What influences patients’ acceptance of a blood pressure telemonitoring service in primary care?  
A qualitative study
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Background: Telemonitoring of home blood pressure (BP) is found to have a positive effect on BP control. Delivering a BP telemonitoring service in primary care offers primary care physicians an innovative approach toward management of their patients with hypertension. However, little is known about patients’ acceptance of such service in routine clinical care.

Objective: This study aimed to explore patients’ acceptance of a BP telemonitoring service delivered in primary care based on the technology acceptance model (TAM).

Methods: A qualitative study design was used. Primary care patients with uncontrolled office BP who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled into a BP telemonitoring service offered between the period August 2012 and September 2012. This service was delivered at an urban primary care clinic in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Twenty patients used the BP telemonitoring service. Of these, 17 patients consented to share their views and experiences through five in-depth interviews and two focus group discussions. An interview guide was developed based on the TAM. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Thematic analysis was used for analysis.

Results: Patients found the BP telemonitoring service easy to use but struggled with the perceived usefulness of doing so. They expressed confusion in making sense of the monitored home BP readings. They often thought about the implications of these readings to their hypertension management and overall health. Patients wanted more feedback from their doctors and suggested improvement to the BP telemonitoring functionalities to improve interactions. Patients cited being involved in research as the main reason for their intention to use the service. They felt that patients with limited experience with the internet and information technology, who worked out of town, or who had an outdoor hobby would not be able to benefit from such a service.

Conclusion: Patients found BP telemonitoring service in primary care easy to use but needed help to interpret the meanings of monitored BP readings. Implementations of BP telemonitoring service must tackle these issues to maximize the patients’ acceptance of a BP telemonitoring service.
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Introduction

Hypertension is a global health issue. It is the main risk factor for heart disease, stroke, kidney failure, and premature mortality and disability. According to the Malaysian Third National Health and Morbidity Survey in 2006, the prevalence of hypertension in adults aged 30 years and older in Malaysia was 43.0%. From this, only one-third (31.4%) were treated, and of those who were on treatment, only 26.3% had their blood pressure (BP) controlled.¹
The availability of BP telemonitoring devices presents primary care doctors with a novel approach to deliver care to patients with hypertension. It combines various technologies for transmission of patients’ BP readings taken at home to be viewed by their doctors in another setting. BP readings taken at home had been shown to have advantages over office BP readings. Home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM) enables many readings to be taken over a period of time. These home BP readings enable doctors to better assess patients’ BP control and identify phenomenon such as white coat hypertension and masked hypertension. Ambulatory BP monitoring could perform the same functions and in some ways be better at estimating the “true” BP level and bring more predictive information (nocturnal BP, diurnal variations) than office and home BP measurements. A recent systematic review by Hodgkinson et al, comparing the relative effectiveness of office and home compared with ambulatory BP monitoring in diagnosis of hypertension, found that ambulatory BP monitoring might lead to more appropriate targeting of treatment, particularly around the diagnostic threshold. However, the authors stated that their result is not applicable for deciding which method is the best for monitoring treatment effects as their research focused solely on diagnostic studies. Nevertheless, ambulatory BP monitoring may not be immediately available in primary care due to its cost and some patients find it inconvenient and uncomfortable. In addition, a recent systematic review by Bliziotis et al found that home BP readings had been shown to be as good as ambulatory monitoring and superior to office measurements with regard to their association with target organ damage.

The advent of telemonitoring allows for review of patient information from a remote setting. A systematic review on telemonitoring of home BP readings for management of hypertension showed that it can produce reliable and accurate data. Telemonitoring adds a safety net for patients who use HBPM to enable self-management by ensuring that action is taken by the doctors on very high or very low readings. More and more evidence is emerging to support the use of BP telemonitoring in hypertension management. Recent randomized control trials have demonstrated the feasibility, accuracy, patient compliance, and satisfaction with home BP telemonitoring for managing hypertension. Recent meta-analyses of randomized trials also concluded that home BP telemonitoring has a positive effect on BP control, although it is more costly than routine care.

Nevertheless, implementation of a telemonitoring service into routine care is a complex sociotechnological process. Factors related to the telemonitoring devices used, the implementation context, and the behavioral strategies of both doctors and patients influenced the implementation of such a service. To further understand these factors, especially those that govern patients’ acceptance, we embarked on this study where views and experiences of patients who were involved in a BP telemonitoring service in primary care were explored using a topic guide developed based on the technology acceptance model (TAM).

**Methods**

**Study population**

The patients for this qualitative study were recruited from those involved in MyMonitor. Seventeen patients gave written informed consent before being interviewed.

