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Abstract: Questions have been raised about basic life support (BLS) training in medical education. This article addresses the research evidence behind why BLS training is inadequate and suggests recommendations for improving BLS training for medical students.
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Introduction
Cardiac arrests and other life-threatening emergencies are crucial public health issues, where fast recognition and a skilled response can prevent potentially devastating outcomes. Competence in providing immediate life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is outlined as a prerequisite for doctors by the General Medical Council.1 However, there is a growing concern about the lack of emphasis placed on teaching and reinforcing basic life support (BLS) skills within the medical school curriculum. With current training, would medical students confidently be able to initiate effective life-saving CPR?

Discussion
The general consensus is no. A UK-based survey established that >60% of fourth-year medical students lacked confidence in dealing with cardiac arrest situations.2 This is despite a shared understanding that resuscitation skills are fundamental. Interestingly, Pillow et al3 revealed that >35% of final-year medical students were reluctant to participate in resuscitations as they felt unprepared. Likewise, as medical students, the thought of being the first responder in an emergency setting evokes a similar fear in us. Is inadequate training, and thus lack of competency, the basis for this fear?

Several studies have shown that medical students fall short of the required standards for successful resuscitation. Of note, a survey assessing competence in BLS revealed that more than half of the first-year clinical students did not know to assess the airway after checking for danger and calling for help.4 Moreover, under simulated conditions, the average compression rate of student participants was considerably below the BLS recommendations.5 It is well known that meeting the compression rate improves survival following resuscitation.6 We propose that the lack of competency may be due to ineffective training, poor retention of skills, and minimal assessment.

In order to refine the current BLS curriculum for medical students, the method and frequency of training need to be revised. Currently, most of the medical schools
in UK employ instructor training with plastic mannequins as the primary method for CPR training. Other ways to train medical students could be the use of novel techniques such as high-fidelity simulation environments or voice-assisted mannequins. Wik et al. found that mannequins providing feedback through automated messages according to CPR parameters led to vast improvement in CPR skills when compared with no feedback. Although both these novel techniques improve the acquisition of CPR skills, a formal cost–benefit analysis is necessary to determine their utility in medical education. The technique of training may not need to be changed; instead, regular reinforcement after initial training could be through simple testing rather than full retraining. Mpotos et al. recently emphasized the efficacy of repetitive formative self-testing in improving the retention of CPR skills. Further repetition of these essential skills may also be encouraged through “learning by teaching”. Breckwoldt et al. demonstrated that medical students who taught BLS skills to schoolchildren had better practical skills compared to students who only underwent conventional training. Additionally, teaching these vital skills to schoolchildren can further contribute toward improved public awareness of BLS. However, further research is needed to identify the most efficient and cost-effective way to train medical students.

The intervals between refresher courses for medical students to effectively maintain their CPR skills have been reviewed. Following a 6-month refresher course after initial training, medical students retained their skills for up to a year. However, depending on the type of refresher course, this may not be feasible for medical schools in terms of cost and other logistical issues.

In summary, we propose early introduction of BLS training in the medical curriculum followed by regular reinforcement using compulsory online tests. This will be a cost-effective method and is most likely to lead to high adoption by medical schools. An optimal online test needs to be investigated.

Newly qualified doctors will undoubtedly be placed in emergency situations where they are expected to apply BLS skills. Therefore, the issue of low confidence in resuscitation skills among medical students needs to be addressed.

“Preparation through education is less costly than learning through tragedy” [Max Mayfield].
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