Diagnosis, assessment, and phenotyping of COPD: beyond FEV\textsubscript{1} 
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Abstract: COPD is now widely recognized as a complex heterogeneous syndrome, having both pulmonary and extrapulmonary features. In clinical practice, the diagnosis of COPD is based on the presence of chronic airflow limitation, as assessed by post-bronchodilator spirometry. The severity of the airflow limitation, as measured by percent predicted FEV\textsubscript{1}, provides important information to the physician to enable optimization of management. However, in order to accurately assess the complexity of COPD, there need to be other measures made beyond FEV\textsubscript{1}. At present, there is a lack of reliable and simple blood biomarkers to confirm and further assess the diagnosis of COPD. However, it is possible to identify patients who display different phenotypic characteristics of COPD that relate to clinically relevant outcomes. Currently, validated phenotypes of COPD include alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, and “frequent exacerbators”. Recently, a definition and assessment of a new phenotype comprising patients with overlapping features of asthma and COPD has been suggested and is known as “asthma COPD overlap syndrome”. Several other phenotypes have been proposed, but require validation against clinical outcomes. Defining phenotypes requires the assessment of multiple factors indicating disease severity, its impact, and its activity. Recognition and validation of COPD phenotypes has an important role to play in the selection of evidence-based targeted therapy in the future management of COPD, but regardless of the diagnostic terms, patients with COPD should be assessed and treated according to their individual treatable characteristics.
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Introduction

COPD is now widely recognized as a complex heterogeneous syndrome with pulmonary and extrapulmonary features.\textsuperscript{1,2} In the assessment of patients with COPD, it is important to identify clinical traits or phenotypes that may have consequences for the choice of treatment.\textsuperscript{1,3}

The aim of this article is to discuss the challenges of accurately diagnosing and assessing COPD, to present the evidence for the importance of defining COPD phenotypes, and to provide guidance on how these phenotypes could be assessed. Rather than presenting a systematic review of the literature, we aim to debate and discuss the current status of COPD diagnosis, the proposed COPD phenotypes, and the difficulties and relevance of differentiating between asthma, COPD, and asthma COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS).

Importance of a correct diagnosis

An accurate diagnosis guides the physician to identify the most appropriate management strategy. In particular, assessment of symptoms in asthma, COPD, and ACOS is crucial to achieving an accurate diagnosis.
Prior to diagnosis, many patients with COPD may not recognize their symptoms as being due to a disease, since breathlessness may be attributed to aging, and cough and sputum production may be considered a consequence of smoking. An accurate diagnosis may help patients accept that they have COPD and to take action to change their lifestyle and help manage their symptoms.4

**How to diagnose COPD**

According to the most recent Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) strategy for diagnosis and management of COPD, a diagnosis of COPD should be considered in any patient with symptoms of dyspnea, chronic cough or sputum production, and a history of risk factors for COPD (particularly tobacco smoking). Spirometry is mandatory to confirm the presence of persistent airflow limitation, a defining, but not a pathognomonic characteristic of COPD.

**FEV**

The standard diagnostic definition of persistent airflow limitation is a post-bronchodilator ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second to forced vital capacity (FEV/FVC) of <0.7.5 However, this definition will underestimate the presence of COPD in younger patients and overestimate its presence in older patients, in whom airflow limitation may simply be a result of advancing age.5,6 This is especially true for mild disease, where 75% of patients aged >65 years and meeting the GOLD 1 criteria for airflow limitation have been shown to have FEV/FVC values that lie within the normal range for healthy age-related and race-matched controls.5 Establishing the lower limit of “normal” is highly dependent on the reference population and has not been validated in longitudinal studies. Thus, due to its simplicity and validation in many studies, the fixed FEV/FVC ratio has remained the diagnostic criterion for the clinical situation, whereas the lower limit of normal has been advocated for use in epidemiological studies.

**Beyond FEV**

In an effort to improve the management of patients with COPD and encourage a more comprehensive assessment of patients, the GOLD guidelines were revised recently to include COPD categories based on a combined score for symptoms, degree of airflow limitation, risk of exacerbation, and presence of comorbidities (Table 1).2 However, comprehensive assessment in order to obtain a better understanding of the symptomatology in an individual patient should also include other parameters.

