Profile of ramucirumab in the treatment of metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer
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Abstract: The interaction between vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptor is an important therapeutic target due to the importance of this pathway in carcinogenesis. In particular, this pathway promotes and regulates angiogenesis as well as increases endothelial cell proliferation, permeability, and survival. Ramucirumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that specifically targets the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2, the key receptor implicated in angiogenesis. Currently, ramucirumab is approved for the second-line treatment of metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in combination with docetaxel. In a Phase III clinical trial, ramucirumab was shown to improve the overall survival in patients with disease progression, despite platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC. This review describes the pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and dynamics, adverse event profile, and the clinical activity of ramucirumab observed in Phase II and III trials in NSCLC.
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Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, is essential for the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to tumor cells and is therefore critical for the growth, invasion, and metastasis of human malignancies.1–3 Angiogenesis is a multistep process that is primarily regulated by interactions between vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) and VEGF tyrosine kinase receptors (VEGFRs).1,4 Of the VEGF family of receptors, VEGFR-2 is considered the key receptor controlling angiogenesis.1,5 Specifically, the interaction between VEGF-A and VEGFR-2 promotes and regulates angiogenesis as well as increases endothelial cell proliferation, permeability, and survival.1,5

VEGF and VEGFR-2 are upregulated in most malignancies, including non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC).3 Overexpression of VEGF and tumor microvessel density has been associated with increased tumor aggressiveness, an increased risk of recurrence, and a decrease in overall survival (OS) in NSCLC.7–9 Therefore, targeting VEGF, in addition to traditional cytotoxic treatments, is a reasonable treatment approach for NSCLC.

Bevacizumab (Avastin®; Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA), a fully humanized monoclonal antibody that potently binds VEGF-A preventing its interaction with VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2, has been shown to improve OS in patients with recurrent or advanced NSCLC.10,11 Major hemoptysis occurred more frequently in patients with squamous cell histology; therefore, the drug is recommended only for patients with nonsquamous histologies.10,12 Currently, bevacizumab is the only approved anti-VEGF-targeted therapy for first-line treatment of unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic NSCLC in combination with standard chemotherapy.
Ramucirumab (Cyramza™, IMC-1121B; Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA) offers a unique mechanism of action compared with bevacizumab, in which it binds with high affinity to the extracellular domain of the VEGFR-2 receptor.\textsuperscript{13,14} Ramucirumab has been studied in two Phase II trials as first-line treatment in combination with standard chemotherapy and in a Phase III trial as second-line therapy in combination with docetaxel for patients with advanced or metastatic squamous or nonsquamous NSCLC.\textsuperscript{15–17} This review focuses on the key data and pharmacologic profile of ramucirumab in NSCLC.

Chemistry and mechanism of action

Ramucirumab, C\textsubscript{4376}H\textsubscript{8068}N\textsubscript{1790}O\textsubscript{1996}S\textsubscript{45}, is a fully human immunoglobulin G1 monoclonal antibody that inhibits angiogenesis by specifically binding to VEGFR-2.\textsuperscript{13,14,18} The molecular weight of the recombinant compound is \textasciitilde 147 kDa.\textsuperscript{13,18} Two identical heavy chains comprised of 446 amino acids each, and two identical light chains comprised of 214 amino acids each make up the chemical structure of ramucirumab.\textsuperscript{18}

Ramucirumab binds with high affinity to the extracellular domain of VEGFR-2, inhibiting the binding of VEGF receptor ligands VEGF-A, VEGF-C, and VEGF-D.\textsuperscript{13,14} Specifically, the half maximal inhibitory concentration for the interaction of VEGF-A and VEGF-2 is 0.8–1 nM.\textsuperscript{19} The fragment antigen-binding (Fab) region of ramucirumab binds to the end of domain 3 near the N terminus of the VEGFR-2 receptor.\textsuperscript{20} The binding affinity of ramucirumab to this portion of VEGFR-2 is approximately eight to nine times higher than that of the natural VEGF ligand.\textsuperscript{21} As a result, VEGF-stimulated endothelial cell migration and proliferation are inhibited, ultimately leading to decreased angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{5,15}

