An evidence-based review of certolizumab pegol in the treatment of active psoriatic arthritis: place in therapy
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Abstract: Certolizumab pegol (CZP) is a pegylated humanized tumor necrosis factor-α inhibitor (TNFi) approved for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) in Europe, the USA, and Latin American countries. CZP neutralizes TNF-α at its soluble and membrane portions. Due to the lack of Fc region, it does not induce complement or antibody-dependent cytotoxicity in vitro, unlike other TNFi. RAPID-PsA study, the only randomized clinical trial performed in PsA, is a Phase III clinical trial conducted in 409 PsA patients during 24 weeks. Patients were randomized to CZP (200 mg every 2 weeks or 400 mg every 4 weeks) or placebo. Patients in CZP arms reported improvements in skin disease, joint involvement, dactylitis, enthesitis, and quality of life. Safety profile was similar to that reported for other TNF-α inhibitors in PsA patients. This article summarizes the pharmacology and reviews the efficacy and tolerability of this drug in PsA. CZP is the newest TNFi with proved efficacy in all manifestations of psoriasis disease, except for axial involvement where the evidence has been derived from response to axial spondyloarthritis.
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Introduction
Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory musculoskeletal disease associated with psoriasis (Ps). The prevalence of PsA in the general population has been found to vary among different countries and different studies, with a median of 180 cases per 10^5 population. Between 6% and 42% of patients with Ps have PsA. PsA that was once thought as a benign rheumatic disease is nowadays considered a progressive disease, where a substantial number of patients can develop erosions and major structural damage. PsA has a negative impact on the quality of life, both physically and emotionally, compared with the general population and with Ps patients. Also, as described in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), PsA patients have impaired functional capacity, and increased mortality rate, particularly from cardiovascular disease.

PsA is a complex and heterogeneous disease, since there are different facets in the phenotypic expression of the disease. The response to different treatments may vary according to axial or peripheral joint involvement, and also, extra-articular manifestations (skin, nails), enthesitis, and dactylitis. Another factor to keep in mind for assessing response to treatment is the presence of metabolic syndrome. Metabolic syndrome is associated with a lower probability of achieving minimal disease activity (MDA) in PsA patients on therapy with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFIs).
way, patients with PsA who are overweight and obese are less likely to achieve MDA, compared with normal-weight patients. In addition, patients treated with etanercept and adalimumab (ADA) showed significant improvement of the various components of the metabolic syndrome (waist circumference, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and glucose), as compared with the group treated with methotrexate (MTX). As seen in RA, new paradigms in the treatment of PsA have emerged in recent years and have gained great acceptance in the rheumatology community. These include early treatment, remission as a therapeutic goal, the assessment of joint and extra-articular involvement of this heterogeneous disease, and frequent measurement of disease activity in order to adjust the treatment according to the principles of Treat to Target.

Treatment of PsA has changed dramatically in recent years, despite the limited knowledge about its etiology and pathogenesis and the relative paucity of randomized controlled clinical trials, due to the introduction of biologic agents, especially TNFi. Nonbiologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), such as MTX, sulfasalazine, and leflunomide, however, remain as the first-line therapy recommended by all clinical guidelines, even though evidence of their efficacy is scarce. This recommendation is based on rheumatologists’ clinical experience and evidence from observational studies. There are five TNFi approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for use in PsA: infliximab (IFX), etanercept, ADA, golimumab, and most recently, certolizumab pegol (CZP).

Certolizumab pegol

There are two important regions of antibodies, the fragment antigen-binding (Fab) and the fragment crystallizable (Fc) regions (Figure 1). The Fab region contains complementarity-determining regions, unique sequences of amino acids responsible for binding antigen. The Fc region is not antigen specific, but is necessary for other antibody functions such as complement fixation and cell lysis. CZP is a novel TNFi formed by a humanized Fab fragment (50 kDa) fused to a 40 kDa polyethylene glycol (PEG) moiety (a nontoxic and nonimmunogenic polymer) (Figure 2). The lack of Fc region in CZP prevents activities such as complement fixation and antibody-mediated cytotoxicity. The attachment of the 40 kDa PEG moiety to the Fab fragment markedly increases the half-life of CZP to a value comparable with that of a whole antibody product.

