospitals in Aquitaine, who were unstable or considered to be noncompliant with their pharmacological treatment. Even though 46% patients treated with RLAI “strongly” to “very strongly” improved on Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGI-S) after 6 months, patients claimed that their QOL, as assessed by the Tableau d’évaluation assistée de qualité de vie, did not change, when compared with baseline.70 A long-term randomized trial of 187 unstable patients with schizophrenia who had been hospitalized within the past 2 years or judged to be at risk for hospitalization because of increasing psychiatric service use found no benefit of RLAI as compared with oral antipsychotics in preventing or delaying time to psychiatric hospitalizations or on QOL as assessed through QLS after 2 years.71

Only in a recent study on 9 patients out of 27 nonadherent patients switched to RLAI, an improvement of QOL and wellness was demonstrated by the increase in mean values of Subjective Well-being Under Neuroleptic Treatment scale and Schizophrenia Quality of Life scales after 8 and 18 months of treatment, respectively, that was simultaneous with the control of symptomatology.61

Switching therapy
Two international trials have been conducted in this field of research.

In the Switch To Risperidone Microspheres (StoRMi) trial, an international, 6-month, open-label trial on patients with schizophrenia who were switched from oral or first-generation antipsychotic-LAI to RLAI for lack of efficacy, side effects, or noncompliance, improvements were observed in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) total and subscale scores, Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), QOL, treatment satisfaction, and hospitalization.72 Moreover, patients showed an overall amelioration of QOL related to the health status and a significant increase in fields such as mental health, vitality, social activities, and role limitations secondary to emotional status.73 These results were confirmed in a third subgroup analysis of the StoRMi trial74 on 842 patients with predominantly negative symptoms at baseline (PANSS negative subscale score ≥21) and in fourth post hoc analysis in 52 elderly patients (≥65 years).75 In a fifth separate analysis from the StoRMi trial on 529 patients76 after 18 months, 33% of patients achieved symptomatic remission for at least 6 months; predictors of best outcome (21% of patients) were baseline symptom severity, baseline functioning, country, schizophrenia type, and early positive treatment course. In another subgroup analysis of the StoRMi trial, further improvement in symptom control, GAF scores, and SF-36 mental component summary score could be seen after a change to RLAI in 568 clinically stable patients previously treated with oral risperidone.77

In a second international, nonrandomized trial following unsatisfactory treatment with oral antipsychotics, 182 UK patients with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders, symptomatically stable on antipsychotic medication, were switched to RLAI. Compared with baseline, significant improvement over 6 months was observed concerning illness severity (PANSS total and subscale scores), patient functioning (GAF), health-related QOL, and patient satisfaction.78
These results are confirmed by other studies conducted in France,\textsuperscript{79} Italy,\textsuperscript{80} Japan,\textsuperscript{81} and Korea,\textsuperscript{82} with longer follow-up (52 weeks),\textsuperscript{80} associated with psychosocial intervention.\textsuperscript{81}

In a French study, 202 adults with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders, who were clinically stable for 1 month or more on their previous medication (SGA [65%], depot [34%], and oral [9%] conventional neuroleptics) and requiring a change of treatment, were directly switched to LRAIs and experienced statistically significant improvement of disease symptoms, patient functioning (GAF), movement disorders, health-related QOL (SF-36), and patient satisfaction with treatment after 6 months.\textsuperscript{79} Similar findings as regards PANSS total and subscales, GAF, and CGI-S scores have been found in a 52-week, open-label prospective trial on 347 stable patients switching directly to RLAI from any previous antipsychotics.\textsuperscript{80}

In a clinical trial assessing the effectiveness of add-on Comprehensive Psycho-educational Approach and Scheme Set in 96 Japanese patients with schizophrenia treated with RLAI, 80% of patients showed significant improvements in Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) negative and general subscale scores, and GAF from baseline to 6 months.\textsuperscript{81} An open-label, 48-week, prospective study on 522 patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder from 63 centers in South Korea highlighted clinical improvements in symptom severity (PANSS, CGI-S) and QOL (Schizophrenia Quality of Life scale).\textsuperscript{82}

