

Cardiac dysfunction among soft tissue sarcoma patients in Denmark

Sumitra Shantakumar¹Morten Olsen²Thao T Vo³Mette Nørgaard²Lars Pedersen²

¹Worldwide Epidemiology Department, GlaxoSmithKline Pte Ltd, Singapore; ²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ³Worldwide Epidemiology, GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, USA

Purpose: Soft tissue sarcoma (STS) patients may experience post-treatment cardiotoxicity, yet no population-based data exist. We examined the incidence of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) decline, heart failure, and cardiac death following STS diagnosis among adults, using Danish patient registries and medical record review.

Patients and methods: LVEF decline was examined in a regional cohort of STS patients diagnosed during 1997–2011 in Western Denmark for whom cardiac imaging data were available. LVEF decline was defined as an absolute decline from baseline to follow-up of 10% or more, or, where baseline imaging was not available, a decline below the lower limit of normal (or 40%) for a follow-up LVEF. Heart failure and cardiac death were investigated in a national Danish cohort of all STS patients diagnosed from 2000 to 2009. We followed patients from STS diagnosis until heart failure, cardiac death, emigration or December 31, 2012 (whichever occurred first).

Results: The incidence rate of LVEF decline for the regional cohort with follow-up data (N=100, five events) or baseline and follow-up measurements (N=75, 19 events) was 16.8 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 7.0–40.3) and 108 (95% CI: 69–170), respectively, per 1,000 person-years. In the national cohort (N=1,187), the incidence of heart failure (40 events) and cardiac death (15 events) was 7.3 (95% CI: 5.4–10.0) and 2.7 (95% CI: 1.6–4.5), respectively, per 1,000 person-years. The strongest predictors of heart failure were doxorubicin treatment (hazard ratio [HR] =2.2, 95% CI: 0.5–10.2) and pre-existing cardiovascular disease (HR=6.3, 95% CI: 0.98–40.6).

Conclusion: LVEF decline occurred more frequently compared to heart failure or cardiac death in a nationally representative cohort of Danish STS patients.

Keywords: sarcoma, heart failure, LVEF, cardiac death

Introduction

Management of soft tissue sarcoma (STS) is complex and requires a multidisciplinary approach using surgery, radiotherapy, and/or chemotherapy. In advanced disease, chemotherapy currently constitutes as the core treatment, but there is no accepted standard of care; available regimens have shown limited success regarding improving survival. Many of these treatments can cause cardiovascular complications, including left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure, myocardial ischemia, hypertension, and arrhythmias.^{1–5} The cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines is particularly well described, but other chemotherapeutic agents have cardiotoxic potential.^{1,3,6} Further, the thorax can damage the pericardium, myocardium, valves, and coronary vessels.⁷

Correspondence: Sumitra Shantakumar
Worldwide Epidemiology Department,
GlaxoSmithKline Pte Ltd, 150 Beach
Road, 26-00 Gateway West, 189720,
Singapore
Tel +65 6232 8258
Email sumitra.y.shantakumar@gsk.com



The cardiotoxicity of anticancer agents can lead to significant complications with major impact on quality of life and survival.⁸ Toxicity severity may depend on many factors, such as the molecular site of action, the immediate and cumulative dose, the method of administration, the combination and sequence of therapies, the presence of underlying cardiac conditions, and patient demographics.⁹ Cardiotoxic effects can occur immediately during administration of the drug, or they may not manifest until months or years following treatment.¹⁰ In clinical oncology practice, an asymptomatic decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is the most commonly encountered form of cardiotoxicity.^{8,11,12}

Innovation in STS treatment has been limited in the past 30 years, but recent investigational drugs include mTOR inhibitors and TK inhibitors (TKIs). The TKIs, such as imatinib and sunitinib, are already available for treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs); studies show imatinib has sustained objective responses in more than 50% of GIST patients.¹³ Since angiogenesis is important for the growth of STS, TKIs including sorafenib, sunitinib and pazopanib, which inhibit the VEGF or VEGFR pathway, have also been assessed as potential therapies for STS patients.^{14–16} Some cardiotoxicities have been observed among patients treated with VEGF targeting agents.¹⁷ Cardiac related events have been observed in clinical trials of STS patients treated with pazopanib.¹⁸

Although cardiotoxicity can be a significant complication in STS patients, there are no population-based data on the incidence of LVEF decline, heart failure, and cardiac death in STS patients. As part of the pharmacovigilance and risk management strategies for pazopanib, the objective of this study was to describe “real-world” background rates of cardiac events in the target population for pazopanib, STS patients.

