Adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiotherapy is superior to chemotherapy following surgical treatment of stage IIIA N2 non-small-cell lung cancer
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Abstract: The use of additional radiotherapy for resected stage IIIA N2 non-small-cell lung cancer in the setting of standard adjuvant chemotherapy remains controversial. A comprehensive search (last updated searched in March 2015) for relevant studies comparing patients with stage IIIA N2 non-small-cell lung cancer undergoing resection after treatment with adjuvant postoperative chemotherapy alone or adjuvant postoperative chemoradiotherapy (POCRT) was conducted. Hazard ratios (HRs) were extracted from these studies to give pooled estimates of the effects of POCRT on overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS). Six studies were included. The meta-analysis demonstrated that POCRT had a greater OS benefit than postoperative chemotherapy (HR =0.87, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.79–0.96, P=0.006). Unfortunately, there was no significant difference in DFS between the two groups: the combined HR for DFS was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.57–1.46, P=0.706). In a subgroup analysis of two randomized controlled trials (n=172 patients), adding radiation was of no benefit to either OS (HR =0.72, 95% CI: 0.49–1.06, P=0.094) or DFS (HR =1.45, 95% CI: 1.00–2.09, P=0.047). In summary, compared with postoperative chemotherapy, POCRT was beneficial to OS but not DFS in patients with stage IIIA N2 non-small-cell lung cancer.
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Introduction

Despite treatment advances, lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality both worldwide and in the People’s Republic of China.1 Approximately 25%–30% of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients are diagnosed at a locally advanced stage (IIIA or IIIB), and postoperative 5-year survival rates range from 13% to 42.8%.2–5 Based on several prospective clinical trials that have validated the survival benefit of concurrent chemoradiotherapy over radiotherapy alone6 or chemotherapy followed by sequential radiotherapy for stage IIIA N2 NSCLC,7–9 concurrent chemoradiotherapy has become the standard care for these patients. However, stage IIIA N2 NSCLC patients have heterogeneous disease presentation; thus, the optimal treatment strategy for patients with operable stage IIIA N2 NSCLC remains controversial.9

Many retrospective analyses have reported a possible survival benefit associated with surgery followed by adjuvant treatment in selected patients with stage IIIA N2 NSCLC.10–15 Postoperative chemotherapy (POCT) has been shown to improve patient survival in several randomized trials. However, up to 40% locoregional recurrence rate has been reported even after complete resection followed by chemotherapy.16,17
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Postoperative radiation therapy (PORT) can reduce the risk of local recurrence in N2 disease following surgical treatment.\textsuperscript{11,12} Whether the addition of radiotherapy to adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical resection improves survival compared with adjuvant chemotherapy alone remains in dispute.

Recently, a large-scale retrospective study\textsuperscript{13} demonstrated that patients with N2 NSCLC who underwent PORT after radical resection and adjuvant chemotherapy exhibited improved overall survival (OS) compared to patients who underwent adjuvant chemotherapy alone. Thus, we conducted the current meta-analysis to investigate the role of PORT in N2 NSCLC patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy after radical resection.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

We searched the PubMed, Embase, and Medline databases (last search updated in March 2015) for relevant studies using the following key words or MeSH terms: (Chemoradiotherapy OR Chemotherapy OR Radiotherapy OR Chemoradiation) AND NSCLC AND N2 AND surgery. We also searched meeting abstracts from several of the most important international meetings on lung cancer (American Society of Clinical Oncology, European Society of Medical Oncology, European Cancer Conference, and World Conference on Lung Cancer) from January 2005 to June 2015. When duplicate publications were identified, we included the most complete and recent data.

