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Abstract: Collagenous colitis (CC) is an increasingly recognized cause of chronic inflammatory bowel disease characterized by watery non-bloody diarrhea. As a lesser studied inflammatory bowel disease, many aspects of the CC’s natural history are poorly understood. This review discusses strategies to optimally manage CC. The goal of therapy is to induce clinical remission, <3 stools a day or <1 watery stool a day with subsequent improved quality of life (QOL). Antidiarrheal can be used as monotherapy or with other medications to control diarrhea. Budesonide therapy has revolutionized treatment and is superior to prednisone, however, the treatment is associated with high-relapse rates and the management of refractory disease is challenging. Ongoing trials will address the safety and efficacy of low-dose maintenance therapy. For those with refractory disease, case reports and case series support the role of biologic agents. Diversion of the fecal stream normalizes colonic mucosal changes and ileostomy may be considered where anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α agents are contraindicated. Underlying celiac disease, bile salt diarrhea, and associated thyroid dysfunction should be ruled out. The author recommends smoking cessation as well as avoidance of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories as well as other associated medications.
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Introduction
Microscopic colitis (MC) is an increasingly recognized cause of chronic inflammatory bowel disease characterized by watery non-bloody diarrhea.¹ There are two main subtypes, collagenous colitis (CC) and lymphocytic colitis (LC), the hallmarks of which are a history of watery diarrhea, normal or near normal appearing colonic mucosa at endoscopy and characteristic microscopic features.²

It classically occurs in elderly women. Since the first description of a case of CC over three decades ago,¹ MC has been associated with celiac disease and other autoimmune disorders⁴,⁵ as well as with the use of certain medications, particularly nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).⁶,⁷ Unlike the other inflammatory bowel diseases, many aspects of the condition’s etiology, pathogenesis, and natural history remain poorly understood. Prior to the introduction of budesonide, the wide range of medications prescribed reflects the lack of specific guidelines for the treatment of MC. With few randomized trials available to guide management,⁸ treatment was based predominantly on the individual preference and experience of the treating physician, taking into account the pattern and severity of symptoms and potential contraindications to the use of specific therapies.⁹ CC is more clearly defined than LC,
furthermore, non-specific colonic lymphocyte infiltration is frequently encountered.

This review discusses strategies to optimally manage CC.

**Collagenous colitis**

**Epidemiology**

CC was first described in 1976 when a thick subepithelial collagenous deposit in the colorectal mucosa was identified in a rectal biopsy from a patient with chronic diarrhea. It classically occurs in middle-aged females, with a peak incidence around 60–70 years of age but has been described in all age groups, including the pediatric population.10,11

An incidence of 5–10 per 100,000 has been described in population-based studies, with a prevalence of CC in Olmsted County, MN, USA in 2010 of 9.4 per 100,000 and in Örebro, Sweden of 67.7 per 100,000.12,13

A recent meta-analysis of 25 studies by Tong et al reports a pooled incidence rate of 4.1 per 100,000 person years with steadily increasing incidence rates until 2000, but since then stable rates have been observed in the USA, Spain, and Sweden.14 However, higher reports were reported in a 10 year Danish pathology study with annual incidence rates increasing from 2.9 to 14.9 per 10,000. This rise corresponded with an increase in biopsies taken and the authors conclude that this may represent increased diagnostic activity.15

A Korean study of chronic diarrhea detected CC in 4% of patients similar to rates reported in the western world16 and has been reported with lower prevalence rates in Indian and Malaysian studies 17,18

**Pathophysiology**

CC is a multifactorial disease, likely the result of an aberrant immune reaction to luminal antigens in predisposed individuals, with subsequent epithelial barrier dysfunction in the colonic mucosa.19

An aberrant T lymphocyte response is thought to drive chronic gut inflammation and a Th1 cytokine profile predominates with elevated levels of interferon-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-1β.20 The mechanism of diarrhea has been attributed to reduced Na+ and Cl- absorption accompanied by active Cl- secretion. Watery stools in CC are driven by a combination of osmotic and secretory components as well as impaired barrier function.21

Unlike Crohn’s and ulcerative colitis there is little data to suggest genetic inheritance, however, there are reports of familial cases of CC. Two families are described, in each two first degree relatives develop CC and in all four patients the human leukocyte A2 antigen was detected.22 Variations in matrix metalloproteinase-9 and TNFα gene expression have been described.23,24

