Reducing the risk of venous thromboembolism using apixaban – patient perspectives and considerations. Should more attention be given to females?
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Background: New oral anticoagulant agents, such as apixaban, rivaroxaban, dabigatran, or endoxaban, have recently become for patients an alternative option to conventional treatment in the therapy of venous thromboembolism (VTE). Thus, we aimed to review the available information on adverse events (AEs) of apixaban compared to conventional therapy (heparin or vitamin K antagonists) in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on patients treated for VTE, with a particular attention to sex subgroups.

Methods: An electronic search in MEDLINE and Embase was performed by using the keywords “apixaban” and “venous thromboembolism”. All RCTs focused on apixaban in the treatment and prevention of VTE were evaluated for the presence of AEs. AEs were classified as serious, bleeding, and cause of discontinuation. Moreover, we also searched by using the keywords “gender” and “venous thromboembolism” and “anticoagulants”.

Results: Considering all subjects enrolled in the eleven RCTs as a whole to investigate the occurrence of AEs, we extrapolated an events/subjects rate of 57.8% for AEs (6,445/11,144), 7.7% for serious AEs (975/12,647), 9.1% for bleeding events (1,229/13,454), and 3.2% for discontinuation of apixaban (421/13,039). The percentage of AEs was lower in subjects treated with apixaban than in those treated with conventional VTE therapy (53% vs 56.3%, respectively). However, only one study provided data on separate analysis by sex of either efficacy or safety of apixaban.

Conclusion: Under the patient’s perspective, apixaban could represent a good choice in the treatment of VTE, due to its pharmacological, economical, and safety profile. These positive aspects are certainly present in both sexes, since the available studies include a correct percentage of women, but data with separate analyses by sex are extremely limited. Future clinical trials should include in their results on clinical impact and outcomes a stratification by sex, and studies aimed to evaluate possible sex-related differences for these drugs should be strongly encouraged.
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Introduction
Venous thromboembolism (VTE) represents a common clinical condition that encompasses deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), with an overall annual incidence of 108 per 100,000 inhabitants in the USA.1 In fact, PE and DVT are considered a different expression of the same clinical condition, since ~70% of patients with PE had a DVT, and 30%–70% of patients with DVT had a clinical or subclinical PE.2 Physicians should always consider PE which represents a life-threatening condition, ranking second among causes of out-of-hospital sudden death in the general population,3 and also in hospitalized patients,
mortality due to PE is high. For these reasons, treatment of PE and DVT was based on anticoagulant approach with parenteral or subcutaneous heparin or oral anticoagulants, represented by warfarin or new oral anticoagulants (NOAs), such as apixaban, rivaroxaban, dabigatran, or edoxaban. The vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) warfarin and acenocoumarol represent the old standard treatment for VTE, and their efficacy in prevention of recurrent VTE could be quantified as decreasing recurrent risk of ~3%. The main limitations of this therapy are the need of frequent control of coagulation pattern (international normalized ratio, INR) with some costs and logistic discomfort for patients, possible food and drug interactions leading to alterations of anticoagulant effect, and high incidence of bleeding complications. Thus, a low adherence to this therapy could be an expected consequence, and trials dealing with patients on VKAs for VTE showed that poor adherence could be detected by low percent of time in therapeutically effective range and with an increased risk of thromboembolic complications. NOAs include factor Xa inhibitors (rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban) and factor IIa inhibitors (dabigatran). NOAs do not require routine laboratory monitoring and frequent changes in dosage, suggesting an improvement in pharmacological adherence. However, results from a meta-analysis study of 18 randomized trials including >100,000 patients showed that total study discontinuation rates were not significantly different between NOAs and conventional therapy in VTE and prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation (AF), whereas drug discontinuation with NOAs was significantly higher than with placebo in acute coronary syndromes. NOAs could suffer main drug interaction, and clinical contraindications could limit their potential use; however, these drugs do not require frequent evaluations of coagulation parameters and do not show changes in their pharmacological effectiveness related to foods or body weight. Moreover, NOAs are considered to be a favorable alternative to heparin in long-term VTE treatment, but bleeding risk and the absence of reversal agent are their major limitations. However, only a few data are available on possible differences by sex in the prescription of drugs, even if a little more is known on the use of antplatelet agents (APAs). Results from a multicenter study in Italy, aiming to evaluate the existence of sex differences in treatment at hospital admission and prescription at discharge, showed the presence of statistical differences, among others, for APAs. At admission, men were more likely to be on APAs (41.7% vs 36.7%; \( P=0.0029 \)), and at discharge, APAs (43.7% vs 37.3%; \( P=0.0003 \)) continued to be prescribed more often in men. A recent study carried out in the Netherlands compared adherence to NOAs with adherence to APAs. Mean adherence to NOAs was 84.2% compared with 73.3% to APAs. One in four NOAs users had a percentage of days covered by medication <80% compared with one in five APA users. No data by sex subgroups were available.

