Interprofessional team management in pediatric critical care: some challenges and possible solutions
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Background: Aiming for and ensuring effective patient safety is a major priority in the management and culture of every health care organization. The pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) has become a workplace with a high diversity of multidisciplinary physicians and professionals. Therefore, delivery of high-quality care with optimal patient safety in a PICU is dependent on effective interprofessional team management. Nevertheless, ineffective interprofessional teamwork remains ubiquitous.

Methods: We based our review on the framework for interprofessional teamwork recently published in association with the UK Centre for Advancement of Interprofessional Education. Articles were selected to achieve better understanding and to include and translate new ideas and concepts.

Findings: The barrier between autonomous nurses and doctors in the PICU within their silos of specialization, the failure of shared mental models, a culture of disrespect, and the lack of empowering parents as team members preclude interprofessional team management and patient safety. A mindset of individual responsibility and accountability embedded in a network of equivalent partners, including the patient and their family members, is required to achieve optimal interprofessional care. Second, working competently as an interprofessional team is a learning process. Working declared as a learning process, psychological safety, and speaking up are pivotal factors to learning in daily practice. Finally, changes in small steps at the level of the microlevel unit are the bases to improve interprofessional team management and patient safety. Once small things with potential impact can be changed in one's own unit, engagement of health care professionals occurs and projects become accepted.

Conclusion: Bottom–up patient safety initiatives encouraging participation of every single care provider by learning effective interprofessional team management within daily practice may be an effective way of fostering patient safety.

Keywords: pediatric intensive care unit, patient safety, interprofessional team management, teamwork, psychological safety, organizational learning

Background and relevance
Pediatric critical care is dependent on interprofessional team management

Pediatric critical care has evolved within the past few decades, and the state-of-the-art pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) has become a workplace involving a high diversity of multidisciplinary physicians and professionals.1,2 The example of a newborn with congenital aortic isthmus stenosis with the complication of an ischemic necrotizing enterocolitis as a typical patient in a PICU shows that up to ten medical disciplines...
and >20 health care professionals are involved in the care of this patient within 2 or 3 days (Figure 1). Therefore, delivery of high-quality care with optimal patient safety is dependent on effective interprofessional team management, and pediatric intensive care may serve as an illustrative example of this inevitable requirement in modern medicine.1–5

Patient safety is dependent on interprofessional team management

More than one-third of patient safety-related hospital deaths in UK between 2010 and 2012 were due to mismanagement of patient deterioration.6 Up to 16% of hospitalized patients in developed countries experience harm from adverse events. The report “To Err is Human Building a Safer Health System” estimated that 44,000–98,000 patients die every year in the USA due to medical errors.7,8 Research consistently shows that competent, interprofessional teamwork is pivotal to patient safety.9,10 With the exponential increase in knowledge, the progressive specialization of health care professionals, and the declining working hours of physicians, trends in health care require an increasing interdependence of all health care professionals.11 However, reviews regarding error management in hospitals emphasize that ineffective interprofessional teamwork remains ubiquitous, despite health professionals recognizing the importance of interprofessional teamwork.12,13,14 Therefore, improving patient safety is an inevitable requirement for every health care organization and may serve as universal outcome of effective interprofessional team management.

Conceptual framework of interprofessional team management

Interprofessional care is defined as the provision of collaborative and integrated health care among professionals derived from numerous disciplines and professions with various backgrounds in training and experience in response to the patient’s needs.15 This article builds on the interprofessional teamwork framework recently published in association with the UK Centre for Advancement of Interprofessional Education (CAIPE).9 The CAIPE framework was used for this review because it puts the focus on interprofessional teamwork across different national and clinical contexts of health care.9 The CAIPE framework identifies three key areas, each contributing to interprofessional teamwork (Figure 2): 1) relational factors; 2) processual factors; and 3) organizational and contextual factors. Relational factors describe the mindset and affect the relationship between professionals.9 Power, hierarchy, and team composition, together with team roles, are key elements in determining the relationships shared by health care providers according to this framework.9 Processual factors describe the processes involved in teamwork.9 Working competently as a team does not just occur, it rather is a learning process.12,16,17 Learning in health care systems means being part of a highly complex system of activities, routines and rituals, as well as roles and rules with a high load of unpredictability and urgency. These factors coincide with the processual factors described in the framework.9 Organizational support, leadership, and contextual culture are responsible for the organizational environment and are considered to be important factors for interprofessional team management.9

