COPD: the patient perspective
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Abstract: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a highly prevalent disease characterized by nonreversible airway obstruction. Well-characterized symptoms such as exertional dyspnea and fatigue have a negative impact on patients’ quality of life (QoL) and restrict physical activity in daily life. The impact of COPD symptoms on QoL is often underestimated; for example, 36% of patients who describe their symptoms as being mild-to-moderate also admit to being too breathless to leave the house. Additionally, early morning and nighttime symptoms are a particular problem. Methods are available to allow clinicians to accurately assess COPD symptoms, including patient questionnaires. Integrated approaches to COPD management, particularly pulmonary rehabilitation, are effective strategies for addressing symptoms, improving exercise capacity and, potentially, also increasing physical activity. Inhaled bronchodilators continue to be the mainstay of drug therapy in COPD, where options can be tailored to meet patients’ needs with careful selection of the inhaled medication and the device used for its delivery. Overall, an integrated approach to disease management should be considered for improving QoL and subsequent patient outcomes in COPD.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by nonreversible airway obstruction.1 Highly prevalent worldwide, COPD significantly contributes to health care costs with high rates of morbidity and mortality.2,3 A diagnosis of COPD is determined by clinical assessment of airflow limitation and symptoms such as cough and wheeze; however, the detrimental effect of COPD symptoms on a patient’s quality of life (QoL) is often underestimated.4

In order to better understand and address the impact of COPD symptoms from a patient’s perspective, integrated approaches to disease assessment and management are required.5 A recent observational study found that, regardless of disease severity, more than half of patients experienced COPD symptoms throughout the whole 24-hour day, and nearly 80% of patients reported experiencing symptoms during at least two parts of the day. The presence of symptoms is associated with worse health status, depression, anxiety, and poor sleep quality.5 The management of patients with COPD and comorbidities remains particularly challenging. The presence of other chronic conditions increases symptom burden, reduces functional performance, has negative effects on health status, and management approaches need to be adapted accordingly.

The restriction of physical activity due to symptoms such as exertional dyspnea and fatigue also has a major adverse effect on a patient’s QoL,7 while preserving or improving physical activity may have far-reaching benefits for hospitalization and mortality rates.8–10 The aims of this article are to evaluate the tools available for assessment of COPD symptoms and the impact of symptoms on the daily lives of patients. We will also outline current strategies for symptom improvement that could
enhance patients’ QoL and subsequent outcomes. This article was based on the proceedings of a session at the 1st World Lung Disease Summit held in Lisbon on November 15–17, 2013, which brought together experts in the field of COPD; this should therefore be considered as a review and discussion of recent advances in practice and clinical evidence rather than a systematic literature review.

**COPD symptoms**

**Common symptoms and impact on QoL**

The well-defined symptoms commonly reported by patients with COPD include cough, sputum production, wheeze, and breathlessness. However, the impact of symptoms on an individual patient’s daily living activities varies depending on a number of factors, for example, their disease severity and comorbidities. The incidence and severity of symptoms also have a varying impact on a patient’s QoL at different times of the day, with early morning and nighttime symptoms having a particularly detrimental influence on health status.

Ensuring that all patients have the best possible QoL is a major goal in the treatment of COPD. QoL is an individual experience unique to each patient and cannot be measured in a standardized way; instead, health-status questionnaires are used to measure a patient’s ability to engage in and enjoy normal activities. Multiple factors have an impact on measured health status, those with the largest impact being dyspnea, exercise tolerance, and psychological health. The impact of COPD symptoms on a patient’s QoL is often underestimated; for instance, 36% of patients who describe their symptoms as being mild-to-moderate also admit to being too breathless to leave the house.

**How to assess symptoms?**

A number of symptom questionnaires and disease-specific health-status measures are available to allow clinicians to accurately assess COPD symptoms to inform suitable treatment decisions. The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2006 staging system allowed for the determination of disease severity using forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) as a measure of lung function, although the heterogeneity within COPD meant that this was not sufficient. This led to a new classification proposal in the GOLD 2011 strategy document, which included a measure of breathlessness (using the modified Medical Research Council [mMRC] dyspnea score) or a measure of health status (using the COPD Assessment Test [CAT] score).

The mMRC dyspnea scale is an American Thoracic Society modification of the British MRC dyspnea index. Both scales are in wide use and it is important to be precise about which is being used, as the two have very similar wording for the categories (ranging from “only breathless during strenuous exercise” to “too breathless to leave the house, or when dressing or undressing”), but the mMRC grading ranges from 0 to 4, whereas the British MRC scale ranges from 1 to 5.

