Laquinimod in the treatment of multiple sclerosis: a review of the data so far
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Abstract: Laquinimod (ABR-215062) is a new orally available carboxamide derivative, which is currently developed for relapsing remitting (RR) and chronic progressive (CP) forms of multiple sclerosis (MS; RRMS or CPMS) as well as neurodegenerative diseases. Its mechanism of action may comprise immunomodulatory effects on T-cells, monocytes, and dendritic cells as well as neuroprotective effects with prominent actions on astrocytes. Laquinimod was tested in Phase II and III clinical trials in RRMS at different dosages ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 mg/day. The compound was well tolerated, yet at the dosages tested only led to moderate effects on the reduction of relapse rates as primary study endpoint in Phase III trials. In contrast, significant effects on brain atrophy and disease progression were observed. While there were no significant safety signals in the clinical trials, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) refused marketing authorization for RRMS based on the assessment of the risk–benefit ratio with regard to data from animal studies. At present, the compound is further tested in RRMS as well as CPMS and Huntington’s disease at different concentrations. Results from these trials will further inform about the clinical benefit of laquinimod in patient cohorts with a persisting, but still insufficiently met need for safe and at the same time effective oral compounds with neuroprotective effects.
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Introduction
Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic and degenerative immune mediated disorder of the central nervous system (CNS) often affecting young adults and requiring long-term treatment.1,2 The disease course varies considerably between individuals and clinical manifestation might be multifaceted, including visual and sensory impairment, motor and bladder dysfunction or cognitive problems. The majority of patients (85%) initially present a relapsing remitting (RRMS) disease course characterized by episodes of acute relapses and following remission. Despite great advances within the last decade, above 50% of untreated RRMS patients go on to develop secondary progressive (SPMS) neurological decline over time.

The molecular mechanisms of MS are thus far not fully elucidated. Infiltration of autoreactive T-cells and release of proinflammatory cytokines lead to inflammatory infiltrates, demyelination, and axonal damage in the CNS as the major pathological findings.1,3

As a consequence, most therapeutic approaches targeted the migration of inflammatory cells to the CNS thus dampening the cascade of inflammatory events. However, even if the majority of available therapies may reduce inflammation and relapse frequency, some patients continue to develop progressive neurodegeneration, which in turn drives disability.4 Thus, neurodegeneration should be considered as a
concomitant process that takes place even at disease onset and requires effective medication. Therefore, there is an unmet need for new therapeutic approaches targeting both inflammation and neurodegeneration, as well as regenerative processes.

Laquinimod is a new orally available agent with numerous mechanisms of action (MoA). In this overview, we review the development of laquinimod, its manifold MoA, and clinical efficacy in published Phase II and III clinical trials. In addition, safety issues, pharmacodynamics, ongoing studies, and future perspectives of laquinimod in MS are discussed.

Development and pharmacodynamics of laquinimod
Laquinimod is a quinoline-3-carboxamide derivate (laboratory code: ABR-215062). It is the result of a structure-activity screening program after commercial launch of its lead compound roquinimex (Linomide®) was halted owing to unexpected severe cardiac adverse events (AEs) such as serositis, pericarditis, and myocardial infarction observed during Phase III trials. Chemical modifications of roquinimex led to the discovery of laquinimod. In comparison to roquinimex, laquinimod showed a 20-fold increase potency in treating animal models of MS and a clearly superior safety profile.

Laquinimod is a once a day oral drug, which is rapidly absorbed in the gut and reaches maximum plasma concentrations approximately within 2 hours of intake. In animal studies, its oral bioavailability was approximately 80%–90%. It displays low plasma protein binding and a low rate of total clearance. Laquinimod is metabolized in the liver by the cytochrome isoenzyme CYP3A4 prior to elimination in urine. Only 5%–10% of laquinimod is excreted unchanged. So far, no significant drug interactions are known. However, clinicians should consider interactions with CYP3A4 inducers or inhibitors. Due to its ability to cross the blood–brain barrier, laquinimod may confer direct protective effects on inflammatory and degenerative CNS processes.

Mode of laquinimod action
The MoA by which laquinimod exerts its effects are manifold and not yet fully elucidated. Studies conducted in the mouse model of MS, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), and in vitro studies on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from healthy human donors and patients with MS as well as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies in humans suggest both anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects.