Aim

Due to the critical interdependence of high-quality pediatric critical care and optimal patient safety in interprofessional team management, we aim to describe and discuss challenges in and possible solutions of interprofessional team management in pediatric critical care promoting patient safety.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

Data were collected through searches of PubMed and EMBASE, as well as from references from full-text assessed articles, using “patient safety” and “team management
and/or teamwork” as basic search terms, combined with search terms according to the three key areas of the CAIPE framework (Figure 2). To review the relational factors, we focused on the ideal mindset and relationships of different health care providers for interprofessional teamwork within the hierarchical system in a PICU. Research has identified the importance of factors affecting team relations and attitudes of health care providers toward team management in explaining efficient clinical performance and patient safety. Therefore, we used the search terms “power and/or hierarchy” and “team composition and/or team roles”. To review processual factors, we focused on the mandatory learning process in terms of how competent interprofessional teamwork is carried out at the same time as delivering a service within a complex health care system as a PICU and used the search terms “team-based learning and/or organizational learning” and “learning from failure”. Meta-analyses demonstrated a clear relationship between team processes and clinical performance. In addition, highly reliable organizational learning is associated with high-performance team management and patient safety. Finally, to review organizational and contextual factors, we focused on leadership actions and culture change for interprofessional team management and patient safety and used the search terms “leadership” and “culture change” (Figure 3). Studies regarding implementation of patient safety initiatives conclude that involvement of high-level leadership and culture change are prerequisites for efficient implementation. Articles published in English, German, or French between January 2000 and June 2015 were included. Articles were selected based on achieving a conceptual review to achieve better understanding and to include and apply new ideas and concepts. This review is deliberately selective rather than systematic, and it relies on the approach of literature synthesis to provide a new perspective rather than a systematic overview.

Challenges and findings
Relational factors: power and hierarchy
Challenge
All physicians and health care workers caring for patients have to be competent in terms of knowledge and skills. They are responsible for their performance, and every health care provider has to acknowledge individual gaps to direct his/her learning. This conventional, individual-centered with expertise as a skill that individuals acquire and hold is essential to drive individual accountability and responsibility. Over the past few decades, the dominant scenario was of competence fostering professional autonomy. Individual autonomy, in conjunction with the historically hierarchical system of health care organizations, resulted in sovereign power with limited participation as the predominant form of power in hospitals. Sovereign power and professional autonomy are forces creating silos (systems that operate in isolation from others) of specialization, with independent physicians impeding interprofessional team management.
Findings

Our findings from safety literature show how requests emerge to abandon professional autonomy to overcome the barrier of silos and to work toward a coordinated team performance.\(^ {34,40-42} \) Research consistently shows that in clinical teams with members focused on autonomy and individual responsibility, important coordinating mechanisms such as effective communication, shared mental models, mutual trust, effective followership, including independent, critical thinking, and active participation, as well as leadership, are lacking.\(^ {43-49} \)

A shared mental model represents “individually held knowledge structures that help team members function collaboratively in their environments”.\(^ {50} \) Shared mental models constitute a key mechanism for effective team management, enabling team members to reflect and reason about their own situation as well as the situation of other team members.\(^ {43-49} \) A physicians’ self-esteem of independency with high individual autonomy contrasts the interdependency of shared mental models and mutual trust, in addition to hampering efficient communication and followership with nursing staff, junior doctors, and allied health professionals.\(^ {35} \) Therefore, tension occurs while being a leader as the responsible physician and simultaneously being a team member in an interprofessional group of health care providers.\(^ {40,48,51-53} \) Nevertheless, an effective clinical team is the foundation of high performance, even in highly specialized areas such as pediatric cardiac surgery that demand individual surgical skills.\(^ {54} \) In addition, in the current global world, health care providers educated in various regions of the world are working together in PICUs. There are no studies published in health care regarding the importance of considering cultural differences, but comparison among crewmembers in the airline industry shows that sex, language, religion, social status, age, and experience affect team interactions in different culture-dependent ways.\(^ {41,55} \)