The CAT was developed as a reliable, brief, and simple test to accurately measure the key attributes of health in COPD patients. The CAT produces a reliable measure of COPD severity from the perspective of the patient with results that are relevant worldwide. A score of 10 on the CAT indicates the level at which the average COPD patient may benefit from long-acting bronchodilator treatment; however, this is only a guide as treatment decisions should be based on the individual needs of each patient. GOLD now recommends that, where possible, a comprehensive measure such as the CAT should be used to assess symptom level rather than the mMRC, as the latter only addresses one impact of the disease.

In terms of health-status measurements, the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), a standardized questionnaire comprising three sections (respiratory symptoms, impact of breathlessness, and impact on social functioning and psychological health), is widely used in clinical trials, but is too complex for routine use. Conversely, the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ) was developed due to the need for a simple clinical tool to help evaluate the clinical status of the airways together with the patient’s health status. As such, the CCQ includes items on the emotional function and limitations experienced by the patient. This questionnaire can assess the effectiveness of the clinical management of COPD and is a useful tool for clinicians.

Each of these instruments has advantages and disadvantages (Table 1). For example, short questionnaires such as the CCQ and CAT are useful due to ease-of-completion. It is noteworthy that only one item on the CAT and SGRQ asks about sleep and it is not covered by the CCQ. Furthermore, none of these instruments addresses symptoms upon waking in the morning, which patients say are the most troublesome.

The CCQ and CAT were developed for use in routine clinical practice and they have three applications in this setting. First, they can be used to aid dialogue between doctor and patient; the layout of the CAT in particular facilitates this process. Second, the questionnaires may be used for baseline evaluations, to inform treatment decisions, and to guide nonspecialists when to refer a patient...
for specialist evaluation. The final, and perhaps the most useful, application is to monitor changes over time by completing the assessment at each visit. Prompt identification of worsening condition permits timely intervention. A worsening score may be due to a number of factors, such as a reduction in adherence to treatment, the development of poor inhaler technique, the occurrence of unreported exacerbations, or rapidly progressive disease that requires further investigation.

**Physical activity and COPD**

**Assessing physical activity**

Studies have shown that physical activity declines with advancing COPD, as patients with moderate-to-severe disease had lower activity levels than healthy controls.22–24 The most inactive patients were those on oxygen therapy.23 Activity levels start to decline early in disease progression; by the time patients reach moderate levels of airflow limitation (GOLD stage II), they are already starting to become inactive.25,26 The decline in physical activity in COPD sufferers may correlate with a range of factors, including airflow obstruction,25 dynamic hyperinflation,27 cardiac dysfunction,28 muscle deconditioning and quadriceps strength,29,30 and systemic inflammation.28,30

There are a number of ways to measure physical activity in patients, including questionnaires, pedometers, and activity monitors with a more advanced technology (accelerometers).31 Questionnaires assess the perspective of the patient and are mainly used in epidemiological studies. The main problem of a questionnaire-based assessment of physical activity is that it might be inaccurate on an individual level. This limitation might be overcome by a recently developed, more COPD-specific questionnaire.32 Pedometers count the number of steps in a given time period, are widely used in public health campaigns, and have a role as a motivational tool aiming to increase daily activity. Pedometer indices for public health have been defined (Figure 1).33 Accelerometers are electronic portable devices that are worn on the body to detect acceleration and thereby reflect body movements. Several accelerometers have been studied for the accuracy of physical activity assessment in COPD.31

**Impact of physical activity on prognosis**

The systemic consequences of COPD, such as muscle weakness and osteoporosis, commonly arise in inactive patients.34,35 Additionally, data suggest that patients with COPD who have low levels of physical activity are more likely to be admitted to hospital.10

Preserved levels of physical activity are related to a better prognosis in COPD. In two separate studies, patients with COPD who had different activity levels were followed for 3 years and 5–8 years. The probability of survival was significantly improved in those patients who were more active, even after correcting for lung function and exercise capacity.8,9 One of the studies concluded that the objective measurement of physical activity is the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality in patients.8

**Improving physical activity: an integrated approach**

An appropriate level of physical activity is very important in patients with COPD, as it plays a key role in maintaining

| Table 1 Instruments used to investigate the effect of COPD on patient health |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Instrument | Use | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| mMRC16 | Dyspnea measurement | Brevity | Lacks sensitivity |
| SGRQ19 | Health status | Covers broad spectrum of COPD effects | Time-consuming |
| CCQ10 | Health status | Brevity | Symptomatic threshold yet to be determined |
| CAT16,18 | Health status | Covers broad spectrum of COPD effects | Little data about responsiveness to treatment |

**Abbreviations:** CAT, COPD Assessment Test; CCQ, Clinical COPD Questionnaire; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council dyspnea score; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire.
As in appropriately dosing medication, it is important that the level and timing of physical activity is guided by an overall rehabilitation strategy for the patient. Pulmonary rehabilitation therefore aims to improve the physical and psychological health of patients with chronic respiratory disease, and includes a focus on improving physical activity levels.