Anti-inflammatory effects
The EAE model is an established animal model of demyelinating CNS inflammation and is widely used to evaluate novel disease-modifying agents in MS research. In this model, disease severity was mitigated in animals receiving laquinimod either before (preventive treatment) or after disease onset (therapeutic treatment) in a dose-dependent fashion. In comparison to its predecessor substance roquinimex, laquinimod was ~20 times more effective and its beneficial effect in EAE seems to be independent from endogenous interferon (IFN)-beta. Postmortem immunohistochemical analyses demonstrated that laquinimod reduced infiltration of cluster of differentiation (CD)4+ T-cells, CD8+ T-cells, and macrophages into the CNS following both treatment regimes. In parallel, there was a reduction of inflammatory demyelination and axonal loss within the CNS compared to control animals.

On the level of T-cells, auto aggressive Th17 lymphocytes play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of MS and EAE. Laquinimod treatment suppressed the Th17 proinflammatory response in EAE. In addition, Schulze-Topphoff et al. reported on an increase in regulatory T-cells following application of laquinimod. In EAE, there is also evidence that laquinimod further modulates the cytokine balance in favor of an anti-inflammatory environment, characterized by increased levels of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-10 and decreased levels of IL-12 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha. Similar results were found in PBMC from healthy volunteers.

Finally, laquinimod may inhibit the entry of leukocytes into the CNS via downregulation of very late antigen (VLA)-4 mediated adhesiveness. Additionally, laquinimod targets proinflammatory monocytes by reducing inter alia their levels of CD62L and matrix metalloproteinase-9, thus reducing their transmigration into the CNS.

With regard to antigen presenting cell populations, laquinimod exhibited effects on dendritic cells and monocytes/macrophages. It skewed monocytes toward a regulatory phenotype characterized by increased secretion of IL-10. Laquinimod treatment may also influence dendritic cell maturation and functions in humans and EAE. Treatment of murine dendritic cells with laquinimod caused 1) decreased monocyte chemotaxis and 2) reduced chemokine and cytokine secretion. Jolivel et al. suggest that inhibition of the nuclear factor (NF)-κB pathway is responsible for these changes. Effects on the NF-κB pathway have also been reported by others. In vitro application of laquinimod to B-cells taken from patients with MS...
showed alterations in the expression of genes involved in T-cell activation and NF-κB pathways. To investigate potential effects of laquinimod on peripheral blood immune cell populations with a special focus on monocyte phenotype and function, Stasiolek et al analyzed blood samples from 100 patients with RRMS participating in the ALLEGRO trial. Treatment with laquinimod (0.6 mg/day) resulted in a lower expression of CD86 on monocytes stimulated with lipopolysaccharide. Additionally, upon proinflammatory stimulation monocytes taken from patients in the laquinimod group secreted lower amounts of the proinflammatory chemokines CCL-2 and CCL-5. Beyond that, laquinimod at a dosage of 0.6 mg orally once daily did not alter the relative proportion of T-cells, B-cells, natural killer (NK)-cells, dendritic cells, regulatory T-cells, monocytes, and macrophages after 2 years of continuous treatment.

In an isolated in vitro setting, Kelland et al examined the effect of direct exposure of laquinimod on viability, proliferation, migration, and differentiation of neural stem cells and oligodendrocyte progenitor cells of human origin. Direct exposure to laquinimod over a concentration range of 10 nM to 1 µM for 24 hours, 48 hours, and 7 days showed no detrimental effects on basic cellular functions of neural stem cells and oligodendrocyte progenitor cells.

**Neuroprotective effects**

EAE studies also demonstrated neuroprotective properties of laquinimod. As compared to sham treatment, axonal damage, demyelination, and synaptic loss were reduced in EAE mice treated with laquinimod.

Thöne et al evaluated the properties of laquinimod to modulate brain-derived neuroprotective factor (BDNF) in 203 patients treated with either laquinimod (0.6 mg/day) or placebo. In a blinded analysis, treatment with laquinimod led to a significant and persistent increase in BDNF serum levels compared to placebo treatment. These results were corroborated by EAE experiments. Mice lacking BDNF expression in myeloid cells and T-cells showed a more severe EAE disease course and pronounced axonal damage compared to wild-type animals following laquinimod treatment. Furthermore, Aharoni et al reported that treatment of EAE-inflicted mice with laquinimod restored BDNF expression to its level in healthy controls.

Additional evidence supporting the notion that laquinimod may offer neuroprotective effects comes from experiments in cuprizone-induced demyelination in vivo and on primary CNS cells in vitro. Laquinimod targets the CNS-resident inflammatory response of astrocytes via inhibition of NF-κB, resulting in decreased axonal damage, demyelination, and oligodendrocyte apoptosis.

Laquinimod also displayed neuroprotective activity in EAE on an electrophysiological level by reducing excitatory postsynaptic currents, while increasing inhibitory postsynaptic currents.