**Resting inspiratory capacity**

One of the functional consequences of the pathological changes that occur in COPD is over-inflation of the lungs.7 Resting inspiratory capacity (IC) is an indirect but very powerful and non-invasive measure of lung hyperinflation, and of the elastic load being exerted on the inspiratory muscles. IC decreases linearly with increasing airflow limitation8 and is sufficiently sensitive to signal airway obstruction even in mild disease when FEV is largely unaffected. Resting IC is considered a good indicator of the severity of COPD and, as a ratio with total lung capacity (TLC), predicts mortality and respiratory failure.9

During exercise in patients with COPD, end expiratory lung volume increases, IC and inspiratory reserve volume (IRV) both diminish, and further tidal volume expansion is limited as TLC is approached (Figure 1). In advanced COPD, the low IC means that the IRV reaches its minimal value (~0.5 L

### Table 1 COPD categories based on a combined score for symptoms, degree of airflow limitation, exacerbation risk, and presence of comorbidities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Spirometric classification</th>
<th>Exacerbations per year</th>
<th>COPD Assessment Test</th>
<th>mMRC score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Low risk, less symptoms</td>
<td>GOLD 1–2</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Low risk, more symptoms</td>
<td>GOLD 1–2</td>
<td>≤1</td>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>≥2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>High risk, less symptoms</td>
<td>GOLD 3–4</td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>High risk, more symptoms</td>
<td>GOLD 3–4</td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>≥2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** GOLD 1–2, mild-moderate airflow limitation; GOLD 3–4, severe-very severe airflow limitation; *not leading to hospital admission; ≥1 leading to hospital admission. Available from http://www.goldcopd.org.

**Abbreviations:** GOLD, Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council.
below TLC) at low exercise intensities; further increases in ventilation become impossible and exercise is terminated. During exercise, the central drive to breathe continues to increase, but the ability of the respiratory muscles to respond to this drive progressively diminishes because of the effects of dynamic lung hyperinflation (critical reduction in IRV). It follows that a much greater respiratory effort is required to generate the same tidal volume as at rest, thereby leading to intolerable shortness of breath. IC at rest is therefore a good predictor of maximal ventilatory capacity and a patient’s ability to exercise. Increasing IC with bronchodilators is associated with reduced dyspnea ratings and improved exercise tolerance.\(^9\)

**Field and laboratory exercise tests**

The impact of COPD on a patient’s functional capacity in relation to exercise can be explored using the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) test. This test is simple to perform, correlates well with peak oxygen uptake, and is a good index of functional disability. It relates to daily activities and has predictive value in relation to health status and survival, but not hospitalizations for COPD exacerbations.\(^11-13\)

The shuttle walking test is an alternative to the 6MWD test and is perhaps more responsive to the effect of training.\(^14\)

Another method of measuring the functional impact of COPD is to use cardiopulmonary exercise testing. This requires more equipment than other field tests, and provides a measure of the integrated function of the respiratory, cardiocirculatory, peripheral muscle, and neurosensory systems under stress. It accurately quantifies abnormalities in pulmonary gas exchange, ventilatory demand, and dynamic respiratory mechanics and their sensory consequences. Furthermore, peak oxygen uptake measured during incremental exercise tests has prognostic value in relation to survival in COPD.\(^15\)

**Chest computed tomography scanning**

Thoracic computed tomography (CT) scanning can be used to quantify the extent, type, distribution, and progression of emphysema;\(^16,17\) however, measurement of airway dimensions using CT scanning has been more problematic.\(^18,19\)
The presence of emphysema is associated with a more rapid decline in FEV_1 and with increased mortality,\textsuperscript{20} and recent studies have shown relationships between both the extent of emphysema and airway thickening and the frequency of exacerbations.\textsuperscript{21–23} The distribution of emphysema has also been used to define a COPD phenotype, ie, upper lobe heterogeneous emphysema, as a clinical trait that shows improvement in survival with lung volume reduction surgery.\textsuperscript{24} In addition, chest CT scanning can aid the diagnosis of other lung conditions, such as bronchiectasis, obliterative bronchiolitis, and diffuse panbronchiolitis,\textsuperscript{2,6} and can be used to assess comorbidities such as coronary artery disease by measuring coronary artery calcification.\textsuperscript{29} CT scans have also proven useful for identifying patients with a mixed asthma/COPD phenotype who have airway-predominant symptoms (as opposed to emphysema-predominant symptoms) and features of asthma.\textsuperscript{26}