**MyMonitor: a primary care delivered BP telemonitoring service**

MyMonitor is a feasibility study designed to examine the use of a BP telemonitoring service to manage primary care patients with uncontrolled office hypertension. For this pilot service, ten primary care doctors were recruited and given the access to use home BP telemonitoring as part of their management strategies for two of their regular patients who had uncontrolled office BP readings (office BP reading of $\geq 140/90$ mmHg after two readings half an hour apart). Other patients’ inclusion criteria were adult patient’s age $>21$ years old, on treatment for hypertension, able to read instructions in English, have an internet connection at home, and were able to use the telemonitoring devices. Patients were excluded if they had cognitive impairment or active mental health problems. Patients with comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, COPD, or hyperlipidaemia were also included.

Patients were asked to measure their home BP readings using the “MediHome Digital Blood Pressure and Pulse Oximeter 2-in-1 Monitor”, which is locally manufactured and calibrated to industry standards. Patients were given oral and written instructions. They were visited at least once at home by the research technical team to check their BP measuring techniques and transmission of the data to a central portal. Patients monitored their home BP according to home BP monitoring recommendations by NICE guidelines. These readings were then transmitted to a central portal where they were stored in a secure server for review by their doctors. Doctors were given access to their patients’ BP measurements via a password-protected website portal. All doctors attended a 1-day workshop on how to use the website. Doctors were asked to manage patients according to their own clinical
judgment. Doctors were given a choice on their preferred review intervals; some reviewed the BP readings daily and some weekly. Each set of home BP readings from the patients was represented on a graph.

Data collection method
Patients' experiences were captured through two methods of data collection: five in-depth interviews (IDIs) and two focus group discussions (FGDs). Patients were invited to join the FGD, but if they could not, a separate IDI session was arranged for them. Different patients were involved in the IDI and FGD. The interviews were conducted using a topic guide based on the TAM. Davis in 1989 proposed TAM based on the theory of reasoned action, which has been used to broadly predict and explain human behavior. TAM had been used in several studies to explore acceptance of IT-based health care intervention in clinical care.

The TAM identifies five factors that influence acceptance: perceived ease of use of a system, perceived usefulness, attitude toward using, behavioral intention to use, and actual system use. Behavioral intention to use is defined as a user’s interest in using the system in the future. Perceived usefulness is defined as the degree to which a person believes that using a particular health intervention would enhance his or her health status, while perceived ease of use is defined as the degree to which a person believes that using a particular intervention would be easy. Perceived ease of use has a direct effect on perceived usefulness, and it in turn has an effect on behavioral intention to use.

The topic guide was pilot tested and minor changes to sentence phrasing were done. Results of the pilot study were included in the data analysis. Interviews were conducted in both English and Malay language by the primary investigator and they lasted for 45–60 minutes. All interviews were audio-recorded, and field notes were taken.

Data analysis
The recorded data from the IDIs and FGDs were transcribed verbatim and analyzed together. Transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis as described by Braun and Clarke. First two researchers (AA and LSM) independently read and reread the transcripts to familiarize themselves with the data and independently labeled the data into categories and codes. Then meaningful patterns across all the codes were identified and sorted into themes. All the codes under each theme were then reviewed to confirm the validity of each theme and to come up with the overarching themes. Recruitment was stopped when the data reached saturation. NVivo 9 software was used for managing the data.

Ethical approval
This study received ethics approval from the Medical Ethics Committee University Malaya Medical Center on June 7, 2012 with MEC Reference Number: 920.13. The ethical guidelines under which our research was carried out are according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results
A total of 17 patients were interviewed. All patients successfully performed BP telemonitoring and transmitted their data to the web portal. Patients’ demographic data and frequency of monitoring are presented in Table 1. Table 2 lists the patients’ occupations. The themes that emerged were categorized as follows: 1) perceived ease of use, 2) perceived usefulness, and 3) intention to use.

Perceived ease of use
Patients found it easy to use the monitoring devices and the transmission portal. Patients who faced some difficulties with the use of either the BP monitor or the transmission portal blamed their age or lack of computer skills. However, many managed to overcome these difficulties with support from the research team or family members. “Ya I think it is quite user friendly. So you know quite easy to use.” [Participant 8]

So I mean we don’t use computer as much as younger people. So beginning I’m struggling with that. Seriously I struggle. I think your man (technical team member), I don’t know what his name, who is helpful. I think he went to my house twice. [Participant 6]

Patients found that the recommended frequency of monitoring, which was twice in the morning and twice before bed, easy to follow. Many adhered to the monitoring schedule except for a few, who forgot to perform the evening readings due to their busy schedule. Patients perceived that for those who frequently worked away from home, it would be difficult to adhere to this schedule. They questioned the practicality of bringing the digital BP monitor and the transmitting portal with them on their business trip.