Thus, due to the paucity of available data, we decided to put ourselves in patients’ shoes, and the aim of this review was to analyze all adverse events (AEs) of apixaban compared to conventional therapy (heparin or VKAs) in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of VTE treatment, with a particular attention to possible differences by sex. **Methods**

We performed a literature search of electronic databases, MEDLINE and Embase, using the keywords “apixaban” and “venous thromboembolism”. The aim of this search was to identify all RCTs that analyze dapixaban for treatment and prevention of VTE, and the main outcome was the collection of data about AEs. AEs were classified as serious, bleeding, and cause of discontinuation. For any study, we required a minimum set of data, including author, journal and year of publication, and total number of patients treated with apixaban and/or with conventional therapy. Moreover, we made a comprehensive search by using the keywords “gender” and “venous thromboembolism” and “anticoagulants”.

**Results**

As for the first objective, 13 RCTs were identified, but only eleven were included in the analysis. Two RCTs were excluded because authors did not classified AEs as serious, bleeding, and cause of discontinuation.

Table 1 reports the type of AEs considered only in studies in which subjects were treated with apixaban. Considering all subjects enrolled in the eleven RCTs as a whole to investigate the occurrence of AEs, we extrapolated an events/subjects rate of 57.8% for AEs (6,445/11,144), 7.7% for serious AEs (975/12,647), 9.1% for bleeding events (1,229/13,454), and 3.2% for discontinuation of apixaban (421/13,039). The overall percentages of AEs related to the number of patients treated with apixaban are reported in Figure 1.

Table 2 shows the AEs in different studies comparing apixaban and conventional therapy. The percentage of AEs was lower in subjects treated with apixaban than in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Journal, year</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>All AEs</th>
<th>Serious AEs</th>
<th>Bleeding AEs</th>
<th>Discontinuations due to AEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agnelli et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2013</td>
<td>DVT or PE</td>
<td>2,676</td>
<td>1,795</td>
<td></td>
<td>417</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2009</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>1,596</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td></td>
<td>219</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2010</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>2,673</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td></td>
<td>184</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>J Thromb Haemost, 2007</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>797</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>J Thromb Haemost, 2011</td>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al</td>
<td>Circ J, 2015</td>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett et al</td>
<td>Thromb Haemost, 2011</td>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AEs, adverse events; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; –, data not given.

**Figure 1** Extrapolated events/subjects rate of AEs in the eleven considered RCTs with apixaban.

**Abbreviations:** AEs, adverse events; RCTs, randomized controlled trials.
Table 2 AEs in different studies comparing apixaban and conventional therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Journal, year</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Total apixaban patients, n</th>
<th>AEs in patients treated with apixaban, n</th>
<th>Total patients in conventional therapy, n</th>
<th>AEs in patients treated with conventional therapy, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agnelli et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2013</td>
<td>DVT or PE</td>
<td>2,676</td>
<td>1,795</td>
<td>2,689</td>
<td>1,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2009</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>1,596</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>N Engl J Med, 2010</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>2,673</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>2,659</td>
<td>1,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>J Thromb Haemost, 2007</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botticelli Investigators et al</td>
<td>J Thromb Haemost, 2008</td>
<td>Symptomatic DVT</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al</td>
<td>Lancet, 2010</td>
<td>Postoperative DVT</td>
<td>1,501</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al</td>
<td>Circ. J. 2015</td>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett et al</td>
<td>Thromb Haemost, 2011</td>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AEs, adverse events; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism.

Discussion

The first observation of the present study is a confirmation of a lower prevalence of AEs related to VTE prevention and treatment with apixaban compared with conventional therapy. Total AEs were reported in 57.8% of patients, 9.1% had bleeding events, 7.7% had serious AEs, and only 3.2% of patients discontinued apixaban due to AEs. Apixaban is a selective inhibitor of factor Xa with an effect on inhibition of the conversion of prothrombin to thrombin, recently approved by health authorities in Italy for the treatment and prevention of VTE (May 23, 2015), and previously, for prevention of cerebrovascular events in patients with AF (December 2, 2013). Peak plasma concentration of apixaban is reached after 3 hours post-dose, half-life is ~12 hours, and anticoagulant effect expires after 24 hours post-dose. Oral bioavailability is ~52%; fixed therapeutical dosage, low hepatic metabolism (only 15%), and nonrenal metabolism allow minimal drug interactions and formations of reactive metabolites. A recent meta-analysis on risk of major bleeding related to NOAs showed a higher risk of hip surgery, acute coronary syndrome, and thromboprophylaxis in medically ill patients. The higher rate of major bleeding in these those treated with conventional VTE therapy (53 vs 56.3%) (Figure 2).