Methods

A cohort study was conducted among all adult (age ≥ 18 years) STS patients in Denmark. STS patients were retrospectively identified in the Danish Cancer Registry or National Pathology Registry via relevant International Statistical Classification of Diseases 10th revision (ICD-10) diagnosis codes or Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED) morphology codes. The Danish Cancer Registry contains records of all incident cancers (~5.5 million) in Denmark since 1943 and the National Pathology Registry includes data on cancer pathology tests performed since 1997. Using the Danish Civil Registration Number, a unique identification number assigned to every Danish citizen at

birth or immigration, linkages were made to other national databases for obtaining data on patient demographics (Danish Civil Registration System), outpatient and hospitalization diagnosis or procedure history (Danish National Registry of Patients [DNRP]), prescriptions and concomitant medications (Prescription Databases of the Central Denmark Region and North Denmark Region), and immigration or death (Danish Register of Causes of Death).

Only patients with STS indicated as a first primary cancer were included. Those who developed multiple primary cancers, before or at the time of the initial STS diagnosis, were excluded. To allow for a minimum of 12 months of follow-up time for each patient, the study population was limited to patients who were diagnosed, at minimum, 12 months prior to the last date in which data were available. The index date was defined as the date of initial STS diagnosis.

The incidence of heart failure and cardiac death was investigated in all STS patients diagnosed from 2000 to 2009 in Denmark (national cohort). Diagnosis of heart failure or congestive heart failure was identified from the DNRP using ICD-10 codes (I11.0, I13.0, I13.2, I42.0, I42.6, I42.7, I42.9, I50.0, I50.1, and I50.9). The DNRP does not include data related to disease stage or treatment. Cardiac death was determined from cause of death ICD-10 codes (I00-25, I27, I30-5) in the Danish Register of Causes of Death.

The incidence of cardiac dysfunction, as measured by LVEF decline, was evaluated among a subset of patients in Western Denmark (regional cohort) for whom cardiac imaging data were available. Since 1979, the treatment of STS patients in Western Denmark has been carried out at the Sarcoma Centre of Aarhus University Hospital. For the cardiac dysfunction analysis, all patients who had contact with this department from 1997 to 2011 were identified from the DNRP. For each patient, a detailed medical record review was conducted by physicians or nurses under doctors supervision to obtain cardiac imaging results. Abstracted data included LVEF measurements from multigated acquisition scans or echocardiography, the institutional lower limit of normal (LLN), and signs or symptoms of heart failure as these data are not recorded in existing registries. LVEF was recorded at baseline (between index date and initiation of first cancer treatment) and follow-up (any LVEF measurement after baseline). If multiple LVEF measurements were available at the time of baseline, the measurement closest to the index date was chosen. For those with only follow-up LVEF, cardiac dysfunction was defined as an LVEF measurement below the institutional LLN or LVEF below 40% when the institutional LLN was unavailable. Among patients with both

baseline and follow-up LVEF measurements, LVEF decline was defined as an absolute drop from baseline to follow-up of 10% or greater. Patients with baseline LVEF below the institutional LLN, pre-existing cardiovascular disease or heart failure were excluded from LVEF decline analyses.

In both the national and regional cohorts, recorded covariates included patient demographics (age and sex), diagnosis year, sarcoma subtype, and date of death. Additional covariates available for the regional cohort through medical record review included STS stage, metastasis site (secondary STS, bone, brain, lymph nodes, liver lung, and other), radiation (yes/no), surgery (yes/no), chemotherapy (yes/no, type and dose, neo-adjuvant/adjuvant), and presence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease or cardiac risk factors. Conditions comprising pre-existing cardiovascular disease included coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia, valvular disease, pulmonary embolism, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, anemia, pericardial effusion, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, and concomitant cardiac medications (beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, or angiotensin receptor blockers).

The incidence of heart failure and cardiac death was evaluated among all STS patients (national cohort); the LVEF decline analysis was limited to patients with cardiac imaging (regional cohort). Therefore, analyses were conducted separately for the national and regional cohorts, and the presence of any systematic differences between these two cohorts was assessed. Continuous variables were summarized with mean, standard deviation, median and range; number and proportion are provided for categorical variables.

