Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are key effector molecules responsible for extracellular matrix (ECM) turnover. They are involved in tissue remodeling and regeneration. Although the main targets for MMPs are ECM components, they are also able to digest a variety of non-ECM molecules including cytokines, their receptors, or carriers. Therefore, the activity of the MMPs remains under tight control. However, when controlling mechanisms are ineffective, MMPs may become highly dangerous molecules, which have a strong destructive effect on affected tissues. Apart from cancer metastasis, aneurysm formation, or airway remodeling in asthma, MMPs have also been identified as main detrimental factors in delayed healing of chronic wounds. In this short review, we describe main representatives of MMPs family, their role in pathophysiology of chronic wounds, as well as current and possible therapeutic strategies for modulation of MMPs’ activity, which may be useful in management of chronic wounds.
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Introduction
Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) belong to the family of zinc-dependent endoproteases. MMPs play an important role in physiological turnover of extracellular matrix (ECM). They may digest various components of ECM, including high-molecular-weight protein fibers of collagens and elastin, small ECM molecules like fibronectin and aggrecan, and also several non-ECM molecules. MMPs are able to release hidden fragments and neoepitopes from both ECM and non-ECM macromolecules, which may then reveal various bioactivities, even different from those of the source molecules. Thus, members of MMPs family may participate in activation of transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, Fas ligand, and pro-tumor necrosis factor (TNF), pro-interleukin (IL)-1β, pro-IL-8, etc.1 Also, MMPs may liberate some cytokines and growth factors, including TGF-β and vascular endothelial growth factor, which are entrapped in the ECM net and may require proteolytic discharge from binding proteins.

Furthermore, MMPs may modify cell adhesion to the ECM by processing of various adhesion molecules, dystroglycan, syndecans, etc.2–4 The aforementioned properties of MMPs make them the main factors involved in various physiological processes such as tissue remodeling and growth, cell proliferation and migration, angiogenesis, and wound healing.5–7 On the other hand, MMPs are also involved in numerous pathological processes. They can contribute to cancer metastasis, aneurysm and varicose vein formation, and airway remodeling in asthma.8,12 Moreover, the excessive production and hyperactivity of MMPs is observed in patients with nonhealing chronic wounds.13,14
MMP structure

So far, at least 25 members of the MMP family have been described. Traditionally, MMPs are assigned to six groups – collagenases, stromelysins, matrilysins, gelatinases, membrane-type MMPs, and others. Recently, new data concerning their molecular structure, mechanism of activation, and substrate specificity have resulted in MMPs being classified differently. According to this new system, MMPs are classified into four groups: gelatinases, matrilysins, archetypal MMPs, and furin-activated MMPs (Table 1).

Despite some differences between MMP family members, the general protein structure is similar for all representatives. In general, the N-terminal end of the protein contains a signal peptide. This short leader peptide directs the molecule to the secretory pathway; but after insertion of the maturing molecule into the cistern of the endoplasmic reticulum, the signal sequence is removed. The next part of MMP molecule is the propeptide domain with approximately 80 amino acids. It contains a conserved motif (PRCGXPD), known as “cysteine-switch”. The role of this characteristic motif is to block a catalytic site and retain the latent form of MMPs. The next part of the MMP molecule consists of sphere-like domain composed of approximately 160–170 amino acids, containing an exceptional sequence (HEXXHXXGXXH). This catalytic domain contains a large, shallow cleft with two zinc ions inside, which represents an active site of the enzyme. In most MMPs (except for MMP-7, -23, or -26), a short hinge region formed by approximately 10–30 amino acids connects the catalytic domain with a C-terminal fragment, called the hemopexin-like domain. This last domain has

