Impact of anxiety symptoms on outcomes of depression: an observational study in Asian patients
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Objective: To investigate the impact of anxiety symptoms on depression outcomes in Asian patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) (n=714).

Methods: The 17-item Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD-17), overall severity, somatic symptoms, and quality of life (QOL) (EuroQOL Questionnaire-5 Dimensions [EQ-5D]) were assessed at baseline and 3 months. Anxiety was measured using items 10 and 11 from the HAMD-17. Linear, tobit, and logistic multiple regression models analyzed the impact of anxiety symptoms on outcomes. Baseline anxiety was related to age and the presence of pain symptoms at baseline.

Results: Regression models showed that a higher level of anxiety was associated with a lower frequency of remission and lower QOL at 3 months. Patients with lower baseline anxiety symptoms had higher remission rates (odds ratio for each point of anxiety symptoms, 0.829 [95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.723–0.951]). Patients with higher levels of baseline anxiety had a lower QOL at 3 months (a decrease in EQ-5D tariff score for each point of anxiety symptoms, 0.023 [95% CI: 0.045–0.001]).

Conclusion: In conclusion, the presence of anxiety symptoms negatively impacts the outcomes of depression.
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Introduction

Anxiety and depressive disorders are highly comorbid.¹–⁴ In an analysis of data from the World Health Organization (WHO) World Mental Health surveys (a series of large community epidemiological surveys conducted in ten developed and eight developing countries), for example, all 14 of the 12-month DSM-IV disorders – including anxiety disorders – were significantly and positively associated with 12-month major depressive episodes.² In his review of depression and anxiety, Tiller⁴ concluded that approximately 85% of patients with depression have significant anxiety and that 90% of patients with anxiety disorder have depression.

The presence of anxiety symptoms in individuals with major depressive disorder (MDD) has long been proposed as an indicator of poor treatment response and a worse prognosis.⁵ Findings, however, have been mixed; some studies report that response rates in patients with anxious depression were not significantly lower than response rates in those with nonanxious depression,⁶–⁸ while other studies found treatment to be less effective in patients with anxious depression compared with those with nonanxious depression.⁹–¹¹ More recently, the debate was rekindled by findings from the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) study, in which patients with anxious depression were found to be less likely to respond to...
and achieve remission following antidepressant treatment compared with those with nonanxious depression. Once again, however, findings from subsequent studies and analyses continue to suggest that anxiety is not a strong predictor of the treatment outcome of depression, and so the debate continues. Pain further complicates the clinical picture. An association has been reported between pain and depression, as well as between pain and anxiety. Pain is often found alongside anxiety and depression in primary care patients and the three phenomena may exhibit different relationships over time. Pain, like anxiety, has been proposed as a predictor of poor outcomes in depression; depressed patients with pain have been reported to have lower response rates and lower quality of life (QOL) outcomes compared with those without pain.

Much of the research into anxious depression, however, has been conducted in European and US populations, and there is little information available from Asian countries. The objective of this analysis was to assess the influence of anxiety symptoms on outcomes in Asian patients with MDD, taking into account the presence of painful physical symptoms (PPS). We also evaluated the interaction between anxiety symptoms and pain.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

Data for this post hoc analysis were taken from a prospective, noninterventional observational study designed to assess the frequency of somatic symptoms in East Asian patients treated for an acute episode of MDD in psychiatric care settings. The study enrolled patients from 30 study sites across six East Asian countries and regions: People’s Republic of China, Hong Kong, Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, and Taiwan. Patients were recruited from June 14, 2006 to February 15, 2007, with patients assessed at baseline and at 3 months after treatment.

Patients eligible for inclusion in the study were inpatients or outpatients, at least 18 years of age, who presented with a new or first episode of MDD, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition Text Revision or International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision. In addition, patients also had to have a Clinical Global Impression-Score of Illness (CGI-S) score of ≥4 (moderate) at study entry, at least 2 months free of depression symptoms prior to the onset of the present episode, and consent to participate. Patients were excluded if their current depressive episode had persisted for more than 6 continuous months; if they had a previous or current diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, or dementia; were experiencing chronic treatment-resistant pain or pain of an inflammatory origin related to an identified medical condition; or if they were simultaneously participating in another study that included a treatment intervention or an investigational drug.