To calculate incidence rates, the numerator comprised the number of STS patients with the outcome occurring during the follow-up period after cancer diagnosis (index date). The denominator was equal to the total person-years (PY) contributed to being at risk (ie, alive, had no cardiac dysfunction or heart failure) from the index date of STS diagnosis to the end of follow-up (date of heart failure diagnosis, cardiac death, emigration or December 31, 2012, whichever occurred first). Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of heart failure or cardiac death among STS patients in the regional cohort.

No potentially identifying patient information was included, so written consent was not required. The study posed minimal privacy risk for patients, and was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency. Access to medical records and abstraction of data by physicians/nurses for the regional cohort was approved by the Danish Board of Health.

Results

From 2000 to 2009, 1,187 patients diagnosed with STS were identified for the national cohort, with a mean and median follow-up time of 4.6 and 4.1 years, respectively. Among these STS patients, 45% were female and 49% were aged ≥ 60 years (Table 1). The most common subtypes of STS diagnoses were liposarcoma (33%) and leiomyosarcoma (32%).

For the regional cohort with cardiac imaging data, 670 patients with STS who had visited the oncology department in Aarhus from 1997 to 2011 were identified. Patients were excluded for having a previous diagnosis of a different cancer ($N=109$), having an STS diagnosis prior to 1997 ($N=3$), or having been diagnosed with osteosarcoma or GIST ($N=9$), thereby leaving 539 eligible patients. Among the eligible patients, 75 patients had both baseline and follow-up cardiac imaging data in their medical record, 25 had follow-up data only and the remaining 439 patients had only baseline measurements or no cardiac imaging performed. The regional cohort was followed for a mean of 4.7 years and median of 3.6 years.

Compared to the full regional cohort, patients with baseline and follow-up data were less likely to be female (41% vs 46%), aged ≥ 60 years (32% vs 42%), have liposarcoma (19% vs 31%), or have early stage I disease (5.3% vs 14.5%) (data not shown). Metastatic disease, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy were more commonly observed among patients with both baseline and follow-up cardiac imaging data (12%, 100%, 65%, respectively) compared to the full regional cohort (7%, 33%, 42%, respectively); the proportion of patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease was similar (41%) in both groups.

Among 100 STS patients in the regional cohort with available follow-up cardiac imaging data, five patients experienced LVEF decline (20%–40% of LLN) during 298.4 PY of follow-up, corresponding to an incidence rate of 16.8 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 7.0–40.3) per 1,000 PY (Table 2). For 75 patients with both baseline and follow-up cardiac imaging data, LVEF decline (absolute drop $\geq 10\%$) occurred in 19 patients over 175.3 PY of follow-up, for an incidence rate of 108 (95% CI: 69–170) per 1,000 PY. Among the 19 patients with a documented event, 17 experienced an absolute drop in LVEF from baseline to follow-up between 10% and 20%; the remaining two patients had a decline in LVEF that was $>20\%$ (data not shown). Incidence of LVEF decline was higher for patients aged 60–79 years in both groups (44.9 and 294, respectively, per 1,000 PY). No consistent pattern in incidence rates by stage of disease was observed.

Table I Baseline characteristics of soft tissue sarcoma (STS) patients in the national Danish cohort (2000–2009) and regional cohort in Western Denmark (1997–2011) by cardiac imaging availability