Table 1 Schematic representation of MMPs family with representatives and their main substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Representatives</th>
<th>Main substrates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archetypal MMPs</td>
<td>Collagenases: MMP-1, -8, -13</td>
<td>ECM: collagens, gelatin, fibronectin, aggrecan etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stromelysins: MMP-3, -10</td>
<td>ECM: collagens, gelatin, elastin, fibronectin, laminin, aggrecan etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others: MMP-12, -19, -20, -27</td>
<td>ECM: collagen IV, gelatin, elastin, fibronectin, laminin etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrilysins</td>
<td>MMP-7, -26</td>
<td>ECM: collagen IV, gelatin, elastin, fibronectin, laminin, integrins etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatinases</td>
<td>MMP-2, -9</td>
<td>ECM: collagens, gelatin, elastin, fibronectin, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furin-activated MMPs</td>
<td>Secreted: MMP-11, -21, -28</td>
<td>ECM: collagen IV, gelatin, laminin, fibronectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type I transmembrane:</td>
<td>ECM: collagens, gelatin, elastin, laminin, vitronectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMP-14, -15, -16, -24</td>
<td>Non-ECM: other MMPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPI-anchored: MMP-17, -25</td>
<td>UNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type II transmembrane:</td>
<td>UNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMP-23A, -23B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ECM, extracellular matrix; GPi, glycosylphosphatidylinositol; IGFBP, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein; IL, interleukin; MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; non-ECM, other substrates; PAI, plasminogen activator inhibitor; TIMP, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; UNK, unknown.
a length of approximately 200 amino acids and is considered as a docking place for tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs). Besides that, the representatives of membrane type (MT) subgroup of MMPs contain either a cell-membrane-anchoring glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) moiety (MMP-17 and -25, known as MT4- and MT6-MMP) or a type I transmembrane segment with a short intracellular tail (MMP-14, -15, -16, and -24, also known as MT-1, -2, -3, and -5-MMP, respectively) (Table 1).

Apart from the aforementioned common components, several MMPs contain some other unique elements. An example may be the fibronectin II-like inserts, attached to the catalytic domain, which are found in MMP-2 and -9 exclusively. Next, the hinge region of MMP-9 is composed of 64 amino acids, which are strongly O-glycosylated. Moreover, in the MMP-23 molecule, the signal peptide from the N-terminus has been replaced by a type II transmembrane domain, whereas the hemopexin-like domain was substituted by a cysteine-rich segment, which forms an immunoglobulin-like domain. Eventually, all the membrane-anchored MMPs and three of the secreted MMPs (MMP-11, -21, and -28) have a specific R(X/R)KR motif between their prodomain and the catalytic domain. This sequence is recognized and digested by furin, the intracellular serine proteinase, which removes the prodomain from the MMP molecule and leads to its intracellular activation.

MMPs expression and activation

The critical role of MMPs in physiological reactions and some pathological conditions helps understand the precise control of their expression and activity. Interestingly, among all metalloproteinases, only gelatinases (MMP-2 and -9) are expressed constitutively. In contrast, production of other MMPs is induced, eg, in response to a tissue damage or inflammatory response. The expression of MMP genes is due to the presence of genes in the promoter region encoding MMP sequences recognized by two main transcription factors, nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) and activator protein 1 (AP-1). Both transcription factors bind to promoters of the majority of inducible genes; therefore, they interconnect several growth factor- and cytokine-mediated intracellular signaling pathways, resulting in the expression of many molecules, including MMPs, engaged in inflammatory response.

The expression of MMPs is also controlled by another group of transcription factors – Ets family members. In the MMP promoters, they recognize the conserved binding site for polyomavirus enhancer activator protein 3 (PEA-3). Since this target sequence is located close to at least one binding element for AP-1, the presumable interaction between the aforementioned transcription factors may modulate response of the promoter to various stimuli.

Recently, apart from the previously discussed mechanisms, an additional mechanism of MMP expression control has been suggested. The proposed mechanism is based on epigenetic modification of chromatin conformation through its acetylation–deacetylation. Interestingly, it has been found that stimulation with IL-1β or TNF, together with inhibition of histone deacetylase, resulted in increased expression of MMP-3 but reduced the production of MMP-1 and MMP-9.

