Animal models of myasthenia gravis: utility and limitations
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Abstract: Myasthenia gravis (MG) is a chronic autoimmune disease caused by the immune attack of the neuromuscular junction. Antibodies directed against the acetylcholine receptor (AChR) induce receptor degradation, complement cascade activation, and postsynaptic membrane destruction, resulting in functional reduction in AChR availability. Besides anti-AChR antibodies, other autoantibodies are known to play pathogenic roles in MG. The experimental autoimmune MG (EAMG) models have been of great help over the years in understanding the pathophysiological role of specific autoantibodies and T helper lymphocytes and in suggesting new therapies for prevention and modulation of the ongoing disease. EAMG can be induced in mice and rats of susceptible strains that show clinical symptoms mimicking the human disease. EAMG models are helpful for studying both the muscle and the immune compartments to evaluate new treatment perspectives. In this review, we concentrate on recent findings on EAMG models, focusing on their utility and limitations.
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Myasthenia gravis

Acquired myasthenia gravis (MG) is a B-cell-mediated T-cell-dependent autoimmune disease, which is characterized by impairment of the neuromuscular junction (NMJ) transmission and caused by specific autoantibodies (auto-Abs) directed against the acetylcholine receptor (AChR) on the postsynaptic membrane of skeletal muscle cells.1–3 The majority of AChR antibodies recognize an extracellular domain of the receptor, defined as main immunogenic region, localized between residues 67 and 76 of the α-subunit of the receptor.4-7 The development of anti-AChR auto-Abs is apparently due to the breakdown of self-tolerance in the thymus,5–7 with activation of AChR-specific CD4+ T helper (Th) cells and production of proinflammatory cytokines, leading to the synthesis of high-affinity antibodies8,9 and chemokines contributing to autoimmunity maintenance.10 MG responds to the clinical criteria of antibody-mediated autoimmune diseases,11 implying the presence of auto-Abs in patients,1,12,13 which specifically interact with the target antigen forming immune complexes1–14 and induce an experimental model, when injected in recipient animals, reproducing the feature of the disease (passive transfer).15,16 Other criteria imply that the immunization with the specific antigen produces an experimental model that is clinically similar to the disease17 and that the reduction in circulating antibody levels ameliorates the disease.18,19 AChR-specific auto-Abs induce complement activation and damage of the NMJ with increased degradation of AChR. The direct binding of auto-Abs (IgG1 and...
IgG3 subtypes) activates the complement cascade, leading to the formation of the membrane attack complex (MAC) and consequently to the lysis of the muscle cell.14 The destruction of the postsynaptic membrane results in a morphological alteration, with decreased number of functional AChRs and sodium channels.20 The formation of immune complexes induces endocytosis-mediated internalization of AChR, which is not compensated by novo synthesis, and increases lysosomal degradation of AChR, reducing its availability on the postsynaptic membrane.14,21 Further impairment of AChR function can also be derived from the physical interaction of a subset of polyclonal anti-AChR auto-Abs to the specific acetylcholine binding sites on the receptor.22

### Experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis

The first report on an experimental model of MG was published >30 years ago,17 showing that rabbits immunized with AChR, purified from the Electrophorus electricus electric organ, developed MG-like symptoms. Later on, many animal studies confirmed that an autoimmune response was occurring in MG patients against muscle AChR and that anti-AChR antibodies were responsible for the structural and functional damage of the NMJ. Over the years, experimental autoimmune MG (EAMG) has represented an excellent model to investigate the pathogenic mechanisms underlying the human disease and to evaluate the efficacy of new immunotherapies.23

MG and its animal models share several immunopathological features23 such as the presence of anti-AChR antibodies in serum, IgGs and complement component deposition at the NMJ, major histocompatibility complex class II-restricted presentation of AChR epitopes, and involvement of Th cells in the production of B-cell antibody,24 and several clinical features, such as muscle weakness and fatigability, decremented response after repetitive nerve stimulation, increased curare sensitivity, and temporary improvement of muscle strength following treatment with anticholinesterase drugs (Table 1).24

Although EAMG can be induced in various animal species, most of the experimental models are established in rats and mice, mainly due to the high incidence of clinical EAMG signs.25 The course of EAMG is evaluated by monitoring the loss of body weight and muscular strength of the immunized animals. Myasthenic symptoms, assessed after exercise, include tremor, hunched posture, muscle weakness, and fatigue and are given in detail in Table 2. In susceptible rat strains, EAMG is induced by active immunization with Torpedo californica AChR (TAChr)25 or with a rat AChR epitope capable of breaking immunological tolerance (amino acids [aa] 97-116 of the α-subunit).26,27 EAMG can also be induced by passive transfer of anti-AChR antibodies,1,4 which is the simplest protocol for studying the pathogenetic effects of auto-Abs in vivo.