Characteristics	National Cohort (N=1,187)		Regional cohort by cardiac imaging availability (N=539)					
			Baseline and Follow-up (N=75)		Follow-up only (N=25)		None or baseline only (N=439)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Age at diagnosis, years								
<40	226	19.0	18	24.0	8	32.0	65	14.8
40–59	379	32.0	33	44.0	11	44.0	174	39.7
60–79	461	38.9	24	32.0	6	24.0	164	37.3
≥80	121	10.2	0	0	0	0	36	8.2
Sex								
Female	535	45.1	31	41.3	12	48.0	207	47.2
Male	652	54.9	44	58.7	13	52.0	232	52.8
STS subtype								
Leiomyosarcoma	381	32.1	32	42.7	11	44.0	147	33.5
Liposarcoma	392	33.0	14	18.7	3	12.0	152	34.6
Synovial sarcoma	84	7.1	16	21.3	3	12.0	37	8.4
Angiosarcoma	80	6.8	5	6.7	2	8.0	22	5.0
Rhabdomyosarcoma	74	6.2	3	4.0	5	20.0	10	2.3
Other	176	14.9	4	5.3	1	4	60	13.7
Unclassified	0	0	1	1.3	0	0	11	2.5
STS stage at diagnosis								
I	–	–	4	5.3	0	0	74	16.9
II	–	–	5	6.7	2	8.0	62	14.1
III	–	–	20	26.7	5	20.0	58	13.2
IV	–	–	9	12.0	3	12.0	25	5.7
Unknown	–	–	37	49.3	15	60.0	220	50.1
Treatment type								
Chemotherapy	–	–	75	100	25	100	81	18.5
Radiation	–	–	49	65.3	15	60.0	160	36.4
Surgery	–	–	64	85.3	22	88.0	416	94.8
Pre-existing cardiovascular disease	–	–	31	41.3	7	28.0	182	41.5
Death after diagnosis								
1-year	197	19.6	13	17.3	5	20.0	51	11.6
5-year	447	37.7	43	57.3	18	72.0	131	29.8

Abbreviations: –, not available; STS, soft tissue sarcoma.

The cumulative incidence of heart failure and cardiac death in the national cohort of 1,187 STS patients was 3.4% and 1.3%, respectively. Heart failure occurred in 40 patients over 5,449 PY of follow-up for an overall incidence rate of 7.3 (95% CI: 5.4–10.0) per 1,000 PY (Table 3). Heart failure incidence increased with age, ranging from 0.8 per 1,000 PY in patients under age 40 to 35.2 per 1,000 PY among those aged ≥80 years. Heart failure was less common in males (6.6 per 1,000 PY) compared to females (8.3 per 1,000 PY). The incidence of heart failure was highest for patients diagnosed with hemangiosarcoma (21.2 per 1,000 PY) compared to the other subtypes of STS (range: 0–8.3 per 1,000 PY). During 5,510 PY of follow-up, 15 patients had cardiac death recorded. The cardiac-specific mortality was 2.7 (95% CI: 1.6–4.5) per 1,000 PY; mortality increased to 17.1 per 1,000 PY for those aged ≥80 years. Regarding

STS subtypes, cardiac-specific mortality was highest for fibrosarcoma patients (5.1 per 1,000 PY).

In Table 4, predictors of heart failure, cardiac death, and overall mortality were assessed for STS patients in the full regional cohort. Pre-existing cardiovascular disease was the strongest predictor of heart failure (hazard ratio [HR] =6.31, 95% CI: 0.98–40.55) and cardiac death (HR =5.86, 95% CI: 0.82–42.06). Treatment with doxorubicin chemotherapy was strongly associated with overall mortality (HR =4.92, 95% CI: 3.67–6.59).

Discussion

This study provides real-world data on the background rates of cardiac dysfunction, heart failure, and cardiac death in STS patients using nationwide Danish population-based registries. Overall, LVEF decline was relatively common, but few heart

Table 2 Incidence rate (per 1,000 person-years) of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) decline among soft tissue sarcoma patients with cardiac imaging at follow-up in Western Denmark (regional cohort), 1997–2011

