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Abstract: Triticum aestivum (bread wheat) is the most widely grown crop worldwide. In genetically predisposed individuals, wheat can cause specific immune responses. A food allergy to wheat is characterized by T helper type 2 activation which can result in immunoglobulin E (IgE) and non-IgE mediated reactions. IgE mediated reactions are immediate, are characterized by the presence of wheat-specific IgE antibodies, and can be life-threatening. Non-IgE mediated reactions are characterized by chronic eosinophilic and lymphocytic infiltration of the gastrointestinal tract. IgE mediated responses to wheat can be related to wheat ingestion (food allergy) or wheat inhalation (respiratory allergy). A food allergy to wheat is more common in children and can be associated with a severe reaction such as anaphylaxis and wheat-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis. An inhalation induced IgE mediated wheat allergy can cause baker's asthma or rhinitis, which are common occupational diseases in workers who have significant repetitive exposure to wheat flour, such as bakers. Non-IgE mediated food allergy reactions to wheat are mainly eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) or eosinophilic gastritis (EG), which are both characterized by chronic eosinophilic inflammation. EG is a systemic disease, and is associated with severe inflammation that requires oral steroids to resolve. EoE is a less severe disease, which can lead to complications in feeding intolerance and fibrosis. In both EoE and EG, wheat allergy diagnosis is based on both an elimination diet preceded by a tissue biopsy obtained by esophagogastroduodenoscopy in order to show the effectiveness of the diet. Diagnosis of IgE mediated wheat allergy is based on the medical history, the detection of specific IgE to wheat, and oral food challenges. Currently, the main treatment of a wheat allergy is based on avoidance of wheat altogether. However, in the near future immunotherapy may represent a valid way to treat IgE mediated reactions to wheat.
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Introduction
Triticum aestivum (bread wheat) is the most widely grown crop worldwide due being easy to grow in different climates and delivering high yields. Moreover, wheat has a high nutritional value, high palatability, and can be processed into many foods, such as breads, pasta, pizza, bulgur, couscous, and in drinks such as beer. However, wheat is an increasingly recognized trigger for immune mediated food allergies, both immunoglobulin E (IgE) and non-IgE mediated (Figure 1).

These reactions are typically characterized by a T helper type 2 (Th2) lymphocytic inflammation with predominant Th2 cytokines expression (ie, interleukin (IL)-4, IL-13, and IL-5). Th2 inflammation can lead B cells to produce IgE antibodies specific to...
certain foods (in IgE mediated food allergy), or can lead to a chronic cellular inflammation often characterized by the presence of T cell and eosinophils, which is a much less understood pathogenetic mechanism (non-IgE mediated food allergy).

This paper will review the literature on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management on the most common IgE mediated and non-IgE mediated food allergies triggered by wheat.

Ingestion of wheat can cause non-Th2 inflammatory reactions, such as celiac disease in genetically susceptible individuals (ie, carriers of HLA class II DQ2 or DQ8). In celiac disease gluten proteins from wheat, rye, and barely elicit a T helper type 1 mediated inflammation, which is similar to the one observed in autoimmune diseases. Current reviews focus only on food allergy reactions to wheat (Figure 1).

**IgE-mediated reactions to wheat**

Epidemiology

IgE mediated reactions to wheat are well-known and can be due to either ingestion (food allergy) or inhalation (respiratory allergy) (Figure 1).

A food allergy to wheat manifests with a variety of symptoms that include urticaria/angioedema, asthma, allergic rhinitis, abdominal pain, vomiting, acute exacerbation of atopic dermatitis, and exercise-induced anaphylaxis (EIA). The prevalence of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat confirmed by the food challenge is unknown. Data from positive skin prick tests (SPTs) indicates that up to 3% of the general American pediatric population have a food allergy to wheat, however, it is more likely estimated to be 0.2% to 1%. Children have a higher prevalence of food allergy to wheat compared to adults, especially if wheat was introduced after 6 months of age. The increased prevalence in children compared to adults can be explained by the fact that most patients outgrow their allergy by the age of 16 years. Keet et al reported that children tend to outgrow wheat allergies with a resolution rate of 65% by the age of 12 years. Although it was reported that higher wheat IgE levels were associated with poorer outcomes, children outgrew their wheat allergy with even the highest levels of wheat IgE.

Wheat has been increasingly reported to be a risk factor for severe anaphylactic as well as for wheat-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis (WDEIA). In a population of children allergic to wheat, more than 50% had experienced anaphylaxis upon wheat ingestion. Cianferoni et al also reported that wheat could be involved in food-induced near-fatal or severe anaphylaxis in a study of 1,000 patients with a food allergy.