#### Active EAMG

Mice would represent the ideal model for the development of the experimental disease due to the availability of transgenic, knockout, and mutant mice that are optimal for the investigation of the biological mechanisms at the basis of MG pathogenesis.24,28 Indeed, EAMG has been intensively studied in mice to better understand the factors that are involved in the disease pathogenesis and to investigate their potential modulation and regulation. Highly susceptible murine strains are C57Bl/6, SJL, and AKR, where 50%-70% of animals developed myasthenic symptoms induced by TAChr immunization, which are different from the poorly susceptible BALB/c and SWR strains.28,29 EAMG in the mouse is routinely induced by immunization with purified AChR (20 g) in complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) followed by two or three boosts with AChR (20 μg) in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant. This procedure triggers the production of antibodies to both foreign AChR and self-AChR,25,30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Immunopathological similarities and differences between MG and its experimental model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Similarities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Differences</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of anti-AChR antibodies in serum</td>
<td>Disease does not arise spontaneously in experimental animals; need for induction factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits of IgGs and complement component at the neuromuscular junction</td>
<td>Involvement of the thymus (present in MG, absent in EAMG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of muscle AChR</td>
<td>Thymic alterations are absent in EAMG; hypertrophy and thymomas are often present in MG patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC class II-restricted presentation of AChR epitopes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement of T helper cells in B-cell antibody production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AChR, acetylcholine receptor; MG, myasthenia gravis; EAMG, experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis; MHC, major histocompatibility complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Clinical evaluation of EAMG symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical score</strong></td>
<td><strong>Symptoms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>Normal strength and no fatigability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Mildly decreased activity and weak grip or cry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Clinical signs present before exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Severe clinical signs at rest, no grip, moribund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** EAMG, experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis.
and myasthenic symptoms typically appear 7–14 days after the last injection.24,28 Due to the several immunization boosts required to induce this model, it is relatively difficult to define the appropriate time windows for preventive and/or therapeutic approaches in mice.

Various inbred rat strains have been tested for the induction of active EAMG via immunization with T4AChR, with more severe clinical features compared with those observed in murine models. The strain most employed is the Lewis rat, which exhibits clinical manifestations most similar to those of human MG.31 EAMG in Lewis rats is generally induced via single immunization with purified AChR (20 µg) in CFA, prompting the production of antibodies to foreign AChR, which similar to the mouse model are able to cross-react with the self-AChR.25,30 Two different disease phases can be clinically distinguished. The first acute transient phase begins −7 days postimmunization and is characterized by the synthesis of anti-AChR antibodies (IgM type), which leads to complement depositions on muscle membrane, extensive phagocytic invasion at the NMJ, and destruction of the postsynaptic membrane. The cellular invasion decreases the AChR content of a rapid muscle, which is followed (after 2–3 days) by an abnormal increase in the AChR content likely due to the formation of extrajunctional AChR.25 The second progressive chronic phase begins −28 days postimmunization and is characterized by the production of a larger amount of antibodies (IgG type) and complement deposition at the postsynaptic membrane, which thus appears flat, due to lack in junctional folds. In this phase, there are no phagocytic cells, and the reduction in skeletal muscle AChR content is such that it is reduced to one-third compared with that of healthy animals. Importantly, this phase reflects the clinical course of the human disease.

In the rat, it was proven that active EAMG can also be induced via immunization with a synthetic peptide, corresponding to the immunogenic region 97–116 of rat AChR α-subunit (R97–116) in CFA (50 µg of peptide), followed by a second immunization boost of R97–116 (50 µg) in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant 30 days after the first immunization.26 The onset of EAMG manifestations appears 2 weeks after the booster injection.26 When compared with T4AChR-induced EAMG, R97–116-induced EAMG shows a different time course, which is characterized by a slower progression over time and a slightly wider clinical inhomogeneity among immunized animals.26 Due to the considerably better feasibility of working with a peptide of the rat AChR instead of the whole AChR extracted from T. californica, several recently published studies on new therapeutic strategies have been performed in the R97–116 experimental model.32–35