Two studies focused only on olanzapine-pretreated patients or risperidone-pretreated patients. In the first one, 134 patients out of 192 who were previously treated with olanzapine and receiving RLAI without a run-in period of oral risperidone completed the study.\textsuperscript{83} Treatment with RLAI was also associated with significant improvements in GAF, patient satisfaction with treatment, and SF-36. In the second, open-label study on 206 stable patients receiving oral risperidone or other SGA (olanzapine, quetiapine, amisulpride, ziprasidone) and being switched to RLAI for 12 weeks, patients pretreated with other SGA showed a stronger clinical benefit, improved satisfaction, and QOL from direct transition to RLAI, as compared with those previously treated with oral risperidone.\textsuperscript{83} However, a randomized, controlled, open-label study involving individuals affected by schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder showed that RLAI and oral olanzapine induced comparable mean scores of the Wisconsin Quality of Life Index.\textsuperscript{84}

Observational studies

The 2-year, prospective, observational, electronic Schizophrenia Treatment Adherence Registry (e-STAR) revealed that as compared with oral olanzapine or oral risperidone, RLAI produced better treatment retention and greater improvement in clinical symptoms and functioning in 1,345 patients with schizophrenia in Spain.\textsuperscript{85}

Data from the Australian cohort of e-STAR showed, throughout a 24-month period, that initiation of RLAI was associated with improved patient functioning and illness severity in 784 patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. During the entire study, improved outcomes have been observed early, which had sustained.\textsuperscript{86}

Macfadden et al\textsuperscript{87} performed a 24-month, prospective, observational study involving 532 patients with schizophrenia, during which they evaluated the effectiveness of RLAI on QOL, daily functioning, and health status. Two hundred and nine of them (39.3%) concluded the study and 305 (57.3%) had at least 12 months of follow-up data, which showed improvements in GAF, Strauss–Carpenter Levels of Functioning, PSP, and health status within 3 months, which continued over a period of 24 months.

Treatment with RLAI was associated with better QOL outcomes (as measured by the EuroQol [EQ]-5D, questionnaire) and lower caregiver burden, compared to other types of antipsychotics (oral conventional antipsychotics, injectable conventional antipsychotics, and oral SGA) in 1,865 Spanish patients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{88}

**Paliperidone palmitate**

One multicenter, 8-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial showed significant improvement with paliperidone palmitate (PP) versus placebo in QOL and SF-36 total and subscale scores in 404 adult inpatients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{89}

Another clinical trial involving acutely symptomatic schizophrenic individuals found that functioning improvement could be detected following a 13-week treatment with 50 or 100 mg equivalents of PP.\textsuperscript{90} A post hoc analysis that specifically aimed to assess the onset of efficacy of PP in markedly to severely ill patients with schizophrenia showed that PSP scores improved significantly (vs placebo) following a 13-week treatment with 75 or 100 mg equivalents of PP, but not with a dose of 25 mg.\textsuperscript{91} A 1-year open-label extension of a double-blind study involving 388 adult patients with schizophrenia showed that PP improved PSP scores during long-term therapy.\textsuperscript{92} Improvements in the WHO-QoL-BREF were also found in elderly patients.\textsuperscript{93}

One clinical analysis reported that the highest tested doses of PP (100 and 150 mg) induced significant improvements (vs placebo) in functioning scores of patients with schizophrenia who did not respond to a recent treatment with...
oral risperidone. However, comparative analyses indicated that PSP scores of individuals with schizophrenia receiving short-term PP therapy were not different from those observed in patients treated with oral risperidone during initiation of RLAI treatment. Two noninferiority analyses comparing PP and RLAI indicated that the two drugs induce comparable increases of PSP scores in schizophrenic patients. However, PP was found to be inferior to RLAI in controlling schizophrenia symptoms, when a suboptimal initiation protocol of PP was applied. PALMflexS (Paliperidone Palmitate Flexible Dosing in Schizophrenia) study, a prospective, multicenter, open-label, 6-month, Phase IIIb interventional study, involved patients affected by schizophrenia to evaluate the effectiveness of antipsychotic therapy. In this study, 231 patients, not in an acute phase of illness, but with symptomatic schizophrenia, have switched from conventional depot antipsychotics to flexibly dosed PP due to the unsuccessfulness of the previous treatment with conventional depot antipsychotics. After switching to PP, more than 50% of all patients reached ≥20% and one-third of all patients reached ≥50% improvement in PANSS total score. This study highlighted relevant improvements in symptom intensity across the groups, which has been evaluated by CGI-S, subjective wellness, medication satisfaction, and patient functioning with PP.