Relational factors: team composition and roles

Challenge

A PICU team with a high variability of training and experience, in addition to shifting roles at work, needs to have different competencies compared to a steadily operating team. As a consequence, no standard set of teamwork competencies may serve for every context. Nevertheless, building and supporting an effective clinical team is vital for high-quality care,
especially in an acute health care setting such as pediatric intensive care.\textsuperscript{53–49} Due to different priorities and perspectives, tension occurs between senior and junior health care providers, physicians and nurses, and between parents of a child in the PICU and hospital staff.\textsuperscript{35,56–58}

Findings

Research consistently shows that working successfully and efficiently together is probably rather subject to personal attitude than on specific clinical knowledge and skills.\textsuperscript{35,49–47,49,51,56,59} This is illustrated in a qualitative study with interprofessional health care providers in a neonatal intensive care unit, which described interpersonal characteristics as main factors influencing team management.\textsuperscript{60} Empirical research shows that creating a culture of respect, improving interprofessional communication, building flat hierarchies within teams, and interprofessional supervision of junior health care providers are important strategies to overcome barriers to effective team management.\textsuperscript{35,51,56,57,60–62}

In modern pediatric critical care, the pediatric intensivist has become the only generalist within the highly diverse group of multidisciplinary physicians. One of the inherent duties of this role is to enhance and facilitate multidisciplinary collaboration and exchange (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{63} This is further emphasized in the current debate regarding the organization of pediatric cardiac intensive care: a recently published review concludes that a dedicated pediatric cardiac intensive care under the guidance of cardiologists and cardiac surgeons is not superior compared to a mixed PICU under the guidance of intensivists. The higher expertise in cardiac care through cardiologists and cardiac surgeons may be compensated with better team management and higher competence in general pediatric intensive care through pediatric intensivists.\textsuperscript{64} Regarding team composition, there is a growing plea by patient organizations, supported by medical literature, that the patient or relatives should be empowered, encouraged, and trusted as being part of the caring team.\textsuperscript{65,66} Parents of a sick child in a PICU are the only persistent team members; they have the highest interest in a good outcome; and they are the primary source of information.\textsuperscript{67,68} Reports of relatives of patients involved in critical patient safety incidents claim that their warning voices regarding experienced clinical deterioration were not heard by health professionals.\textsuperscript{58,69} This demonstrates that relatives of patients are currently often not seen as true team members.\textsuperscript{66}

Processual factors: learning while working

Challenge

How is it possible for the individual health care professional to support and learn competent, interprofessional team management within the busy daily clinical routine together with diverse team members of all levels of expertise? Table 1 outlines the daily clinical reality of a physician or nurse on call at the PICU.

Findings

According to the literature, learning while working is critical for sustained high performance in complex situations with potential hazardous outcomes and is associated with highly effective team management and patient safety.\textsuperscript{16,21,22,25–27,70} Research consistently shows that psychological safety of the individual health care professional is the single most important factor for learning while working, fostering active participation, critical thinking, effective communication, and speaking up.\textsuperscript{21,23,71} Although reasonable and obvious, these behaviors are rare in health care organizations because they do not evolve naturally.\textsuperscript{16,21,22,25,72} In addition, research shows that failure to speak up is another important factor that impedes learning while working and contributes to communication errors in hospitals.\textsuperscript{25,71,73–75} Speaking up allows everyone to communicate with everyone else whenever they believe it is important and whatever the message is. Nevertheless, due to hierarchical barriers and contextual factors, speaking up may be a difficult task.\textsuperscript{73–75} Edmondson’s\textsuperscript{21,23,72} seminal works on organizational learning based on research into health care systems and other industries identified four

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Daily challenges in the PICU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Challenges</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care providers have to work on multiple objectives with minimal oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care providers must shift from one situation to another</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a need to include different perspectives from various disciplines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a need to collaborate across dispersed locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preplanned coordination is impossible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex information must be processed, synthesized, and put to use quickly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** PICU, pediatric intensive care unit.
behaviors that drive and four leadership actions that foster learning while working (Table 2 and 3).

**Processual factors: learning from failure**

**Challenge**

Learning from failure is a key element of all patient safety initiatives. Nevertheless, research shows it does not occur naturally and many organizations fail to do it.\textsuperscript{13,21,71,72,76,77}

Why are some organizations able to learn from failures and others are not?