Another issue regarding the mechanisms of MMP expression control is the involvement of mechanotransduction and the role of putative mechanoreceptors or mecanoresponsive elements. This mechanism may be crucial especially in organs and tissues that are subjected to permanent exposure to dynamic stress, eg, joint cartilage or blood vessels.

Moreover, it is noteworthy that expression of MMPs may also be controlled at the posttranscriptional level by regulation of stability or degradation of mRNA. Both, at the posttranscriptional and translational level, the expression of MMPs is thought to be negatively regulated by the short molecules of noncoding RNA, called microRNAs (miRs). It has been found that miR-9, miR-133a, and miR-24 bind to the 3′-UTR of MMP-14 (MT1-MMP) mRNA and directly block its translation. On the other hand, downregulation of miR-199a-5p in a murine wound-healing model promoted angiogenesis in acute wounds via Ets-1 derepression and MMP-1 induction, both in vitro and in vivo.

As already mentioned, all members of the MMP family are released as an inactive form – proenzyme. This condition is due to the specific interaction of the cysteine thiol group in the prodomain with the zinc cations from the active site of the catalytic domain, known as the "cysteine switch". The cessation of prodomain influence on the catalytic domain is crucial for proenzyme activation and may take place in two alternative ways (Figure 1).

Thus, the activation of MMPs may result from direct digestion of prodomain by another endoproteinase. This proteolytic activation may be carried by various extracellular proteolytic enzymes, including cysteine, serine, and aspartate proteases or other MMPs. In addition, this pathway involves the intracellular processing and activation of several MMPs.
by furin, the subtilisin-like serine proteinase, in the endoplasmic reticulum and trans-Golgi network.

The second pathway is based on modification of the cysteine thiol groups from the fuse-like prodomain by various compounds. Besides free radical and disulfides, some detergents, including sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), alkylating agents, heavy metal ions, and organomercurials with 4-aminophenylmercuric acetate, may induce allosteric alteration in MMP structure. This alteration leads to an exposure of the active site of the catalytic domain. Since the prodomain may still stay attached to the entire molecule despite the activation, its molecular weight will remain unchanged. However, usually the prodomain is further removed by autocleavage, and this event results in decrease of MMP molecular size.

Very often, both the aforementioned active forms are well visible in substrate-specific zymography with SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

MMPs in wound healing

The production and activation of MMPs are essential for tissue remodeling and wound healing. Because of their ability to digest ECM and hemidesmosomes, these endoproteinases allow keratinocyte migration to cover the exposed connective tissue. Although various MMPs are involved in the healing process, the order of their expression and activation, called metalloprotease activation cascade, seems to be strictly controlled at each stage of the wound repair.

The native collagens show characteristic conformation of triple helix, which is highly resistant to digestion by many proteases, with the exception of collagenases. Therefore, collagenases are necessary for initiation of native collagen processing. After initial digestion, the collagen fibers denature to gelatin and become vulnerable to further degradation by other proteinases. This specific proteolysis is necessary for keratinocyte migration and, finally, reepithelialization.

Collagenases (MMP-1, MMP-8, and MMP-13)

The main common feature of enzymes from this group is their ability to digest native fibrillar collagens (types I, II, III, V, and X) into characteristic N-terminal ⅓ and C-terminal ⅓ fragments. Besides the native collagen fibers, other targets for collagenases include various ECM components and non-ECM molecules—pro-TNF, pro-IL-8, protease-activated receptor-1, insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins, etc.

MMP-1 (collagenase-1) contributes to the migration of skin fibroblasts and basal keratinocytes and thereby promotes the wound-healing process. The expression of MMP-1 is rapidly induced by the contact of the cells with type I collagen, whereas laminin-1 seems to inhibit MMP-1 production.

The activation of MMP-1 requires cooperation with an active MMP-3 or plasminogen activator/plasmin system. After injury, the highest expression of MMP-1 is observed in basal keratinocytes of the wound edge at day 1 and increases gradually until the phase of reepithelialization. However, in patients with nonhealing wounds, the MMP-1 level remains stably high and may contribute to excessive ECM turnover.