Pulmonary rehabilitation techniques can include exercise training (under direct supervision or at home), behavior modification, and education of the patient about COPD. A multidisciplinary team is therefore required to deliver this intervention, including physicians and other health care professionals such as exercise physiologists, occupational therapists, nutritionists, and physiotherapists.

In a study of pulmonary rehabilitation in the form of Nordic walking, movement intensity in daily life significantly improved after 3 months compared with the control group. Furthermore, in the Nordic walking group, overall time spent sitting per day decreased, while time spent walking and standing per day increased. Pulmonary rehabilitation has also been shown to reduce symptoms such as dyspnea, improve exercise capacity, and improve QoL. However, some patients may not be suitable for pulmonary rehabilitation due to underlying health conditions.

Challenges of treating complex disease

Comorbidities

Chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, COPD, and diabetes are complex and multifactorial and frequently present as comorbid conditions. For example, Italian population studies have identified far higher incidence of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, depressive disorders, and osteoporosis among patients with COPD than in the general population. Comorbidities have a substantial impact on COPD symptoms, patient well-being, and physical activity. It has been suggested that persistent low-grade systemic inflammation may be the reason why patients with COPD are so frequently affected by comorbidities. Comorbidities contribute to mortality rates, disease severity, increase the risk of hospitalization, and are of utmost importance given that most elderly patients have two or more chronic morbidities.

Approximately half of all patients with COPD attending CIRO+ have at least four comorbidities, many of which may not be classically associated with COPD, including hypertension (48%) and hyperglycemia (54%). Five comorbidity clusters have been identified: metabolic, cardiovascular,
cachectic, less comorbidity, and psychological. The clustering is clinically important because many of the characteristics defined within them are not well correlated with FEV\textsubscript{1}.\textsuperscript{38}

Comorbidities make COPD management more challenging and increase the use of health care services.\textsuperscript{41} The discovery of these comorbidity clusters will help alert clinicians to particular groups of comorbidities in patients with COPD, and may influence the development of future treatment guidelines for specific comorbidities. This discovery is of potential benefit to both patients with COPD and clinicians.\textsuperscript{38}

**Unmet need**

There is a need to improve therapies available to patients whose symptoms are not well-controlled by current treatments.\textsuperscript{43} These patients include those with comorbidities, with serious disease, or with long-term disease which has progressed.

In an effort to overcome the complexity of COPD, there is also a need to detect the condition at an earlier stage, before the onset of airway symptoms.\textsuperscript{43} Current treatments, such as bronchodilators, address the debilitating symptoms of the disease, but not underlying disease progression. Furthermore, inhaled corticosteroids are used in stable COPD despite the fact that there is no solid evidence to support this, except during exacerbations.\textsuperscript{44}

Alongside a more tailored use of existing treatments, there is a need for new therapeutic options, such as agents acting at alternative biochemical targets with favorable tolerability and safety profiles.\textsuperscript{43} The inflammatory response involved in COPD is a potential target for the development of new treatments, as signal transduction pathways are activated in order to release proteases and oxidants to initiate cellular responses.\textsuperscript{44}

**Approaches to improve symptom control**

**Long-acting muscarinic antagonist/long-acting \(\beta_2\)-agonist combination therapy**

Inhaled bronchodilators, either short-acting or long-acting, are the mainstay of therapy in COPD.\textsuperscript{55} The rationale for combining long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) and long-acting \(\beta_2\)-agonists (LABAs) is derived from the concept of targeting complementary pathways to achieve maximal bronchodilation. Smooth muscle bronchoconstriction is controlled via the parasympathetic nervous system, mediated by acetylcholine, and the sympathetic nervous system can stimulate bronchodilation via the adrenergic system. Simultaneous inhibition of M\textsubscript{1}/M\textsubscript{3} receptors by muscarinic antagonists and activation of \(\beta_2\)-receptors by \(\beta_2\)-agonists has been shown to achieve additive bronchodilatory effects (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{46,47} Indeed, a recent preclinical study has demonstrated that there may be a complementary interaction between concomitantly administered LAMA and LABA in human airways.\textsuperscript{48}

LAMA/LABA combination therapy is especially useful in patients whose COPD symptoms are insufficiently controlled by maintenance monotherapy,\textsuperscript{47,49} such as difficult-to-treat patients with comorbidities.\textsuperscript{38}