Characteristics	LVEF decline					Baseline and follow-up data ^b				
	Follow-up data ^a									
	Total N	Number of events	%	Person- years	Incidence rate (95% confidence interval)	Total N	Number of events	%	Person- years	Incidence rate (95% confidence interval)
Total	100	5	5.0	298.4	16.8 (7.0–40.3)	75	19	25.3	175.3	108 (69–170)
Age, years										
<40	26	0	0.0	99.4	–	18	2	11.1	63.8	31 (7.8–125)
40–59	44	2	4.5	132.2	15.1 (3.8–60.5)	33	9	27.3	84.3	107 (56–205)
60–79	30	3	10.0	66.9	44.9 (14.5–139)	24	8	33.3	27.2	294 (147–588)
Sex										
Female	43	2	4.7	144.8	13.8 (3.5–55.2)	31	8	25.8	76.7	104 (52–208)
Male	57	3	5.3	153.6	19.5 (6.3–61)	44	11	25.0	98.6	112 (62–201)
Chemotherapy										
Doxorubicin	86	4	4.7	252.6	15.8 (5.9–42.2)	66	16	24.2	148	108 (66–177)
Epirubicin	1	1	100	0.3	3204 (451–22,746)	1	1	100	0.3	3204 (451–22,745)
Other	7	0	0.0	26.7	–	4	0	0	20.3	–
Vincristine	1	0	0.0	3.7	–	1	1	100	2.4	421 (59–2,990)
Ifosfamide	5	0	0.0	15.1	–	3	1	33.3	4.3	231 (33–1,642)
Stage at diagnosis										
IA	1	0	0	3.1	–	1	0	0	3.1	–
IB	3	0	0	8.1	–	3	1	33.3	6.2	161.6 (22.8–1,147.4)
IIA	3	1	33.3	12.5	79.6 (11.3–567.2)	3	1	33.3	12.5	79.9 (11.3–567.2)
IIB	4	1	25.0	13.6	73.7 (10.4–523.1)	2	1	50.0	6.5	154.6 (21.8–1,097.3)
III	25	1	4.0	75.6	13.2 (1.9–93.6)	20	4	20.0	36.3	110.3 (41.4–293.9)
IV	12	1	8.3	18.6	53.9 (7.6–382.6)	9	3	33.3	6.9	437.1 (141.0–1,355.2)
Unknown	52	1	1.9	166.8	6.0 (0.8–42.6)	37	9	24.3	103.9	86.6 (45.1–166.5)

Notes: ^aLVEF decline: follow-up LVEF below lower limit of normal (or <40%). ^bLVEF decline: absolute drop from baseline to follow-up of 10% or greater.

Abbreviations: –, not available; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

failure events or cardiac-related deaths were observed in the national cohort of Danish STS patients. It is possible that heart failure and cardiac death may manifest later in the post-treatment period and occur outside the follow-up period for STS patients in this study. However, the estimated incidence rates observed for these cardiac events in the present study are consistent with previously reported rates among the general population of adults in Denmark or other Northern European countries.^{19–22} Doxorubicin treatment was a strong predictor for both heart failure and overall mortality in the regional cohort (for whom treatment data were available) which may be related to having more aggressive disease, use of the drug, or both.

The incidence of LVEF decline was considerably higher among patients with baseline and follow-up cardiac imaging measurements compared to patients with follow-up data only. It is possible that absolute decline of LVEF from baseline to follow-up is a more sensitive measure of cardiac dysfunction. Another possible explanation is that patients with baseline and follow-up cardiac imaging received additional medical intervention due to more severe disease or the presence of comorbidities. However, patients with follow-up data only

had a higher 5-year death rate (72%) compared to patients with baseline and follow-up data available (57%).

Cardiac imaging data used to assess LVEF decline were only available for a relatively small number of patients from the Western Denmark region, covering approximately half of the Danish population. Further, few patients had both baseline and follow-up LVEF data available; using both data points is ideal for evaluating LVEF decline. Patients with no cardiac imaging or baseline data only generally had lower stage of disease, were less likely to receive chemotherapy or radiation, and had lower 1-year or 5-year death rates compared to patients with follow-up imaging data. Thus, it is possible these results overestimate the incidence of LVEF decline in the general STS population, assuming LVEF decline is less common in healthier STS patients. Information on STS stage, cancer treatments, and pre-existing cardiovascular disease was limited to medical record review in the regional cohort, leading to small sample sizes in some analytic subgroups. Nonetheless, these data were population-based and representative of all patients from Western Denmark.

Internal validity of this study is high due to the unique nature of the country-wide linked Danish health databases.

Table 3 Incidence or mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years) of heart failure and cardiac death among soft tissue sarcoma (STS) patients in Denmark (national cohort), 2000–2009