Olanzapine long-acting

During a 8-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, different dosages of olanzapine long-acting (OLAI) (OLAI 210 mg/2 weeks; OLAI 300 mg/2 weeks; OLAI 405 mg/4 weeks) induced significant ameliorations in the QLS total scores and Mental Health Scale of 404 inpatients when compared with placebo. Also, OLAI 210 mg/2 weeks, 300 mg/2 weeks, and the combined OLAI group were considered to be more effective than placebo on the Interpersonal Relations subdomain. The 300 mg/2 weeks group and the combined OLAI group were also considered to be more effective than placebo on the Instrumental Role subdomain. In SF-36, the 300 mg/2 weeks and 405 mg/4 weeks OLAI groups and the combined OLAI group were also considered to be more effective than placebo on the mental component score.

OLAI was also tested in a long-term randomized study that was aimed to verify the efficacy of this drug formulation in maintenance therapy for patients with schizophrenia. The study indicated that patient QOL was significantly improved following OLAI treatment. An analysis of data from three clinical trials involving patients with schizophrenia using OLAI as maintenance treatment revealed that most of all patients treated with OLAI remained in a steady state or improved (62% at 6 months and 52% at 12 months) their symptom and functioning levels. The study also revealed that <8% of schizophrenia patients have had a worsening in symptoms or functioning. However, comparisons between oral olanzapine and OLAI indicated that patients experienced an increased QOL regardless of being assigned to OLAI or oral olanzapine experimental treatment groups.

There is also a secondary analysis of data received from a multicenter, randomized, open-label, 2-year study that compared the long-term therapeutic efficacy of monthly OLAI (405 mg/4 weeks; n=264) with daily oral olanzapine (10 mg/day; n=260). In this study, outpatients affected by schizophrenia remained stable or improved their positive baseline level of functioning over time. Moreover, a 6-year, single-arm, open-label extension study of OLAI performed at 127 sites in 25 different countries on 931 patients, which have been previously enlisted in one of three clinical trials of OLAI, showed that patient satisfaction with OLAI was high.

Aripiprazole long-acting

In a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study on 340 schizophrenia patients, Kane et al. reported that at week 10, both psychopathology and functioning (PSP) improved, compared to placebo. Patient functioning, as evaluated by the PSP scale, remained in a steady state in stable patients receiving aripiprazole once-monthly (AOM) in two pivotal relapse studies. In the 52-week study, 403 patients previously treated with AOM 400 mg were randomized to get AOM 400 mg (n=269) or placebo (n=134). In the 38-week study, 662 patients previously treated with oral aripiprazole were randomized to get AOM 400 mg (n=265), oral aripiprazole (n=266), or AOM 50 mg (subtherapeutic dose; n=131). In the first study, there were relevant changes for the worse from baseline with placebo compared with AOM 400 mg in PSP total score and domain scores for Personal and Social Relationships, Self-Care, and Disturbing and Aggressive Behavior. In the second study, there were relevant changes for the worse from baseline with AOM 50 mg compared with AOM 400 mg in PSP total score and the Personal and Social Relationships domain score.

The QUALity of Life with AbilIFY Maintena® study is the first open-label, rater-blinded, head-to-head study comparing two different SGA-LAs on health-related QOL and functioning in 295 patients. A statistically significant variation from baseline to week 28 on QLS total score demonstrated that AOM is more effective than PP. Moreover, in predefined analyses, significantly greater improvement with AOM versus
PP was consistently demonstrated in patients <35 years, indicating that younger patients may benefit, in particular, from AOM 400 mg, when compared to PP.

First-episode or recent-onset schizophrenia

A few studies on only RLAI use in early stage of schizophrenia have been performed (see two recent reviews).108,109 Moreover, specific post hoc analyses have examined patients with recent-onset schizophrenia. In this review, we will report only the studies including patient functioning and/or QOL measures.