**Efficacy**

So far, different dosages of laquinimod have been studied in clinical trials in MS and healthy volunteers (Table 1). Here we summarize efficacy and safety findings of published Phase II and III clinical studies investigating laquinimod (0.1, 0.3, and 0.6 mg/day) in patients with MS. For further information on Phase I studies, we refer to recent reviews.

**Phase II trials**

The first Phase II trial conducted by Polman et al included 177 patients with RRMS and 33 patients with SPMS and investigated laquinimod at dosages of 0.1 mg/day (n=68) versus 0.3 mg/day (n=74) versus placebo (n=67). The primary endpoint was defined as the mean cumulative number of gadolinium-enhancing (GdE) MRI lesions over 24 weeks. MRI scans were performed every 8 weeks, and 8 weeks after discontinuation of therapy and used a three-time standard dose of gadolinium for the detection of GdE MRI lesions. Secondary analyses included relapse rates and the examination of neurological function using Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composites (MSFC).

Patients receiving laquinimod 0.3 mg/day displayed a marginally significant 44% reduction of the mean cumulative number of GdE MRI lesions compared with placebo (P=0.0498). MRI scans done at week 32 observed a trend suggestive of a rebound effect in the laquinimod 0.3 mg/day group. The mean number of clinical exacerbations, the mean EDSS and MSFC did not differ between groups.

A following Phase Ib study was designed to compare the efficacy of two doses of laquinimod (0.3 and 0.6 mg/day) versus placebo. The study included a total of 308 patients and was scheduled for 36 weeks. The primary outcome measure was the cumulative number of GdE MRI lesions at weeks 24, 28, 32, and 36. Secondary outcome measures included the total number of confirmed relapses and changes from baseline EDSS during the treatment period.

In contrast to Polman et al, treatment with laquinimod 0.3 mg/day showed no significant effects, while in subjects receiving 0.6 mg/day laquinimod, the reduction of GdE MRI lesions reached 40.4% (P=0.048) compared with
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Placebo.

Two hundred and fifty-seven patients of the core LAQ/5062 trial were enrolled in a 36-week double-blind extension study (LAQ/5063). Subjects originally on placebo were switched to laquinimod 0.3 or 0.6 mg/day, while individuals in the treatment arm were continued on laquinimod. Subjects switched to laquinimod showed a significant reduction by 52% in the mean number of GdE MRI lesions between baseline and week 36. Subjects who continued on the treatment group showed sustained benefit throughout LAQ/5063. In neither group, EDSS or MSFC changed significantly. 

Phase III trials
So far, the efficacy of 0.6 mg/day laquinimod in patients with RRMS was analyzed in two Phase III studies, ALLEGRO (Assessment of Oral Laquinimod in Preventing Progression in Multiple Sclerosis; #NCT00509145) and BRAVO (Benefit–Risk Assessment of Avonex and Laquinimod; #NCT00605215). Both multicenter studies were scheduled for 24 months, placebo-controlled, randomized, and double-blind. In BRAVO a third group of patients received IFN-beta 1a once a week intramuscular (Avonex®). However, it is important to note that BRAVO was not designed to provide a direct statistical comparison between laquinimod and IFN-beta 1a. The primary outcome measure in ALLEGRO and BRAVO was the annualized relapse rate (ARR) during the 24-month follow-up period.

In comparison to placebo, ALLEGRO demonstrated a modest but significant reduction of the mean ARR in subjects treated with 0.6 mg/day laquinimod (0.30 vs 0.39, P=0.002). In parallel, a higher proportion of patients in the treatment group was relapse-free and showed a reduced risk of confirmed disability progression (defined as a 3-month
sustained increase in EDSS score) compared with placebo (62.9% vs 52.2%; \(P<0.001\) and 11.1% vs 15.7%; \(P=0.01\), respectively). Similar to Phase II studies, laquinimod showed significant effects on secondary MRI endpoints including the total number of GdE MRI lesions (1.33 vs 2.12; \(P<0.001\)) and new or enlarging lesions on T2-weighted MRI (5.03 vs 7.14; \(P<0.001\)). Apart from that, laquinimod slowed brain volume loss from baseline during the study period as compared with placebo.