Others postulate that CC occurs as a sequel to infectious colitis with the reports of development after *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Clostridium difficile* infections.25,26 Furthermore, resolution of symptoms following treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* has been reported.27

The development of a thickened collagen band is attributed to abnormal collagen metabolism. Subepithelial matrix deposition is driven by increased expression of fibrogenic gene procollagen I and metalloproteinase inhibitor and profibroblastic cells as well as impaired fibrinolysis.28,29

Increased levels of eosinophils transforming growth factor beta expression have been demonstrated in patients with CC, and this is thought to drive tissue collagen accumulation.30 Increased expression of nitric oxide synthase driven by upregulation of nuclear transcription factor beta results in increased colonic nitric oxide production, which in turn may cause a secretory diarrhea.31

**Symptoms**

The hallmark of CC is chronic watery diarrhea; other symptoms include abdominal pain, urgency, fecal incontinence, abdominal pain, fatigue, and weight loss.32,33

CC is rarely associated with serious complications, however, cases of spontaneous and postcolonoscopy perforation have been reported34,35 and Bohr et al propose a connection between mucosal tears and colonic perforation in CC.34 Unlike chronic inflammation seen in ulcerative and Crohn’s colitis, no increased risk of colorectal cancer has been attributed to CC, furthermore, it may be protective against colorectal cancer. In a study of 305 patients undergoing colonoscopy for evaluation of chronic non-bloody diarrhea, 16% had MC, and patients with MC were negatively associated with the risk of neoplastic polyps.36

The clinical symptoms may be misdiagnosed as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). A total of 247 who were diagnosed with diarrhea predominant irritable bowel underwent colonoscopy and 6% were subsequently diagnosed with MC (13 LC, 2 CC).37 Interestingly, colonoscopies performed in patients who fulfilled diagnostic criteria for IBS were significantly more likely to find organic gastrointestinal pathology in those with diarrhea predominant symptoms, with MC diagnosed in 2.2% of patients.38 Additionally, there is considerable symptomatic overlap between both disorders.39,40

Quality of life (QOL) was severely impaired in Swedish patients, particularly when the colitis is active compared to the background population.41 A Swedish case-control study
subsequently demonstrated that abdominal pain, fatigue, arthralgia, myalgia, fecal incontinence, and nocturnal defecation were significantly more prevalent in CC patients compared with controls.\textsuperscript{42}

**Risk factors**

Traditionally, MC was considered a condition of middle-aged women using aspirin and NSAIDs but now many classes of drugs including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, statins, proton pump inhibitors, topiramate, venotonic agents, and histamine antagonists have been associated with medication related colitides.\textsuperscript{6,32,43–45} This highlights the importance of taking a detailed history, as symptoms can resolve upon withdrawal of the offending agent. However, evidence supporting cause and effect is lacking, in fact, many of these medications list diarrhea as a side effect.

Smoking is consistently reported as an environmental risk factor in the development of CC.\textsuperscript{46–48} This may be related to impaired colonic circulatory changes. The smokers developed their disease >10 years earlier than nonsmokers, but smoking does not influence the subsequent disease course.\textsuperscript{49}

The author recommends obtaining a detailed medication, diet, and smoking history to identify factors that may exacerbate symptoms, furthermore, coexisting causes of diarrhea (celiac disease or bile-salt diarrhea) should be considered.

Clinical characteristics, treatment and outcomes of MC in 222 patients was studied and a history of concomitant autoimmune disorders was recorded in 62 patients (28%). Twenty-six patients (11%) had either a known diagnosis of celiac disease or were diagnosed at the same time as their lower gastrointestinal examination.\textsuperscript{9} This underlines the particular importance of colonic biopsy in patients whose celiac disease remains symptomatic despite adherence to a gluten-free diet. Recently, data from a Canadian population study report a strong association between MC and celiac disease with concomitance being ∼50 times that expected in the general population.\textsuperscript{50} A Spanish case-control study recently showed that autoimmune diseases were independently associated with the risk of MC development.\textsuperscript{46}

**Diagnosis**

There are no reliable biomarkers, no specific laboratory tests, stool cultures are sterile and radiological findings are normal.