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetic profile of ramucirumab was primarily derived from population data and from two Phase I clinical trials.\textsuperscript{13,14,22} Spratlin et al evaluated weekly 1 hour infusions of ramucirumab with doses escalating from 2–16 mg/kg in 37 patients with advanced solid tumors.\textsuperscript{14} Chioroen et al investigated ramucirumab 6–10 mg/kg administered every 2 weeks and 12–20 mg/kg given every 3 weeks in 25 patients with advanced malignancies.\textsuperscript{22} Both Phase I trials found high interpatient variability in the pharmacokinetic parameters.\textsuperscript{14,22}

Results of the Spratlin trial revealed that ramucirumab exhibits nonlinear, dose-dependent elimination, with the clearance rate decreasing disproportionately as the dose increased.\textsuperscript{14} This effect was less noticeable with doses >8 mg/kg, consistent with saturable clearance, suggesting a receptor-mediated clearance mechanism. Chioroen et al found that the clearance rate of ramucirumab was low and consistent across all doses, with steady state ranging from 0.186–0.255 mL/h/kg across the different dosing levels.\textsuperscript{22} These findings are consistent with the findings of the previous trial, in which most patients received doses >8 mg/kg. From a population pharmacokinetic analysis, average clearance rate of ramucirumab was found to be 0.015 L/h.\textsuperscript{13} The volume of distribution at steady state is \textasciitilde 30–60 mL/kg, which is similar to that of the total blood volume.\textsuperscript{22}

A target trough concentration of \textasciitilde 20 μg/mL was reached following all doses within the Phase I trial conducted by Spratlin et al.\textsuperscript{14} Both trials determined that the terminal half-life is long, ranging from 200–300 hours for doses given weekly and 110–160 hours for doses administered every 2–3 weeks.\textsuperscript{14,22} In addition, Spratlin et al found that the half-life, the maximum concentration (C\textsubscript{max}), and the area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) increased disproportionately with increasing doses.\textsuperscript{14} In contrast, Chioroen found that the C\textsubscript{max} and AUC increased as expected with increases in dosage.\textsuperscript{22}

Based on the population pharmacokinetic data, age, sex, and race had no significant impact on the pharmacokinetics of ramucirumab.\textsuperscript{13} Renal impairment, as well as mild-to-moderate hepatic impairment, did not significantly alter the concentration at steady state. To date, there has been no formal pharmacokinetic analysis performed in patients with severe hepatic impairment. However, increased encephalopathy, ascites, or hepatorenal syndrome was observed in patients with Child-Pugh B or C cirrhosis who received single-agent ramucirumab. No clinically significant drug interactions resulting in changes to the exposure of ramucirumab or any of the approved drug combinations in patients with solid tumors have been reported.

Pharmacodynamics

Phase I pharmacodynamic studies published to date have investigated the levels of serum VEGF, soluble VEGFR-1 (sVEGFR-1), and soluble VEGFR-2 (sVEGFR-2), in addition to posttreatment changes in tumor perfusion and vascularity using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging parameters.\textsuperscript{14,22}

Ramucirumab has a higher binding affinity for the extracellular domain of VEGFR-2 than the natural VEGF-A ligand; therefore, following treatment, VEGF-A concentrations increased and remained elevated for at least 7 days posttreatment in both Phase I trials.\textsuperscript{14,22} VEGF-A levels rose
1.5–3.5 times higher than pretreatment levels in the Spratlin trial and 2–8 times higher in the Chiorean study. The rise in VEGF-A levels was independent of the ramucirumab dose. In contrast, sVEGFR-2 concentrations decreased following treatment with ramucirumab in both trials. sVEGFR-1 decreased following treatment in the Spratlin trial and remained constant in the Chiorean study.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging parameters evaluated in both Phase I trials included initial area under the signal-intensity curve, volume of extravascular extracellular space, and uptake rate constant. Results demonstrated a decline in these parameters indicating decreased tumor perfusion and vascularity and an antiangiogenic response in most evaluable patients (nine out of 13 [69%] and four out of nine [67%], respectively).

**Immunogenicity**

In the Phase I trial conducted by Spratlin et al, antiramucirumab antibodies were not detected in 26 patients.

Three out of 25 (12%) patients evaluated pre- and posttreatment in the trial conducted by Chiorean et al developed antiramucirumab antibodies. These antibodies were detected in two patients before the second cycle of the 15 and 20 mg/kg infusions given every 3 weeks. The remaining patient had antibodies discovered at follow-up after receiving 6 mg/kg every 2 weeks. Of note, none of the antibodies detected in these patients were neutralizing.

