Striving for optimal bronchodilation: focus on olodaterol

Cristoforo Incorvaia1
Marcello Montagni2
Elena Makri1
Gian Galeazzo Riario-Sforza1
Erminia Ridolo2
1Allergy/Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Istituti Clinici di Perfezionamento Hospital, Milan, Italy; 2Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Abstract: β2-agonists were introduced in the 1940s as bronchodilators to be used in obstructive respiratory diseases. Long-acting β2-agonists have been a mainstay of bronchodilating treatment for decades. Recently, agents extending their effect to 24 hours and thus allowing the once-daily administration were introduced, defined as very-long-acting β2-agonists. Olodaterol is a new very-long-acting β2-agonist that has been shown, in controlled trials, to improve lung function as well as clinical outcomes and quality of life. Most of these trials included patients with moderate, severe, or very severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Olodaterol has a rapid onset of action (comparable to formoterol) and provides bronchodilation over 24 hours. In controlled trials, olodaterol was shown to be as effective as formoterol twice daily, but significantly superior in terms of quality of life in patients with COPD. The safety profile of olodaterol was very good, with a rate of adverse events, including the cardiac events that are particularly important for β2-agonists, comparable to placebo. Also, the efficiency of the Respimat® device concurs to the effectiveness of treatment.
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Introduction

The first drugs aimed at obtaining bronchodilation in patients with asthma were aminophyllines in the 1940s.1 In the same years, drugs acting on the β-adrenoreceptor were developed, starting with isoproterenol and including in the following years salbutamol, orciprenaline, and terbutaline.2 Such drugs could be administered by injective, oral, and respiratory routes, but it was soon apparent that the safety and tolerability were significantly better with the respiratory route, especially regarding adverse effects on heart rate and blood pressure (that are due to stimulation of cardiac and vascular β-adrenoreceptors).3,4 The main limitation of these agents was the short duration of bronchodilation, defining them as short-acting β2-agonists (SABAs) and requiring several inhalations each day with obvious problems of adherence. The next step was the development in the 1980s of β2-agonists with prolonged activity of bronchodilation, allowing two inhalations per day, such as salmeterol5 and formoterol.6 These drugs were defined as long-acting β2-agonists (LABAs) and became a common treatment of asthma7 and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).8,9 From the 2000s, a new generation of β2-agonists is being developed, with a very prolonged bronchodilation that allows for once-daily dosing and makes them suitable for maintenance treatment of asthma and COPD. The first agent of this class demonstrating efficacy and safety was indacaterol.10 Because of its prolonged duration of action, a denomination of “ultra-LABA” was proposed,11 but defining these drugs as “very-long-acting β2-agonists (VLABAs)” seems to fit better with the usual nomenclature.12 Other VLABAs were...
Pharmacological profile of olodaterol

Olodaterol exerts its pharmacological effects by binding and activating β2-adrenoceptors on human airway smooth muscle cells after topical administration by inhalation. Moreover, olodaterol is very highly selective for β2 receptors as shown by in vitro studies that have detected that olodaterol has 241-fold greater agonist activity at β2-adrenoceptors compared to β1-adrenoceptors. Activation of these receptors in the airways results in a stimulation of intracellular enzyme adenylyl cyclase that mediates the synthesis of cyclic-3′,5′ adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). Elevated levels of cAMP induce bronchodilation by relaxation of airway smooth muscle cells. Olodaterol also dose-dependently reversed the constriction induced by different stimuli, such as histamine and acetylcholine. In vivo, olodaterol showed a rapid onset of action (comparable to those obtained with formoterol) and provided bronchoprotection over 24 hours. In addition, anti-inflammatory effects of olodaterol were demonstrated in pulmonary fibroblasts in vitro, but the clinical significance of such an observation needs to be investigated in patients with obstructive respiratory disease.

Characteristics of the inhalation device

The Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler is a propellant-free inhaler based on a mechanical device generating a solution containing the drug with a smooth and slow aerosol cloud well-fitting with human inhalation. Most aerosol particle masses have a diameter of 1–5 mm, with a high proportion belonging to the fine particle fraction, ie, with a diameter <5.8 mm, and this makes the penetration of the drug in the airways not strictly dependent on the patient’s inspiratory effort. In fact, this inhaler deposits the drug more efficiently than dry powder inhalers (DPIs) or metered dose inhalers (MDIs), as shown by a lung deposition of budesonide significantly higher with Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler than with a DPI with fast flow rate, a DPI with slow flow rate, and an MDI.

The first drug administered by Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler was tiotropium, which allowed a dose reduction from 18 μg with the DPI HandiHaler to 5 μg with the new device. In a recent review, in patients with COPD, tiotropium Respimat® improved lung function, COPD exacerbations, health-related quality of life, and dyspnea and showed an increase in efficacy and safety comparable to tiotropium HandiHaler® (Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany), despite the dose reduction to less than one-third.