**COPD phenotypes**

The concept of a clinical COPD phenotype has been proposed as a single or combination of disease attributes that describe differences between individuals with COPD as they relate to clinically meaningful outcomes like symptoms, exacerbations, response to therapy, rate of disease progression, or death.\textsuperscript{1}

The goal of COPD phenotyping is to be able to classify patients into distinct subgroups according to prognosis and response to therapy in order to better select the appropriate therapy that can optimize clinically meaningful outcomes for patients.

**What phenotypes have been proposed?**

The ECLIPSE study of 2,164 patients with COPD has helped to expand our knowledge of several COPD phenotypes and identified potential biomarkers for predicting clinical outcomes in these phenotypes.\textsuperscript{27} Prospective validation of COPD phenotypes against clinical outcomes or response to treatment is essential in defining a phenotype.\textsuperscript{1} At least three COPD phenotypes have been validated in this way. Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency is a genetic condition that predisposes patients to COPD and liver disease, and has been identified as a specific phenotype that may respond positively to augmentation therapy.\textsuperscript{28}

A second validated phenotype, emphysema/hyperinflation, characterized by upper-lobe emphysema, dyspnea, and poor exercise capacity, is associated with severe airflow limitation. This phenotype appears to respond well to lung volume reduction surgery, which improves survival.\textsuperscript{24,29}

Frequent exacerbators (\(\geq 2\) per year), a third phenotype, have poor quality of life, increased mortality, and a greater decline in lung function.\textsuperscript{11,27,30} This phenotype is also associated with high risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease and elevated white blood cell counts. Therapies that reduce the frequency of exacerbations are available and they also improve quality of life in this phenotype.\textsuperscript{31} On average, frequent exacerbators have more severe airway obstruction, with significantly higher values for the modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale and increased Body mass index, airflow Obstruction, Dyspnea and Exercise (BODE) index compared with infrequent exacerbators.\textsuperscript{32,33}

Several other potential phenotypes have been proposed, but are not yet fully validated against clinical outcomes. These include a phenotype of mild airway obstruction but disproportionately severe dyspnea; these patients may be detectable using tests for exercise performance and radiographic imaging.\textsuperscript{34,35} A phenotype of rapid lung function decline has also been described, which appears to be independently associated with severity of emphysema, as assessed by either CT or carbon monoxide transfer capacity.\textsuperscript{20,36} Other phenotypes associated with poor prognoses, including increased mortality, have been reported, ie, a phenotype with comorbidities linked to poor outcomes (eg, heart disease, systemic and vascular disease, and anxiety and depressive disorders)\textsuperscript{37,38} and a phenotype with persistent inflammation, defined by ongoing elevation of blood inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, and white blood cell count.\textsuperscript{39,40} The chronic bronchitis phenotype is also associated with poor outcomes. Compared with patients without chronic bronchitis, patients with this phenotype are more likely to be younger, male, Caucasian, have a greater extent of dyspnea, higher frequency of exacerbations, greater airway obstruction, and increased airway wall thickening, detectable both clinically and radiologically.\textsuperscript{41} This phenotype is also associated with a greater prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidities and sleep apnea syndrome than the emphysema and mixed asthma/COPD phenotypes.\textsuperscript{32}

There are reports of a subgroup of COPD patients with chronic bacterial airway colonization who are at increased risk for frequent exacerbations, have higher levels of both airway and systemic inflammation, and are at increased risk of cardiovascular complications compared with those without chronic bacterial airway colonization.\textsuperscript{42}

Some phenotypes describe COPD co-existing with other conditions. In the COPD/lung cancer phenotype, COPD
increases the risk for lung cancer, and patients with COPD at the time of lung cancer diagnosis have a poorer prognosis than those with lung cancer and no COPD.44