In a 6-month open-label study by Parellada et al.,110 382 patients affected by schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder with a disease duration of <3 years (84% with a median duration of 1 year) were administered RLAI, without an oral risperidone run-in phase; 40% of them (out of 73% that completed the study) showed a 20% improvement in total PANSS. Moreover, overall functioning (GAF), quality of life (QLS), and satisfaction significantly improved.

In an open-label trial lasting 50 weeks, 64% out of 66 stable young adults with early schizophrenia or schizoaffective illness completed the trial, showing clinical improvement (≥20% reduction in PANSS total scores).111 Patient-rated QOL (SF-36 scores) and patients’ attitudes toward the medication (Drug attitude inventory scores) improved throughout the trial. Similar findings also emerged in the population of older patients.

A prospective, naturalistic, controlled, open-label study over 2 years in 50 patients with first-episode schizophrenia demonstrated fewer relapses, longer periods of compliance, and higher rates of compliance in patients treated with RLAI (n=22), when compared with those treated with oral risperidone (n=28) (68% vs 32%).112 RLAI was significantly more effective in reducing scores on the PANSS (10% vs 2%) and in the CGI-S scale (10% vs 2.5%). Lastly, patients treated with RLAI showed a greater functional improvement (26% increase in the final GAF score), as compared with patients on oral risperidone (0.5% increase in the final GAF score).

In a single-site, open-label study lasting 2 years, 50 patients with schizophreniform disorder or schizophrenia were treated with RLAI.113 Of those achieving remission according to Remission in Schizophrenia Working Group criteria (64%), 97% maintained this status until the end of the study, together with greater improvements in social and occupational functioning.

Significant improvements from baseline to endpoint were found in the PANSS, CGI-S, GAF, and SF-36 in 294 patients with a recent onset of schizophrenia treated with RLAI in an open-label, 6-month study.114

In a 6-month, open-label, multicenter, Phase IV trial recruiting 294 recent-onset schizophrenia patients treated with flexible doses of RLAI, patients with good premorbid functioning as assessed by Premorbid Adjustment Scale (n=142) showed better treatment response (PANSS, CGI-S scale, GAF scale, and SF-36), as compared with patients with stable-poor (n=116) and deteriorating premorbid functioning (n=36).

Clinical improvement (CGI-S), together with good reintegration rate, scarce relapses, or rehospitalization and improved social functioning (GAF) were observed in 25 patients firstly hospitalized for schizophrenia and treated with RLAI along with reintegration methods.115 Bimonthly injection associated with psychosocial methods improved interactive follow-up, thus enhancing patients’ adherence to medication prescriptions.

The post hoc analyses of two observational studies (Trial for the Initiation and Maintenance Of REmission in Schizophrenia with risperidone and eSTAR) revealed that among patients on RLAI, those recently diagnosed (n=155 with a disease duration of <3 years) showed improvement in their severity of illness (CGI-S, baseline level of functioning (GAF), and length of hospitalization, when compared with patients long-term diagnosed (n=253) at 12- and 24-month follow-up.116

Lastly, we found only one study using PP in patients with recent-onset schizophrenia (within 1–5 years).117 This 24-month, rater-blinded, randomized controlled study found that time to relapse was significantly longer in patients on PP (n=352) versus patients treated with antipsychotic monotherapy (n=363). Significantly fewer patients receiving PP met the relapse criteria, representing a 29.4% relative risk reduction compared with the oral antipsychotics arm. However, significant improvements in PSP total score, SF-36, EQ-5D, and Subjective Well-being Under Neuroleptic Treatment scale scores were observed in both treatment arms, with no significant differences observed between groups, except for a significantly greater improvement in EQ-5D index score in favor of oral antipsychotics at month 12.

Refractory or TRS

Evidence regarding a significant benefit with antipsychotics other than clozapine is still rather limited. LAIs are frequently utilized in conjunction with various oral antipsychotics.118 However, to the best of our knowledge, only two studies evaluated functioning. In the first one, a multicenter,
prospective, 12-month follow-up observational study conducted in 94 patients with TRS treated with RLAI, GAF scores significantly increased in both groups of patients, the one with dopamine supersensitivity psychosis and the other one without. In the second one, a 6-month, outpatient, double-blind, multicenter trial conducted in 160 TRS patients, RLAI 50 or 100 mg biweekly produced clinically significant and equivalent improvement in PANSS total, positive, and negative scores, as well as global and functional measures.120

Discussion
So far, published data about the influence of LAIs (apart from RLAI) on functioning and QOL in patients with schizophrenia seem to be lacking, in terms of either quality or quantity. Most of the studies on the newer and recently released LAIs (OLAI, PP, AOM) are just focused on analyzing their efficacy and side effects profile; the few studies that investigate patients’ QOL and functioning show methodological shortcomings.