Additional evidence supporting the neuroprotective capacity of laquinimod comes from brain MRI studies in ALLEGRO. Here, laquinimod led to lower rates of white-matter and thalamic atrophy at months 12 and 24, gray-matter atrophy at month 12, and a trend for less gray-matter atrophy at month 24. Other MRI techniques sensitive to neuronal damage, including magnetization transfer ratio imaging of white-matter, gray-matter, and normal appearing tissue, may further support laquinimod’s neuroprotective MoA.34

In BRAVO, laquinimod missed the primary outcome measure of reducing ARR compared to placebo.35 However, following adjustment for an imbalance between groups in the volume of baseline T2 disease and the number of GdE MRI lesions, laquinimod reduced the ARR by 21% (0.29 vs 0.37, \(RR =0.787\), 95% confidence interval [CI] =0.637–0.972; \(P=0.026\)). Secondary analysis of the BRAVO study included percent brain volume change and confirmed disability progression as measured by the EDSS. The percentage of brain volume loss was less pronounced in the laquinimod group (21%; \(P<0.001\)), while effects on confirmed disability progression became statistically significant after adjustment for imbalance (\(P=0.044\)).

**Safety issues**

The first Phase II study by Polman et al29 showed a favorable safety profile and reported on a similar proportion of patients with AEs in the three treatment arms. The favorable safety profile was confirmed in LAQ/5062 and its extension study.30,31 Both studies reported no deaths, and the incidence of AE did not differ between groups. AEs (except elevated liver enzymes) more frequently seen in LAQ/5062 in subjects receiving laquinimod were chest pain, arthralgia, and herpes simplex as well as herpes zoster infections. The overall number of infections was similar between groups. In LAQ/5062, the incidence of serious AE was 4.9% for placebo, 2.8% for laquinimod 0.6 mg/day, and 5.1% for laquinimod 0.3 mg/day. During the 36-week Phase II active extension study, no life threatening/opportunistic infections or malignancies occurred. Patients switched to laquinimod showed a similar incidence of AE as compared to subjects originally treated with laquinimod.31

In ALLEGRO, 87% in the laquinimod and 81% in the placebo group reported at least one AE. Most common AE seen at a higher incidence (except elevated liver enzymes) were back pain, cough, arthralgia and abdominal pain (Table 2).32 With regard to serious AE, 11.1% in the laquinimod group had a serious AE as compared to 9.5% of the placebo group. No death, no case of fatal liver failure, and no increased likelihood of herpes virus infections or neoplasms (eight in the laquinimod group vs six in the placebo group) were reported in ALLEGRO.32 Serious AEs seen more frequently in the laquinimod group than in subjects receiving placebo are shown in Table 2. Remarkably, there was a higher incidence of appendicitis in subjects treated with laquinimod.

Safety data from the BRAVO study demonstrated a similar safety profile to that of ALLEGRO.33 Similar proportions of subjects treated with either laquinimod, placebo, or IFN-beta 1a were discontinued early due to AE (laquinimod 5% vs placebo 4% vs IFN-beta 1a 6%; BRAVO). Most common AEs (except elevated liver enzymes) seen in subjects treated with laquinimod versus placebo were abdominal pain, headache, and nausea. The number of severe AEs did not differ significantly between groups. Additionally, two deaths occurred, one in the laquinimod and one in the IFN-beta 1a group, however, both were assessed by the investigator as unrelated to the study medication. There was one case of superficial thrombophlebitis in a subject treated with laquinimod (BRAVO).33

In terms of laboratory values, laquinimod led to an elevation of liver enzymes (alanine transaminase and aspartate transaminase) in comparison to placebo treatment in Phase II

### Table 2 Overview of safety issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>Changes in laboratory values</th>
<th>Safety precautions</th>
<th>Risk in pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of liver enzymes</td>
<td>Elevation of liver enzymes</td>
<td>Monitoring for liver enzymes and signs of infection</td>
<td>No data available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal and back pain, cough,</td>
<td>Shift of C-reactive protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dizziness, headache, diarrhea,</td>
<td>(CRP) and fibrinogen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and respiratory tract infections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Note: The table is a markdown representation of the data presented in the text. The values in the table are placeholders and do not reflect the actual data mentioned in the original text.]
and III studies. Typically, elevated liver enzymes occurred within the first 6 months of treatment and were reversible over the course of the study without withdrawing therapy. If elevated liver enzymes led to discontinuation of the study drug in any way, they normalized within 2 months (ALLEGRO). There was no concomitant increase in bilirubin levels or change in coagulation tests (ALLEGRO; BRAVO). In the LAQ/5062 trial, shifts in C-reactive protein and fibrinogen were monitored and showed no significant change.

To date, there are no reliable data concerning teratogenic effects in humans. Nevertheless, in women of child bearing age, a strict use of contraceptives is advisable, and breastfeeding women should not be exposed to laquinimod. An increased risk of fetal malformations has been reported in rats following treatment with other quinoline derivatives. In humans, there were no signals of malignancy in the clinical trials. The CHMP expressed concerns regarding a higher occurrence of cancers after long-term exposure to the compound. In animal studies, yet without any signals expected in January 2017.