Patients with COPD may develop pulmonary hypertension, which is usually mild, but a specific phenotype with severe pulmonary hypertension disproportionate to the underlying COPD has been proposed.45,46 This phenotype is characterized by diffuse emphysema on high-resolution CT scans, severe precapillary pulmonary hypertension (≥40 mmHg), severely reduced carbon monoxide diffusion capacity, progressive dyspnea, and normal spirometry.46,47

A subgroup of patients with COPD has been identified as non-smokers who may have developed COPD following exposure to dust and fumes not related to smoking. These individuals are at greater risk for pneumonia but have no additional risk for cardiovascular comorbidities or all-cause mortality compared with non-smokers without airway obstruction.48

Although the overlap of symptoms between asthma and COPD has been recognized for many years, its characterization as a separate phenotype has recently attracted much interest due to the publication of a consensus statement by Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) and GOLD on the diagnosis, assessment, and treatment of this condition.49 This phenotype is discussed in detail in the following section.

Differences between COPD and asthma

Key pathological and clinical differences

In many patients, asthma and COPD have typical clinical features that usually make the two diseases distinguishable. The clinical features of COPD are reduced lung function, with limited reversibility, emphysema, and sometimes cor pulmonale and hypoxemia. Patients usually have a smoking history of many pack-years.50 In contrast, asthma is characterized in the majority of patients by lung function that can be normalized through good response to therapy, and can often be associated with atopy, rhinitis, and high exhaled nitric oxide.49,51

Although guidelines2,45 define both asthma and COPD as inflammatory disorders of the respiratory system, distinct inflammatory patterns exist, driven by different chemical mediators. A key histopathological difference is the destruction of alveolar walls in COPD that leads to emphysema.52 Furthermore, the inflammation in the lungs is usually different in both diseases; CD4+ T cells and eosinophils are the predominant cells in asthma, while CD8+ T cells and neutrophils predominate in COPD.53 However, there is a greater difference between the cellular profiles of either of these conditions compared with healthy individuals than between the profiles seen in asthma and COPD (Figure 2).52–54

While smoking is a key driver of lung function decline in COPD,27 allergens are the main protagonists in asthma; however, smoking also accelerates lung function decline in asthma.55 A multivariate analysis of individuals with asthma found a dose–response relationship between smoking and lung function decline, but a greater effect of the presence versus the absence of airway hyper-reactivity.56

Distinct phenotypes in asthma and COPD

Several COPD phenotypes based on predominant symptoms have been described in this article and specific interventions are available to improve patient outcomes.1 Distinct asthma phenotypes have also been characterized on the basis of allergic status, the predominance of neutrophilic inflammation, late onset and persistent but reversible airflow limitation, degree of airway obstruction, atopic burden, and exacerbation history.57,58 These asthma phenotypes are distinguishable on the basis of clinical attributes, such as the type and severity of symptoms, and appear to respond to therapy differently, but consistently within phenotypes.57,58 However, it must be borne in mind that theoretical phenotypes are not always mirrored in practice; patients with COPD are not only heterogeneous but also complex, and different clinical characteristics or phenotypes may occur in any given patient. Thus, a range of treatable traits may occur in an individual COPD patient.59

Similarities between asthma and COPD

Clinical and pathological similarities

Asthma and COPD are both inflammatory lung disorders that result in airflow limitation. In particular, tackling a diagnosis that differentiates asthma from COPD is sometimes challenging because they share many clinical and pathological similarities (Table 2).2,49,60,61 In addition, ACOS may cause further diagnostic difficulties since the clinical aspects of this overlap population are not well understood.49,61–63 Consequently, unless it is clear from the patient history, a differential diagnosis with asthma may be difficult given that there is currently no single physiological test that can distinguish asthma and COPD.2

Etiology

According to the “Dutch hypothesis”, asthma and COPD share a genetic basis, the expression of which is dependent on external factors such as age, sex, and environmental
**Figure 2** Sputum inflammatory mediators in patients with asthma and COPD having phenotypes for (A) eosinophilic airway inflammation and (B) non-eosinophilic airway inflammation. Horizontal and error bars set at geometric mean and 95% confidence interval.