MMP-8 (collagenase-2) is another collagenase essential for tissue repair. It is expressed by neutrophils, macrophages, and fibroblasts during wound healing. On the other hand, abnormally elevated amount of mRNA for MMP-8 in diabetic fibroblasts or increased levels of MMP-8 in wound tissues seem to impair the healing of chronic leg ulcers and diabetic foot ulcers.

MMP-13 (collagenase-3) is supposed to be responsible for the regulation of granular tissue growth. MMP-13 knockout mice have significantly reduced amount of granular tissue with delayed myofibroblasts organization, especially in the later phase of granulation. Although expression of MMP-13 is very low in acute and normally healing wounds, significant amounts of this collagenase may be easily found in chronic venous ulcers.

Gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9)

MMP-2 (gelatinase A) and MMP-9 (gelatinase B) are mainly responsible for cleavage of denatured collagen and gelatin.

They may also digest various ECM molecules, including several collagen types (I, IV, V, VII, IX, and X), elastin, laminin, aggrecan, fibronectin, vitronectin, and several non-ECM molecules, including pro-TNF, TGF-β, pro-IL-1β,
and pro-IL-8.12,15 Also, they are engaged in processing of various pro- and antiangiogenic factors during wound healing, especially during early stages of this process.3,48

Both gelatinases are constitutively synthesized by many cells, including fibroblasts, keratinocytes, endothelial cells, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and monocytes. The increased expression of MMP-2 can be detected in the connective tissue, fibroblasts, and endothelium at the edge of acute wounds during all stages of the healing process.48 It is hypothesized that MMP-2 induces epithelial cell migration by specific cleavage of laminin-5, a component of the epithelial basement membrane.49 The MMP-2 activity remains fairly stable until the phase of reepithelialization.50,51

MMP-9 contributes to wound healing by initiation of keratinocytes migration and mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells from the bone marrow. In murine model of wound healing, mice lacking MMP-9 showed reduced endothelial progenitor cell mobilization and vasculogenesis in damaged tissue.52 The maximal level of MMP-9 is observed at the edges of the wound at 24 hours after an injury and decreases significantly by 48 hours.53 Although gelatinases are essential for proper wound healing, the increased levels of both MMP-2 and -9 in wound fluid correlate with severity of chronic ulcers.14 Excessive activity of these MMPs in the wound microenvironment is supposed to impair tissue turnover and results in delayed wound closure.13,54,55

**MMP-14 (MT1-MMP)**

MMP-14 is the main representative of type I transmembrane MMPs. It digests native type I collagen into three-quarter and one-quarter excerpts, in a collagenase-specific manner. Also, MMP-14 is able to process the main components of ECM – collagens (type II and III), gelatin, fibronectin, and also several non-ECM molecules, including α2-macroglobulin, hyaluronan receptor (CD44), and myelin-inhibitory protein.15 Furthermore, MMP-14 plays an important role in the proteolytic activation of pro-MMP-2.56 MMP-14 may be present on the surface of vascular smooth muscle cells and activated macrophages. However, in later phases of wound repair, the keratinocytes in the migrating front of the regenerating epithelium are considered as the most important producers of MMP-14.57,59 The lack of MMP-14 not only leads to defective turnover of type I collagen, but also decreased level of active MMP-2, which may finally impair wound healing.60

**Stromelysins (MMP-3 and MMP-10)**

Stromelysins have molecular structure analogous to that of collagenases, but in contrast to them, stromelysins cannot digest native collagens. Their main substrates are processed collagens (III, IV, V, IX, and X), gelatin, laminin, fibronectin, proteoglycans, and many other non-ECM molecules, including plasminogen, fibrinogen, IL-1β, etc.1 Despite similar substrate specificity, both stromelysins differ in their efficacy.