EIA is a particular type of anaphylaxis that occurs while performing intense exercise, and may occur independently of food ingestion (EIA) or in close relationship with the timing of food ingestion (food dependent EIA [FDEIA], 30%–50%
of EIA). FDEIA is diagnosed when anaphylactic episodes occur after the ingestion of a food that is otherwise well tolerated preceding intense exercise (up to 6 hours later, but usually within 1–3 hours). Wheat is now recognized as an important and frequent cause of FDEIA, also called WDEIA. WDEIA can manifest at any age, with teens and adults without any prior history of food allergy to be the most affected. Respiratory IgE mediated allergies to wheat are represented by baker’s asthma or rhinitis, and are often associated as occupational diseases. The incidence of bakers’ asthma range from 1%–10% of bakers, and the incidence of rhinitis range from 18%–29%. Respiratory IgE mediated allergies tend to be more frequent in atopic subjects who are exposed to high levels of wheat allergens for several hours per day. The majority of affected individuals did not suffer from asthma before developing the occupational disease.

Pathogenesis

Classic IgE mediated reactions to a food allergen are immediate, reproducible, and food-specific IgE can be demonstrated. The clinical manifestations are due to the mediator release (ie, histamine, platelets activator factor, and leukotrienes) from mast cells and basophils. When a specific allergen engages two specific IgE antibodies bound to their high-affinity IgE receptor (FcεRI) it induces the cross link of the FcεRI and causes the activation of mast cell and basophils. IgE production against a certain allergen, including wheat, is believed to be due to a breach in oral tolerance and a consequence of Th2-biased immune dysregulation that induces sensitization and B-cell specific allergen IgE production. Both individual genetic characteristics and environmental factors play a role in favoring such immune dysregulation. Moreover, the intrinsic properties of food allergens also contribute to whether the allergen favors allergic immune responses. Indeed the “major” food allergens are 10 to 70 kDa water-soluble glycoproteins and are relatively stable to heat, acid, and proteases degradation.

The protein content in wheat represents 10%–15% of the wheat grain dry weight. Protein can be classified into two fractions based on their solubility in salt: 1) the salt soluble fraction includes albumins and globulin and represents 15%–20% of total proteins; this fraction includes amylase/trypsin inhibitor subunit as well as other proteins such as lipid transfer proteins; and 2) the salt insoluble fraction includes gliadin and gluten and represents approximately 80% of wheat protein content, with gluten comprising about half of such fraction.

The major allergens of wheat are listed in Table 1. α-Amylase/trypsin inhibitor binds to specific IgE and is one of the most common wheat allergens implicated in baker’s asthma, anaphylaxis, and in some cases of WDEIA. It is present in raw and cooked wheat and appears to be heat resistant and lacks significant cross-reactivity to grass pollen allergens. Water insoluble ω-5-gliadin (Tri a 19) has been identified as a major allergen in Finnish subjects with WDEIA.

Tri a 37 is a plant defense protein and is highly expressed in wheat seeds. It is highly stable and resistant to heat and digestion. Therefore, this protein can act as a potent allergen and those who have IgE antibodies against Tri a 37 have a four fold increased risk of severe allergic symptoms upon wheat ingestion. Nonspecific lipid transfer protein (nsLTP or Tri a 14) has been shown to be an important allergen for IgE mediated food allergies (especially in Italian children), WDEIA and baker’s asthma.

Sanchez-Monge et al and Yamashita et al identified peroxidase, an IgE-binding protein of 36 kDa from wheat flour. Approximately 60% of patients with baker’s asthma displayed specific IgE to peroxidase. Thioredoxins are 12–14 kDa storage proteins present in wheat seeds and have been recognized as a wheat allergen, Tri a 25, able to induce baker’s asthma. Serine protease inhibitor, a 9.9 kDa protein, is mainly expressed in mature seeds and has been found to be an allergen important in 14%–27% of Spanish patients with baker’s asthma. Thaumatin-like proteins are salt-soluble proteins that are part of pathogenesis-related proteins in the wheat flour and have a molecular weight from 21 to 26 kDa. They are allergenic.