**Passive transfer of EAMG**

EAMG can be also induced by passive transfer of auto-Abs via two distinct mechanisms: either with daily injections into healthy recipient animals of serum IgG fraction isolated from MG patients15 or with anti-AChR antibodies purified from AChR-immunized donor animals in chronic EAMG.1 Alternatively, passive EAMG can be induced via administration of monoclonal antibodies (IgG1 or IgG2a) which are directed to the AChR α-subunit, either derived from AChR-immunized animals36 or cell line culture supernatants,37 which trigger EAMG symptoms in the recipient animals within 24 hours. This EAMG induction protocol has proved to be the perfect model not only for characterizing the immunopathogenesis of AChR-MG and for testing the pathogenicity of other antigen-targeted auto-Abs but also for evaluating the therapeutic potentials of drugs specifically aimed to reduce auto-Ab pathogenic effects.38

**Adoptive transfer of EAMG**

EAMG can be induced via the transplantation of human tissues or cells in severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice, lacking mature B- and T-cells and tolerating xenografts.39,40 Published studies show that SCID mice engrafted with thymus tissue fragments of MG patients produce human anti-mouse AChR antibodies 1–2 weeks after transplantation, demonstrating that a myasthenic thymus contains all the cellular components required for producing auto-Abs and maintaining their synthesis for at least 11 weeks after transplantation.39 Similarly, SCID mice injected with peripheral blood lymphocytes, derived from MG patients, show the typical signs of the human disease, which is characterized by circulating anti-AChR antibodies and human IgG deposits at the NMJ, and demonstrate that only CD4+ T-cells, and not CD8+ T-cells, are necessary for the pathogenesis of the disease.40 Finally, clinical manifestations of MG are also observed in AChR-immunized SCID mice simultaneously injected with peripheral blood lymphocytes isolated from healthy controls.41

**Newly emerged auto-antigens in MG and new EAMG models**

The majority of patients with generalized MG (85%) and with ocular MG (50%) develop antibodies against AChR, usually belonging to IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes; these auto-Abs can be detected by the standard radioimmunoassay method.1 In ~40% of MG patients without anti-AChR antibodies (AChR-negative MG), antibodies directed to a postsynaptic muscle-specific tyrosine kinase (MuSK) can be
detected, predominantly of the IgG4 type. The clinical disease, which is characterized by bulbar and facial muscle weakness and extreme fatigue, can be difficult to treat in an effective manner. MuSK auto-Abs affect the NMJ dispersing AChR clusters. Indeed, MuSK together with neural agrin, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 4 (LRP4), downstream of tyrosine kinase 7, and rapsyn is crucial in stabilizing postsynaptic AChRs clusters. Experimental animals actively immunized with MuSK (active MuSK EAMG) develop MuSK auto-Abs and muscle weakness, which are accompanied by reduced postsynaptic AChR numbers, decremented amplitudes of endplate potentials, and failure of neuromuscular transmission. Although MuSK immunization stimulates the production of all antibody isotypes, noncomplement-fixing IgG1, the mouse analog of human IgG4, is the dominant anti-MuSK Ig isotype in both sera and NMJs. Moreover, MuSK-immunized mice sera and supernatants of cultured lymph node cells show high levels of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-10, suggesting a role for Th2-type cells in the activation of anti-MuSK IgG1. Similar results have been found in mice injected with IgG from MG patients positive for MuSK auto-Ab (passive transfer of MuSK EAMG). Thanks to these models, besides enlightening the mechanisms by which MuSK antibodies disrupt synaptic function at the NMJ, clues for the pathogenesis of IgG4-related diseases have been given, which might in turn be of great value for developing specific therapies.

Moreover, recent studies in MG patients double negative for anti-AChR and anti-MuSK have identified auto-Abs against LRP4, an agrin receptor critical for NMJ formation. LRP4 auto-Abs have been demonstrated to be pathogenic; indeed, mice immunized with the extracellular domain of LRP4 produce anti-LRP4 antibodies and show MG-like symptoms. Moreover, mouse anti-LRP4 antibodies inhibit agrin-induced MuSK activation and AChR clustering, thus showing potential pathophysiological mechanisms. Indeed, passive transfer experiments confirmed the pathogenicity of LRP4 antibodies and demonstrated that LRP4, which contributes to NMJ maintenance, is an autoantigen in MG.