Two authors (X-LX and WC) independently conducted the literature search. All relevant studies were reviewed to identify potentially eligible articles. We also manually reviewed the bibliographies of the identified articles. Corresponding authors were contacted for further information when necessary. Notably, this meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.\textsuperscript{18}

**Eligibility criteria**

Studies meeting the following eligibility criteria were included: 1) studies involving patients with locally advanced N2 stage cancer; 2) studies comparing surgery followed by chemoradiotherapy to surgery followed by chemotherapy alone; 3) studies providing data (eg, survival curves, hazard ratios [HRs] and 95% confidence interval [CIs]) on OS and/or disease-free survival (DFS); and 4) studies published in English. Studies were excluded if they were published as reviews or case reports. Studies were also excluded if they used only cell or animal models.

**Data extraction and quality assessment**

Two reviewers (X-LX and WC) independently abstracted data with a predefined information sheet. The following items were abstracted from the published articles: first author name, publication year, patient source, study design, sample size, treatment groups, number of patients in treatment groups, and DFS and OS HRs for treatment groups. Two other authors (Y-PX and W-MM) discussed and resolved all discrepancies in the extracted data. For each study, HRs and associated 95% CIs for DFS and OS were either directly extracted from the research article or calculated by two independent reviewers (WC and Y-PX) using available statistical information and a HR-calculation spreadsheet provided by Tierney et al.\textsuperscript{19}

The quality of the included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) was evaluated according to the PEDro scale.\textsuperscript{20} The quality of the included studies was evaluated according to the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies.\textsuperscript{21} The Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies includes 12 items that are each scored as 0 (not reported), 1 (reported but inadequate), or 2 (reported and adequate).

**Statistical analysis**

Depending on study heterogeneity, either a random effects model or a fixed effects model was used to calculate pooled HRs, 95% CIs, and \textit{P}-values. Two-sided \textit{P}-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. $\chi^2$ and $F$ statistics were used to assess statistical heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{22} To identify sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were performed. The results of our meta-analysis are presented in forest plots with point estimates and 95% CIs for each trial as well as overall. We also used Begg’s funnel plot\textsuperscript{23} together with Egger’s test\textsuperscript{24} for asymmetry to assess publication bias among the included studies. We used Stata v.11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) for all statistical analysis.

**Results**

**Literature search and summary of studies**

Our article selection process is shown in Figure 1. Our initial database search identified 763 potential full-text trials. Of these, 675 articles were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, and six were judged to be eligible for inclusion\textsuperscript{13,14,25–28} (Figure 1). The research in the included studies was performed by Robinson et al\textsuperscript{13} in the US, Shen et al\textsuperscript{14} in the People’s Republic of China, Kim et al\textsuperscript{25} in Korea, Zou et al\textsuperscript{26} in the People’s Republic of China, Perry et al\textsuperscript{26} in France, and Douillard et al\textsuperscript{27} in the US. All studies were conducted between 2007 and 2015.

A total of six studies, including two RCTs\textsuperscript{14,26} and four retrospective studies,\textsuperscript{13,25,27,28} were enrolled in this meta-analysis.
The role of additional radiotherapy in N2 NSCLC for a total of 5,172 cases of N2 NSCLC. This cohort included 2,125 cases of postoperative chemoradiotherapy (POCRT) and 3,047 cases of POCT. Only two studies\textsuperscript{13,14} directly provided HRs and 95% CIs for OS or DFS; the other four studies\textsuperscript{25–28} provided survival curves. Thus, we obtained HRs and 95% CIs for OS and DFS using an HR-calculation spreadsheet provided by Tierney et al.\textsuperscript{19} Only one study\textsuperscript{14} evaluated the role of concurrent PORT in N2 NSCLC patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy after curative resection. The other five studies\textsuperscript{13,25–28} compared sequential POCRT with POCT and curative surgery. The minimum follow-ups for all studies ranged from 22.0 to 48.1 months. All patients received two to six cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy. The patients in the POCRT group received an additional dose of over 45 Gy of radiotherapy. Among the six studies included in the analysis, only three studies\textsuperscript{14,25,26} reported toxicities related to chemoradiotherapy or chemotherapy. Because these data were insufficient, a formal analysis could not be performed.