Mechanism of action

CZP specifically recognizes and neutralizes human TNF, both the soluble and membrane-bound forms, in a dose-dependent manner. All anti-TNF agents have shown comparable efficacy in the treatment of peripheral arthritis in PsA and there is also strong evidence that they improve skin involvement, dactylitis, and enthesitis. An important difference from RA is that biologic agents in PsA may be used as monotherapy, although data from some registers have shown increased survival of anti-TNF with the concomitant use of MTX. In this review, we describe the evidence for the use of CZP in the treatment of active PsA, with focus on its mechanism of action, efficacy, and safety.

Figure 1 Antibody structure. Each chain is divided into regions or domains. Notes: The light chain has two domains and the heavy chain has four domains. The Fab region is responsible for the unique specificity of antibody molecules to antigen. The Fc region is not antigen specific, but is necessary for other antibody functions such as complement fixation and cell lysis. Abbreviations: Fab, fragment antigen-binding; Fc, fragment crystallizable.
manner. Its mechanism of action was studied in vitro and compared with that of IFX, ADA, and etanercept, which showed that affinity and neutralizing activity to human soluble TNF was superior to IFX and ADA. The abilities of CZP to neutralize membrane TNF-mediated signaling are comparable to ADA and IFX, while etanercept may be somewhat less potent. CZP does not induce apoptosis in cultured lymphocytes and monocytes obtained from peripheral blood of healthy donors. Like IFX and ADA (but not etanercept), it inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced production of IL-1β. As this nanomolecule does not require glycosylation for function, this drug can be produced in Escherichia coli, a bacterial host. This makes the production of CZP potentially less expensive than the existing anti-TNF-α therapies.

Pharmacological properties

The pharmacological properties can be summarized as follows:

• inhibits soluble and membrane-bounded TNF in a dose-dependent manner;
• inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced TNF-α and IL-1β production in human monocytes;
• due to the lack of the Fc region, does not induce complement or antibody-dependent cytotoxicity in vitro;
• induces nonapoptotic cell death, probably via signaling transmembrane TNF-α;
• probably because of PEGylation, it is distributed into inflamed tissues to a greater extent than IFX and ADA.

CZP has the following pharmacokinetic characteristics:

• Its circulatory half-life is approximately 14 days for all doses administered.
• Pharmacokinetics of the PEG moiety is dependent on renal function, as it is excreted through the kidneys.
• Following subcutaneous administration, peak plasma concentration is reached between 54 and 171 hours, and bioavailability after subcutaneous injection is 80% compared with the intravenous route.
• Co-administration of CZP and MTX has no significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of the latter.
• MTX-treated patients have lower rates of anti-CZP antibodies; therefore, therapeutic plasma levels of CZP tend to last longer when administered together with MTX.

Clinical efficacy

In 2009, the European Commission approved CZP for the treatment of active PsA in adults with inadequate response to previous DMARD therapy. In 2013, the US Food and Drug Administration approved CZP for the treatment of adult patients with active PsA. The recommended dose of CZP for adult patients with PsA is 400 mg (given as two subcutaneous injections of 200 mg each) initially and at weeks 2 and 4, followed by 200 mg every other week or 400 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W) for maintenance dosing.

RAPID-PsA, a 24-week double-blind and placebo-controlled trial of CZP in 409 patients with active PsA, was the pivotal study for CZP approval. Patients with diagnosis of PsA according to the Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis with active disease (≥3 tender joints, ≥3 swollen joints, erythrocyte sedimentation rate ≥28 mm/h (Westergren) or C-reactive protein >7.9 mg/L) were recruited. Patients had previously failed ≥1 DMARD. Concomitant and permitted DMARDs were MTX, with doses up to 25 mg/week, sulfasalazine, up to 3 g/day, and leflunomide, up to 20 mg/day, and were used by 70% of patients. Almost 20% of recruited patients had received one previous TNFi. At baseline, 26% of patients had dactylitis and 64% had enthesitis. Patients were randomized 1:1:1 to placebo every 2 weeks (Q2W), or 400 mg CZP at weeks 0, 2, and 4, followed by either 200 mg CZP Q2W or 400 mg CZP Q4W.