EAMG models for the investigation of therapeutic approaches

The main aim of experimental MG is to understand the pathological mechanisms of the disease and to investigate potential new therapies in order to flank or replace the actual immunosuppressive therapies. Indeed, current conventional therapies for MG are not effective in a proportion of patients, and immunosuppressive drugs induce numerous side effects; hence, new approaches are necessary to suppress antigen-specific immune cells and reduce the undesired effects usually observed following the inhibition of the whole immune system in MG patients. However, EAMG is an appropriate tool for studying the pathogenesis of MG and testing potential therapeutic approaches. The recently studied new interventions on the EAMG model may be subdivided into five major classes on the basis of their general mechanism of action: 1) treatments to induce peripheral tolerance, via tolerizing agents or immunomodulating cellular delivery; 2) treatments to induce immunomodulation via biological agents, such as cytokines or probiotics; 3) newly developed pharmacological approaches; 4) inhibitors of complement activity; and 5) molecular biology approaches such as RNA/microRNA interference. The following sections serve as a general excursus on those approaches, which are also schematically summarized in Table 3.

Induction of peripheral tolerance

The most supported pathogenetic hypothesis for MG induction is the loss of self-tolerance in the thymus, which induces the production of AChR-specific auto-reactive CD4+ T-cell and consequently anti-AChR auto-Abs. The development of EAMG seems to be caused by a disruption of T-cell subset balance, which is characterized by an increase in Th1/Th17 cells and a decrease in Th2/regulatory T-cells (Tregs). The immune response is normally kept under control by a balance in the Th1/Th2 subset, which is mediated by the Th17 cells and a decrease in Th2/regulatory T-cells (Tregs). The immune response is normally kept under control by a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Therapeutic approaches investigated via EAMG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approaches</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction of peripheral tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic potential of immunomodulating dendritic cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory cells and suppressor cells as therapeutic approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cell therapies for EAMG: mesenchymal stem cells and B10 cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological immunotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of complement-mediated NMJ destruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroRNA interference as future gene therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** EAMG, experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis; NMJ, neuromuscular junction.
peripheral immune surveillance system, which deletes self-reactive T-cells escaped from thymic selection. This immune surveillance is maintained in a steady state by the balance between different CD4+ T-cell subsets, breaking that balance leads to failure of immune surveillance. Therefore, the goal of some therapeutic strategies is the induction of peripheral tolerance and reestablishment of the balance between Th1/Th2/Th17/Treg cell responses.

Nasal administration to myasthenic rats of human recombinant fragments of the AChR α-subunit, including the whole extracellular domain of AChR (Hα1-210), induces tolerance to the AChR. Such treatment prevents the development and suppresses the progression of EAMG, inhibiting antigen-specific T-cell proliferative responses and reducing the levels of anti-AChR antibodies. Similar tolerization effect is achieved in EAMG rats, which is orally treated during the acute and chronic phase with a human recombinant extracellular domain of AChR α-subunit, including the whole extracellular domain of AChR α-subunit, to mice immunized with AChR epitopes. Indeed, the nasal administration of the Tα146–162 synthetic peptide, corresponding to the immunodominant epitope of TACHR α-subunit, to mice immunized with TACHR induces a shift from Th1 to Th2 cell response and from IgG2 to IgG1 antibody isotypes. Analogous evidence is observed after the oral administration of AChR epitopes. Indeed, the nasal administration of the Tα146–162 synthetic peptide, inducing a shift from Th1 to Th2 cell response and from IgG2 to IgG1 antibody isotypes can suppress EAMG progression in mice, by inducing a positive shift in favor of Th2/Treg responses. Moreover, healthy animals injected with bone marrow DCs pulsed in vitro with AChR, and subsequently immunized with AChR, do not show clinical signs of EAMG, thus confirming the role of immature DCs in the control of peripheral tolerance.

Therapeutic potential of immunomodulating dendritic cells

Another therapeutic strategy, which is designed to suppress the antigen-specific response in MG, involves the cellular components that participate in the control of peripheral tolerance to the AChR. Dendritic cells (DCs) are specialized antigen-presenting cells that are able to initiate a primary immune response by activating naïve T-cells. DCs first recognize and process antigens in the periphery, then migrate to lymphoid organs where they expose the processed peptides to naïve T-cells. In vitro, not only the maturation and function of DCs can be regulated in different pathways: the upregulation of costimulatory molecules (CD83, CD40, CD80, CD86) and major histocompatibility complex class II on DCs is essential to activate T-cells, but also immature DCs can tolerate T-cells. Depending on their maturation and differentiation state, DCs can acquire either a tolerogenic or an immunogenic activity. In the absence of inflammation, immature DCs control peripheral tolerance by promoting Treg differentiation; instead, inflammatory conditions provoke morphological and functional changes leading to mature DCs that are able to induce the activation of effector T-cells.