Table 1 Allergens in wheat flour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen name</th>
<th>Allergen abbreviation</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-purothionin</td>
<td>Tri a 37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-amylose/trypsin inhibitor</td>
<td>Tri a 28 and Tri a 29.01</td>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxidase</td>
<td>Tri a B36 kd</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioredoxin</td>
<td>Tri a 25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid protein transfer inhibitor</td>
<td>Tri a 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29,35,36,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine protease inhibitor</td>
<td>Tri a 29</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>42.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaumatin-like protein (TLP)</td>
<td>α-5-gliadin</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30,31,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladin</td>
<td>Tri a 27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>128.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiol reductase</td>
<td>Tri a 32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>128.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine protease inhibitor</td>
<td>Tri a 39</td>
<td>128.130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wheat allergy
and have been found to be able to sensitize 30%-45% of Finnish patients with baker’s asthma.45

Several of the major water/salt-insoluble wheat flour proteins (prolamins), including α-, β-, γ-, and ω-gliadins; and low-molecular-weight (LMW) glutenin subunits appear to be able to bind to IgE and to be implicated in baker’s asthma. For example, the IgE reactive αβ-gliadin (molecular weight of 20 kDa) and 33% showed sensitization to natural gliadin.45

**Diagnosis**

The diagnosis of an IgE mediated wheat allergy is based on an accurate history that documents the symptoms specific of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat, WDEIA, or occupational respiratory allergies to wheat flour.12,15,18 When these symptoms occur within 1-3 hours of wheat exposure the allergy to wheat needs to be confirmed by measuring IgE specific to wheat by SPT or in the serum IgE (sIgE). The presence of specific sIgE to wheat without a clear history of symptoms after wheat exposure is not diagnostic as many people can be sensitive to wheat but can tolerate wheat exposure, especially in grass pollen sensitive individuals.46,47 Indeed patients with grass pollen sensitivity carry IgE specific for cereal derived allergens and several studies have reported cross-reactivity between wheat flour and grass pollen due to common IgE epitopes in wheat flour and grass pollen proteins.46,47 Furthermore, a diagnosis based on wheat flour extract does not allow discrimination between patients suffering from a respiratory allergy and those suffering from a food allergy to wheat. Finally, the characteristic extractability properties of wheat grain proteins have significant implication for commercially available diagnostic products. For example, the wheat ImmunoCAP® contains a significantly higher amount of salt-soluble fraction, whereas the salt-insoluble fraction is detected by glutenin and/or ω-5 gliadin ImmunoCAP.5

The cutoff levels for specific sIgE for wheat which predict a true allergy to wheat, as well as the confirmatory gold standard challenges, vary depending on which disease needs to be diagnosed: IgE mediated food allergy to wheat, WDEIA, or baker’s asthma.

In the last few years there has been significant advances in the description of many allergens that may cause respiratory and/or IgE mediated food allergies (Table 1). However, none have reached a high specificity and sensitivity to become a gold standard for the diagnosis of wheat allergy and therefore, the precise diagnosis still relies on specific clinical standardized challenges done under medical supervision.3,17

A food allergy to wheat manifests with a variety of symptoms that include urticaria/angioedema, asthma, allergic rhinitis, abdominal pain, vomiting, acute exacerbation of atopic dermatitis, and EIA, all of which may start within 2 hours after the first exposure to wheat.3-5 Once a food allergy to wheat is suspected, the diagnosis needs to be confirmed by demonstrating specific IgE against wheat. The cutoff levels of IgE that can predict whether the reaction is a true wheat allergy in >90% of patients is not well established, with most studies showing that children even with high levels of IgE (>20 kU/L) can tolerate certain foods when they undergo an oral food challenge (OFC) to wheat (Table 2).12,45-50 Similarly, IgE in serum cannot predict whether a child will become tolerant