Table 3 provides additional data on the existence or not of subanalysis by sex in the studies comparing apixaban and conventional therapy.

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com) Number of patients, AEs, and percentages of AEs comparing apixaban and conventional therapy.

Abbreviations: AEs, adverse events; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
Table 3 Evaluation by sex in the studies comparing apixaban and conventional therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (journal, year)</th>
<th>Distribution by sex</th>
<th>Apixaban</th>
<th>Anticoagulant</th>
<th>Efficacy by sex</th>
<th>Safety by sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of events/no of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td>No of events/no of patients</td>
<td>Apixaban better</td>
<td>Apixaban better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnelli et al14 (N Engl J Med, 2013)</td>
<td>Apixaban: M 58.3% Enox/War: M 59.1%</td>
<td>M 9/1,561</td>
<td>F 6/1,115</td>
<td>Major 11/1,596 Nonmajor 35/1,596</td>
<td>Apixaban better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al17 (N Engl J Med, 2009)</td>
<td>Apixaban: F 62.4% Enox: F 61.8%</td>
<td>Major 22/1,588 Nonmajor 47/1,588</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al18 (N Engl J Med, 2010)</td>
<td>Apixaban: F 52.8% Enox: F 53.8%</td>
<td>Major 18/2,659</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al20 (J Thromb Haemost, 2007)</td>
<td>Total: F 63.3%</td>
<td>Major 0%-3.2%</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botticelli Investigators et al21 (J Thromb Haemost, 2008)</td>
<td>LMWH/VKAs</td>
<td>Minor 19/382</td>
<td>Minor 10/128</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al22 (Lancet, 2010)</td>
<td>Apixaban: F 71% Enox: F 74%</td>
<td>Major and CRNM 53/1,501</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al23 (Girc J, 2015)</td>
<td>Apixaban: F 45% UFH/War: F 57.5%</td>
<td>Major and CRNM 51/1,501</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al23 (Girc J, 2015)</td>
<td>All bleeds 7/40</td>
<td>All bleeds 17/39</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: M, male; Eno, enoxaparin; War, warfarin; F, female; LMWH, low-molecular weight heparin; VKAs, vitamin K antagonists; CRNM, clinically relevant nonmajor; UFH, unfractionated heparin.

The second main observation from this study is that the variable “sex” is not considered so much. For example, the meta-analysis performed by van der Hulle et al compared the main RCTs with NOAs, several reviews analyzed the therapeutic potential and safety of NOAs compared with VKAs. The meta-analysis performed by van der Hulle et al showed that NOAs had lower relative risk (RR) compared to conventional therapy for major gastrointestinal bleeding (0.67%), clinically relevant (0.16%), and any bleeding. On the contrary, major bleeding events were not statistically different in patients treated with apixaban and placebo. Similarly, the patients was related to longer duration of NOAs therapy and probably to the higher comorbidity. After the publication of the main RCTs with NOAs, several reviews analyzed the