Several published studies show that DC vaccine can induce tolerance and protect from autoimmune diseases. In this line, many therapeutic strategies aim to modulate in vitro DC maturation and differentiation with anti-inflammatory agents or growth factors. For instance, DC isolated from spleens of healthy rats and conditioned in vitro with transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) can be arrested at their immature differentiation stage, and their administration to AChR-immunized rats reduces the severity of EAMG symptoms. In addition, spleen-derived DCs exposed to IL-10 in vitro induce EAMG amelioration when injected intraperitoneally into AChR-immunized rats, due to the DC modulation of T- and B-cell responses. Moreover, healthy animals injected with bone marrow DCs pulsed in vitro with AChR, and subsequently immunized with AChR, do not show clinical signs of EAMG, thus confirming the role of immature DCs in the control of peripheral tolerance.

Indeed, DCs engineered to present AChR epitopes can specifically target AChR-specific T-cells, resulting in the reduction in both AChR-T-cell responses and anti-AChR antibodies. Besides TGF-β and IL-10, also the treatment with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor can suppress the development of EAMG manifestations when administered to mice before AChR immunization, thanks to the activation of specific DC subpopulations and expansion of the Treg compartment.

Similarly, also DCs incubated with atorvastatin, a statin therapeutically employed for reducing cardiovascular diseases which is known to show a strong immunomodulatory activity, especially inhibiting the maturation and function of antigen-presenting cells, acquire an immature tolerizing phenotype. Indeed, spleen-derived DCs extracted from ongoing EAMG rats can be in vitro tolerized by statin treatment and can improve clinical symptoms when injected into recipient EAMG rats, inducing an increase in CD4+CD25+ Tregs and Foxp3 expression, while decreasing lymphocyte proliferation and shifting cytokine profile from Th1/Th17- to Th2-type cytokines. Tolerized DC-induced Th cell shift is crucial for the amelioration of EAMG symptoms, indeed also bone-marrow-derived DCs, RelB silenced and pulsed with Tα146–162, are able to suppress EAMG progression in mice, by inducing a positive shift in favor of Th2/Treg responses. Finally, the tolerizing function of DCs can be exploited even to induce specific killing of AChR-targeted effector T-cells.
as in the “Guided Missile” strategy in which genetically engineered DCs simultaneously target and eliminate the individual’s unique AChR-specific T-cell repertoire, by presenting AChR epitopes and expressing Fas ligand.84 Eventually, very recent data indicate that aside from the classical cell therapy, a tolerizing effect can be obtained in EAMG also via the delivery of exosomes produced by immature DCs.85

**Regulatory cells and suppressor cells as therapeutic approach**

Another therapeutic approach acts directly on the immune cells devoted to T- and B-cell responses, that is, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Treg and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). Tregs arise in the thymus, which represent 5%–10% of CD4⁺ T-cells in the periphery and constitutively express CD25 molecule (IL-2 receptor α-chain). They play an essential role in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance, suppressing the proliferation and cytokine production of CD4⁺ effector T-cells.86–88 Myasthenic patients often show a defect in Treg subset: the number of Tregs is reduced in the peripheral blood,89,90 while their suppressive function, but not their number, is altered in the thymus.91,92 Thus, the restoration or expansion of the Treg compartment can represent an important therapeutic tool for the disease. Tregs can be in vitro induced from CD4⁺ T-cells from spleens of healthy rats, which are stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of TGF-β and IL-2. Such induced CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs, sharing identical functional features with naturally occurring Tregs, can suppress clinical signs of EAMG in AChR-immunized rats.93 Similarly, also naturally occurring Tregs, purified from spleens of healthy rats, can modulate EAMG progression when administered to AChR-immunized rats,94 through the reduction in specific T-cell proliferation, decrease in pathogenic auto-Abs titer, and increase in muscle AChR content.94

Besides Tregs, also MDSCs derived from myeloid progenitors have a therapeutic effect in ongoing EAMG. These cells, originally identified in tumors,95 inhibit both innate and adaptive immunity,96,97 seemingly via antigen-specific immunosuppression in peripheral organs. Adoptive transfer of these MDSCs is able to reverse EAMG progression, specifically suppressing AChR-specific T-cell responses, decreasing serum anti-AChR IgGs, reducing complement activation at the NMJ, and also directly inhibiting B-cells through multiple mechanisms, including PGE2, inducible nitric oxide synthase, and arginase.98