MMP-3 (stromelysin-1) has higher proteolytic activity than MMP-10. In addition, MMP-3 may activate other pro-MMPs (collagenases or gelatinases) by deletion of their prodomain. Therefore, MMP-3 is recognized as a key factor in activation of these MMPs.47,61 The expression of MMP-3 is detected in dermal fibroblasts and basal keratinocytes at the sites of epidermis proliferation, but not at the migrating front.62 This stromelysin is supposed to initiate contraction of the dermis by fibroblasts.63 Interestingly, in MMP-3 knockout mice, despite improper arrangement of actin-rich stromal fibroblasts, cell migration and wound reepithelialization remain unaffected.64 Nevertheless, in the course of an inflammatory reaction, the expression of MMP-3 may be further enhanced by some cytokines, eg, interferon (IFN)-γ, thus resulting in imbalance between this protease and its inhibitors and, consequently, an impairment of the wound healing.65

MMP-10 (stromelysin-2), in contrast to MMP-3, is detected only in basal keratinocytes at the wound edge.62 Although a constant release of active MMP-10 in transgenic mice does not alter skin architecture or prolong the healing time, it leads to abnormal organization of the wound epithelium and reduced deposition of new ECM.66 MMP-10 expression is associated with proline-rich protein tyrosine kinase 2 (Pyk2)-dependent pathway. In murine model, a Pyk2 overexpression correlated with an increase in MMP-10 level and faster healing of experimental wounds.67

The few aforementioned examples of MMPs concerned only the most extensively studied representatives involved in chronic wound biology. Noteworthy further studies exploiting knockout animal models still provide new data expanding our knowledge regarding highly complex MMPs system and its involvement in physiology and pathology.15 However, their detailed description is out of scope of this concise review.

**MMPs inhibition – therapeutic perspectives**

As mentioned previously, in physiological conditions, MMPs remain under very precise control. Nevertheless, if this control appears insufficient, MMPs may become very dangerous effector molecules, involved in several pathologies, including metastatic cancer, vascular disorders, or chronic wounds. Therefore, it is obvious that mechanisms engaged in this regulation may be potential targets for novel therapeutic approach (Figure 2).
Physiological mechanisms of MMPs inhibition involve their interaction with the previously mentioned specific inhibitors called TIMPs, or several other endogenous inhibitors. The last group includes α2-macroglobulin, serine proteinase inhibitors (serpins), tissue factor-pathway inhibitor 2, reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs (RECK), and others.68

TIMPs
TIMPs (TIMP-1, -2, -3, and -4) are considered as “classical” endogenous inhibitors of MMPs (K, at the level of 10⁻¹¹ M for interaction between TIMP-1/MMP-1). The mechanism of their action is based on chelating of zinc ions from the catalytic domain of MMP.69 At first, TIMPs dock to the hemopexin domain of MMPs. Next, they bind to the catalytic domain and, finally, remove zinc cations from the active site, thus disrupting its enzymatic activity.

In general, various TIMPs differ in their affinity to specific MMPs, eg, TIMP-1 mainly binds to MT-MMPs, whereas other members of TIMPs family are less selective. It is noteworthy that an interaction between TIMP molecules and active MMPs in vivo is difficult to analyze due to the presence of α2-macroglobulin, a powerful MMP-neutralizing protein.29,31

As mentioned previously, the main role of TIMPs is the inhibition of MMPs activity. However, as reported for TIMP-2 and for other representatives of this family, the TIMP-mediated bridging between MT1-MMP and hemopexin domain of pro-MMP-2 is essential for the activation of this gelatinase.5

TIMPs are expressed and released by various cell populations, including macrophages, platelets, or vascular smooth muscle cells. In normally healing wounds, the expression of TIMP-2 in -3 mRNAs was detected in proliferating keratinocytes between the third and fifth day after injury.70 In case of chronic wounds, the expression pattern of TIMPs is altered. The lack of TIMP-2 in the zone with migrating keratinocytes of the wound edges may lead to uncontrolled activation of MMP-2 in chronic ulcers.70 Furthermore, in the chronic wound fluid, the level of TIMP-2 is significantly lower in comparison to the healing group.71 However, instead of absolute amounts of MMPs or TIMPs, the MMP/TIMP ratio seems to be a better predicting factor for the wound healing; for example, the initial MMP-9/TIMP-1 ratio revealed inverse correlation with healing of pressure ulcers in a 36-week-long observation.72