**Clinical trials**

**Phase II trials**

To date, ramucirumab has been studied as a first-line treatment option in two open-label Phase II studies. Data from these trials and the Phase III trial are summarized in Table 1. The first study was a single-arm, multicenter trial designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ramucirumab 10 mg/kg given intravenously (IV) every 21 days in combination with paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) and carboplatin (AUC 6). Patients were included if they were at least 18 years of age, had untreated stage IIB/IV NSCLC with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) of 0–1, and adequate renal and hepatic function.

The primary end point of this study was the 6-month progression free survival (PFS) rate with secondary end points being PFS, OS, objective response rate (ORR), safety/
tolerability, pharmacokinetic parameters, and potential biomarkers correlated with clinical outcomes.15

Baseline characteristics of the 40 patients enrolled revealed a median age of 59.5 years (range: 35–78 years), mostly non-Hispanic Caucasian (92.5%) females (62.5%) with stage IV NSCLC (82.5%) with adenocarcinoma histology (85.0%).15 At 6 months, the PFS rate was 59.0% (95% confidence interval [CI], 41.3%–72.9%) with 15 patients (37.5%) experiencing disease progression during the first 6 months of therapy. By the end of the 3-year data collection period, the median PFS was 7.85 months (95% CI, 5.49–9.86 months) and the median OS was 16.85 months (95% CI, 14.82–28.58 months). At the time of data cutoff, 14 patients (35.0%) were still alive. One patient (2.5%) experienced a complete response (CR) and 21 patients (52.5%) experienced a partial response (PR) making the ORR (CR + PR) 55.0% (95% CI, 38.5%–70.7%) and the disease control rate (DCR [CR + PR + stable disease]) 90.0% (95% CI, 76.3%–97.2%).

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) were experienced by 34 patients (85.0%) (Table 2).15 The majority of ADRs reported were Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 2 or 3. The most common reactions of any grade included fatigue, neuropathy/myalgia, nausea, and epistaxis. Grade 3 adverse events emerged in ten patients (25.0%) and included neutropenia/febrile neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, fatigue, peripheral neuropathy, anemia, constipation, anorexia, dyspnea, and hypertension. A total of five patients (12.5%) experienced a grade 4 ADR, including febrile neutropenia, pulmonary embolism, thrombocytopenia, and neutropenia. Thirteen patients (32.5%) discontinued treatment due to ADRs. The most common ADRs leading to discontinuation occurred within the first six cycles and included infusion-related reactions (not attributed to ramucirumab), neutropenia, and fatigue.

Biomarkers were analyzed in 22 of the non-Hispanic Caucasian participants.15 This analysis revealed that the single-nucleotide polymorphism rs2981582 on the FGFR-2 gene was statistically significantly associated with OS (P=0.0059), PFS (P=0.0429), and best overall response rate (P=0.0392). In addition, high serum IL-6 levels were associated with decreases in PFS (P=0.0038) and OS (P=0.0029).

The second Phase II trial was a randomized, open-label, and international study evaluating the safety and efficacy of ramucirumab 10 mg/kg IV every 21 days given in combination with pemetrexed (500 mg/m²) and platinum chemotherapy (carboplatin AUC 6 or cisplatin 75 mg/m²) (n=67) compared with pemetrexed and platinum therapy alone (n=69).16 Patients selected for this study were at least 18 years of age with previously untreated stage IV nonsquamous NSCLC and an ECOG PS of 0–2. The study was designed to identify the primary outcome of PFS, with 60% power. Secondary end points included OS, ORR, DCR, and safety.