**Other cell therapies for EAMG: mesenchymal stem cells and B10 cells**

A further candidate cell therapy for human MG is represented by bone marrow stromal cells, which can modulate the functions of T- and B-cells, natural killer cells, and DCs. In particular, bone marrow stromal cells inhibit lymphocyte responses to different stimuli by the secretion of immunosuppressive factors.99,100 Indeed, stromal cells, derived from healthy rats, induce a strong reduction in disease severity when injected into EAMG rats at clinical onset. Such treatment results in the secretion of immunosuppressive factors, such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and TGF-β, thus suppressing both T- and B-cell responses to the immunizing antigen and production of modulating cytokine and decreasing Th1 and Th17 subsets while increasing Th2 and Treg subpopulations.99,100

In addition, IL-10–competent B-cells, known as B10, characterized by the expression of CD5 and high CD1dhiCD5⁺ B-cells (CD1dhiCD5⁺) can prevent or suppress EAMG, either indirectly through low-dose granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor administration, which increases the number of circulating B10 cells, or directly by adoptive transfer of CD1dhiCD5⁺ B-cells. B10 cells alter T-cell cytokine profile, downregulate mature DC markers, and expand Treg compartment, while directly blocking B-cell proliferation and auto-Ab production in an IL-10–dependent manner.101

**Immunomodulation through biological agents**

Besides tolerization induction and cell suppression, direct modulation of key immunological factors can be pivotal in the therapy of autoimmunity. Indeed, cytokines and costimulatory molecules are important in autoimmune pathogenesis, as shown in EAMG rats treated with antibodies either to proinflammatory, costimulatory factors or to chemokines, which suppress the disease but acting via different complementing mechanisms. For instance, the stimulatory molecule CD40L, studied by EAMG experiments in CD40L knock-out (KO) mice or via anti-CD40L antibodies injection, is fundamental for T- and B-cell engagement, activation, and EAMG induction.102 Similarly, EAMG experiments have proved the role of the pleiotropic inflammatory cytokine IL-6 in B/T-cell function and autoimmune reaction maintenance. Indeed, the administration of anti-IL-6 antibodies suppresses EAMG symptoms during both the acute and the chronic phase, thanks to an induced shift in favor of Tregs, instead of Th17 cells, accompanied by reduced numbers of B-cells.103 Similarly to IL-6 blockade, also the inhibition of interferon γ inducible...
protein 10 (IP-10), a highly inducible chemoattractant for activated T-cells leads to immunomodulation and the attenuation of EAMG symptoms, when either anti-IP-10 antibodies or IP-10 receptor (CXCR3) antagonists are administered. Moreover, the cytokine IL-9 and IL-18 are crucial for EAMG development. Indeed, IL-9 neutralization via targeted antibody ameliorates the symptoms of EAMG, decreasing effector T-cells and altering humoral responses, and IL-18 KO mice are resistant to the disease. Similarly, anti-IL-18 antibodies suppress EAMG, increasing TGF-β levels while decreasing AChR-reactive Th1-type cellular responses. An increased production of TGF-β is also observed when IL-2/anti-IL-2 mAb complexes are administered, which inhibit the development of EAMG, mediating the expansion of CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ Treg cells and the conversion of peripheral and circulating CD4+CD25+ T-cells in Treg, leading to a shift of Th1/Th2 ratio in favor of a Th2 phenotype. Aside from monoclonal antibody blockade, other strategies have been tested to efficiently inhibit proinflammatory cytokines in EAMG, such as the use of a specific caspase-1 inhibitor, which blocks caspase-1-mediated cleavage of both IL-1β and IL-18 precursors into their functional forms, thus ameliorating EAMG symptoms.

Finally, also less potent immunomodulatory agents, such as live probiotic bacteria administered orally, may have a beneficial role on EAMG symptoms, when given following a prophylactic schedule, through the generation of regulatory DCs that express increased levels of IL-10 and TGF-β and are able to convert CD4+ T-cells into CD4+Foxp3+ Treg.