There were neither restrictions nor recommendations regarding treatment; all treatment decisions were based solely on the clinician’s usual practice in the provision of care to patients with MDD. Adverse events were reported to the appropriate authority according to each country’s local rules, regulations, and legislation. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki and that are consistent with the International Conference on Harmonization good clinical practice guidelines. The study was approved by the institutional or ethical review board of at least one site in each participating country or region. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their legal representative prior to enrollment.

Measures

Demographic and clinical data were collected at the baseline visit. Overall disease severity, and depression and anxiety severity were assessed at baseline and 3 months after treatment. The severity of depression was measured using the 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD-17); this measure includes anxiety symptoms. Anxiety symptoms were measured separately using items 10 and 11 from the HAMD-17, in which anxiety is rated on a scale from 0 to 8, with higher anxiety scores indicating increasing severity of anxiety. The modified 15-item Hamilton Rating Scale (HAMD-15) excludes anxiety items 10 and 11 and so assesses the severity of depression without anxiety. Overall disease severity was assessed using the CGI-S.

The presence and absence of PPS (PPS+ and PPS−) was defined as a mean score of ≥2 on the pain-related items of the Somatic Symptom Inventory (SSI). The SSI is a 28-item, patient self-report scale that assesses the extent to which each of 28 somatic symptoms bothered the patient over the previous week, using a scale ranging from 1 ("not at all") to 5 ("a great deal"); the pain-related items are abdominal, lower back, joint, neck, heart and chest pain, headache, and muscular soreness.

QOL and health status were assessed using the EuroQOL Questionnaire-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D). This is a self-rated,
generic, health-related QOL instrument consisting of two parts; five questions on general health covering the dimensions of mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression (EQ-5D); and a visual analog scale (VAS) that patients use to assess their current level of health on the day of scoring from 0 (worst imaginable health state) to 100 (best imaginable health state) (EQ-VAS). The five questions on general health were translated into QOL tariff scores using the available UK population tariffs.29

Remission was defined as a HAMD-17 total score of ≤7 at 3 months.

**Statistical analysis**

The association between anxiety symptoms at baseline and the outcomes of depression was analyzed using regression models. Multiple linear regression was used for the HAMD-15 and HAMD-17 scores, the CGI, and the EQ-5D VAS. Tobit regression was used for EQ-5D score to account for the ceiling effect at 1 (43% of the sample). Logistic regression was used for remission.

All models were adjusted for age, sex, PPS status, country, the baseline value of the outcome variable, and any other variable associated to the outcome ($P<0.10$) in the descriptive analysis; the variables that were tested were as follows: previous MDD episodes, hospitalizations, marital status, employment, living arrangements, cardiovascular disease, CGI, HAMD-15, pain intensity, SSI total score, EQ-5D VAS, and tariff. In all models, the interaction term anxiety–PPS was tested.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS® version 9.2 for Windows™ (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

A total of 917 patients were recruited; eight had missing SSI data and so were excluded, leaving 909 patients enrolled in the study. Of these 909 patients, 714 (79%) had both baseline and 3-month assessments and were included in this analysis. Of the analysis population, 69% of patients were women, 45% had previous MDD episodes, and 49% had PPS (PPS+) at baseline. Mean age at the baseline visit was 45.90 (standard deviation [SD]: 14.18) years, mean CGI-S score was 4.79 (SD: 0.74), and HAMD-17 total score was 23.56 (SD: 5.72).

Analysis of anxiety symptom scores by patient characteristics at baseline is summarized in Table 1. There was a significant difference in anxiety symptoms by PPS status at baseline; patients who were PPS+ had a higher anxiety score than those who were PPS– ($P<0.001$). There were also age differences in anxiety ratings, with increasing anxiety ratings with age. There were no significant differences in anxiety symptom scores between any of the other baseline patient characteristic categories (ie, sex, marital status, employment status, previous MDD episodes, and number of comorbidities).