Controlled trials on efficacy and safety of olodaterol

The efficacy of olodaterol was shown by several large Phase III trials in terms of improvement in lung function as well as clinical outcomes and quality of life. Most of these trials included patients with moderate, severe, or very severe COPD, defined as a postbronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) <80% of the predicted value and a postbronchodilator FEV1/forced vital capacity <70% (Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] 2–4). Also, the potential benefits of combined treatment with olodaterol and tiotropium (fixed dose administered via Respimat® or administered separately with different device) were investigated. In a first single-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled, five-way crossover study, dose- and time-response, safety, and tolerability of once-daily dosing of 2, 5, 10, and 20 μg olodaterol was assessed in patients with COPD. All doses of olodaterol provided significantly greater bronchodilation compared to placebo in 24-hour postdosing FEV1 (trough FEV1) (P<0.001) with a clear dose–response relationship. Moreover, olodaterol was superior to placebo (P<0.001) in peak and average FEV1 both during the daytime (0–12 hours) and nighttime (12–24 hours). Two replicate, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, Phase III trials, including 624 and 642 patients, respectively, were then performed in order to investigate the long-term safety and efficacy of olodaterol delivered via the Respimat® inhaler in patients with moderate to very severe COPD. In these trials, patients were randomized to receive olodaterol 5 or 10 μg or placebo once daily for 48 weeks. FEV1 area under the curve from 0 to 3 hours (AUC0-3) response (change from baseline) and trough FEV1 response were the primary end points of the studies, while secondary end points included additional lung function assessments, use of rescue medications, FEV1 AUC0-12 response, and Patient Global Rating over 48 weeks. In both studies, olodaterol 5 and 10 μg significantly improved the FEV1 AUC0-3 response (P<0.0001)
and trough FEV₁ (P<0.0001 and P<0.05) versus placebo, with an incidence of adverse events (AEs) in active groups that was comparable with that of placebo groups. Moreover, two more replicate, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, Phase III studies compared once-daily olodaterol via Respimat® device to placebo and also to twice-daily formoterol over 48 weeks in patients with moderate to very severe COPD. Both olodaterol and formoterol were significantly superior to placebo in terms of lung function improvement, but quality of life as assessed by the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total score was significantly improved versus placebo only with olodaterol and not with formoterol. The incidence of AEs was similar in olodaterol, formoterol, and placebo group; no abnormalities in vital signs, laboratory parameters, or electrocardiogram results were observed. Efficacy and safety of once-daily olodaterol 5 μg (via Respimat® inhaler) combined with once-daily tiotropium 18 μg (via HandiHaler® inhaler) versus once-daily tiotropium 18 μg (via HandiHaler®) combined with placebo (via Respimat®) in patients with moderate to very severe COPD was evaluated in two controlled trials. The combined treatment of olodaterol + tiotropium resulted in significant improvements of lung function compared to tiotropium + placebo, and also showed significant improvements in SGRQ total scores, while the safety profile of the double therapy was comparable to that of tiotropium alone. Also, tiotropium + olodaterol fixed-dose combination (2.5/5 μg or 5/5 μg) was compared with the monocomponents (all treatments administered via Respimat® inhaler) over 52 weeks in patients with moderate to very severe COPD in two replicate, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, multicentre, Phase III trials. Fixed-dose combination significantly improved FEV₁, AUC₀–₃ and trough FEV₁ versus the monocomponents at either dose. Statistically significant improvements in SGRQ total score versus the monocomponents were only seen for tiotropium and olodaterol 5/5 μg. Again, the incidence of AEs was comparable between the fixed-dose combination and the monocomponents. A post hoc analysis of the results of these two trials revealed that olodaterol + tiotropium 5/5 μg significantly improved FEV₁, AUC₀–₃, and trough FEV₁ in all GOLD severity groups compared to olodaterol 5 μg and tiotropium 5 μg alone, irrespective of whether patients had received prior LAMA or LABA maintenance treatment. An analysis of four randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, Phase III studies on 3,104 patients (see van Noord et al26 and Ferguson et al27 for the details of the trials) was conducted in order to evaluate the long-term safety of once-daily olodaterol in a large cohort of patients with moderate to very severe COPD. 4276 patients received olodaterol 5 μg, 883 received olodaterol 10 μg, 460 received twice-daily formoterol 12 μg, and 885 received placebo. Overall incidence of on-treatment AEs, serious AEs, and deaths were balanced across treatment groups. Respiratory and cardiovascular AEs, including major adverse cardiac events, were reported at similar frequencies in placebo and all active treatment groups. Therefore, the safety profiles of both olodaterol 5 and 10 μg delivered via Respimat® were comparable to placebo and formoterol in this pooled analysis. More recently, a randomized Phase II study compared the bronchodilators profile of alternative dosing frequencies of two total daily doses of olodaterol (5 and 10 μg). Forty-seven patients were randomized to receive olodaterol 2 μg twice daily, 5 μg twice daily, 5 μg once daily, and 10 μg once daily in sequence over 3-week treatment periods. The efficacy of olodaterol 5 mg once daily was superior, in terms of bronchodilatory profile, compared to 2 mg twice daily; a similar degree of bronchodilation over 24 hours was found when once-daily 10 μg and twice-daily 5 μg dosing regimen were compared. Table 1 summarizes the main findings from controlled trials on olodaterol.

