Are the blood groups of women with preeclampsia a risk factor for the development of hypertension postpartum?
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Introduction: Preeclampsia (PE) is a pregnancy-related disorder characterized by hypertension (HT) and proteinuria noticeable after 20 weeks of gestation. PE is now considered as a cardiovascular disease risk factor and a number of studies have shown that experiencing PE increases the prevalence of various cardiovascular risk factors, such as metabolic syndrome and HT. In this study, we aimed to investigate any possible relationship between the ABO/Rh blood group system and PE in Turkey. In the second part of the study, we examined the relationship between the ABO blood group system and development of HT after PE.

Patients and methods: A total of 250 patients with PE from Kayseri Training and Research Hospital between 2002 and 2012 were included in the study. Patients were classified according to blood groups (A, B, AB, and O) and Rh status (+/−).

Results: There was a significant difference between the patients with PE and the control group in terms of distribution of ABO blood groups and the percentage of group AB was found to be higher in patients with PE compared to the control group (P=0.029). The risk of developing PE was significantly higher in group AB than other blood groups (P=0.006). The risk of developing HT after PE was significantly higher in group O than other blood groups (P=0.004).

Discussion: In this study, we found that the patients with blood group AB have a higher risk for PE. The patients with PE of blood group O are at high risk of developing HT, and Rh factor was identified as another risk at this point and these patients should be closely followed postpartum.
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Introduction

Preeclampsia (PE) is a pregnancy-related disorder characterized by hypertension (HT) and proteinuria noticeable after 20 weeks of gestation.1 It is a multisystem disorder that complicates 3%–8% of pregnancies in Western countries and a major cause of maternal mortality and morbidity, preterm birth, perinatal death, and intrauterine growth restriction.2 It can lead to problems in the liver, kidneys, brain, and the clotting system and, overall, 10%–15% of maternal deaths are directly associated with PE and eclampsia.3

The etiology of the disease is unknown, but recent studies have revealed that this disorder appears to originate in placenta and is characterized by widespread maternal endothelial dysfunction.1 Since the 19th century, it was noticed that the PE cases cluster within some families and this suggests a genetic component but at present, no genetic test is available to predict this disorder. Because of the lack of a predictive
test, careful monitoring and assessment of women, especially those in high-risk groups, are very important. High-risk groups include: previous history of PE, those at either end of the reproductive age spectrum, obesity, black ethnicity, primiparity, multiple pregnancy, and preexisting medical conditions – renal disease, insulin-dependent diabetes, autoimmune disease, and antiphospholipid syndrome.4

PE is now considered as a cardiovascular disease risk factor and a number of studies have shown that experiencing PE increases the prevalence of various cardiovascular risk factors, such as metabolic syndrome, HT, insulin resistance, microalbuminuria, and endothelial dysfunction.5

The ABO blood group system was first discovered by Karl Landsteiner in 1900 when he was searching for the reason why some blood transfusions were successful while others could be deadly. The blood group of a person depends upon the presence or absence of two genes, A and B. The gene that determines human ABO blood type is located on chromosome 9 and is called ABO glycosyltransferase.6

No diseases are known to result from the lack of expression of ABO blood group antigens, but the susceptibility to a number of diseases has been interrelated to a person’s ABO phenotype. Such correlations remain conflicting. For example, gastric cancer is more common in group A, whereas gastric and duodenal ulcers occur more commonly among blood group O individuals.7 Previously, many different results have been reported in other cancer types.8 The association of ABO blood groups with diabetes mellitus has been observed earlier in several epidemiological and genetic studies and resulted with inconsistent findings.7 No association has been observed between essential HT and ABO blood groups in a study, while another study showed that the blood group B was seen more in the HT and obesity.9,10

The relationship between ABO/Rh blood groups and patients with PE has been observed in many studies for years, which resulted in conflicting findings. In this study, we aimed to investigate any possible relationship between the ABO/Rh blood group system and PE in Turkey. In the second part of the study, we examined the relationship between the ABO blood group system and development of HT after PE.

Patients and methods
A total of 250 patients with PE from Kayseri Training and Research Hospital between 2002 and 2012 were included in the study. All of the patients signed an informed consent after being informed about the details of the study. This study was approved by the Kayseri Training and Research Hospital Ethics Committee. The cases that have serologically determined blood groups and Rh factor in the hospital records were included in the study and the patients with unknown blood group were excluded. Patients were classified according to blood groups (A, B, AB, and O) and Rh status (+/−).

The patients with the following criteria were defined as PE: onset at >20 weeks’ gestational age of 24-hour proteinuria ≥300 mg/day or, if not available, a protein concentration ≥30 mg (≥1+ on dipstick) in a minimum of two random urine samples collected at least 4–6 hours but no more than 7 days apart; a systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg measured twice, using an appropriate cuff, 4–6 hours and less than 7 days apart; and disappearance of all these abnormalities before the end of the sixth week postpartum. The patients who did not meet the diagnostic criteria were excluded.