Many patients with COPD find that their symptoms are at their worst in the early morning and at night. Symptoms such as coughing, general fatigue, and tiredness are commonly

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Synergistic bronchodilator effect from the simultaneous blockade of M\textsubscript{1}/M\textsubscript{3} receptors and the activation of \(\beta_2\)-adrenoceptors.}
\textbf{Notes:} Gray columns indicate the effect of saline or tiotropium on ACh-induced bronchoconstriction in the absence of carmoterol (control) in anesthetized guinea pigs. \(\textsuperscript{*}\textsuperscript{*}<0.01\) and \(\textsuperscript{**}p<0.001\) versus corresponding control. Values are mean ± standard error of the mean of six to eight different animals. Adapted from Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Vol 20, Issue 3, Rossoni G, Manfredi B, Razzetti R, Civelli M, Berti F. Positive interaction of the novel \(\beta_2\)-agonist carmoterol and tiotropium bromide in the control of airway changes induced by different challenges in guinea-pigs. Pages 250–257. Copyright 2007, with permission from Elsevier.\textsuperscript{46}
\end{figure}

Abbreviations: ACh, acetylcholine; ITP, intratracheal pressure; iv, intravenous.
Optimizing delivery

Drug therapy in COPD is reliant on both the efficacy of the inhaled medication and the inhaler device used for its delivery. The issue of effectiveness of inhaler devices is complex; for example, comparative data from observational studies in asthma have alternately reported that dry powder inhalers (DPIs) and pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs) result in better disease control compared with each other.53,54 These conflicting results highlight the need for real-world evidence on device effectiveness, as inhaler device selection may affect clinical outcomes.54

Three key components intrinsic to an inhaler device determine a device’s efficacy: airflow resistance, the mass of the drug particle delivered, and inspiratory flow.

The first of these components, airflow resistance, varies widely between devices. A medium-resistance device is optimal as some patients with COPD may have difficulty generating enough inspiratory effort to use a high-resistance device.55

An inhaler must be able to deliver the required mass of drug particle to the correct locations in order to achieve optimal results. Different-sized particles are distributed in the lung according to the size of the airway region; larger particles remain in central, larger areas, whereas smaller particles are deposited in the smaller distal airway. Inhaler devices generate particles of different sizes, which are deposited to different regions of the respiratory tract.56 Both DPIs and pMDIs have a large pharyngeal disposition. An adjunct to the pMDI, the valved holding chamber, can be used to improve drug deposition to the lungs by reducing the overall particle size of the aerosol by filtering out larger particles and also reducing the speed of the particles, which results in lesser upper airway deposition.57

The inspiratory flow rate, which is the speed of inhalation, varies widely between devices and is known to influence drug delivery and consequently, FEV1.56,58 Study results have demonstrated that faster inspiratory flows lead to decreased particle deposition in the lungs.56

Correct inhaler technique is another factor which is crucial for drug delivery to the lungs. Despite patients receiving detailed instructions, there is still potential for user error. Critical errors that occur with inhaler use include poor coordination of the device, breathing in too fast and failing to hold the breath.59 The incidence of these critical errors increases with age and disease severity.60 Incorrect inhaler technique has been shown to be related to increases in hospitalization, emergency visits, and medical interventions. In 1,664 patients with COPD and asthma using DPI and pMDI inhalers at home, critical errors were seen with all of the inhalers studied.61

Different devices are associated with varying critical error rates.59,61 Easy-to-use devices may help to reduce error rates; however, regardless of the device, it is important to offer correct training and check patients’ technique on a regular basis to ensure optimal delivery of product and hence, achieve optimal symptom control.62

Conclusion

COPD symptoms have a detrimental impact on the daily lives of patients, and this can be assessed using short health-status questionnaires. Nighttime and early morning symptoms can be very disruptive to patients and should be enquired about specifically during consultations.

Physical inactivity is a key feature and direct consequence of the symptoms of COPD. Instituating approaches to increase physical activity can potentially improve prognosis. One such approach, pulmonary rehabilitation with multidisciplinary support, can potentially improve physical inactivity, which will impact on general health.

Considerations should also be made for optimizing the use of therapeutic interventions available to meet patients’ needs, including appropriate selection of inhaled medication (according to efficacy) and the inhaler device used for its delivery, and introduction of LAMA/LABA combination therapy. Use of the correct inhaler technique by the patient must be ensured.

An approach to disease management that focuses on the consequences of COPD symptoms from the patient perspective can help to improve aspects of QoL and subsequent outcomes in patients with COPD.
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