### Table 2 Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values (PPVs), and negative predictive values (NPVs) of SPT and serum IgE for the diagnosis of food allergy to wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff levels</th>
<th>Population used in the study</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat serum IgE level &gt;0.36 kU/L</td>
<td>Forty children with diagnosis confirmed with OFC or DBPCFC to wheat</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat serum IgE =10.1 kU/L</td>
<td>One hundred seventy three children with confirmed diagnosis of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat, either by OFC or by recent history of anaphylaxis</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat serum IgE =26 kU/L (90% decision point)</td>
<td>Twenty three children with DBPCFC confirmed diagnosis of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat serum IgE =100 kU/L (95% decision point)</td>
<td>Twenty three children with DBPCFC confirmed diagnosis of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat SPT (wheat diameter =3 mm)</td>
<td>Forty children with diagnosis confirmed with OFC or DBPCFC to wheat</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω-5 gliadin serum IgE =0.41 kU/L</td>
<td>One hundred seventy three children with confirmed diagnosis of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat, either by OFC or by recent history of anaphylaxis</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE mediated food allergy</td>
<td>Fifty children and adults with confirmed diagnosis of IgE mediated food allergy to wheat, either by OFC or by recent history of anaphylaxis</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IgE, immunoglobulin E; DBPCFC, double blind placebo controlled food challenge; OFC, oral food challenge; SPT, skin prick test; WDEIA, wheat-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis; N/A, not available.
after a period of avoidance or the severity of their reaction.3,51 Therefore, OFCs remain mandatory where there is a no clear history of IgE mediated reaction to wheat, even if IgE specific to wheat can be demonstrated.3,48,49 Most studies have found that wheat OFCs are generally safe, with a rate of failure (30%-50%) and use of epinephrine (10%-20%) similar to other foods (ie, milk, egg, and peanuts), but near fatal reactions can occur and therefore they should be performed by health care providers trained in taking care of patients who have anaphylaxis.3,4 The OFC will therefore be essential to rule out conditions that can mimic an IgE mediated food allergy (Table 3).

An accurate diagnosis of WDEIA is extremely important to avoid further severe reactions. However, a prolonged time lag (32–62 months) to diagnosis is very frequent due to the rarity of the disease and the lack of recognition from physicians.15 Indeed WDEIA is often mistaken for other more common diseases such as urticaria, EIA, or idiopathic anaphylaxis (Table 3).15 Given how the disease is, the diagnosis is extremely dependent on the clinician ability to suspect the disease based on an accurate clinical history.32 Clinical presentations of WDEIA include pruritus, urticaria, angioedema, flushing, shortness of breath, dysphagia, chest tightness, syncope, profuse sweating, headache, nausea, diarrhea, colicky abdominal pain, throat closing, and hoarseness that occurs while performing intense exercise following a meal which included wheat in the 4 hours preceding the onset of WDEIA.16 In patients with suspected WDEIA, SPT, or specific IgE to wheat, gluten and o-5 gliadin should be performed.15,30,31 In a questionable diagnosis, an OFC followed by a maximal exercise on a treadmill may be necessary to confirm the diagnosis.15 These are high risk procedures, however, as the amount of food given and the intensity of exercise required to induce a reaction cannot be well controlled, and severe anaphylactic reactions have been reported.15,54,55 A negative challenge does not rule out WDEIA because several cofactors may be missed in a controlled challenge environment (ie, the intensity of exercise, pollen exposure, concomitant ingestions of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or alcohol, and the presence of menses in females).15,54,55 A recent study has indeed shown that alcohol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are a significant risk factor for WDEIA, and can induce WDEIA even in the absence of exercise in a small subgroup of patients.55 Like for any anaphylactic episode, elevated serum tryptase levels have been reported in subjects with WDEIA following an acute episode and can be helpful in determining the diagnosis if measured within 6 hours of an acute reaction.16

As for every type of occupational disease, the diagnosis of baker’s asthma or rhinitis needs to be confirmed by objective methods because the diagnosis has significant social and financial impact.17,18 The diagnosis of baker’s asthma or allergic rhinitis is based on clinical history, the presence of specific IgE to wheat and, in selected individuals, a positive nasal or bronchial response to provocation.17,18 Any new onset of asthma or allergic rhinitis in a worker exposed to significant wheat allergen should raise suspicion of a respiratory allergy to wheat. A good occupational history, including not only the current job but also past jobs and exposure, is very important.18 The sensitivity of SPTs and allergen-specific sIgE can be 74%-100% for higher levels of specific wheat IgE.56 In one study with over a 100 patients with baker’s asthma,56 the minimum cutoff values with positive predictive