The morning one is no issue it is the evening one sometime when I have to eat outside before I come home then I cannot … the morning one normally no problem. [Participant 16]

There is this one thing for people who travel. It is going to be a bit difficult. Like me, I’m a pensioner and I want to go to Kuantan for a fishing trip. You cannot bring the equipment, and when you go home there is no WiFi to send the data. So before giving this equipment to people, you have to make sure WiFi is available. [Participant 3]
I also found it difficult, because some days I would stay at my mother’s place. Someday I would remember taking it (BP readings). I would bring the BP monitor with me but at other time I’d forgot. So I could not do the reading, I left if at home. [Participant 4]

Perceived usefulness

Distrust in telemonitored BP readings

Patients found BP telemonitoring to be useful as they could learn about their BP readings. However, BP readings at home were observed to be lower than clinic readings. This confused the patients and led them to question the home BP monitor’s accuracy. When patients observed the lower BP readings, they questioned the need to continue taking their medications.

Because the machine, you see, it is very useful. When I get up in the morning, I take my medication. I sit for few minutes as relax myself, take a deep breath use this machine. Two readings after every 5, 3 minute, the reading is normal. So at night, in the evening take one more reading. It is helpful, very useful. We can know our body blood pressure, how much it is after we do too much work and then you can see the pressure shot up you know. [Participant 10]

No not same. In the GP, the GP’s measurement is very good, 128, 130 but over here I check it is 118, 120. Ha quite low. It is a bit low. If you give me a wrong (low) reading when in fact my blood pressure is high. I said, owh my blood pressure is very low, I’m safe. I’m going to eat this and or felt like I don’t need an exercise then all suddenly you get a stroke. [Participant 13]

In this study, doctors were asked to use their clinical judgment whether to contact their patients or not based on their home BP readings. Many doctors noted that patients’ home BP readings were normal and thus did not contact them. Because of this, patients expressed frustration as they wanted to know what the readings meant and if they were doing okay. Patients also noticed certain events tended to increase their BP readings, such as having a fever or rushing to work. However, because of the current design of the BP telemonitoring system, there was no facility for patients to comment on these high BP readings. Patients were scared that their doctors might falsely interpret their BP readings as uncontrolled if these readings were taken into consideration.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Duration of hypertension (years)</th>
<th>Number of hypertensive medications (n)</th>
<th>Adherence to recommended BP monitoring frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care practitioner</td>
<td>Staff nurse</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Administrative CEO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative support</td>
<td>Customer service officer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical science</td>
<td>Senior chemist</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>IT manager</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Director of broadcasting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation/repair</td>
<td>Maintenance executive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective service</td>
<td>Security officer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pensioner (lecturer, marketing, security)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What influences patients’ acceptance of a BP telemonitoring service?

Patients’ motivation for use of BP telemonitoring in this study was to fulfill the research needs. When asked if they would continue to use the service, there were varied responses. Many questioned the need for continued monitoring if their BP readings were already normal. However, there were some patients who felt compelled to continue monitoring to make sure that their BP readings were still under control or to inform their family members on the status of their disease.

Because this is research you always do it. So if you give another patient just the BP machine not sure how they are going to record it. [Participant 9]

I’m okay with it. Er ya it is like a habit already to me. So it is okay. I’m okay with … I’m very happy to see ah same as yesterday ya. I feel better because I don’t want to be a burden to my family. So I really want to be healthy. [Participant 16]

Oh I’ve used it every day for a month. My son always asks me what my blood pressure is. So this machine had been helpful, my son could know my blood pressure every day. [Participant 5]

Patients perceived telemonitoring to be useful only in certain situations. They suggested that it might be beneficial to do for a short duration of time and for specific purposes, for example, in the advent of medication changes to monitor the effect of the drugs. The current high costs of the equipment also deter patients from recommending BP telemonitoring to other patients. They are worried patients may damage the expensive equipment.

Then I think it should monitor even more frequently right (after adjustment of medications) so whether is over dose or whether is under dose I mean you know. So you want to work out optimum so obviously you’re going to monitor.

So it will be helpful if you have that thing (BP telemonitoring), then you can monitor (your BP) more often. For that kind of situation I think, it is very helpful. [Participant 8]

It is expensive, so people with low income might not be able to afford the machine. Its good but it will depend on you whether you are diligent in using it. [Participant 11]

No, I don’t want to continue borrowing the (BP telemonitoring) equipments. If they get broken, then I have to pay to replace them. [Participant 7]

Discussion

This qualitative study found that patients’ acceptance toward using home BP telemonitoring is governed mainly by its perceived usefulness. Patients perceived the BP telemonitoring service as easy to use but struggled with the meaning of their home BP readings and implications of these readings on their hypertension management and overall health. Another factor that influences their acceptance is doctors’ timely feedback; lack of feedback may lead to lower motivation for sustained use.