Fecal lactoferrin and calprotectin, which can be used as non-invasive markers of inflammation in ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease are not reliable in the diagnosis or assessment of CC.\textsuperscript{51}

Endoscopic evaluation of the colon can be normal, however, erythema, edema, change in vascularity, mucosal tears, and nodularity have been described.\textsuperscript{52–54}

The diagnosis is made based on characteristic histological findings in colonic mucosal biopsies. The key histological feature of CC is a thickened collagen band under the surface epithelium.\textsuperscript{55,56} The European consensus guidelines on diagnosis state that the thickness of the collagen band should exceed 10 µm (normal <3 µm) in well-oriented biopsies (cut perpendicularly to the mucosal surface).\textsuperscript{57} The band can appear thicker (up to 70 µm) and band thickness greater than 15 mm is usually associated with diarrhea,\textsuperscript{58} but there is no direct correlation between band thickness and symptoms.

Hematoxylin and eosin staining is sufficient for diagnosis in most cases. In questionable cases special collagen stains (blue trichrome, Goldner, and Sirius red) or immunohistochemistry with anti-tenasin antibodies are required for diagnosis.\textsuperscript{59}

Findings can be patchy in nature, with colonic segments affected to varying extents.\textsuperscript{59} The subepithelial collagen band tends to be less thick in the distal colon, in particular the rectum and sigmoid colon.\textsuperscript{59,60} Carpenter et al demonstrated that in patients with proximal inflammation left-sided biopsies alone would have missed 40% of cases.\textsuperscript{61} Therefore, rectal and left-sided biopsies alone are insufficient for diagnosis. The best approach to diagnosis is obtaining multiple biopsies throughout the colon submitted as individual pathology specimens.\textsuperscript{62–64}

**Treatment**

**Goals of therapy**

No curative therapy currently exists for MC. The goal of therapy is to induce clinical remission, <3 stools a day or <1 watery stool a day with subsequent improved QOL. Prior to the introduction of budesonide, choice of treatment relied largely on the experience of the individual physician or institution and was based on observational data, with few randomized trials available to guide management;\textsuperscript{8} the few controlled trials that exist have mainly evaluated budesonide.

Hjortswang et al studied the impact of colonic symptoms on health-related QOL in CC and based on these defined criteria for remission and disease activity.\textsuperscript{65} QOL was impaired in those with a mean of ≥3 stools/day or a mean of ≥1 watery stool/day, therefore, the Hjortswang-Criteria propose that clinical remission in CC is defined as a mean of <3 stools/day and a mean of <1 watery stool per day and disease activity to be a daily mean of ≥3 stools or a mean of ≥1 watery stool (Table 1).
of short-term prednisolone 50 mg or placebo demonstrated incomplete remission in nine patients.71 Therefore, prednisone therapy is not recommended as a first-line treatment for CC.

Budesonide

Budesonide remains the first-line therapy, significantly improving symptoms and QOL. It is a locally active corticosteroid that undergoes first-pass metabolism in the liver with subsequent low systemic exposure.

A systematic review of 10 randomized controlled trials concluded that budesonide was safe, effective, and well tolerated for induction and maintenance of clinical and histological remission; evidence for bismuth, prednisone, probiotics, mesalamine, and cholestyramine was weaker.72

When symptoms persist despite antidiarrheals the author suggests treatment with budesonide. A suggested approach is 9 mg daily for 6–8 weeks. If symptoms persist or recur budesonide 9 mg can be used for 12 weeks and then tapered accordingly. Budesonide short-term therapy is safe and effective and can improve QOL.72–75 A meta-analysis of eight randomized trials that included 248 patients randomized to budesonide or placebo demonstrated that short-term clinical response rates were significantly higher with budesonide, as compared with placebo (risk ratio [RR] 3.1; 95% CI, 2.1–4.6).76

In a Phase III placebo-controlled, multicenter study, Miehlke et al studied budesonide and mesalamine as short-term therapies for CC.77 In a double-blind, double-dummy manner patients with active disease were treated with 9 mg once daily budesonide (n=30), 3 g once daily mesalamine (n=25), or placebo (n=37) for 8 weeks. The primary endpoint was clinical remission (<3 bowel motions a day).

After 8 weeks therapy, 84.8% of patients on budesonide were in clinical remission (<3 bowel motions a day) compared to 60.6% receiving placebo, using the Hjortswang-Criteria for clinical remission 80% of patients receiving budesonide therapy entered clinical remission compared to 37.8% of patients treated with placebo (P=0.0006). Of those patients given mesalamine 44% achieved clinical remission, but superior outcomes were seen with budesonide (P=0.0035). Furthermore, budesonide therapy was shown to improve histological scores, stool consistency and abdominal pain. There were no differences in adverse effects noted between treatment groups.