Baseline characteristics between the groups were similar except that the ramucirumab arm had a greater percentage of female patients compared with the control group (47.8% vs 36.6%, respectively).16 The patient population was composed of mostly nonsmoking (77.5% ramucirumab and 84.1% control), Caucasians (91.5% ramucirumab and 87% control), with ECOG PS of 0–1 (91.5% ramucirumab and 92.8% control), and adenocarcinoma (87.3% ramucirumab and 87% control). The median PFS for the ramucirumab arm was 7.2 months (90% CI, 5.8–8.4 months) compared with 5.6 months (90% CI, 4.0–5.7 months) in the control group. As established by the hazard ratio (HR), this difference was not statistically significant (HR 0.75 [90% CI, 0.55–1.03; P=0.132]). Median OS was prolonged by 3.5 months in the ramucirumab group compared with the control group (13.9 months [90% CI, 10.0–17.8 months] vs 10.4 months [90% CI, 8.2–15.9 months], respectively). However, this result was not statistically different (HR 1.03 [90% CI, 0.74–1.42; P=0.892]). One patient (1.4%) experienced a CR, 33 (47.8%) had a PR, and 25 (36.2%) had stable disease in the ramucirumab group compared with no CRs, 27 (38.0%) PRs, and 23 (32.4%) with stable disease in the control group. This translated into a statistically significant difference in the DCR (85.5% [90% CI, 78.5%–92.5%] ramucirumab vs 70.4% [90% CI, 61.5%–79.3%] control, P=0.032). However, the ORR of 49% (90% CI, 39.4%–59.2%) in the ramucirumab group and 38% (90% CI, 28.6%–47.5%) in the control group was not statistically different (P=0.180).

The number of patients experiencing an ADR of any CTCAE grade was similar between the two groups (Table 2).16 More patients discontinued at least one component of treatment due to an ADR in the ramucirumab group (n=22 [32.8%]) compared with the control group (n=14 [20.3%]). Grade 3 thrombocytopenia led to discontinuation in three (4.5%) of the ramucirumab patients. No particular ADR was listed for the remaining patients. The most common (≥20%) ADRs of any grade reported more frequently in the ramucirumab arm included fatigue, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, anorexia, peripheral edema, headache, epistaxis, back pain, insomnia, and dyspnea. Of the grade 3 ADRs that occurred in ≥10% of patients, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, nausea, and hypertension occurred more frequently in...
### Table 2 Summary of adverse effects of ramucirumab in the Phase II/III clinical trials 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
<th>Any grade</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3</th>
<th>Any grade</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3</th>
<th>Any grade</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3</th>
<th>Any grade</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>34 (85)</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td>67 (100)</td>
<td>54 (80.6)</td>
<td>68 (98.6)</td>
<td>53 (76.8)</td>
<td>613 (98)</td>
<td>495 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>44 (65.7)</td>
<td>8 (11.9)</td>
<td>43 (62.3)</td>
<td>12 (17.4)</td>
<td>343 (55)</td>
<td>88 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>100 (16)</td>
<td>100 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>24 (35.8)</td>
<td>14 (20.9)</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>13 (18.8)</td>
<td>345 (55)</td>
<td>306 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>23 (34.3)</td>
<td>17 (25.4)</td>
<td>17 (24.6)</td>
<td>14 (20.3)</td>
<td>84 (13)</td>
<td>18 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>31 (46.3)</td>
<td>8 (11.9)</td>
<td>38 (55.1)</td>
<td>12 (17.4)</td>
<td>131 (21)</td>
<td>18 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased appetite</td>
<td>9 (21.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (29.9)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>18 (26.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>182 (29)</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>19 (28.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>21 (30.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>199 (32)</td>
<td>29 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI hemorrhage</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>17 (3)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>10 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomatitis</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>146 (23)</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neupathy</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>145 (23)</td>
<td>17 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>20 (29.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>14 (20.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>102 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>3 (4.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (3)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epistaxis</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (25.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (7.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116 (19)</td>
<td>2 (&lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>13 (19.4)</td>
<td>7 (10.4)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>68 (11)</td>
<td>35 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**  
- All data are reported as number (%).  
- Graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE).  
- Cisplatin or carboplatin.  
- Reported as ≥ 1 adverse reaction.  
- Adapted from The Lancet, 384(9944), Garon EB, Ciuleanu TE, Arrieta O, et al, Ramucirumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus docetaxel for second-line treatment of stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer after disease progression on platinum-based therapy (REVEL): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial, 665–673, Copyright (2014), with permission from Elsevier.  

**Abbreviations:** DOC, docetaxel; GI, gastrointestinal; NR, not reported; PEM, pemetrexed; RAM, ramucirumab.
the ramucirumab group compared with the control group. Eight grade 5 events occurred during the trial, three (4.5%) in the ramucirumab arm (myocardial infarction, multiorgan failure, and sudden death) and five (7.2%) in the control arm (cardiorespiratory arrest, embolism, hemothorax, myocardial infarction, and respiratory failure).