First, the instruments used in the available studies do not ensure appropriate psychometrics and cannot be applied to various stages of the disease. Sometimes they are either too lengthy and prove the poor cognitive ability of patients with schizophrenia, or are too short to be meaningful.

Second, in terms of duration, clinical trials over a period of 6–8 weeks are too short to produce definitive results about the possible effects of LAIs on social functioning and QOL, because such parameters require longer time to change.

Third, improvements in social, occupational, and vocational functioning cannot be expected only by medication; other elements need to be combined in the treatment: economic support, educational actions, and real opportunities offered in daily life. Such factors have not been adequately considered in the design of clinical trials, particularly, when multicenter structures (with different levels of resources available to ensure extra clinical interventions) were involved in the research.

Fourth, analyses conducted over inpatients or outpatients have produced different results: patients’ actual clinical conditions may have a relevant role on the effects produced by SGA-LAIs, but the available studies do not take it appropriately into consideration.

Bearing in mind the above-mentioned limitations, the available clinical studies do show that SGA-LAIs are effective in ameliorating patient functioning and/or QOL of patients with schizophrenia, as compared with placebo. Moreover, the significant amount of evidence in recently published RCTs and open-label studies demonstrates the superiority of RLAI versus oral antipsychotics or depot conventional antipsychotics as regards patient functioning and QOL in stable patients and no additional benefit over oral treatment in unstable patients. Furthermore, results from observational studies, which are more representative of routine clinical practice in a real-world setting, consistently showed the superior effectiveness for RLAI versus oral antipsychotics on patient functioning and QOL.

A similar efficacy was shown by RLAI and PP in direct comparative trials, suggesting that SGA-LAIs sharing a comparable receptor binding profile may induce similar effects on functioning in patients with schizophrenia.121

Not so much data are present in literature about the long-term variations in the functioning of patients with schizophrenia treated with OLAI as maintenance therapy, and it is not clear whether the observed variations are different from those observed with treatment based on oral olanzapine.

As regards AOM, the QUAlity of LIfe with AbilifY Maintena® is the first to use a health-related QOL as a primary endpoint to compare two SGA-LAIs and is the first to show superiority of one SGA-LAI over another. Moreover, even though it was randomized, it was designed to be more naturalistic than traditional pivotal studies, as regards the inclusion criteria, as suggested by the low frequency of screening failure (22.6%), indicating that the study did not enroll highly selected patients, which is consistent with a naturalistic setting. Lastly, the authors hypothesized that the improvement in QOL and patient functioning with AOM 400 versus PP may be attributed to the partial agonism at dopamine D2 receptors, which are hypothesized to modulate dopaminergic activity in the brain, thereby reducing positive symptoms and potentially improving negative and cognitive symptoms.

Also, the evidence regarding SGA-LAI use in first-episode or recent-onset schizophrenia patients is far from conclusive, mainly as regards the duration of treatment.

Lastly, as regards the two studies conducted on TRS, it should be noted that all the patients of the Japanese study were TRS patients; however, none of them had tried clozapine. Moreover, none of them had problems with treatment adherence according to the study criteria.122 As regards the study of Meltzer et al, although the authors have hypothesized that RLAI 100 mg would be more effective than RLAI 50 mg, no differences were found in the two groups of treatment. However, an increasing response was found during the course of the study, confirming the value of longer clinical trial duration for patients with TRS, but not superiority of the higher dose.120
Conclusion

The status of the research on SGA-LAIs is lacking in several aspects that may help physicians in choosing the correct drug therapy. Meaningful differences have been observed between SGA-LAIs in the onset of their clinical efficacy, and in the relationships between symptoms and functioning scores. Lastly, head-to-head studies comparing the effects of SGA-LAIs on classical measures of psychopathology and functioning are available, mainly on RLAI, while those comparing OLAI with other SGA-LAIs are still lacking.
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