The distribution of blood groups of the patients with PE was compared with the distribution of blood groups of healthy donors who were admitted to the Turkish Red Crescent Blood Service in Kayseri in the year 2012. Donors consisted of 17,314 healthy volunteers. After being compared with the healthy control group, the patients with the diagnosis of PE were examined for transitioning to overt HT postpartum by checking their drugs from the pharmacy data system in our country or by calling and inviting them to the hospital for the follow-up of blood pressure or 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. The patients with systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg were accepted as hypertensive. We evaluated the patients for HT at year 2014.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were given as mean ± standard deviation; categorical variables were defined as percentages. Qualitative variables are given as percent and for the correlation of the patients with PE and control group from the point of ABO blood groups. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data. The relation among the groups of healthy control, PE, and HT patients following PE was examined by the chi-square test. A P-value <0.05 was considered significant. In the presence of three or more independent variables, ratios and percentages were used to determine which group had the biggest difference, and the similar groups were combined to create 2*2 cross tables in order to evaluate the chi-square tests again (when the P-values were <0.05 in the multiwell chi-square tests). The other possible test was the binary comparison of the groups. Bonferroni correction was used when the groups were compared in doubles.
Table 1 Comparison of ABO blood groups between the patients with preeclampsia and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO blood groups*</th>
<th>Patients with preeclampsia, n (%)</th>
<th>Control group, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>69 (28)</td>
<td>5,423 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>104 (42)</td>
<td>7,756 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>46 (18)</td>
<td>2,819 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>31 (12)</td>
<td>1,316 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250 (100)</td>
<td>17,314 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P=0.029.

Results

The mean age of all patients with PE was 31.78±7.45 years. Of the women who developed PE, 28% had type O blood, 42% had type A blood, 18% had type B blood, and 12% had type AB blood, whereas those of control group (healthy donors) had 31%, 45%, 16%, and 8%, respectively. There was a significant difference between the patients with PE and control group in terms of distribution of ABO blood groups and the percentage of group AB was found to be higher in patients with PE compared to the control group (P=0.029) (Table 1). This significance was attributed to the group AB because the percentage of group AB was 12% in the group with PE while it was 8% in the control group. So, the patients were divided into two groups as AB and non-AB in order to make subanalyses. The percentages of patients with PE for AB and non-AB groups were 12% and 88%, whereas those of control group (healthy donors) were 8% and 92%, respectively (Table 2). The risk of developing PE was significantly higher in group AB than other blood groups (P=0.006).

Of the PE patients who did not develop HT, 25% had type O blood, 44% had type A blood, 19% had type B blood, and 25% had type AB blood, whereas the patients who developed HT had 55%, 20%, 10%, and 15%, respectively. Of the 250 patients with PE, 20 patients have developed HT postpartum. There was a significant difference between the patients developing HT after PE and PE without HT in terms of distribution of ABO blood groups and the percentage of group O was found to be higher in patients developing HT after PE (P=0.026) (Table 3). This significance was attributed to the group O because the percentage of group O was 55% in the group developing HT after PE while it was 25% in the group without HT. So, the patients were divided into two groups as O and non-O in order to make subanalyses. The percentages of patients with PE with HT for O and non-O groups were 55% and 45%, whereas those of PE without HT were 25% and 75%, respectively (Table 4). The risk of developing HT after PE was significantly higher in group O than other blood groups (P=0.004).

When the blood group O was compared with other blood groups, the odds for developing HT after PE were found to be 1.22 (11/9) and 0.33 (58/172), respectively.

We calculated and added the risk ratio as (0.55/0.25=2.2) and the odds ratio as (1.22/0.33=3.69). The risk ratio and odds ratio reflect the risk for blood group O.

The percentages of O Rh (+), O Rh (−), A Rh (+), A Rh (−), B Rh (+), B Rh (−), AB Rh (+), and AB Rh (−) groups were 22%, 3%, 38%, 6%, 16%, 3%, 12%, and 0%, respectively, in the PE patients without HT, whereas those of PE patients with HT were 55%, 0%, 20%, 0%, 5%, 5%, 15%, and 0%, respectively (Table 5). There was a significant difference between the groups – PE patients with or without HT – in terms of ABO blood groups with Rh factor and as seen in Table 5, the ratio of developing HT is found to be higher in the patients with + Rh factor (P=0.04).

As noted earlier, the risk of developing HT after PE was found to be higher in the group O; this significance was attributed to the group O Rh (+). So, the patients were divided into two groups – O Rh (+) and non-O Rh (+) – in

Table 2 Comparison of AB and non-AB (A, B, and O) blood groups between the patients with preeclampsia and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO blood groups*</th>
<th>Patients with preeclampsia, n (%)</th>
<th>Control group, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>31 (12)</td>
<td>1,316 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-AB</td>
<td>219 (88)</td>
<td>15,998 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250 (100)</td>
<td>17,314 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P=0.006.