**Findings**

Admitting that failure is not only expected but essential and to be rewarded for further development helps care providers and their organizations to detect, discuss, and learn from failure.\textsuperscript{21,72,78,79}

Psychological safety and trust are mandatory organizational conditions to empower health care workers in a hospital to report and discuss observed or performed errors and failures.\textsuperscript{25,75,80–83}

Every care provider experiencing an error or a problem has the responsibility to transfer the information to the higher organizational level. Therefore, “ideal employee” behaviors of cleaning up errors and problems unnoticed are negative for learning from failure.\textsuperscript{25,71}

Belief in improvement, systematic analysis, and implementation, as well as dissemination with feedback and transparency, are other key factors facilitating learning from failure.\textsuperscript{21,83–85}

Reduction in use of invasive devices, antibiotics, and the laboratory after successful implementation of a daily safety checklist in a PICU after omission-related adverse events may highlight the importance of systematic analysis, dissemination, and transparency.\textsuperscript{86} On the other hand, if health care providers work successfully, they need to identify their success and learn why they were successful.\textsuperscript{90} This can be difficult to do as safe outcomes are expected and underlying reasons are prone to be neglected and taken for granted.\textsuperscript{23,91,92}

“Learning involves understanding why things happen and why some decisions lead to specific outcomes”.\textsuperscript{92}

**Organizational and contextual factors: leadership**

**Challenge**

Multiple actions such as patient safety initiatives, patient-centered care, or interprofessional teamwork have been launched to improve patient safety.\textsuperscript{9,10,93,94}

Studies regarding implementation of patient safety initiatives conclude that involvement of high-level leadership is a prerequisite.\textsuperscript{13,28–32}

What are important leadership principles to improve interprofessional team management for patient safety?

**Findings**

A recently published review of patient safety-related hospital deaths in UK concludes that “there is a disconnect between national harm reduction initiatives and areas of concern that hospital staff see as important”.\textsuperscript{9} Research shows that senior health professionals at the bedside play a crucial role as role models and leaders throughout the organization, developing others’ skills and shaping effective processes.\textsuperscript{22,25,87,95} The reported impact of initiatives is often small or may even have a negative impact when clinical team members have not “bought in” to the process.\textsuperscript{10,14,71,87,95–97} Indeed, involvement and learning from front line health care providers is a key element of safety management and leadership.\textsuperscript{22,25,90,98}

Effective leadership means to be an inspiration for your coworkers, explaining why interprofessional team management is mandatory for high-quality critical care and optimal patient safety.\textsuperscript{25,99,100}

On the other hand, improving patient safety is a basic necessity for every doctor and health care worker caring for patients and is acknowledged as an individual responsibility.\textsuperscript{9,22,87,95} “If there is no transformation inside each of us, all structural change in the world will have no impact on our institutions”.\textsuperscript{101}

Patient safety is subject to voluntary participation of every single care provider, and everyone in an organization may become a leader developing new processes or other people’s skills with their personality and behaviors.\textsuperscript{22,25,95,102,103}

The process to be a clinical leader in a specific situation starts with individual motivation.\textsuperscript{103,104} “Empowerment is not granted by the organization, people must empower themselves”.\textsuperscript{103}

**Organizational and contextual factors: cultural change**

**Challenge**

Studies and reviews regarding effective implementation of patient safety conclude that a culture change is mandatory.\textsuperscript{13,17,28–32} Culture change is a huge operation accompanied by unpredictability and uncertainty over a long period of time.\textsuperscript{25,95,105} What can the individual health care worker do for a culture change toward improved interprofessional team management for patient safety?