Analysis of anxiety scores at baseline and the severity of depression and QOL at baseline and at 3 months revealed that the correlation between anxiety was significant both at baseline and at 3 months (all $P<0.0001$; Table 2). This finding indicates that anxiety at baseline is related to severity of depression (HAMD-17 and HAMD-15), overall severity (CGI-S), and QOL at baseline, and that anxiety at baseline is also related to the same outcomes at 3 months.

The regression models analyzed the relationship between baseline anxiety and outcome variables, taking into account the presence of other baseline predictors (including HAMD-15, PPS, age, sex, country, hospitalization, marital status, living conditions, work, and comorbidities; Table 3).

**Table 1** Anxiety symptom score by baseline patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristic</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Anxiety symptom score, mean (SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>3.81 (1.46)</td>
<td>0.8445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>3.83 (1.47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>3.58 (1.49)</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40 to &lt;60</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>3.90 (1.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.99 (1.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/de facto spouse</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>3.86 (1.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3.61 (1.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/widowed/separated</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3.81 (1.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status (part-full-time work)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>3.87 (1.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>3.74 (1.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MDD episode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>3.88 (1.47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>3.76 (1.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS–</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>3.48 (1.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS+</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4.16 (1.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>3.78 (1.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.98 (1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.89 (1.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** MDD, major depressive disorder; PPS, painful physical symptoms; SD, standard deviation.
Patients with higher levels of baseline anxiety symptoms had lower remission rates (odds ratio [OR] of remission for each point of anxiety symptoms, 0.829 [95% CI: 0.723–0.951]). Patients with higher levels of baseline anxiety symptoms had a lower level of QOL at 3 months (decrease in EQ-5D VAS score for each point of anxiety symptoms, 0.955 [95% CI: 0.093–2.003]). In the models analyzing the impact of anxiety symptoms overall severity (CGI-S), HAMD-15 and EQ-5D scores showed that the presence of anxiety was associated with worse outcomes, but this association did not reach statistical significance when adjusting for other covariates. In all of the models, pain (PPS+ status) was highly predictive of poor outcomes. The interaction of anxiety and PPS+ was not significant in any of the models.

### Discussion

The main findings of this study were that anxiety symptoms in Asian MDD patients are associated with worse remission and QOL (EQ-5D tariff score) at 3 months. These findings were maintained in the regression model when adjusting for other covariates. The relationship between anxiety, depression, and QOL outcomes was strong in the descriptive analysis, but was weaker when adjusted for the presence of other covariates, particularly pain status. Our findings also indicate that pain is a significant predictor of poor outcome and that anxiety and pain are related.

As findings in the literature are mixed, the findings of this analysis conflict with some studies and agree with others. Specifically, the findings of our analysis conflict with the large amount of data from placebo-controlled clinical trials of antidepressants, and a number of analyses that suggest that anxiety is not a strong predictor of the treatment outcome of depression, that response rates in patients with anxious depression were not significantly lower than response rates in those with nonanxious depression, and that drug–placebo differences did not differ between the two groups.

In contrast, our findings are in line with a modest amount of data from a smaller number of open-label and naturalistic studies that reported the presence of anxiety symptoms in individuals with MDD to be an indicator of poor treatment response and a worse prognosis.

There is currently no universally accepted definition of anxious depression, the WHO is revising the primary health care classification of mental and behavioral disorders to accommodate this condition. It is possible that different results relating to anxious depression outcomes are being reported by different studies because they are assessing subtly different patient populations, and using different measures to assess anxiety symptoms within these populations. This study focused on MDD patients with anxiety symptoms and used a modified version of the HAMD-17 to do this. In the STAR*D study, anxious depression was defined as MDD with high levels of anxiety symptoms based on a different modification of the HAMD-17 scale. The Clinical Research Center for Depression (CRESCEND) study specifically excluded comorbid anxiety disorder from their anxious depression population, and used a Hamilton Anxiety Scale total score of ≥20 to define anxious depression. Further work is required to optimize the identification of anxious depression as a clinically significant subtype of depression, and as an aid to tailoring treatment for individual MDD patients.