At week 12, statistically significant numbers of CZP patients achieved the clinical primary endpoint: American College of Rheumatology 20% (ACR20) responses (58% CZP 200 every other week, 52% CZP 400 Q4W) compared with 24% of patients receiving placebo (P<0.001). The other primary endpoint was radiographic progression from baseline to week 24 as measured by van der Heijde modified Total Sharp Score (mTSS), which would be discussed in the “Radiographic progression” section.

Secondary endpoints included ACR20/50/70 at week 24, physical function measured by change in Health Assessment...
Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI), and 75% and 90% reduction in the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI 75/90). At week 24, mean change from baseline in Health Assessment Questionnaire was −0.50 (CZP combined arms) versus −0.19 (placebo).

At week 24, statistically significant higher number of patients in CZP group achieved PASI 75 response (62% of patients receiving CZP 200 mg Q2W and 60% of patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q4W) compared with 15% of patients receiving placebo. In addition, PASI 90 response rates were higher in CZP groups compared with placebo through week 24. At these points, MDA was achieved in 33% of patients receiving CZP 200 mg Q2W and 34% of patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q4W, compared with 6% of patients in the placebo group. ACR20/50/70 responses, MDA, HAQ-DI, pain (visual analog scale), and PASI 75 remained stable from week 24 to 48 in the CZP groups (Table 1).

In patients with or without previous TNFi treatment, ACR response rates were higher in patients in CZP arms. MTX was the most common concomitant DMARD, with similar use across treatment groups. Concomitant DMARD use did not appear to affect response to CZP as there were similar ACR20 (56.8 vs 50.0) and PsA response criteria (68.3 vs 73.0) responses at week 12 for patients with and without concomitant DMARD use, respectively.

Improvements in joints involvement, skin, enthesitis, dactylitis, and nail disease were seen as soon as only 1 week and maintained over time.

Patient-reported outcomes

In 2014, Gladman et al. published the impact of CZP on patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in patients with PsA with or without previous exposure to the use of TNFi from the RAPID-PsA trial. The PROs assessed were HAQ-DI, health status (measured by the Short Form-36 health survey), Psoriatic Arthritis Quality of Life, Fatigue Assessment Scale, patient assessment of pain (visual analog scale), and Dermatology Life Quality Index. At baseline, all patients had a significant impairment in functionality and quality of life. CZP led to rapid and significant improvement in all PROs at week 24, irrespective of previous TNFi exposure. In contrast with other TNFi studies, in this trial, PROs had poor correlation with clinical outcomes.

Productivity

PsA has a great impact on the state of employment and labor productivity, both outside and within the home. A high proportion of patients with PsA suffer severe disability and loss of work productivity, and many of them are forced to early retirements due to inability to perform work activities. Kavanaugh et al. evaluated, in the RAPID-PsA study, the effect of CZP on productivity outside and within the home. The authors used the Work Productivity Survey, which is an innovative validated questionnaire assessing the impact of arthritis on patient productivity in the workplace and at home, and on participation in family, social, and leisure activities. CZP led to quick and significant improvements in the workplace and household productivity. This was accomplished as soon as 4 weeks and continued through week 24. CZP treatment allowed reducing absenteeism and presenteeism for employed patients in both active drug treatment groups, compared with placebo, and also improved productivity within the home, with fewer days of lost participation in family, social, and leisure activities per month.

Radiographic progression

Radiographic progression was one of the primary endpoints in the RAPID PsA study, and was measured as a change from baseline to week 24, of the van der Heijde mTSS. The mTSS nonprogression rate was higher in CZP than in placebo group in all analyses. This effect was maintained in CZP groups up to week 48.

Multiple post hoc analyses demonstrated that CZP inhibited radiographic progression compared with placebo, particularly in patients with high baseline mTSS and C-reactive protein levels.