Pharmacological immunotherapy

Besides approved current pharmacological therapies for the treatment of MG, other emerging drugs, such as bortezomib and pixantrone (BBR2778) (PIX), show excellent efficacy in suppressing EAMG. Bortezomib, an inhibitor of proteasomes, which depletes both short- and long-lived plasma cells, was shown to induce apoptosis in bone marrow cells and reduce the amount of plasma cells in EAMG rats, resulting in reduced anti-AChR auto-Ab titers, improved neuromuscular transmission, and decreased clinical symptoms. Differently from bortezomib, PIX is an antineoplastic drug, which is structurally related to mitoxantrone. Both drugs are DNA intercalants and topoisomerase II inhibitors, but PIX is characterized by a reduced cardiotoxicity compared with mitoxantrone. When administrated to AChR-immunized rats via different treatment schedules, either preventive (before clinical onset) or therapeutic protocol (at overt clinical symptoms), PIX is able to suppress antigen-specific T-cell proliferative responses in a dose-dependent manner, reducing the levels of pathogenic antibodies and increasing muscle AChR content. Interestingly, even if clinical symptoms could be improved only by repeated PIX administrations, allowing stable serum drug levels, a single administration is already able to suppress AChR-specific immune responses in primed rats, inhibiting only proliferating T-cells without impairing DC differentiation and B-cell viability. Another pharmacological treatment recently tested in EAMG is the all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), a vitamin A metabolite with diverse immunomodulatory actions, which is used therapeutically in the treatment of some autoimmune diseases. The study in the EAMG model allowed deeper inside in its mechanism of action, which is still unknown. Intraperitoneal injection of ATRA in EAMG rats ameliorated clinical symptoms, reduced total anti-AChR auto-Abs titers, and changed follicular T-cells levels, thus restoring the Th1/Th2/Th17/Treg balance. ATRA altered the Th cell distribution in EAMG animals resulting in a reduction in Th1/Th17/follicular T helper cells (Tfh) cells and an increase in Th2/Treg/regulatory follicular T cells (Tfr) cell types. These results highlight the importance of EAMG in testing pharmacological drugs to assess their efficacy and to decipher their mechanism of action, offering new possibilities for the treatment of human MG.

Prevention of complement-mediated NMJ destruction

The role of complement at the level of the NMJ has been extensively studied in EAMG models. Indeed, complement activation plays an essential role in the destruction of the postsynaptic membrane (reviewed in the study by Tuzun and Christadoss). Although C3a and C5a promote inflammation by recruiting and activating phagocytic cells, C3b and C4b simultaneously lead to muscle membrane lysis. Depleting the complement cascade via treatment with cobra venom factor decreases the formation of anti-AChR antibodies/AChR complexes and ameliorates the acute phase of EAMG in rats. More specifically, the effects of several complement components have been analyzed in various transgenic models. For instance, C5-deficient mice show a mild EAMG incidence and little decrease in muscle. Besides, treatment with soluble recombinant form of human complement receptor 1 (sCR1) reduces EAMG severity. The role of the MAC has also been studied in acute, passively transferred EAMG in Wistar rats, where
administered anti-C6 Fab leads to the inhibition of MAC formation and suppression of EAMG clinical and electrophysiological signs.\textsuperscript{32} Regulatory proteins that inhibit MAC formation (such as MIRL-CD59 that inhibits MAC assembly) and control the activation of the complement cascade (such as the decay-accelerating factor or CD55, which inactivates C3 and C5 convertase enzymes) represent crucial players in EAMG development, and their modulation has been a target of recent experimental approaches aimed at complement depletion.\textsuperscript{117,120–123} Pharmacological inhibition of the complement activation pathway is an alternative strategy to depleting approaches. Indeed, the administration of rEV576, a specific C5 complement component inhibitor, is able to reduce the severity of passive transfer of EAMG and the progression of acute experimental MG, reducing C9 deposits at the NMJ.\textsuperscript{124} Another strategy is that of increasing the resistance of the NMJ to complement-mediated lysis. An increased interaction between rapsyn and AChR may stabilize the receptor molecules, conferring greater NMJ resistance, leading to minor AChR loss and muscle weakness in acute EAMG.\textsuperscript{125} Thus, the overexpression of rapsyn may represent a further therapeutic option, but unfortunately the increased expression of rapsyn alone is not able to efficiently anchor the AChR to the postsynaptic membrane in chronic EAMG, once the destruction of the NMJ has already occurred.\textsuperscript{126}