**Abbreviations:** CCL, CC chemokine ligand; CXCL, CXC chemokine ligand; IL, interleukin; MMP, matrix metallopeptidase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TNFR, tumor necrosis factor receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

**Table 2** Clinical and pathological similarities between asthma and COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Asthma</th>
<th>COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickened airway smooth muscle layer</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes (minimally)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation involving goblet cells</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased neutrophils in sputum</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased eosinophils in airways</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (steroid-sensitive COPD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased CXC chemokine 8</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased TNF</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased leukotriene B&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>Yes (severe asthma)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data from Barnes<sup>52</sup> and Nakawah et al.<sup>60</sup>

**Abbreviation:** TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

stimuli, including allergens, cigarette smoking, air pollution, and respiratory infections, particularly those of viral origin.<sup>64</sup> Indeed, exacerbations in asthma and COPD are often triggered by common factors, including respiratory viruses during the autumn/winter months,<sup>65</sup> gastroesophageal reflux disease,<sup>66</sup> and also comorbidities, although the specific comorbidities differ between the two conditions.<sup>33,67</sup>

While a smaller proportion of patients with asthma are smokers or ex-smokers than among patients with COPD,
smoking has negative consequences for both diseases in relation to morbidity, mortality, disease control, and response to medication.68,69

Asthma and COPD overlap syndrome
The recently revised GINA asthma guidelines include consensus guidance, developed in conjunction with GOLD, on the identification and differential diagnosis of ACOS.49 Characterized by moderate airflow limitation, pronounced but incomplete reversibility, and no or very little emphysema, ACOS is ill-defined and may be difficult to treat because medications have not been evaluated in ACOS-specific randomized trials.62 The prevalence of ACOS varies according to geographic region and clinical setting (primary care or specialist practice), and is believed to be high, partly because of a lack of consistent diagnosis (Figure 3). A survey of Italian patients with physician-diagnosed asthma, COPD, or ACOS revealed that among those diagnosed with asthma, 16%–61% also had ACOS, while for those diagnosed with COPD, 25%–33% also had ACOS, with the incidence varying according to age group.70

Patients with ACOS tend to be older than those with asthma, they have a significant smoking history, present with asthmatic features to their COPD, and have persistent airflow limitation.49,61 Physicians need to be able to differentiate between asthma, COPD, and ACOS so that patients receive the most appropriate treatment. For instance, the response to inhaled corticosteroids and $\beta_2$-agonists is superior in patients with features of both COPD and asthma than in those only with features of COPD;71 however, exacerbation frequency and severity is worse in the population with overlapping symptoms, although the progression in terms of FEV$_1$ decline may be slower than in COPD.72,73 Guidelines are intended to help improve the accurate diagnosis of each condition and ensure patients receive individualized treatment.69,74 Patients with this phenotype have most likely an enhanced response to inhaled corticosteroids, owing to the presence of eosinophilic bronchial inflammation.75–77

Future management of COPD: recognition of treatable traits
COPD is a complex heterogeneous disease where assessment and management have traditionally been based on the severity of airflow limitation measured as FEV$_1$. However, it is now recognized that FEV$_1$ does not describe the complexity of the disease and that other factors need to be measured to fully assess this condition. More recently, the GOLD strategy document has proposed a combined assessment using three variables: symptoms, airflow limitation, and exacerbations.2 Phenotypes like frequent exacerbators, patients with predominant emphysema, and patients with overlapping characteristics of asthma and COPD warrant specific treatments. In addition, it has been proposed that in the future more variables should be added to the assessment that reflect the three domains of disease severity, activity, and impact (Figure 4).78 For example, evaluation

Figure 3 Potential reasons for the high prevalence of overlap between COPD and asthma.
of the disease severity domain could include assessment of arterial oxygen pressure, the IC/TLC ratio, and 6MWD in addition to more standard measures such as FEV\textsubscript{1}. Each of the domains will provide information on different aspects of the disease with a potential influence on clinical outcomes or potentially requiring specific targeted therapy, and provides a route towards personalized medicine for COPD.

Thus, regardless of the diagnostic labeling, which may change over time, the authors of this review believe that patients with COPD should be assessed beyond FEV\textsubscript{1} and treated according to their individual clinical characteristics. Although this approach introduces complexity into the treatment algorithm and departs from the “one size fits all” treatment based on the level of FEV\textsubscript{1}, it is likely to improve the clinical outcomes in most of the patients with the label of COPD.
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