Axial involvement

Treatment recommendations for axial disease are derived from diagnostic criteria, screening, monitoring, and response to therapy in ankylosing spondylitis since these data are not available for axial PsA. The RAPID trial did not assess axial involvement in PsA. In the RAPID-axSpA trial, CZP rapidly reduced the signs and symptoms of axial Spondyloarthritis (axSpA). In that trial, patients with PsA and axial involvement were not excluded, but were not reported separately. Experts in PsA agree from own experience that efficacy of TNFi on axial involvement in PsA is similar to that in axSpA.

Safety

CZP showed a good safety profile in randomized clinical trials in patients with RA.

In patients with PsA, nasopharyngitis (8.7% in CZP group vs 7.4% in placebo) and upper respiratory tract infections (7.8% in CZP group vs 5.1% in placebo) were the most common adverse events in CZP combined group through week 24. The most common noninfectious adverse
events were headache (3.6% in CZP vs 1.5% in placebo) and diarrhea (3.6% in CZP vs 2.9% in placebo).²⁷

Increases in liver enzymes were more frequently reported in CZP patients.²⁷

Injection site reactions such as erythema, hematoma, itching, pain, and swelling were more frequently observed in CZP groups than in placebo group.²⁷

Antibodies to CZP were detectable in approximately 11.7% of patients at week 24.⁴⁷

Two deaths occurred during the first 24 weeks; one myocardial infarct in the CZP 200 mg Q2W group and one sudden death of unknown cause in the CZP 400 mg Q4W group. Both deaths were considered unrelated to study medication by the investigators.²⁷

In patients with PsA, three malignancies (two cases of breast cancer and one case of lymphoma) were reported during the dose-blind and open-label periods, of which two were fatal (lymphoma and one case of breast cancer).⁴⁷ The profile of malignancies with CZP in patients with PsA was generally similar to that seen earlier in patients with RA.

### Pregnancy

CZP cross-placental transfer is different from that of other TNFi. Because it is not actively transported across the placenta, concentrations in the fetus would be expected to be lower, and hence, its use is potentially safer during pregnancy than the other TNFi currently available.

Recently, 31 pregnant women with inflammatory bowel disease receiving IFX (n=11), ADA (n=10), or CZP (n=10) were studied.⁴⁸ Serum concentrations of the drugs were measured at birth in the mother, infant, and in cord blood, and then monthly in the infant until the drugs were undetectable. Drug concentrations in the cord and in the infant at birth were compared with those of the mother. Concentrations of IFX and ADA, but not CZP, were higher in infants at birth and their cords than in their mothers. The levels of CZP in infants and their cords were <2 g/mL. The median level of IFX in the cord was 160% that of the mother, the median level of ADA in the cord was 153% that of the mother, and the median level of CZP in the cord was 3.9% that of the mother. IFX and ADA could be detected in the infants for as long as 6 months. No congenital anomalies or serious complications were reported.⁴⁸

In a retrospective analysis of the UCB Pharma global safety database, all medically confirmed cases of pregnancy during clinical trials of CZP and postmarketing reports through March 28, 2013, were included. Of 625 reported pregnancies, 372 (59.5%) had known outcomes. Paternal exposure pregnancies (n=33) reported 27 live births, four miscarriages,
one induced abortion, and one stillbirth. Maternal exposure pregnancies (n=339) reported 254 live births, 52 miscarriages, 32 induced abortions, and one stillbirth. Almost all reported pregnancies had exposure to CZP in the first trimester, when organogenesis takes place, and a third of them continued the drug into the second and/or third trimesters. The most frequent indications for maternal CZP use were Crohn’s disease (192/339) and rheumatic diseases (118/339). Twelve cases of congenital malformation and a single neonatal death were reported. Of 253 pregnancies with known outcomes, 191 (75.5%) resulted in live births, 37 (14.6%) in spontaneous miscarriages, and 25 (9.9%) women had elective terminations. Of the 191 live births after maternal CZP exposure, there were three reported cases of congenital disorder. These rates are similar to what is observed in the general population; none of these events were considered related to CZP administration by the treating physicians.