Characteristics	Heart failure					Cardiac death			
	Total N	Number of events	%	Person- years	Incidence rate (95% confidence interval)	Number of events	%	Person- years	Mortality rate (95% confidence interval)
Total	1,187	40	3.4	5,449.2	7.3 (5.4–10.0)	15	1.3	5,510.6	2.7 (1.6–4.5)
Age, years									
<40	226	1	0.4	1,217.0	0.8 (0.1–5.8)	0	0.0	1,219.2	–
40–59	379	6	1.6	2,095.9	2.9 (1.3–6.4)	0	0.0	2,104.4	–
60–79	461	21	4.6	1,795.2	11.7 (7.6–17.9)	9	2.0	1,835.4	4.9 (2.6–9.4)
≥80	121	12	9.9	341.1	35.2 (20.0–61.9)	6	5.0	351.6	17.1 (7.7–38.0)
Sex									
Female	535	20	3.7	2,410.9	8.3 (5.4–12.9)	5	0.9	2,445.6	2.0 (0.9–4.9)
Male	652	20	3.1	3,038.4	6.6 (4.2–10.2)	10	1.5	3,065.0	3.3 (1.8–6.1)
STS subtype									
Liposarcoma	392	14	3.6	2,112.5	6.6 (3.9–11.2)	3	0.8	2,149.2	1.4 (0.5–4.3)
Leiomyosarcoma	381	13	3.4	1,661.6	7.8 (4.5–13.5)	8	2.1	1,675.3	4.8 (2.4–9.5)
Fibrosarcoma	92	3	3.3	390.1	7.7 (2.5–23.8)	2	2.2	391.9	5.1 (1.3–20.4)
Synovial	84	2	2.4	322.5	6.2 (1.6–24.8)	0	0	322.6	–
Hemangiosarcoma	78	5	6.4	235.7	21.2 (8.8–51.0)	1	1.3	240.5	4.2 (0.6–29.5)
Rhabdomyosarcoma	74	0	0	298.3	–	0	0	298.3	–
Kaposi's	66	3	4.5	363.4	8.3 (2.7–25.6)	1	1.5	367.7	2.7 (0.4–19.3)
Neurofibrosarcoma	18	0	0	58.7	–	0	0	58.7	–
Lymphangiosarcoma	2	0	0	6.4	–	0	0	6.4	–
Event timing after STS diagnosis, years	1,187								
<1		13	1.1	1,076.1	12.1 (7.0–20.8)	6	0.5	1,079.4	5.6 (2.5–12.4)
<2		20	1.7	2,001.5	10.0 (6.4–15.5)	9	0.8	2,012.8	4.5 (2.3–8.6)
<5		33	2.8	3,995.8	8.3 (5.9–11.6)	13	1.1	4,034.6	3.2 (1.9–5.5)

Abbreviations: –, not available; STS, soft tissue sarcoma.

However, the results from this study are not generalizable to other geographic regions or populations. While the data linkages are 100% complete, available information may not be comprehensive. For example, the data for pre-existing cardiovascular disease in the regional cohort are limited to conditions requiring hospital admissions or outpatient clinic visits. To more accurately measure pre-existing cardiac diseases, concomitant use of cardiac

medications were assessed using the prescription databases to include a more sensitive measure of existing cardiac disease. However, the prescription databases do not include non-reimbursable drugs. Likewise, cancer treatments are not captured in the prescription databases and, therefore, those data in the regional cohort are based on medical record review. There is a potential for misclassification of the cause of cardiac death in terms of whether

Table 4 Cox proportional hazard modeling of predictors for heart failure and cardiac death among soft tissue sarcoma (STS) patients in Western Denmark (regional cohort, N=539), 1997–2011

Predictor	Heart failure Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)	Cardiac death Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)	Overall mortality Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)
Age, per year increase	1.00 (0.94–1.05)	1.1 (1.02–1.18)	1.03 (1.02–1.04)
Male (reference: female)	1.52 (0.35–6.5)	2.93 (0.57–15.04)	0.94 (0.72–1.22)
Received doxorubicin treatment*	2.18 (0.46–10.23)	–	4.92 (3.67–6.59)
Year of diagnosis, per year increase	1.02 (0.82–1.28)	0.88 (0.70–1.11)	0.98 (0.94–1.02)
Leiomyosarcoma**	0.93 (0.18–4.77)	1.21 (0.19–7.83)	0.67 (0.50–0.92)
Liposarcoma**	0.66 (0.11–4.17)	1.25 (0.19–8.05)	0.51 (0.35–0.72)
Pre-existing cardiovascular disease*	6.31 (0.98–40.55)	5.86 (0.82–42.06)	1.14 (0.85–1.50)

Notes: *Reference group is none. **Reference group is all other STS subtypes.

Abbreviation: –, not available.

the cancer alone or pre-existing cardiac disease leads to cardiac death.