Budesonide has been shown to significantly reduce the histological inflammatory changes in CC with no change in the collagen thickness band, but a significant decrease in the lamina propria infiltrate.78

### Table 1 Hjortswang-Criteria definition of clinical disease activity in collagenous colitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical remission</th>
<th>Stools per day (mean during 1 week)</th>
<th>Watery stools per day (mean during 1 week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical activity</td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>≥1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index tool, QOL in patients with CC randomized to budesonide or placebo was assessed and found that QOL scores are low in patients with CC, after 6 weeks of treatment with budesonide scores improved significantly compared to those receiving placebo.79

**Recurrent symptoms and maintenance therapy**

Recurrence after budesonide treatment is common and can be retreated with budesonide as intermittent therapy or as continuous maintenance therapy ideally at the lowest dose that maintains clinical remission.76 However, low-dose maintenance treatment is controversial, mainly due to risk of steroid-related side effects.

Risk factors for relapse after budesonide withdrawal were assessed in 123 patients who achieved clinical remission with budesonide therapy for CC in controlled trials.80 The rate of relapse was 61%. Risk factors associated with relapse included high-stool frequency at baseline and a long duration of diarrhea. Time to relapse was shorter in those with a baseline stool frequency of >5 per day and in those with a >12 month history of diarrhea. Budesonide maintenance therapy was a protective factor against recurring symptoms and maintenance therapy delayed time from relapse from 56 to 207 days.

In a randomized controlled trial assessing long-term outcomes of budesonide therapy clinical relapse occurred in 61% of patients after a median of 2 weeks and relapse occurred significantly more often in patients over the age of 60 years.80

A meta-analysis on the short- and long-term efficacy of corticosteroids in the treatment of MC of 248 patients from eight trials randomized to corticosteroids revealed that short- and long-term treatment with budesonide is effective and well tolerated for MC and was associated with clinical and histological response.76 Again, this confirmed high rates of symptom relapse (46%–80%) of patients within 6 months of treatment discontinuation.

A prospective randomized, placebo-controlled trial of low-dose budesonide (mean dose 4.5 mg a day) for the maintenance of clinical remission in CC demonstrated that budesonide maintained clinical remission for at least 1 year in 61.4% (27/44 patients) compared to 16.7% (8/48 patients) receiving placebo (treatment difference 44.5% in favor of budesonide; 95% CI [26.9%–62.7%], P<0.001).81 There was a high-relapse rate after discontinuation 82.1% (23/28 patients). Overall treatment was well tolerated with no serious adverse events, and the authors conclude that treatment extension with low-dose budesonide beyond 1 year may be beneficial given the high-relapse rate after budesonide discontinuation.

**Refractory CC**

Ten to 20 percent of patients do not respond to budesonide therapy,74 and there is a paucity of data to guide therapy in those with refractory CC.

In those not responding to antidiarrheals and budesonide one should assess for other causes of chronic diarrhea, particularly celiac disease and thyroid dysfunction given the higher incidence of autoimmune diseases in the patient population. Persistent use of NSAIDS needs to be ruled out as well as IBS and bile salt diarrhea (Figure 1).32

An approach to those with mild symptoms who do not respond to budesonide is to use a combination treatment with loperamide and cholestyramine. If symptoms persist despite this strategy Bismuth subsalicylate could be considered, but availability is limited and use is limited by nephrotoxicity.32

**Cholestyramine**

Bile acid diarrhea is commonly associated with CC and in those patients with concomitant bile acid malabsorption cholestyramine is an effective therapy.83,84

---

**Figure 1** European Microscopic Colitis Group algorithm for the management of collagenous colitis. 


**Abbreviations:** 6MP, 6 mercaptopurine; ADA, adalimumab; AZA, azathioprine; IFX, infiximab; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; Vit D, vitamin D.
Rates of bile acid malabsorption and the effect of bile acid sequestration were studied in 28 patients with CC, 12 (44%) had evidence of bile acid malabsorption. Bile acid treatment resulted in rapid resolution of symptoms in 11/12 patients with concomitant bile acid malabsorption compared with 10/15 (67%) without. The authors conclude that bile acid malabsorption commonly occurs in CC patients and may contribute to the pathogenesis of colonic inflammation, bile acid therapy should be considered given higher response rates and no serious side effects.

Forty-one patients with LC and 23 with CC were randomized to mesalazine with or without cholestyramine for 6 months. Diarrhea was resolved within 2 weeks in 54 patients (84.37%).