**MicroRNA interference as future gene therapy**

MicroRNAs have been shown to act as regulators of gene expression and play an important role in immune homeostasis and autoimmunity susceptibility.\textsuperscript{127} Indeed, it has been recently shown that miR-146a is upregulated in activated B-cells in response to rat AChR 97–116 peptide, and this upregulation can be attenuated by miR-146a-specific antagonist.\textsuperscript{128} Consequently, miR-146a systemic silencing ameliorates EAMG symptoms in mice via B-cell blocking, including decreased production of anti-R97–116 antibodies, class switching, reduced numbers of plasma cells and memory B-cells and B-1 cells.\textsuperscript{129} Similar data were published regarding another miRNA, miR-155, that is upregulated in AChR-stimulated B-cells.\textsuperscript{129} The systemic delivery of a miR-155 inhibitor conjugated to anti-CD20 single-chain antibody impairs B-cell signaling and reduces EAMG autoimmune reaction in mice.\textsuperscript{129} Conversely, it was recently demonstrated that another miRNA, miR-145, is downregulated in peripheral monocytes from EAMG rats, especially in CD4’-T-cells, and its in vitro upregulation in a DC-T-cell coculture setup suppresses Th 17 cell response.\textsuperscript{130} Finally, the administration of lentiviral miR-145 during ongoing EAMG decreased the severity of symptoms and production of IL-17.\textsuperscript{130} Altogether, these results provide insights into the role of miRNA in EAMG pathogenesis and open a new prospective for EAMG/MG gene therapy.

**Limitations of the animal model**

As discussed so far, the EAMG model has been extensively used to analyze various aspects of MG pathology and experimental therapies. Nevertheless, there are limitations in using this animal model. For instance, EAMG can be easily affected by the induction procedure, despite the publication of several detailed guidelines which should help obtaining high standard disease models.\textsuperscript{38,131,132} Indeed, the chosen experimental parameters and procedures affect the disease time course, incidence, and severity. For example, strong EAMG clinics in susceptible strains, or using potent adjuvants, mean unbearable animal suffering and increased number of animal deaths, which in turn damage the statistical power of the results. On the other side, mild EAMG scores are scarcely effective in demonstrating beneficial treatment effects.\textsuperscript{131}

Moreover, despite faithfully reproducing many aspects of the human pathology, the experimental model still presents several discrepancies with the human disease (Table 1), such as the absence of a spontaneous disease in experimental animals, accounting for a strongly different genetic background. Besides, the role of the thymus as the main site for initiating, sustaining, and maintaining the disease\textsuperscript{7,61,133} has so far not been paralleled in the animal models, despite only few old reports\textsuperscript{6,134,135} and very recent data indicating a pathogenic role of thymic epithelial cells and DCs in the myasthenic rat, in contributing to developing an active inflammatory milieu.\textsuperscript{10}

Eventually, the most relevant limitation of the animal model is being an animal model. Mice and rats are bred in controlled facilities and experiments are always performed on syngeneic animals. There is very little or no involvement of genetic drift or even environmental exposure. In the human disease, instead, environmental factors, such as viral or microbial agents, play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of autoimmunity.\textsuperscript{61,133}

Finally, in an ever more sensible and ethically correct research environment, we must bear in mind that, when possible, alternative strategies must always be pursued. EAMG has been fundamental in discovering the pathogenic mechanisms of MG and in developing several therapeutic strategies,
at the expense of suffering animals. Researchers must now make an effort to set up and create alternative methodologies such as complex cellular cultures for validating pathogenic hypothesis\(^{10}\) and new treatments.\(^{10}\)

**Conclusion**

MG is a rare chronic autoimmune disease involving different compartments of the organism: the immune system and the NMJ. In vitro models, which are able to fully represent complex pathologies where more tissues and systems are involved, are not yet available but more effort should be given in order to obtain complex in vitro setups mimicking the autoimmune inflammatory milieu. The EAMG model allows the investigation of both the muscle compartment and the immune system, focusing on the pathogenic mechanisms and the clinical outcome. Thus, EAMG is an essential tool for understanding pathogenic mechanisms and investigating new therapies, which may later be translated to clinical trials.

Unfortunately, several treatment strategies effective in EAMG failed when transferred to the human disease. Indeed, EAMG has a less complex pathogenesis compared with the human disease, as it is performed on syngeneic animals that bred in controlled conditions, especially in terms of genetic predisposition and environmental factors such as the exposure to viral antigens. Nevertheless, EAMG has been of great value first in understanding the pathogenic mechanisms of auto-Ab and in proving the efficacy of both pharmacological treatments and cell therapy strategies with results that encourage the investigation of the human disease. A similar approach is necessary for any new immunosuppressive or immunomodulating compound of potential interest. Always considering Russell and Burch's 3R rule for the replacement of experimental animal procedures with alternative methods, the reduction in the number of used animals, and refinement of the animal conditions,\(^{17}\) we cannot forget that complex diseases, of which MG is a prototypical representative, need to be addressed with preclinical research in order to obtain more efficient therapies. Despite the evident differences between EAMG and MG, most importantly the axiom that the former cannot spontaneously arise in laboratory animals, the experimental approach remains an unavoidable and irreplaceable method to discover new efficient therapies.
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