**CZP loading dose**

The recommendation for treatment of RA with CZP includes an initial loading dose (LD) of 400 mg at weeks 0, 2, and 4, followed by a maintenance dose of CZP 200 mg Q2W or 400 mg Q4W. Several other anti-TNFs also employ a boosted dosing regimen during the initial phase of treatment. For example, the IFX dosing schedule for RA treatment specifies short intervals between the first three infusions (3 mg/kg at 0, 2, and 6 weeks), followed by a dosing interval of every 8 weeks during the maintenance phase. In addition, ADA requires an initial LD in several diseases including Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and plaque Ps. The rationale for an LD is that it produces higher drug concentrations during early treatment time points, accelerates response to the drug, and reduces the production of anti-monoclonal drug antibodies. Takeuchi et al published a post hoc analysis of two Japanese clinical studies comparing the efficacy and safety of CZP with and without LD in RA patients. Patients randomized to CZP 200 mg Q2W groups starting with LD (400 mg weeks 0/2/4) in the J-RAPID trial: n=82, and in the HIKARI trial: n=116) and patients randomized to placebo groups who subsequently started CZP Q2W without LD in the Open Level Extension study (no-LD group; J-RAPID: n=61, HIKARI: n=99) were analyzed. In both trials, the LD groups showed more rapid initial ACR20/50/70 kinetics, maintained higher ACR50/70 responses until 24 weeks, and developed anti-CZP antibodies less frequently (J-RAPID: 1.2% vs 4.9%; HIKARI: 17.2% vs 27.3%) compared to the no-LD groups. Similar safety profiles were reported between LD and no-LD groups. This analysis, even with its limitations, provides data to support what was expected based on theory considerations.

**Place in therapy**

TNFi are placed in all international and local guidelines after traditional DMARDs failure, and would remain in that place in the European League Against Rheumatism and Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis new recommendations that are going to be published soon. In that sense, CZP should be placed as another TNFi option. The evidence of efficacy in all domains including dactylitis and enthesitis might incline rheumatologists to choose this TNFi in patients in whom these conditions predominate. Also, the fact that CZP has evidence from randomized controlled trial for its efficacy in TNFi failures favors its use in that population. Because of the low cross-placental transfer and no detectable transfer in breast milk, CZP may be considered the TNFi of choice in pregnant patients and in the female patient considering pregnancy; however, the ultimate decision needs to be based on the clinical picture and patient preference. The risks and benefits of therapy should be individualized balancing the neonatal risks against the risk of disease flare or the course of a pregnancy with a very active disease, which might have far more consequences to neonatal development. In any case, if a pregnant patient is doing well on other TNFi, there is no far more consequences to neonatal development. In any case, if a pregnant patient is doing well on other TNFi, there is no

**Conclusion**

CZP, the newest original TNFi, has shown similar efficacy when compared with the existing TNF blockers in several manifestations of Ps disease.

In a market with many options for TNF blockage, is this new TNFi offering anything different? CZP has some features that might make it an attractive option: a long half-life that allows a fortnightly or monthly subcutaneous drug regime; the lack of complement fixation with potentially less immunosuppressive effect and less cross-placental transfer; pegylation that improves distribution into inflamed tissues; and evidence from randomized controlled trial for its efficacy in TNFi failures favors its use in that population. Because of the low cross-placental transfer and no detectable transfer in breast milk, CZP may be considered the TNFi of choice in pregnant patients and in the female patient considering pregnancy; however, the ultimate decision needs to be based on the clinical picture and patient preference. The risks and benefits of therapy should be individualized balancing the neonatal risks against the risk of disease flare or the course of a pregnancy with a very active disease, which might have far more consequences to neonatal development. In any case, if a pregnant patient is doing well on other TNFi, there is no

**In summary, CZP is a promising TNFi with a novel composition, which is already performing according to the expectations.**
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Until not long ago, therapeutic options for patients with PsA were limited and of little efficacy. New options are very much welcomed by rheumatologists and patients alike.
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