**Meta-analysis and evaluation of heterogeneity**

Six studies met our criteria for analysis: two RCTs\textsuperscript{14,26} and four retrospective reviews.\textsuperscript{13,25,27,28} The meta-analysis demonstrated a greater OS benefit associated with POCRT versus POCT (HR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.79–0.96, \( P = 0.006 \)) (Figure 2A). Modest homogeneity was detected between the six studies (\( \chi^2 = 8.55, P = 0.128, I^2 = 41.5\% \)). DFS was investigated in four studies, including two RCTs\textsuperscript{14,26} and two retrospective reviews.\textsuperscript{25–28} Unfortunately, there was no significant difference in DFS between the two groups, as the combined HR for DFS was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.57–1.46, \( P = 0.706 \)) (Figure 2B). Significant heterogeneity (\( \chi^2 = 12.08, P = 0.007, I^2 = 75.2\% \)) was observed between these four studies.

**Subgroup analyses**

Subgroup analysis was carried out based on the study design. The subgroup analysis was performed on two RCTs\textsuperscript{14,26} (n = 172 patients), which demonstrated that adding radiation did not benefit either OS (HR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.49–1.06, \( P = 0.094 \)) (Figure 3A) or DFS (HR = 1.45, 95% CI: 1.00–2.09, \( P = 0.047 \)) (Figure 3B) with adequate homogeneity. In the four retrospective reviews,\textsuperscript{13,25,27,28} additional PORT significantly improved OS (HR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.81–0.98) (Figure 3C) with modest homogeneity (\( \chi^2 = 7.18, P = 0.067, I^2 = 58.2\% \)). These four reviews did not report on how additional PORT affected DFS. The results of this pooled analysis demonstrated that the application of PORT in an adjuvant setting significantly improves DFS (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.52–0.86) (Figure 3D) with adequate homogeneity (\( I^2 = 0\% \)).
Publication bias and sensitivity analysis
Funnel plots and Egger’s regression test were performed for all meta-analyses and revealed no significant publication bias ($P > 0.05$). Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies one by one. When excluding the study conducted by Robinson et al.,\textsuperscript{13} the result of the total meta-analysis on OS only marginally significantly improved (HR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.69–1.04, $P = 0.102$) in the POCRT group. When excluding the other studies, the results were consistent.

Quality assessment
The study scores are shown in Table 1. Overall, the methodological quality of the two RCTs\textsuperscript{14,25} was good. Points were lost because the blinding of patients and investigators was not reported. The Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies scores of the other four non-RCTs\textsuperscript{13,25,27,28} ranged from 18 to 20 points and were deemed acceptable.

Discussion
Patients undergoing complete resection of N2 stage NSCLC are at risk of locoregional and distant recurrence. Adjuvant chemotherapy, the standard postoperative treatment for high-risk, completely resected N2 stage NSCLC, can eliminate residual micrometastases in both the local tumor bed and at distant sites. PORT has long been proposed as a means of reducing risk of death and locoregional recurrence in N2 disease following surgical treatment.\textsuperscript{11,29,30} Because only a small number of studies have focused on N2 NSCLC, the use of combination POCT and radiotherapy for N2 disease remains controversial. To the best of our knowledge, this
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Figure 3 Forest plot for overall survival and disease-free survival in the randomized controlled trials (A and B) and retrospective studies (C and D) associated with adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiotherapy compared to chemotherapy alone in surgically treated N2 non-small cell lung cancer patients.

Note: Overall survival (A and C), and disease-free survival (B and D).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.
is the first meta-analysis to assess the role of PORT in the setting of adjuvant chemotherapy in N2 NSCLC patients who underwent complete resection. Thus, it was necessary to clarify the benefits of POCRT compared with POCT in a cohort of N2 NSCLC patients. Therefore, we reviewed the results of all relevant studies that have assessed the use of POCRT following surgery in patients with stage III N2 NSCLC.