Since TIMPs promote the migration of human keratinocytes in vitro, their in vivo effectiveness after topical application was verified in murine wound model. Indeed, recombinant human TIMP-2 (rh-TIMP-2) accelerated the wound closure in diabetic mice, whereas a GPI-anchored modification of TIMP-1 (TIMP-1-GPI) increased the rate of healing in an excisional wound model in humans.73,74

Figure 2 The main groups of MMPs inhibitors.

**Abbreviations:** ECM, extracellular matrix; GAGs, glycosaminoglycans; MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TIMPs, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases; ???, unknown mechanisms involved; ?, exact mechanism unclear; (–), inhibition.
Recently, in addition to TIMPs, several other MMP modulators, including both natural and synthetic agents, have been widely investigated. These studies concerned MMP-inhibitory properties of various natural compounds, e.g., some antibiotics, curcumin, green tea polyphenols, or resveratrol, as well as many synthetic inhibitors, including phenantroline, hydroxamic acid, carboxylic acid, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and thiazoles.75,76 Although some of these exogenous inhibitors are widely used in current clinical practice, it is noteworthy that their original applications did not concern modulation of MMPs activity.

The first group of drugs, consciously developed as broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors, comprised zinc ion-chelating hydroxamate derivatives, including batimatstat, marimatstat, and ilomastat. They were designed as antimetastatic, anticancer drugs. However, regardless of very promising results of preclinical studies, owing to relatively low therapeutic effectiveness accompanied by various adverse reactions, their routine clinical use was discontinued.12,77

Tetracyclines
Tetracyclines, the group of natural antibiotics isolated from Streptomyces, are known for their various nonantimicrobial properties, including anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory actions, as well as inhibition of proteolysis. The main representative tetracycline is doxycycline, which has been shown to stabilize ECM turnover and support chronic wound healing. According to Serra et al,79 oral doxycycline administration in a subantimicrobial daily dose (40 mg for 3 months) accelerated the healing of chronic venous leg ulcers, presumably through the inhibition of MMP-9. Similar effects have been observed after 3-month treatment with minocycline, another tetracycline family representative, at an oral daily dose of 100 mg.79 It was suggested that doxycycline and minocycline inhibit MMPs directly by blockage of their catalytic site. In addition, tetracyclines are supposed to reduce the expression of MMPs indirectly by attenuating the inflammatory cascade.80,81

Heparin and glycosaminoglycans
The inhibitory influence on MMP activity, especially MMP-9, was also demonstrated both in vitro and in vivo in case of heparin and glycosaminoglycan (GAG)–sulodexide.7,9,82–85 Some authors have postulated the complex indirect inhibitory influence of heparin and GAG–sulodexide on MMPs (revealed especially by low-molecular-weight heparin fraction).12,82 However, there are also data suggesting direct inhibitory properties of dermatan sulfate fraction in sulodexide.7,84 Recently, the role of interaction between MMPs and endogenous GAGs was postulated as the important mechanism in the development of vascular pathologies, especially chronic venous disease and venous leg ulcers.7,84 Therefore, the use of heparin and heparin derivatives may offer substantial benefit in therapy and, possibly, prophylaxis of vascular diseases, including chronic wounds.86 However, this topic still requires extensive research.