**Findings**

Creating a culture of respect is reported as an essential first step to enhance patients’ safety. Respect and trust
build up psychological safety for junior health care providers, facilitating communication, team management, and learning while working.\textsuperscript{51} Change in small steps as an adaptation is a learning process, and this may be initiated on an individual or team basis.\textsuperscript{23,95,105} The report of sequential interventions improving communication through shared agreement of patients’ daily goal among interprofessional health care providers in a PICU may serve as an illustrative example: During a 9-month period, the process started by implementing new daily progress notes, followed after 2–3 months by the introduction of a performance improvement dashboard, and finally completed by documenting patients’ daily goals on bedside whiteboards.\textsuperscript{106} This example demonstrates a learning process in small steps facilitating a culture change toward improved communication and shared mental models. Outcomes in patient safety at the organizational level are mainly subject to the work conducted in microlevel units such as the operation theater or the intensive care unit.\textsuperscript{24,39,105,107–109} Changes in microlevel units are often directed at realistic problems and they are therefore better understood and accepted by involved care professionals, compared to initiative directives at the macrolevel unit such as the department or the hospital.\textsuperscript{39,105,108} Research shows that creating high-reliability organizations in health care often starts at a local, microlevel before moving toward standardized stages with a high level of safety for the organization.\textsuperscript{39,110} Transparency and dissemination from the local level to the macrolevel unit is an essential step, which may only occur in dedicated learning organizations.\textsuperscript{22,71,95,110}

\textbf{Solutions and discussion}

Our literature review suggests that competent individuals may not be necessarily competent interprofessional team members.\textsuperscript{12} Individual responsibility and accountability have to be embedded within a network of equivalent partners to achieve a cohesive team with common goals within a culture of respect.\textsuperscript{12,27,51–53,56} Shared mental models and efficient communication between team members, including parents and relatives of patients, form the basis for effective team management in a PICU.\textsuperscript{51,53,56,111}

Working competently as an interprofessional team may not just happen; it is probably rather an ongoing learning process.\textsuperscript{12,16,17} The challenge is to learn simultaneously to delivering a service, and there is currently a missed opportunity to structure clinical work as a learning process to improve patient safety.\textsuperscript{25,36} Leadership actions are necessary to drive learning: if the responsible consultant physician informs the team at the start of a procedure at PICU that “nobody is without failure and errors, therefore if anything goes wrong, whoever you are, please speak up”, then psychological safety for nurses or junior physicians is disclosed and barriers to speaking up are diminished.\textsuperscript{25} In health care organizations, stakes are very high and leaders may argue that focus on learning on the job is too risky. Formal, team-based learning is possible in simulation. It is a valuable option for experimentation and assessment of new actions and behaviors. Research has shown that simulated team training is effective.\textsuperscript{20,47,112–117} In the setting of simulation training, the leadership actions listed in Table 3 are incorporated, and simulation may be an important tool facilitating learning while working.\textsuperscript{20,115–118}

Achieving effective teamwork and enabling learning while working may require leadership and cultural change, which foster interprofessional team management. While cultural change is a complex undertaking for a hospital, feasible changes can be made in small units because they are easier to apply. Front line professionals working in small units have a natural commitment to delivery of high-quality care. If a consultant is keen to support learning while working in the PICU, he/she can do so as a personal, low-level initiative. Framing the situation for learning, empowering team members to speak up, and highlighting the significance of learning from failure is taking leadership action in this situation. This allows for training and equipping professionals with necessary team skills. Later on, their experiences could be shared with other teams and hospital departments.\textsuperscript{39,95,105,110}