The place of olodaterol in the treatment of COPD

Bronchodilator drugs are indicated for the treatment of bronchial asthma and COPD. Currently, according to the recent guidelines on the two diseases, the indications to use bronchodilators are divergent. In fact, the updated Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines suggest to use only SABA as needed in the step of severity 1 and 2 (the latter requiring as controllers low-dose ICS or leukotriene receptor antagonists) and LABA in association with ICS at increasing doses in steps 3 and 4. The LABA tiotropium is suggested in step 4 in patients who are not well controlled. The dominant therapeutic role is sustained by ICS. Instead, the updated guidelines on COPD suggest SABA and short-acting muscarinic antagonists in the treatment of initial stages of the disease, while LABA, VLABA, and LAMA are suggested in more severe stages, with possible addition of theophylline or roflumilast in patients who are not well controlled. ICS is indicated only in patients with severe stage of COPD or with frequent exacerbations. This is due to the recent observation of an increased risk of pneumonia in COPD patients under regular treatment with ICS. Therefore, a reduced use of ICS is currently recommended, which is mirrored by an increased use of bronchodilators, based on prescription of both LABA and LAMA. The agents
Table 1 Details of Phase III trials investigating efficacy and safety of olodaterol alone or combined with tiotropium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Patients (N)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Olodaterol</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson et al²⁷</td>
<td>624 and 642</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>5 µg (via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;) 10 µg (via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Olodaterol 5 and 10 µg significantly improved the FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-3&lt;/sub&gt; and trough FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, response. Weekly mean daytime and nighttime rescue medication use was significantly reduced versus placebo. The incidences of adverse events were similar to those for placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koh et al²⁸</td>
<td>904 and 934</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>5 µg (via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;) 10 µg (via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Olodaterol significantly improved FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-3&lt;/sub&gt;, and trough FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, versus placebo. SGRQ total score was significantly improved with olodaterol versus placebo. No abnormalities in vital signs, laboratory parameters, or electrocardiogram results were observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZuoWallack et al²⁹</td>
<td>1,132 and 1,135</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>5 µg (via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;) combined with tiotropium 18 µg (via Handihaler&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Tiotropium 18 µg (via Handihaler&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;) combined with placebo</td>
<td>Olodaterol + tiotropium resulted in significant improvements in FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-3&lt;/sub&gt;, and trough FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, over tiotropium + placebo. SGRQ total scores were significantly improved with olodaterol + tiotropium than with tiotropium + placebo. The safety profile of olodaterol + tiotropium was similar to tiotropium monotherapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buhl et al³⁰</td>
<td>2,624 and 2,539</td>
<td>52 weeks</td>
<td>FDC tiotropium + olodaterol 2.5/5 µg (both via Respimat&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Tiotropium 2.5 µg (via Aerolizer&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Both FDCs significantly improved FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-3&lt;/sub&gt;, and trough FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, compared to placebo. Statistically significant improvements in SGRQ total score versus the monocomponents were only seen for FDC 5/5 µg. Incidence of adverse events was comparable between the FDCs and the monocomponents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TD, twice daily; FDC, fixed-dose combination; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; AUC<sub>0-3</sub>, area under the curve from 0 to 3 hours.
end points investigated, no statistically significant differences were found when analyzed in the full network. The authors concluded that when compared under similar trial conditions, olodaterol and indacaterol have similar efficacy in patients with COPD. Still, the faster onset of bronchodilation with olodaterol, as assessed by a significant FEV_1 increase versus placebo within 15 minutes for olodaterol but not for indacaterol,46 deserves to be clinically investigated. A factor concurring to the clinical effectiveness of olodaterol is the Respimat® inhaler, that allows a propellant-free, smooth, and slow aerosol cloud well-fitting with inhalation in COPD patients, which often is impaired. In fact, this inhaler deposits the drug more efficiently than DPI or MDI, and this is clearly indicated by the significant dose reduction of the dose to be used with tiotropium by Respimat® compared with the dose administered by the DPI Handihaler® (18–5 μg).

**Conclusion**

Olodaterol fulfills the requirements for a complete bronchodilator drug to be used in obstructive respiratory diseases concerning efficacy and safety. In fact, it has a rapid onset of action, comparable to formoterol, and provides bronchodilation over 24 hours. This is distinctive of the recently developed new class of VLABA, that also includes indicaterol and vilanterol. The safety profile of olodaterol, as evaluated in the trials performed thus far, was shown to be very good, with a rate of AEs, including the cardiac events that are particularly important for β_2-agonists, comparable to placebo.27,28
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