Conclusion

The Danish databases are among the very few patient databases that are able to link cardiac imaging data to other medical information, including data related to clinical outcomes, medications, and comorbidities. There is currently no published population-based study on LVEF decline or cardiac dysfunction in STS patients, possibly due to the difficulty in identifying data sources containing cardiac imaging data. These study results fill an important knowledge gap and may improve patient safety with regard to cardiac management among heavily treated STS patients.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by GlaxoSmithKline. All aspects of the analysis were conducted by the Department of Clinical Epidemiology at Aarhus University Hospital in Denmark. The authors would like to thank Page Abrahamson for her help in drafting this manuscript.

Disclosure

Sumitra Shantakumar holds shares in GlaxoSmithKline. Thao Vo was employed by GlaxoSmithKline during the conduct of this study and is currently employed and owns shares at Merck. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

1. Bonita R, Pradhan R. Cardiovascular toxicities of cancer chemotherapy. *Semin Oncol*. 2013;40(2):156–167.
2. Khawaja MZ, Cafferkey C, Rajani R, Redwood S, Cunningham D. Cardiac complications and manifestations of chemotherapy for cancer. *Heart*. 2014;100(4):1133–1140.
3. Pai VB, MC Nahata. Cardiotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents: incidence, treatment and prevention. *Drug Saf*. 2000;22(4):263–302.
4. Svoboda M, Poprach A, Dobes S, Kiss I, Vyzula R. Cardiac toxicity of targeted therapies used in the treatment for solid tumours: a review. *Cardiovasc Toxicol*. 2012;12(3):191–207.
5. Yeh ET, Tong AT, Lenihan DJ, et al. Cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy: diagnosis, pathogenesis, and management. *Circulation*. 2004;109(25):3122–3131.
6. Smith LA, Cornelius VR, Plummer CJ, et al. Cardiotoxicity of anthracycline agents for the treatment of cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMC Cancer*. 2010;10(1):337.
7. Veinot JP, Edwards WD. Pathology of radiation-induced heart disease: a surgical and autopsy study of 27 cases. *Hum Pathol*. 1996;27(8):766–773.
8. Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS. Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials. *Cancer*. 2003;97(11):2869–2879.
9. Yeh ET, Bickford CL. Cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy: incidence, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53(24):2231–2247.
10. Lenihan DJ, Cardinale DM. Late cardiac effects of cancer treatment. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(30):3657–3664.
11. Yoon GJ, Telli ML, Kao DP, et al. Left ventricular dysfunction in patients receiving cardiotoxic cancer therapies are clinicians responding optimally? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56(20):1644–1650.
12. Telli ML, Hunt SA, Carlson RW, Guardino AE. Trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity: calling into question the concept of reversibility. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(23):3525–3533.
13. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Blanke CD, et al. Efficacy and safety of imatinib mesylate in advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347(7):472–480.
14. Jain A, Sajeevan KV, Babu KG, Lakshmaiah KC. Chemotherapy in adult soft tissue sarcoma. *Indian J Cancer*. 2009;46(4):274–287.
15. George S, Merriam P, Maki RG, et al. Multicenter phase ii trial of sunitinib in the treatment of nongastrointestinal stromal tumor sarcomas. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(19):3154–3160.
16. Pacey S, Ratain MJ, Flaherty KT, et al. Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in a subset of patients with advanced soft tissue sarcoma from a Phase II randomized discontinuation trial. *Invest New Drugs*. 2011;29(3):481–488.
17. Bhave M, Akhter N, Rosen ST. Cardiovascular toxicity of biologic agents for cancer therapy. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2014;28(6):482–490.
18. van der Graaf WT, Blay JY, Chawla SP, et al. Pazopanib for metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma (PALETTE): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9829):1879–1886.
19. Guha K, McDonagh T. Heart failure epidemiology: European perspective. *Curr Cardiol Rev*. 2013;9(2):123–127.
20. Bleumink GS, Knetsch AM, Sturkenboom MC, et al. Quantifying the heart failure epidemic: prevalence, incidence rate, lifetime risk and prognosis of heart failure The Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2004;25(18):1614–1619.
21. Nichols M, Townsend N, Scarborough P, Rayner M. Trends in age-specific coronary heart disease mortality in the European Union over three decades: 1980–2009. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34(39):3017–3027.
22. Mari-Dell'Olmo M, Gotsens M, Palencia L, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in cause-specific mortality in 15 European cities. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2015;69(5):432–441.

Clinical Epidemiology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventive initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

Dovepress

systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.