### Table 3 Differential diagnosis of IgE mediated allergy to wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vasovagal reactions</th>
<th>Food protein induced enterocolitis considered in infancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-IgE mediated food allergy</td>
<td>Celiac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flush syndrome</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloropropamide-alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomic epilepsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant syndromes</td>
<td>Sodium glutamate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scombroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-anaphylactic shock</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endotoxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclonal gammopathy (parasitossa hyperpermeability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndromes with excessive endogenous production of histamine</td>
<td>Idiopathic urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Idiopathic angioedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mastocytosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urticaria pigmentosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophil leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promyelocytic acute leukemia acute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydatid cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonorganic syndromes</td>
<td>Panic attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Munchhausen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vocal cord dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hysterical bolus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Hereditary angioedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaphylaxis due to progesterone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urticaria vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feocromocitoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper IgE Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neurologic diseases (seizures, stroke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudo-anaphylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red man syndrome (Vancomycin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constipation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irritable bowel syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** IgE, immunoglobulin E.
accompanied by an increase in sputum eosinophilia. A threefold increase in nonspecific bronchial hyperreactivity or a 20% decrease in forced expiratory volume in 1 second or a diagnosis if a bronchial provocation test induces at least a challenge test. This test is typically performed by nebulization of commercial aqueous flour solutions in increasing concentrations (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 mg/mL by tidal volume breathing for 10 minutes) or by inhaling wheat flour dust (commercially available or obtained from the workplace) filled in capsules via spinhaler (King Pharmaceutical, commercially available or obtained from the workplace) filled in capsules via spinhaler (King Pharmaceutical, King Pharmaceutical, commercially available or obtained from the workplace). Lung function can be measured by spirometry or by plethysmography. Baker’s asthma is diagnosed if a bronchial provocation test induces at least a 20% decrease in forced expiratory volume in 1 second or a threefold increase in nonspecific bronchial hyperreactivity accompanied by an increase in sputum eosinophilia.

Molecular diagnosis of specific wheat IgE will reduce the necessity to do oral and inhaled wheat challenges in the future (Table 1).51,62

Management

At the moment, management of IgE mediated wheat allergy is mainly based on avoidance both in food and inhaled wheat allergens. Patients with a food allergy to wheat must be trained to identify relevant food allergens in the labels, and written instruction should be given to effectively eliminate wheat from their diet. In the USA since 2005, Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act of 2004 has been enacted to help with reading labels to prevent the accidental exposure to foods for eight of the most common food allergens (milk, egg, peanuts, tree nuts, fish, shellfish, soy, and wheat). Similar legislation have been introduced in Japan, Europe, and Australia.2

In case of accidental exposure and anaphylactic reaction, epinephrine administration with a self-injector device is the lifesaving treatment. This comes in strengths of either 0.15 or 0.3 mg, and is injected into the vastus lateralis muscle (lateral thigh). Based on the most recent guidelines from the National Institutes of Health, 0.15 mg autoinjector should be used in children weighing less than or equal to 25 kg (55 lbs), including healthy infants weighing less than 10 kg. The dose may be repeated at intervals of at least 5 minutes if necessary.4 After self-injection of epinephrine the patient needs systemic reactions.4 All other treatments such as antihistamines, glucocorticoids, and β-agonists, either alone or in combination, are to be considered ancillary in the treatment of anaphylaxis.4

One promising way for treatment of a food allergy is immunotherapy. Currently, there are three techniques being studied: oral immunotherapy (OIT), sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT), and epicutaneous immunotherapy (EPIT).54 OIT and SLIT are based on the principle of slowly increasing the amount of food ingested in order to avoid experiencing systemic reactions.54 OIT is the immunotherapeutic treatment with the largest body of evidence, having a decade-long experience in clinical trials. In several clinical trials, OIT for milk, egg, and peanuts is associated with up to 90% of desensitization status in short-term trials and up to 30% in longer-term responses to therapy, when the food is no longer eaten everyday. For example, after an OIT protocol trial for
peanuts, 25 out of 25 patients that completed the protocol (89% of the 28 initially enrolled patients) were able to tolerate 5,000 mg of peanuts (equivalent to 20 peanuts), whereas the placebo treated patients could only consume a mean of 280 mg of peanuts (range: 0–1,900 mg). Similar results have been reported after milk OIT trials. OIT desensitization rates for egg have been reported to be closer to 75%. The most commonly used OIT protocols are typically divided into three phases requiring ingestion of allergen-specific flour in a food vehicle: 1) initial escalation with six to eight doses of allergen given during day 1 of OIT; 2) build-up dosing under medical observation in a protected environment until a target dose is reached (every 1–2 weeks over 6–12 months); and 3) daily home maintenance dosing (typically years). Wheat OIT has been trialed in both a small group of adults and children showing efficacy similar to the one described for milk and egg. There are also ongoing clinical OIT for wheat (NCT01980992, and NCT01755884, http://www.clinicaltrial.gov).

The major limitation of widespread use of OIT is due to safety concerns. All OIT protocols are associated with significant side effects with almost 10% of the treated patients experienced a systemic reaction requiring epinephrine, in addition only 60%–90% were able to achieve the final maximum dose and only 25%–50% maintained tolerance after 2–4 years of therapy. Therefore, in the USA, it is still not recommended for regular clinical care at present. Up to 10% of patients undergoing OIT develop eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) when the particular food is reintroduced into the diet.