**Phase III**

The REVEL trial was a Phase III, multicentered, randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled trial designed to compare ramucirumab 10 mg/kg IV every 21 days plus docetaxel (75 mg/m²) with docetaxel plus placebo in patients with stage IV NSCLC. To be included, patients had to be at least 18 years of age, have an ECOG PS of 0–1, stage IV disease with progression during or after one first-line platinum-based regimen, and adequate organ function. Patients who had received previous treatment with bevacizumab were eligible for this study, which is a distinction in the previous Phase II trials in which patients receiving this agent were excluded.

The primary outcome of this trial was OS with secondary end points of PFS, ORR, DCR, safety, and quality of life (QOL). In addition, the immunogenicity of ramucirumab and potential predictive biomarkers were also investigated. Investigation of these areas is still ongoing.

Baseline characteristics between the two groups were similar. The median age was 62 years (range: 21–85 years) in the ramucirumab group (n=628) and 61 years (range: 25–86 years) in the control group (n=625). Most patients were Caucasian (84% ramucirumab and 80% control), males (67% ramucirumab and 66% control), with a previous history of smoking (82% ramucirumab and 77% control), an ECOG PS of 1 (67% ramucirumab and 68% control), and nonsquamous histology (74% ramucirumab and 72% control).

Median OS was 10.5 months (95% CI, 9.5–11.2 months) compared with 9.1 months (95% CI, 8.4–10.0 months) in the control group. This difference was statistically significant with a HR of 0.86 (95% CI, 0.75–0.98; P=0.023). A trend toward improved OS was observed in the nonsquamous histology (HR 0.83 [95% CI, 0.71–0.97]), squamous cell histology (HR 0.88 [95% CI, 0.69–1.13]), and patients who responded to the first-line platinum therapy subgroups (HR 0.84 [95% CI, 0.71–0.99]). Patients deemed nonresponders to first-line platinum therapy had similar OS between the two arms. Median PFS also significantly increased in the ramucirumab group (4.5 months [95% CI, 4.2–5.4 months]) compared with the control group (3.0 months [95% CI, 2.8–3.9 months]), with an HR of 0.76 (95% CI, 0.68–0.86; P<0.0001). These results were sustained across subgroups after accounting for baseline characteristics, including squamous versus nonsquamous histology. A total of three patients (0.5%) had a CR and 144 patients (22.5%) experienced a PR in the ramucirumab group as compared with two (0.3%) CR and 83 (13.3%) PR in the control group. Thus, the ORR was higher in the ramucirumab group (23%) as compared with the control group (14%) (odds ratio [OR] 1.89 [95% CI, 1.41–2.54; P<0.0001]). DCR was also statistically significantly higher with ramucirumab (64%) compared with the control group (53%) (OR 1.60 [95% CI, 1.28–2.01; P<0.0001]).

Most patients experienced at least one ADR of any CTCAE grade (Table 2). Dose adjustments were made in a higher percentage of ramucirumab patients (n=204 [33%]) compared with control patients (n=139 [23%]). The most common ADRs resulting in dose adjustments were neutropenia (n=77 [12%] ramucirumab vs n=55 [9%] control), fatigue (n=54 [9%] ramucirumab vs n=34 [6%] control), and febrile neutropenia (n=44 [7%] ramucirumab vs n=28 [5%] controls). Although the rate of febrile neutropenia was higher in the ramucirumab group, the use of growth factor support was similar. Bleeding and hemorrhage occurred more frequently in the ramucirumab arm (n=181 [29%] ramucirumab vs n=94 [15%] control); however, the incidence of grade 3 or higher bleeding was similar between the two groups. The incidence of hypertension was higher in the ramucirumab arm (n=68 [11%] ramucirumab vs n=30 [5%] control) with one account of grade 4 elevation. Approximately half of the patients in each arm completed the QOL analysis, which showed there was no statistical difference between the two arms (stratified HR 1.00 [95% CI, 0.84–1.19; P=0.991]).

**Ongoing trials**

There are currently three Phase I, one Phase II, and one Phase III trial in progress, evaluating the effects of ramucirumab in patients with advanced NSCLC (Table 3). The NCT02443324 Phase I trial is a multicenter, international, and open-label trial set to evaluate the safety outcomes of ramucirumab in combination with pembrolizumab in different types of advanced cancers, including nonsquamous and squamous NSCLC. The primary end point is dose-limiting toxicities with secondary end points of CR, PR, ORR, DCR, duration of response, PFS, time to first response, OS, and pharmacokinetic parameters.