Regulatory affairs
Laquinimod received a negative opinion from the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2014. In particular, the CHMP expressed concerns regarding a higher occurrence of cancers after long-term exposure to the compound in animal studies and noted that a similar long-term cancer risk could not be sufficiently excluded in humans, although there were no signals of malignancy in the clinical trials. The Committee also discussed possible pregnancy risks, again based on data from animal studies, yet without any signals from human trials where strict contraception was advised. Based on the data available, the CHMP concluded that the benefits of laquinimod at 0.6 mg/day were not sufficient to outweigh the potential risks in patients with RRMS and refused marketing authorization at that time.

In response to a request from the US Food and Drug Administration, Teva Pharmaceuticals rolled out a third Phase III trial (CONCERTO) in subjects with RRMS. CONCERTO is designed to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of two doses of laquinimod 0.6 or 1.2 mg/day in subjects with RRMS (see later).

Ongoing trials
CONCERTO (The Efficacy and Safety and Tolerability of Laquinimod in Subjects With Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis [#NCT01707992]) is a third randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled Phase III study designed to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of two doses of laquinimod 0.6 or 1.2 mg/day in RRMS. The study is scheduled for 24 months, currently ongoing but not recruiting participants. The primary outcome measure is the time to confirmed disease progression as measured by EDSS. Secondary outcome measures are percent change in brain volume from baseline to month 15 and time to first confirmed relapse. The estimated study completion date is April 2019. Additionally, three extension studies (#NCT00745615, #NCT00988052, and #NCT01047319) are currently ongoing in order to evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, and effect of 0.6 mg/day laquinimod in RRMS.

Due to laquinimod’s suggested effect on neurodegenerative aspects a Phase II study in subjects with primary progressive MS (ARPEGGIO, #NCT02284568) evaluating two doses of laquinimod 0.6 and 1.5 mg/day as compared to placebo is now underway.

The compound is also tested in neurodegenerative disease: The LEGATO trial is a multicenter, multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, parallel group study to assess the efficacy and safety of laquinimod at dosages of 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 mg/day for the treatment of patients with clinically proven Huntington’s disease (HD) and/or positive genetic testing with 36–49 CAG repeats in the Huntingtin gene. The primary endpoint is a change from baseline in the motor score of the Unified HD Rating Scale over 12 months. The study will enroll 400 patients, and study completion is expected in January 2017.

Expert opinion
Laquinimod is an orally available new compound under development for MS and neurodegenerative disease. Approximately 10 years ago, there was much enthusiasm about the introduction of new oral compounds to MS therapy with five oral medications under development in Phase III trials (cladribine, dimethyl fumarate, fingolimod, laquinimod, and teriflunomide). Yet, finally, only two of these compounds (dimethyl fumarate and teriflunomide) received marketing authorization in the European Community as first-line therapies for RRMS. Still, even these compounds face challenges like the occurrence of progressive multifocal eukoencephalopathy under treatment with dimethyl fumarate or the need for strict contraception and the very long half-life requiring wash out procedures in the case of teriflunomide. Thus, there is a persisting, but still insufficiently met, need for safe and at the same time effective oral compounds in MS therapy, especially regarding chronic-progressive disease forms and
Laquinimod offers the perspective to add to the therapeutic armamentarium in patients with MS and neurodegeneration. Current data show that at the dose tested, laquinimod demonstrated a modest effect on relapse rates but no superiority to established disease-modifying treatments in RRMS. Yet, effects on disease progression and brain atrophy, which has been recognized as a surrogate marker of neuronal damage in MS, were more convincing.

In all trials, laquinimod was generally well tolerated. Importantly, no signs of severe cardiac AE were observed. The proposed MoA whereby laquinimod ameliorates disease progression in MS is manifold and may include neuroprotective actions.

Currently, further studies are ongoing to evaluate the safety and efficacy of laquinimod at a higher dose (CONCERTO) as well as in subjects with primary progressive MS (ARPEGGIO) or neurodegenerative disease such as HD (LEGATO). Results from these trials will further inform about the clinical benefit of laquinimod in patient populations with a persisting, but still insufficiently met, need for safe and at the same time effective oral compounds with neuroprotective effects.

Acknowledgments
JT conducted research projects sponsored by Teva Pharmaceuticals. RAL received travel expenses and speaker and research Honoraria from Biogen, Genzyme, MerckSerono, Novartis Pharma, Roche, and TEVA Pharma. RAL holds an endowed professorship supported from the Novartis Foundation for Therapeutic Research.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