Similar to the HITS trial that examined BP telemonitoring provided in a usual care context, our patients found the equipment easy to use.25 Other studies on BP telemonitoring also have similar findings.7,26 This is because more and more patients including patients in our study had prior experiences in using digital BP monitors at home. However, older patients in our study did express difficulty in using the transmitting device for BP telemonitoring and sought help from their younger and more IT literate family members. The transmission portal is unfamiliar equipment that patients needed to be familiarized with, which led to difficulties. A study in the United States where patients found difficulties in using the Telemonitoring system suggested that system design clearly has a part to play, but it is also a possibility that some of the usability issues may be related to the population involved.9

When it comes to making sense of the readings, patients in our study questioned the accuracy of the readings as they found telemonitored BP readings to be lower than office readings. This is in contrast to another study, which found the patients trusted the home readings more as they perceived multiple electronic readings are more accurate than the one reading taken in the office setting.25 Patients in our study were basing their observation on their individual BP readings obtained at home. Since home BP is known to be lower than office readings,3 this had led to patients’ confusion.
Their observations may also be due to the fact that this study enrolled patients with uncontrolled office hypertension. This group of patient was selected because a recent study had shown this population of patients to benefit most from BP telemonitoring. Intervention effect of BP telemonitoring is greatest in patients with baseline BP between 140/90 and 200/100. Because of this, some of our patients may be observing “white coat effect” or “white coat hypertension,” thus explaining the high office readings but lower home BP readings. Since the presence of “white coat effect” or “white coat hypertension” was not discussed or explained to the patients prior to starting home BP telemonitoring, patients were confused by this and this hindered accurate interpretations of their home BP readings. This finding highlighted the importance of discussing phenomenon seen on home BP monitoring like “white coat hypertension” and “masked hypertension” with patients prior to starting them on home BP telemonitoring service, or to build in some mechanism to give appropriate feedback to patients on occurrence of such phenomenon.

Usefulness of the BP readings was found to be an important factor, which determines patients’ acceptance of the service. Patients expressed need to understand the importance of monitoring, and how it would ultimately affect their hypertension management. The same effect was seen in the study done by Cottrell et al. Patients who reported having incongruous responses after submitting readings or getting conflicting advice concerning transmitted BP readings in this SMS-based telehealth program to support hypertension management chose to leave the program. Patients in the TASMINH trials were also found to be reluctant to continue self-management upon completion of the trial. On the other hand, a well-supported service such as the one trialed by Kerby et al reported 73% of the patients adhered to the program for a period of 6 months. In this trial, patients were asked to transmit only six BP readings per week and received regular support from a pharmacist case manager via phone visits. Continued support and encouragement are needed to ensure patients’ commitment throughout the implementation of home BP telemonitoring service.

There was an agreement that not all patients would respond to telemonitoring in the same way. Patients in our study suggested that the telemonitoring be done for a specified reason such as for adjustment of medications and for only a short period of time. Patients who spend a fair amount of time away from home or engaged in outdoor activities were thought unable to benefit from home BP telemonitoring service due to lack of internet connections and bulky BP telemonitors, which were not compatible to being used outdoors.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

This study used TAM as described by Davis to guide the exploration of patients’ views and experiences. Themes identified according to the domains of the model allowed for development of strategies for future implementation of home BP telemonitoring into routine care. Patients found the home BP telemonitoring service easy to use. However, they questioned the usefulness of such a service, which influenced their intention to use the service over a long period of time.

The weakness of the study is that there were only a few patients involved in the initial pilot. This is due to the limited telemonitoring monitors available for use during the study period and the availability of internet at the patients’ houses. Since patients recruited for this qualitative study were from the pilot, we were unable to ensure fair representation by sex, ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. However, the views expressed by the patients in this study genuinely reflect their experiences when using a telemonitoring system in primary care, thus making the results relevant for organizing looking at implementing BP telemonitoring service in primary care.

**Implications for further research and clinical practice**

From the findings in this study, implementation of a BP telemonitoring service in primary care would need a well laid out plan for telemonitoring. This would include detailed explanation on its purposes. Patients with a strong sense of purpose for BP telemonitoring will be kept motivated throughout the monitoring period. When monitoring for a purpose such as after dose adjustment of medications, it is also easier to set the duration and targeted BP readings. Although timely feedback and support from health care professionals are needed for a successful telemonitoring service, teaching basic information on interpretation of BP readings to patients is needed to improve patients’ self-efficacy. Patients commented that presenting the BP readings in a graph with desired range of BP delineated could be a way of giving patients this information as well.

It is also clear that this service may be beneficial only for a selected group of patients. Perhaps a validated BP monitor, which is smaller, lighter, and cheaper, will be able to fulfill the needs of patients who spend considerable time away from home. Future research should focus on identifying the patients who would benefit most from BP telemonitoring and the monitoring schedule to be used. These parameters could be identified from a few of the ongoing randomized control trials on clinical outcome associated with BP telemonitoring.
It is clear that timely feedback and support is needed but in what form and from whom need to be identified.
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