The second main observation from this study is that the variable “sex” is not considered so much. For example, the meta-analysis performed by van der Hulle et al compared the main RCTs with NOAs, several reviews analyzed the therapeutic potential and safety of NOAs compared with VKAs. The meta-analysis performed by van der Hulle et al showed that NOAs had lower relative risk (RR) compared to conventional therapy for major gastrointestinal bleeding (0.67%), clinically relevant (0.16%), and any bleeding. On the contrary, major bleeding events were not statistically different in patients treated with apixaban and placebo. Similarly, the patients was related to longer duration of NOAs therapy and probably to the higher comorbidity. After the publication of
unfavorable prognosis, and VTE treatment is less effective and more complicated in cancer patients. Comparison of efficacy and safety between NOAs and VKAs in cancer patients has been reported, but there are no guideline recommendations for women-specific cancer types or women-specific issues in the prevention and treatment of VTE.\textsuperscript{38} Only a very minimal part of the studies comparing apixaban vs conventional therapy takes sex into consideration. In the ARISTOTLE study, 11,785 (64.7%) men and 6,416 (35.3%) women with AF or flutter were randomized to receive either warfarin or apixaban. Results showed that women had a similar rate of stroke or systemic embolism but a lower risk of mortality and less clinically relevant bleeding than men.\textsuperscript{39} As for the previously cited RCTs on treatment of VTE, only one study provided data on separate analysis by sex of either efficacy or safety of apixaban.\textsuperscript{40} Such a lack of data on sex subgroups is rather surprising. In fact, women are at higher risk of VTE, even though age plays crucial role. Results from an epidemiologic study on hospitalization for PE in a large population of Northwestern Italy (60,853 patients, 59.6% females, mean age 73±14 years) found an overall crude incidence rate significantly higher for women compared with men (55.4 and 40.6 events per year per 100,000 inhabitants, respectively; $P<0.001$), but this difference completely disappeared after standardization for age.\textsuperscript{40} Again, women are at risk of VTE after total hip arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty. A study on 14 retrospective case-control or prospective cohort studies, including 18,075 patients who developed VTE after total hip arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty out of a total of 1,723,350 cases, found that three main risk factors were significantly associated with VTE: history of VTE, varicose vein, and congestive cardiac failure. However, authors recognized other six significant factors increasing VTE risk, such as female sex, age ≥80 years, hypertension, active cancer, obesity (BMI ≥30), and black race.\textsuperscript{41} The outcome during the course of anticoagulant therapy may differ according to the patient’s sex, but available data are not always univocal. According to the Spanish data from the Registro Informático Enfermedad Trombo Embólica Registry on >47,000 patients, women (51% of total sample) were older, more likely presented with PE, and were more likely to have recent immobilization but less likely to have cancer than men. Compared with men, women had a lower rate of DVT recurrences, a higher rate of major bleeding, and higher mortality due to PE, although rate of PE recurrences was similar. However, on multivariable analysis, any influence of sex on the risk for recurrent DVT, major bleeding, or fatal PE was no longer statistically significant.\textsuperscript{42} A subanalysis of the same registry focused on the outcome of cancer patients with acute VTE showed that, compared with men, women had a significantly lower rate of fatal bleeding and death, and a nonsignificantly lower rate of PE recurrences and major bleeding.\textsuperscript{43} Also, the meta-analysis studies, mostly addressed to both AF and VTE patients, are not conclusive. A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to determine if the risk of major bleeding may differ between men and women receiving anticoagulation for AF or VTE. Forty-two studies including >94,000 patients were analyzed: 83% had AF and 17% had VTE; 37,250 patients (40%) were women. The RR of major bleeding for men vs women was 1.02, in particular 1.02 in patients with AF and 0.80 in patients with VTE. Thus, the risk of major bleeding on anticoagulation seems to be the same in both sexes, especially in the case of AF, whereas in patients with VTE, the risk of bleeding may be marginally lower in men compared with women.\textsuperscript{44} Again, another recent comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 studies (>100,000 patients) showed that NOAs had a similar efficacy and safety compared with VKAs in female and male patients treated for nonvalvular AF and acute VTE. However, in the extended treatment of VTE, a trend toward an increased risk of bleeding in male patients as compared with female patients was observed for NOAs compared with placebo.\textsuperscript{45}

As for low adherence to anticoagulant therapy, there are no univocal data available. Previous findings reported that risk factors related to lower adherence of VKAs therapy included younger age, male sex, poor cognitive function, poverty, and higher educational attainment.\textsuperscript{46} In a study on a total of 2,068 patients in Denmark, performing self-management of VKAs, males achieved a significantly better therapeutic INR control than females. In fact, females spent 71.1% of the time within therapeutic INR target range, whereas males spent 76.4% ($P<0.0001$), but the incidence of major complications was low and similar in both sexes.\textsuperscript{47} Again, a Swedish study evaluated the persistence with presently available antithrombotic treatments in AF patients. The overall persistence with any oral anticoagulant was 88.2% at 1 year and 82.9% at 2 years. After 1 year, the crude persistence was 85.0% with warfarin, 85.9% with apixaban, 74.4% with dabigatran, and 77.4% with rivaroxaban. Multivariate analysis confirmed significantly higher persistence with warfarin and apixaban than with dabigatran or rivaroxaban, persistence at 1 year after initiations on warfarin, and that apixaban had higher odds for persistence than initiation on dabigatran and rivaroxaban. However, female sex was one of the factors significantly associated...
with lower persistence. On the contrary, different results were reported by a recent study aimed to assess self-reported anticoagulation adherence in a tertiary center anticoagulation clinic. Main indications for anticoagulation were VTE (72%) and AF (18%); 74% of patients were on VKAs, and 26% on NOAs (rivaroxaban 79%, dabigatran 19%, apixaban 2%). Predictors of anticoagulation adherence were, in decreasing order, use of additional oral medications (odds ratio [OR] = 2.78), retired employment status (OR = 2.31), female sex (OR = 1.58), and age (OR = 1.02). In multivariate analyses, age, female sex, and use of other oral medications remained significantly associated with anticoagulation adherence.

In conclusion, in the patient’s perspective, apixaban could represent a good choice in the treatment of VTE, due to its pharmacological, economical, and safety profile. These positive aspects are probably present in both sexes, since the available studies include a correct percentage of women. Future clinical trials should include in their results on clinical impact and outcomes a stratification by sex, and studies aimed to evaluate possible sex-related differences for these drugs should be strongly encouraged.
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