Differing effects among antidepressant drugs have been reported, and it is possible that the selection of antidepressants with anxiolytic effects may lead to improved depression outcomes in patients with anxious depression. Studies that have not found anxiety symptoms to predict poorer depression outcomes may have used different antidepressants with

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pearson correlation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S score</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-17 total score</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-15 total score</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D tariff score</td>
<td>-0.334</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D VAS score</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S score</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-17 total score</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-15 total score</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D tariff score</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D VAS score</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** 1HAMD-15 includes all but anxiety symptoms of HAMD-17.

**Abbreviations:** CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression-Severity; EQ-5D, EuroQOL Questionnaire-5 Dimensions; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating scale; QOL, quality of life; VAS, visual analog scale.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Effect of anxiety symptoms</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S score</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.100 to 0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-15 total score</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>-0.085 to 0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D VAS score</td>
<td>-0.955</td>
<td>-2.003 to 0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D tariff score</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.045 to -0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety OR*</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.723 to 0.951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** 1Regression coefficient obtained with multiple linear regression, except for the EQ-5D tariff where tobit regression was used. 2OR from logistic regression.

**Abbreviations:** CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression-Severity; EQ-5D, EuroQOL Questionnaire-5 Dimensions; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating scale; VAS, visual analog scale; OR, odds ratio; QOL, quality of life; CI, confidence interval.
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anxiolytic effects. It has also been suggested that the use of fixed treatment modalities, as occurred in the STAR*D study rather than a broader treatment (flexible treatment plus the allowance of concomitant medications including anxiolytics/hypnotics and antipsychotics) as used in the CRESCEND study, might account for different findings between studies. This analysis did not take into account the antidepressant prescribed, or the treatment modality (broad and flexible versus fixed), which might have influenced outcomes.

The findings from this study indicate that anxiety and pain are related. This finding is in line with those from the study conducted by Means-Christensen et al in which an association was reported not only between pain and depression, but also between pain and anxiety; patients with an anxiety or depressive disorder reported greater interference from pain, while patients with pain symptoms reported lower mental health functioning and more severe depression and anxiety disorders. Anxiety, depression, and pain appear to share similar pathophysiological mechanisms and a neurochemical pathway that is influenced by serotonin. However, the association between these phenomena is complex, underlying mechanisms are not yet fully understood, and the specific interrelationships remain unclear.

While the relationships between anxiety symptoms and pain in MDD remain obscure, the clinical implications of these findings seem clear – that clinicians need to take into account the presence of both anxiety and pain when treating patients with depression.

Limitations

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this analysis. Given the observational design of the study from which the data are drawn, our findings should be interpreted conservatively. The presence of anxiety symptoms in our MDD patients was assessed using two items of the HAM-D and not with a specific scale. Only patients from psychiatric care settings and no patients from primary care were included, which means that our patient sample is not representative of the whole MDD population in these Asian countries and thus limits the generalizability of our findings to primary care patients with MDD. As mentioned previously, this analysis did not take into account the antidepressant prescribed, which may influence outcomes at 3 months. Furthermore, the use of pain relief medication was not assessed. Finally, as there is no Asian EQ-5D tariff, we applied the commonly used UK tariff to the EQ-5D data of the Asian patients to calculate the utility scores. While there is evidence that different populations (including different racial/ethnic populations) value health states differently, the EQ-5D has been shown to be useful for assessing QOL in patients with MDD and to have acceptable validity and reliability in Asian populations. In addition, both the EQ-VAS and the EQ-5D utility scores have been shown to be responsive to change in patients with depression.

Conclusion

The presence of anxiety symptoms in Asian MDD patients negatively impacts remission status and QOL, indicating that anxiety symptoms should be taken into account when tailoring therapy for individual patients. It is important to note that pain and anxiety symptoms are also related. Further studies are needed to understand the relationships between pain and anxiety symptoms in MDD patients.
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