The NCT02079636 Phase I trial is an open-label, multicenter, and dose escalation study, evaluating the combination of test drug LY2835219 with various anticancer medications in advanced NSCLC patients. One arm of the trial will compare the test drug with ramucirumab, while other...
arms in this trial will evaluate the test drug in combination with gemcitabine, pemetrexed, or LY3023414. Primary end points include drug-related ADRs and secondary end points include CR, PR, ORR, pharmacokinetic parameters, PFS, and change from baseline on the MD Anderson Symptom Inventory Scale-Lung Cancer.

The NCT02082210 trial has two phases planned. Part 1 will include a dose escalation phase, evaluating the combination of LY2875358 and ramucirumab with the primary end point of dose-limiting toxicities. The planned extension of this trial will evaluate the safety and tumor response using CR, PR, and ORR. Secondary end points for both phases will include pharmacokinetic parameters, DCR, stable disease, and PFS.

The NCT02411448 trial is a randomized, double-blind, and multicenter study comparing ramucirumab plus erlotinib versus erlotinib plus placebo in patients with EGFR mutation-positive metastatic NSCLC. The primary end points include PFS and drug-related ADRs. Secondary end points include OS, ORR, DCR, duration of response, pharmacokinetic parameters, antiramucirumab antibodies, and changes from baseline in the Lung Cancer Symptom Scale and the EuroQol 5-Dimension, 5-Level Questionnaire (EQ-5D-5L).

Conclusion

Agents that target the VEGF pathway represent an important pharmacologic class for the treatment of malignancy due to the importance of this pathway in the process of angiogenesis and cell proliferation. Ramucirumab offers a unique mechanism of action in that it specifically targets the VEGFR-2 receptor, the key receptor thought to drive angiogenesis. Currently, ramucirumab is approved for the second-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC in combination with docetaxel. In a Phase III clinical trial, ramucirumab was shown to improve OS in patients with disease progression, despite platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC. This improvement in OS is encouraging; however, many questions remain regarding the utility of this agent.

One question left unanswered is the optimal sequence of this agent in the treatment of NSCLC. Although it is approved as a second-line therapy and improves OS in this setting, there was an improvement in DCR seen in the first-line setting in patients with nonsquamous histology in a Phase II trial. Given the potential for clinical benefit in the first-line arena, further investigation is warranted. At this time, it is unclear whether the drug combination with ramucirumab leads to improved OS in the first-line setting and whether this improvement could be compared with that seen with bevacizumab. In addition, a comparison of ramucirumab with other VEGF-targeted therapies, bevacizumab in particular, would be useful to determine the target of this agent in the treatment of NSCLC.

It is also important to consider the generalizability of the published data. In the current clinical trials, the average age of participants was ~60 years, whereas the average age at the diagnosis of NSCLC is 70 years of age. In addition, the majority of patients studied had an ECOG PS of 0–1; therefore, the safety and efficacy of ramucirumab in more functionally impaired patients are not known.

Another unanswered question is whether there are measurable predictive factors reliably associated with response to ramucirumab. In one Phase II trial, single-nucleotide polymorphism rs2981582 on the FGFR-2 gene was statistically significantly associated with improvements in OS, PFS, and best overall response rate. These data, however, were only collected from a small portion of the study population. Biomarker analysis in the Phase III trial is still ongoing. The lack of validated predictive biomarkers restricts the ability to tailor ramucirumab to specific patient cohorts and remains a barrier to the success of individualized NSCLC therapy. Nevertheless, predictive biomarker research of angiogenesis inhibitors is a growing field and is currently under exploration with ramucirumab.

Furthermore, data pertaining to the complete impact of ramucirumab on QOL are lacking. In the pivotal Phase III trial, QOL was studied as a secondary end point, but it was only assessed in half of the study participants. Although
no significant difference was observed, this may be due to inefficient power. Another important query is the clinical significance of the OS benefit observed with ramucirumab in this trial. Although there were rather minimal added side effects for patients in the ramucirumab arm, ultimately, the median OS improved by only ~5 weeks compared with standard chemotherapy alone. This statistically significant yet marginally absolute survival benefit may cause some reservations about its clinical meaningfulness. Finally, formal pharmacoeconomic evaluations need to be completed to ascertain whether the costs associated with the administration of ramucirumab justify the benefits.
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