With SLIT, patients take a dose of allergen as an extract which is placed under the tongue and then either spat out or swallowed. It has been successfully used for the treatment of asthma and allergic rhinitis. SLIT is not currently recommended for treatment of a food allergy, but it has been successful in causing desensitization to food allergens in clinical trials. The main advantage of SLIT is its favorable safety profile, and the main disadvantage is its lower efficacy compared to OIT. There has been no studies published so far on the use of SLIT for a wheat allergy.

EPIT uses a skin patch to deliver allergen to the patient. Preclinical trials for peanuts and milk have shown promising results. There is currently no wheat trial underway (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) nor have any been published.

Management of WDEIA includes prompt treatment with epinephrine during an acute episode. To prevent WDEIA, the following strategies are recommended: 1) avoidance of exercise within 4–6 hours following wheat ingestion; 2) avoidance of exercising alone or in hot or humid weather, or during pollen allergy season; and 3) always carrying emergency medication. Once WDEIA occurs, it needs to be treated like a wheat induced anaphylaxis.

For baker’s asthma and allergic rhinitis, like for many occupational related diseases, strict avoidance of occupational triggers, such as grain flours, remains the primary step in the management of the disease. However, as it has been done for other occupational agents such as latex, specific immunological treatments can become therapeutic options for baker’s asthma. Standard subcutaneous immunotherapy has been reported to be effective in a few case series in Baker’s asthma. However, the US Food and Drug Administration does not recommend injection therapy with food extracts. Also the use of omalizumab (anti-IgE monoclonal antibody) may represent a possible treatment in very selected cases of an occupational allergy, as well as an approach to reduce side effects of immunotherapy.

Non-IgE mediated food allergies

Epidemiology

Wheat can cause a non-IgE mediated allergic disorder by inducing a Th2 lymphocytic response largely independent from IgE-specific antibodies to wheat (non-IgE mediated inflammation). The vast majority of these responses are characterized by an eosinophilic infiltration in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, and are called eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases (EGIDs). Based on clinical characteristics, pathogenesis, and response to therapy, EGID can be divided into four principal groups: EoE, EG, eosinophilic gastroenteritis (EGE), and eosinophilic colitis (EC). Wheat has been found to be an important trigger of EoE and EG but not for EGE and EC therefore, will not be reviewed in the current review.

EoE is the most common type of EGID, with an incidence rate estimated to be similar to Crohn’s disease (ie, 1/2,000) and it disproportionately affects Caucasian atopic males. EoE is characterized by pathological eosinophilia limited to the esophagus and driven, in the vast majority of cases, by an allergic response to foods. EoE is diagnosed when:

- there are signs of esophageal dysfunction (ie, symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease [GERD], vomiting, abdominal pain, dysphagia, and food impaction), and is not responsive to maximal proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy, and
an esophageal biopsy shows more than 15 eosinophil per high power field (eos/hpf). 

EG together with EGE and EC is part of a group of very rare, ill-defined diseases characterized by eosinophilia and limited to the GI (esophagus, stomach, duodenum, ileus, and colon) and a diagnosis of exclusion after other more common causes of eosinophilia have been ruled out (ie, parasitic infections, drug allergy, and inflammatory diseases associated to GI eosinophilia such as inflammatory bowel disease, Churg–Strauss syndrome, and lupus). 

EG is estimated to affect 6.3/100,000 of the general population and is more prevalent in adults than in children. EG like EoE, is characterized by tissue eosinophilic inflammation, peripheral eosinophilia, coexisting allergic diseases (eg, allergic rhinitis and/or asthma), and sensitization to multiple food allergens. Also, EG, like EoE, is more prevalent in males.

Pathogenesis

EoE is a food allergy driven atopic disease characterized by Th2 inflammation and limited to the esophagus. Esophageal epithelial cell dysfunction is likely to start the inflammatory process in genetically predisposed individuals.

Several independent groups have demonstrated that Th2 cytokines like IL-13, IL-5, and IL-4 cause the inflammation, eosinophilia, and fibrotic changes observed in EoE. However, such Th2 cytokines appear to have redundant effects, as treatment strategies that target a single cytokine (ie, anti-IL-5 or anti-IL-13 antibodies) have not been able to completely control and treat EoE. Similarly eosinophils seem to be a marker of Th2 inflammation and not pathogenic, as anti-IL5 effectively reduces eosinophil infiltration without abolishing EoE esophageal inflammation, fibrosis, and related clinical symptoms.