Table 3 Comparison of ABO blood groups between the preeclampsia patients with or without hypertension postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO blood groups*</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients with hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients without hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>58 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>100 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>44 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>38 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>230 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P=0.026.

Table 4 Comparison of O and non-O (A, B, and AB) blood groups between the preeclampsia patients with or without hypertension postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO blood groups*</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients with hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients without hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>58 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-O</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>172 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>230 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P=0.004.
Comparison of ABO blood groups and Rh factor between the preeclampsia patients with or without hypertension postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO blood groups with Rh factor*</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients with hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
<th>Preeclampsia patients without hypertension postpartum, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O Rh (+)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>51 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Rh (−)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Rh (+)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>87 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Rh (−)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Rh (+)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>37 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Rh (−)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB Rh (+)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>28 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB Rh (−)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>230 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P=0.04.

We investigated the association between ABO/Rh blood groups and PE for a total of 250 patients. Our results indicate that women with blood group AB have a higher risk of PE. This finding of our study was found to be consistent with a population-based cohort study from Sweden which reported that blood group AB had the highest risk for PE (odds ratio 1.1) and also with another case-control study from Finland including 248 cases of PE which reported that women with blood group AB had a higher risk for PE than non-AB women (odds ratio 2.1), with the risk being larger for severe PE (odds ratio 2.3). The results of a meta-analysis demonstrated an association between maternal AB blood group and increased risk for developing PE.

As stated in a previous study that an abnormal hemostasis occurs in the uteroplacental circulation of women with PE, the association between AB blood group and PE may reflect the multifactorial character of thrombus formation. AB blood group subjects present increased levels of two important hemostatic factors, factor VIII and von Willebrand factor (VWF), and increased levels of these two hemostatic factors have been related to increased risk for thrombus formation in several conditions. The molecular mechanism of the effects of ABO on VWF is not completely understood. The most accepted hypothesis is that: ABO antigens would influence VWF glycosylation and therefore its plasma levels by preventing its proteolysis and clearance by ADAMTS13, a metalloprotease able to cleave VWF multimers. Posttranslational modification of VWF includes addition of sugar residues, the same that define ABO antigens. These sugar residues are located near the ADAMTS13 cleavage site on VWF molecule and may influence its proteolysis by steric hindrance or charge effects.

Since PE is a multifactorial disease, other thrombophilic factors may play a role in this disease in addition to ABO blood group. As shown in a study, the association between factor V Leiden and non-O blood group did not increase the risk of PE. Another possible explanation for the effects of ABO blood groups on the development of PE has been the placental protein 13. It is an early biomarker of PE with suspected function in the maternal–fetal immune interface, and differentially binds to erythrocytes from distinct ABO groups, with strongest binding to blood group AB.

There are many studies about the association of ABO/Rh blood groups and PE but still there are not enough studies in the literature about the association between ABO/Rh blood groups and developing HT after PE. Despite the significant number of studies that implicate increased blood pressure and cardiovascular risk in women following a pregnancy...
complicated by HT, there is little information about the mechanisms that link this association. A study showed that women with previous PE had worse endothelial function, more atherosclerosis, higher microalbuminuria, and higher uric acid levels compared with controls. Recent studies indicate that genetic variants at the ABO locus are associated with vascular inflammatory agents, such as soluble E-selectin, P-selectin, and ICAM-1 that are associated with HT and type 2 diabetes.

In the second part of the study, we investigated this association and there was a significant difference between the patients developing HT after PE and PE without HT in terms of distribution of ABO blood groups, and the percentage of group O was found to be higher in patients developing HT after PE. Further studies are needed to understand the underlying biological base and the biological processes responsible for this effect.

There was a significant difference between the groups – PE patients with or without HT – in terms of ABO blood groups with Rh factor and the ratio of developing HT is found to be higher in the patients with + Rh factor.

Because the ABO/Rh blood group phenotypes are stable throughout life time, it is important to determine the association between the ABO/Rh blood groups and the risk of developing PE and HT after PE because of the clinical implications. The ABO blood group typing is a simple test and it is already a part of antenatal follow-up. A new study from Turkey performed by Karagoz et al stated that the patients with blood group AB have a higher risk for gestational diabetes and the patients with gestational diabetes of blood group O are under the higher risk of developing diabetes mellitus that was consistent with our results. If our findings of this study can be replicated by other studies performed on other nations in larger populations, ABO blood group phenotypes may be used as a single risk factor or in combination with other risk factors to identify individuals at high risk for possible prevention of PE and also developing HT after PE.

**Conclusion**

In summary, this study found that the patients with blood group AB have a higher risk for PE. Considering the role of ABO blood groups on the hemostatic process and thrombus formation, prophylactic low dose aspirin may be recommended in this population in an attempt to prevent disease.

Especially, patients with PE of blood group O are under a high risk of developing HT and + Rh factor must also be remembered as another risk at this point and so these patients should be closely followed postpartum.
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