In the present study, we showed that POCRT is superior to POCT with respect to OS, but not with respect to DFS. Among the six included studies, Robinson et al used the largest population-based registry of patients with completely resected stage IIIA N2 NSCLC; they identified a total of 4,483 patients (POCRT, n=1,850; POCT, n=2,633). Because of the large number of cases in the study by Robinson et al, it accounted for a large weight in our meta-analysis. Thus, it contributed a major role to the positive OS result. All patients in our current study received treatment in 2006 or later. Therefore, the majority of patients were treated with modern radiation techniques and received a median dose of 54 Gy over 43 days. Based on the large number of cases and the consistency of radiation techniques, it is reasonable to presume that the results of the current study are reliable. Notably, the toxicities reported in the included studies were generally tolerable for both groups. However, the impact of additional PORT on DFS in stage III N2 NSCLC was generally tolerable in our subgroup analysis; it produced favorable effects in the retrospective studies while remaining a risk in the RCTs. Overall, the combined HR for DFS was 0.91 in the positive OS result. All patients in our current study had the same risk of recurrence as those in the POCT group. However, OS was smaller in the patients of the POCT group, which is consistent with the findings of the included studies. The results of all relevant studies that have assessed the use of PORT in the setting of adjuvant chemotherapy in N2 NSCLC patients who underwent complete resection. Thus, it was necessary to clarify the benefits of POCRT compared with POCT in a cohort of N2 NSCLC patients with complete resection. Therefore, we reviewed the results of all relevant studies that have assessed the use of POCRT following surgery in patients with stage III N2 NSCLC.

### Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study years</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>DFS (HRs and 95% CIs)</th>
<th>OS (HRs and 95% CIs)</th>
<th>Sequencing of CT and RT</th>
<th>Quality assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2006–2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>IIIA (N2)</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>2.633</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.89 (0.80–0.99)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shen et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2004–2009</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>IIIA (N2)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.49 (1.01–2.20)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.46–1.04)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0.75 (0.48–1.17)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.94–2.39)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zou et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1998–2005</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>II (N2)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.63 (0.46–0.86)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.50–0.96)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douillard et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1998–2000</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.93 (0.53–1.64)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>IIIA (N2)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.16 (0.37–3.65)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.33–2.74)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; CT, chemotherapy; DFS, disease-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; MINORS, Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies; NA, non-available; OS, overall survival; POCRT, postoperative chemoradiotherapy; POCT, postoperative chemotherapy; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RT, radiation therapy.
discrepancies in treatment. Additionally, the small sample sizes of the two included RCTs may have led to conflicting results.

One of the most significant strengths of the current study is that it is the first meta-analysis to compare adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiotherapy to chemotherapy alone in a large cohort of surgically treated stage IIIA N2 patients. To accomplish this, a comprehensive review was conducted using the most up-to-date published data. In addition, we contacted corresponding authors by email to obtain relevant unpublished data. Furthermore, subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed to reduce heterogeneity. However, our meta-analysis also had several limitations. First, retrospective studies were included; therefore, biases (eg, recall bias and selection bias) might have existed. Second, no individual patient data were used. Additionally, except for one study, the sample sizes of the included studies were small. There was also modest heterogeneity in dose regimens between studies; for example, different cycles of chemotherapy, varied chemotherapy regimens, different follow-up durations, and varied radiation doses and radiation technologies were used. Finally, potential publication bias and language bias were unavoidable.

Conclusion
In summary, compared to adjuvant chemotherapy alone, adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiotherapy significantly improves OS but not DFS in N2 NSCLC patients. Due to the lack of studies and especially of RCTs on the use of POCRT in N2 NSCLC, the therapeutic benefit of this strategy remains unclear. Thus, large-scale, multicenter clinical trials are urgently needed.
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