Unlike in IgE mediated allergic reactions in EoE, the Th2 inflammation appears to be driven by a dysfunctional epithelium. More specifically, thymic stromal lymphopoietin produced by the esophageal epithelium in genetically predisposed individuals could be one of the major initial driver of the Th2 inflammation in EoE. Furthermore, the epithelial barrier dysfunction described in patients with EoE could be responsible for enhanced access of food allergens and consequently local sensitization to food allergens in the presence of Th2 inflammation, leading to a chronic food allergy driven inflammation.

Like in any other atopic disease, the vast majority of cases of EoE are triggered by allergens. Specifically in most children and adults, particular foods have been identified as the trigger of EoE Th2 inflammation with a largely non-IgE mediated mechanism. Testing for a food allergy by SPT or specific sIgE has not been proven successful in definitive identification of causative foods in EoE, despite the efficacy of targeted food diets in the treatment of EoE. Limited clinical trials have also shown that anti-IgE therapy with omalizumab has no effect on esophageal eosinophilia. OIT is associated with the development of EoE in 2%–10% of desensitized patients.

The most common food allergens responsible for EoE in both children and adults are milk and wheat. Spergel et al found that wheat was the definitive cause of EoE in 12% of children and therefore, it was the second most common causative food after milk. Gonsalves et al found that in adult patients wheat was the most common causative food as it was triggered 60% and 31% of the time, respectively. No specific allergen of wheat has been determined as a trigger of EoE, SPT and the measurement of slgE for wheat have shown no utility in predicting which patients will respond to a wheat elimination diet.

The inflammation present in EG, the patients clinical characteristics, and the response to treatment (ie, Th2 inflammation, male predominance, and response to diet and steroid treatment) are very similar to the one found in EoE, however, genome-wide transcript profiling has shown a distinct signature of EG compared to EoE with only 7% of EG patients having a transcriptome that overlapped with EoE patients. EG is therefore a different disease than EoE being more systemic and is associated with high levels of blood/GI eosinophilia and Th2 immunity.

EG have been shown to be triggered by foods (including wheat), however, data on the role of food allergens in EG pathogenesis are scarce, because the disease is quite rare. Ko et al demonstrated that 82% of children with EG had resolution after the implementation of an elimination diet that excluded either wheat alone or with other major allergens such as milk, soy, egg, peanuts, and nuts.

Diagnosis

EoE is a clinicopathological diagnosis and is suspected if patients present symptoms of a dysfunctional, inflamed, and/or fibrotic esophagus. The typical EoE symptoms, such as dysphagia and food impaction, are due to esophageal fibrosis and are more frequent in older children and adults. Infants and young children tend to present more aspecific symptoms of esophageal dysmotility such as gagging, failure to progress in solid introduction and to thrive, and abdominal pain/vomiting and therefore their diagnosis may
be missed for a long time.\textsuperscript{113–115} When suspected, EoE is diagnosed by finding at least 15 eos/hpf in one esophageal biopsy obtained with esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). Symptoms and biopsy findings in EoE can be very similar to findings in GERD, but differs in that they are typically not responsive to a maximal dose of PPI, and therefore, the diagnostic EGD should be done after at least 8 weeks of the maximum PPI dose.\textsuperscript{113–116}

The diagnosis of which food causes EoE is not so easy. An IgE measurement for specific foods, either via SPT or specific slgE detection, has little sensitivity and specificity, especially for the two most common triggers of EoE, milk and wheat.\textsuperscript{105} Even if the association of SPT with atopy patch test increased the sensitivity and specificity of food allergy testing,\textsuperscript{83} an elimination diet followed after 8 weeks by an EGD remain the gold standard to evaluate the importance of a food allergen in EoE pathogenesis. Trials in which microarray allergens were used to guide the diet have been terminated as most patients failed the diet.\textsuperscript{83}

Symptoms of EG are characterized by abdominal pain and bloating. Patients with a prominent gastric inflammation have nausea, vomiting, and early satiety. On the other hand, patients with prominent duodenal inflammation have malabsorption and protein losing enteropathy. Many patients can have both duodenal and gastric symptoms. If eosinophils involves the muscularis layer of the duodenum or stomach, there can be severe complications such as GI obstruction or GI perforation.\textsuperscript{89} EG is diagnosed when clinical symptoms suggestive of EG are corroborated by a positive biopsy showing eosinophilic inflammation. Biopsies should be obtained from five–six sites per affected segment (eg, stomach and duodenum), and 30 eos/hpf in the stomach and 50 eos/hpf in the duodenum are generally considered diagnostic.\textsuperscript{89} Peripheral eosinophilia (>300 eos/mm\textsuperscript{3}) is common, but rarely is it moderate to severe (300–1,500 eos/mm\textsuperscript{3}).\textsuperscript{89} Food allergy testing such as for EoE, is not sensitive or specific enough to guide the diet.