Pentoxifylline
Pentoxifylline (PTX), a trisubstituted xanthine derivative, may also have some beneficial influence in systemic wound treatment. Because of its dose-related hemorrheologic effects (decreasing blood viscosity and improving erythrocyte flexibility), PTX is mainly used in patients with chronic peripheral arterial disease.87,88 However, systemic administration of PTX was also found to accelerate wound healing, presumably because of its anti-inflammatory properties. PTX also has the ability to reduce expressions of MMP-1 and MMP-3, whereas it increases expression of TIMP-1.89

Superabsorbent polymers
Besides proper systemic therapy, successful wound management requires an effective local treatment. Therefore, in recent years, several technologically advanced products, referred to as composite or hybrid dressings have been developed. Owing to their multilayered structure, they combine properties of different materials. The majority of them consist of gel-forming superabsorbent polymers (sodium polyacrylate or sodium carboxymethylcellulose), which absorb excessive amounts of wound exudate. Thus, in addition to reduced skin maceration, they may decrease the local amount of bacterial proteases and endogenous proteolytic enzymes, including MMPs. This mechanism may better explain the significant clinical effectiveness of these products in vivo. Presumably, the attenuation of proteolytic activity is due to the simple locking of the mentioned proteases in the gel-like structure of the absorbent layer.90–92 However, direct inhibition of MMPs by chelating Zn2+ or Ca2+ ions in polyacrylate or carboxymethylcellulose should also be considered.

A very similar mechanism of action was suggested in case of a new class wound care product – an enzyme-alginogel, Flaminal (Flen Pharma, Kontich, Belgium). This novel dressing has a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity, prevents skin maceration and irritation, and also seems to absorb and neutralize MMPs.93 It directly inhibited recombinant human MMP-2 and -9 activity in vitro in a dose-dependent...
manner. Moreover, this mechanism was also confirmed in vivo in venous leg ulcers study. The activity of both MMPs in exudates collected from patients with Flaminal-treated wounds was lower than that from control group.55

Amniotic membrane

Another interesting solution to chronic wound therapy may be the use of biological dressings prepared from the fresh or processed amniotic membrane.44,45 An application of the amnion in vivo results in stimulation of granular tissue formation and faster reepithelialization, but also less-pronounced irritation of the periwound skin.96 Apart from keratinocyte stimulation, the multidirectional beneficial impact of the amnion dressing on the wounds includes also the inhibition of excessive MMPs activity.97 As shown in experimental in vitro conditions, the amniotic membrane extracts revealed a strong protease modulatory potential, possibly due to the presence of several potent natural MMP inhibitors including TIMP-1, type-1 plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1), or thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1).96

Hypoxia and oxygen

In chronic wounds, an imbalance between MMPs and their inhibitors may also result from ischemia-reperfusion injury and hypoxia.98 However, the response to decreased oxygen level may vary among different cell types, especially keratinocytes, monocytes, and fibroblasts. In human keratinocytes exposed to hypoxia, the secretion of MMP-2, MMP-9, and TIMP-2 was reduced, whereas in human monocytes, decrease of MMP-9 and increase of TIMP-1 were observed.99,100 On the other hand, studies on the role of hypoxic microenvironment in chronic inflammation provided some evidence that the topical administration of exogenous oxygen might be a novel option for wound treatment. New carriers of oxygen, known as oxygen-loaded nanodroplets (OLNs), are considered as an effective way for MMP/TIMP ratio normalization. Indeed, chitosan- and dextran-shelled OLNs prevented detrimental effects of hypoxia on MMPs and TIMPs secretion and restored their balance in human keratinocytes and monocytes.99,100 Furthermore, OLNs promoted proangiogenic phenotype in hypoxic human dermal endothelium, which can also result from restoration of appropriate MMP/TIMP balance.101

On the other hand, reactive oxygen species, which are well known as endogenous triggers of autolytic activation of MMPs, may also inhibit metalloproteinases by alteration of amino acids at their active site.99,31 However, the better identification of the exact role of oxygen and reactive oxygen species in the pathophysiology of chronic wounds still requires further studies.

Conclusion

MMPs are key molecules in tissue remodeling and regeneration. Although they are necessary for proper wound healing, an excessive amount of MMPs or an imbalance between MMPs and their natural inhibitors can impair the healing process. Therefore, better regulation of MMPs activity may offer an attractive therapeutic perspective in chronic wound management. Indeed, recently, several novel therapeutic strategies with use of MMP modulators were developed. However, these concepts still require further extensive studies.
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