In those with CC clinical and histological remission was achieved in 91.3% of patients, with better results seen in those randomized to both mesalazine and cholestyramine.

Furthermore, budesonide treatment has been shown to increase bile acid absorption in patients with CC and this reduction in colonic bile load may in part explain its efficacy.

Amino salicylates

Previously, there was only anecdotal evidence to support the use of sulfasalazine and mesalazine therapy, but recently they have been studied in controlled trials.

Calabrese et al randomized 64 patients with MC to 6 months’ treatment with mesalazine 2.4 g/day or mesalazine 2.4 g/day and cholestyramine 4 g/day. The high-remission rate was seen in both treatment arms, and 85% of patients with LC and 91% of patients with CC were in remission at the end of the study. However, a placebo-controlled, randomized trial of mesalazine 3 g/day for 8 weeks as a short-term induction agent was no more efficacious than placebo.

As mentioned in the study by Miehlke et al, of patients with active CC randomized to budesonide (9 mg), mesalazine (3 g), or placebo therapy, rates of remission with mesalamine and placebo were similar after 8 weeks (32% and 38%, respectively). An older study of 163 patients with CC demonstrated remission rates of 40% with mesalamine or olsalazine.

Antibiotics/probiotics

There are no controlled data to advocate the use of antibiotics, but metronidazole or erythromycin have previously been used.

Bismuth has anti-inflammatory, antidiarrheal, and antibiotic properties but is not widely available due to concerns regarding nephrotoxicity. In a small open label trial, bismuth therapy was shown to induce remission of symptoms and disappearance of the collagen band in patients with CC, treatment was well tolerated with no serious side effects.

Probiotic treatment and the role of the intestinal microbiome requires further assessment.

Madisch et al studied the effect of the Boswellia serrata extract (BSE) on symptoms, QOL, and histology in 31 patients with CC and found that after 6 weeks, the proportion of patients in clinical remission was higher in the BSE group than in the placebo group (63.6% vs 26.7%, P=0.04). Larger trials are required to confirm the clinical efficacy of BSE in MC.

Immunomodulators

In the small number of patients with persistent symptoms despite budesonide and anti-diarrheal agents, immunomodulator therapy may be considered.

Azathioprine induced partial or complete remission in eight of nine patients with MC in an open study, furthermore, a retrospective series, demonstrated an overall response rate to thiopurines of 41% (19 of 46), but azathioprine was poorly tolerated accompanied by a high frequency of side effects, leading to treatment cessation.

Methotrexate

An open case series of nine patients with budesonide refractory CC demonstrated no clinical effect of methotrexate. Methotrexate therapy 15 mg subcutaneously was administered weekly for 6 weeks and increased to 25 mg thereafter if symptoms persisted. No patient experienced clinical remission or improved QOL and four patients withdrew from the study due to adverse events.

Biologics

There are no randomized trials studying the role of biologics in the management of CC, but recent case reports have suggested a role for anti-TNFα therapies (infliximab and adalimumab) in CC refractory to budesonide and the author recommends considering biologic therapy before colectomy.

Miscellaneous

Other agents have been studied including octreotide and verapamil, but with no consistent beneficial effect.

Surgery

Surgery should only be considered in those refractory to medical management. Diversion of the fecal stream has
been shown to induce histopathological remission in CC but upon restoration of flow the collagen band returned. This implies that a luminal factor contributes to inflammation and for some patients with refractory disease ileostomy may be an appropriate therapeutic strategy. Surgical options include split ileostomy and subtotal colectomy and success has been reported with total proctocolectomy and ileal pouch anal anastomosis. Considering advances in medical therapy the indication for surgery is limited.

**Conclusion**

CC is an increasingly encountered cause of chronic diarrhea. It is predominantly a benign and self-limiting disorder. The introduction of budesonide has revolutionized treatment of this lesser studied inflammatory bowel disease, however, higher relapse rates are seen upon withdrawal and the management of chronic active colitis remains a challenge. Ongoing trials will address the safety and efficacy of low-dose maintenance therapy. For those with disease unresponsive to budesonide, case reports and case series support the role of biologic agents.

The author recommends screening for concomitant celiac disease and thyroid dysfunction in those with ongoing diarrhea. Smoking cessation is recommended. Patients should be advised to avoid NSAIDs and if possible, discontinue medications associated with MC.

Further insight into the pathogenesis of collagen deposition and the mucosal immunological changes will facilitate improved therapy and may identify biomarkers of disease activity.
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