Treatment
The current clinically-accepted EoE management is similar to other atopic diseases and is based on allergen avoidance and corticosteroid use. Future treatments will probably rely on the induction of antigen tolerance and specific biological treatments.

Steroid treatment for an IgE mediated food allergy is a very effective treatment of EoE. Oral steroids are an effective short term treatment but they cannot be used as a long term therapy because of well-known side effects.\textsuperscript{117} Swallowed or inhaled corticosteroids (ie, viscous budesonide and Flovent) are effective and well tolerated “topical” treatments.\textsuperscript{118,119}

Particular foods are known to trigger EoE in adults and children.\textsuperscript{109} There are three accepted dietary approaches that can be used to treat EoE: 1) an elemental diet (effective in virtually all patients) that is based on the ingestion of only elemental formulas, 2) specific antigen avoidance based on allergy testing and/or diet history, and 3) empirical food elimination based on the most common food antigens (also known as six-food elimination diet in which milk, egg, wheat, soy, peanuts/tree nuts, and fish/shellfish are eliminated).\textsuperscript{65,120}

It is very unlikely that OIT will work for EoE. Indeed one of the major side effects of OIT is the induction of EoE.\textsuperscript{64,107,108,121} Immunotherapeutic approaches that bypass the esophagus, such as EPIT, may be used in the future.

In both pediatric and adult patients with an EG diet (both elemental and six-food elimination diet), it has been reported to be effective in the majority of pediatric patients.\textsuperscript{88} However, diet alone is rarely an effective treatment as symptoms are severe and need steroids to quickly curb them. Therefore, most patients are treated initially with systemic steroids (0.5–1 mg/kg/day for 5–14 days) followed by a slow tapering off over several weeks (2–4 weeks). Once remission is achieved, long term therapy can be done with diet or with the use of topical or oral steroids. Swallowed budesonide is well studied in EG and has low oral bioavailability.\textsuperscript{122} Entocort\textsuperscript{®} budesonide capsules (typically 9 mg once daily) need to be opened to crush the contents into a powder, which then is dissolved in 15–30 mL of water and juice.\textsuperscript{122} Swallowed fluticasone has been used to decrease gastric eosinophils.\textsuperscript{123}

Conclusion
Wheat can cause IgE mediated and non-IgE mediated allergic reactions. IgE mediated reactions can occur from either ingestion (food allergy) or inhalation (occupational allergy) of wheat. A food allergy is more commonly found in children than in adults and can be associated with severe reactions such as anaphylaxis and WDEIA. A food allergy diagnosis is based on detecting a combination of specific IgE to wheat via SPT or slgE measurement and OFC in a person. Treatment for a food allergy at present is based on either avoidance of wheat altogether, or if ingested, then emergency lifesaving self-injectable epinephrine can be used. However, in the near future immunotherapy (OIT, SLIT, and EPIT) may represent a valid way to treat the disease.
Respiratory IgE mediated wheat allergy can cause baker’s asthma and rhinitis, a common occupational disease in bakers and workers with significant repetitive contact to wheat flour. Diagnosis is based on a combination of detection of specific IgE to wheat and inhalation wheat challenges. Treatment is based on avoidance. In the near future immunotherapy (OIT, SLIT, and EPIT) may represent a valid way to treat the disease.

Non-IgE mediated food allergies to wheat are mainly EoE and EG. EoE is an increasing recognized food allergy that affects mainly Caucasian, atopic males. Wheat is one of the major triggers of the disease and diagnosis is based on the presence of at least 15 eos/hpf in one esophageal biopsy after 8 weeks of PPI treatment. Diagnosis of a food allergy is based on a food elimination diet followed by an EGD which shows a resolution of the disease. EG is a rare disease that is associated with severe symptoms which needs prompt treatment with oral steroids followed by an elimination diet in an attempt to maintain such remission. Measurement of specific IgE to wheat is not a valuable tool to decide if wheat triggers EG.
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