There is a growing body of literature showing effective implementation strategies to improve interprofessional care. Interprofessional workshops and educational activities,\textsuperscript{119–122} implementation of cross-functional teams,\textsuperscript{123} simulation training,\textsuperscript{124–126} individual and team-based debriefing, feedback, coaching and mentorship,\textsuperscript{25,118,127–129} and 360° evaluation processes\textsuperscript{130} are reported as effective strategies to improve interprofessional team management in varying contexts of health care. Table 4 summarizes the challenges and gives examples for implementation to support patient safety through improved interprofessional team management in the PICU.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Table 3 Leadership actions for organizing to learn} \\
\hline
\textbf{Frame the situation for learning} \\
\textbf{Make it psychologically safe} \\
\textbf{Learn to learn from failure} \\
\textbf{Span occupational and cultural boundaries} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Table 4 Summary of challenges and solutions, including examples for implementation, to support patient safety through improved interprofessional team management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key areas of interprofessional teamwork</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Examples supporting implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relational factors: power and hierarchy</td>
<td>Sovereign power and professional autonomy are forces creating silos of specialization impeding interprofessional team management</td>
<td>Individual responsibility and accountability has to be embedded in a network of equivalent partners with a shared goal; promotion of shared mental models</td>
<td>Interprofessional meetings, workshops, and education activities promoting shared mental models; individual and team-based debriefing, feedback, coaching, and mentorship; 360° feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational factors: team composition and roles</td>
<td>The tension between senior and junior health care providers, physicians and nurses, and between parents of a child at the PICU and hospital staff hinders interprofessional team management</td>
<td>Promotion of a culture of mutual respect, shared mental models, and efficient communication between team members, including parents and relatives of patients</td>
<td>Interprofessional meetings, workshops, and education activities promoting a culture of respect; individual and team-based debriefing, feedback, coaching, and mentorship; 360° feedback; communication training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processual factors: learning while working</td>
<td>How is it possible for the individual health care professional to support and learn competent, interprofessional team management within the busy daily routine workload?</td>
<td>Promotion of psychological safety and speaking up to support learning while working</td>
<td>Team-based debriefing, feedback, and coaching critically questioning how to do better while working; managerial and leadership focus on learning while working; simulation training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processual factors: learning from failure</td>
<td>Learning from failure is a key element of all patient safety initiatives, but this is not happening naturally and many organizations fail to do so</td>
<td>Acknowledgment that failure is mandatory for learning; ensuring psychological safety, systematic analysis, and dissemination</td>
<td>Managerial and leadership focus on learning while working; mortality-and-morbidity conference; critical incident reporting system; dissemination of reported errors and solutions; rewards for error reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational and contextual factors: leadership</td>
<td>Impact of patient safety initiatives is often small; what are important leadership principles to improve interprofessional team management for patient safety?</td>
<td>Acknowledgment of front line workers regarding important topics and possible solutions; individual willingness and motivation of every health care worker for leadership at every level</td>
<td>Acknowledging and supporting bottom-up initiatives and projects; individual and team-based debriefing, feedback, coaching, and mentorship; managerial and leadership focus on learning while working; rewards for individual projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational and contextual factors: culture change</td>
<td>Culture change is prerequisite for improved patient safety, but culture change is a huge operation surrounded with unpredictability and uncertainty over a long period of time</td>
<td>Change in small steps as an adaptation and a learning process; from the microlevel unit to the organization; transparency and dissemination</td>
<td>Acknowledging and supporting unit-based initiatives and projects; individual and team-based debriefing, feedback, coaching, and mentorship; managerial and leadership focus on learning while working; rewards for unit-based projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PICU, pediatric intensive care unit.

Limitations

There are several limitations of our review. First, literature on team management and patient safety is extensive and not fully covered within the searched medical databases. Second, due to the broadness of the topic and the high methodological diversity of the studies, an overall generalizable systematic review and meta-analysis are not realistic. Our review is focused on the approach of literature synthesis to include knowledge and give a new perspective. Third, there are many more challenges for interprofessional team management in a PICU than reported in this review, such as unpredictability of clinical work, events of death with emotions of grief and feelings of guilt, ethical dilemmas, new medical and information technology breakthroughs, economic pressure, sex-based inequalities, or fear of litigation. Nevertheless, the challenges are chosen according to the published framework for interprofessional teamwork, and the selection is consistent with the three key areas of the framework, ensuring a broad coverage.

Conclusion

Patient safety is a top priority for every health care organization and every health care professional. Research shows that effective team management is mandatory for patient safety. The barrier between autonomous nurses and doctors in the PICU within their silos of specialization, the failure of shared
ment of the interprofessional team management and patient safety. A mindset of individual responsibility and accountability embedded in a network of equivalent partners, including the patient and their family members, is required to achieve interprofessional, patient-centered care. Second, working competently as an interprofessional team is a learning process. Findings of our review show that working declared as a learning process, psychological safety, and speaking up are pivotal factors to learn in daily practice. Critically questioning how to do better while working in a psychologically safe environment allows every individual health care professional, as well as every team, to learn from both failure and success. Finally, research shows that work and changes in small steps at the scale of the microlevel unit are the bases to improve interprofessional team management and patient safety. If small things with potential impact can be changed in one’s own unit, engagement of health care professionals occurs and projects become accepted. Bottom–up patient safety initiatives encouraging participation of every single care provider by learning effective interprofessional team management within daily practice may be an effective way of fostering patient safety.
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