Good outcomes in a patient with a Duret hemorrhage from an acute subdural hematoma
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Background: Secondary brain stem injury is associated with transtentorial herniation, and manifests as “Duret” hemorrhages. Such an injury has been considered a terminal brain stem event with a high morbidity and mortality, sometimes discouraging continuation of care. However, there have been rare instances where patients have had reasonable recovery. We report another case, emphasizing that such an injury by itself should not deter aggressive measures, as good outcomes remain a possibility.

Case presentation: A 37-year-old male sustained a right subdural hematoma after a mechanical fall while intoxicated. He presented initially with a Glasgow Coma Scale 15. Three days later, he exhibited acute neurological deterioration to Glasgow Coma Scale 4, requiring intubation and mannitol. Repeat scan demonstrated enlarging right subdural hematoma with worsening shift; brain stem hemorrhage was noted at pontomesencephalic junction. Patient was immediately taken for subdural hematoma evacuation. The following day, patient was able to sluggishly follow commands in all four extremities. He had a short stay for inpatient rehabilitation and underwent autologous cranioplasty at 3 months. On examination, he was awake, alert, and oriented to self, time, and location; he exhibited dysarthric speech, right ptosis, but followed commands in all four extremities with no focal motor weakness.

Conclusion: In contrast to the common belief, patients suffering from a “Duret” hemorrhage can still have a good outcome. “Duret” hemorrhages may not represent a fatal injury. The finding from this paper suggests the finding of “Duret” hemorrhages on imaging should not deter aggressive measures especially in patients with lesions causing significant mass effects. Overall clinical status should drive surgical options and clinical course.
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Introduction
Secondary brain stem injury is associated with herniation syndromes, and manifests as “Duret” hemorrhages. Such an injury has been regarded as a fatal brain stem event with a high morbidity and mortality, sometimes discouraging continuation of care. However, there have been rare instances where patients have had reasonable recovery. We report another case, emphasizing that such an injury by itself should not deter aggressive measures, as good outcomes remain a real possibility.

Case presentation
Patient is a 37-year-old male, history of hypertension, who sustained a mechanical fall while intoxicated. He presented initially with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) 15. A computed tomography head demonstrated a right frontal contusion and right subdural...
hematoma (5 mm thickness, 5 mm midline shift). Three days later, patient exhibited acute neurological deterioration; on examination, patient did not open eyes to pain; left pupil was 3 mm, nonreactive; right pupil was 2 mm, sluggish; patient exhibited extensor posturing in all four extremities. Mannitol was given and patient was emergently intubated. Repeat scan demonstrated enlarging right subdural hematoma (6 mm thickness) with worsening shift (7.3 mm); brain stem hemorrhage was noted at pontomesencephalic junction (Figure 1); the latter was not evident during initial imaging. Patient was immediately taken for subdural hematoma evacuation. On postoperative day 1, patient was able to sluggishly follow commands in all four extremities. Patient was extubated on postoperative day 3. Patient eventually had a short stay for inpatient rehabilitation. He underwent autologous cranioplasty at 3 months. On examination, he was awake, alert, and oriented to self, time, and location; he exhibited dysarthric speech, right ptosis, but followed commands in all four extremities with no focal motor weakness.

**Discussion**

Traumatic brain stem hemorrhages may be grouped based on primary or secondary etiologies.\(^{1,2}\) Primary etiologies are commonly associated with the traumatic event, accompanied by diffuse supratentorial lesions, and frequently identified on initial imaging. Mechanisms include diffuse axonal injury from acceleration/deceleration, inertial injury at the midbrain due to the tentorium or clivus, and hyperextension of the cervical spine that contuses the lower brain stem.\(^{2,12}\)

On the other hand, secondary etiologies are related to transtentorial herniation due to intractable intracranial hypertension, manifesting as “Duret” hemorrhages. There is a predisposition for involvement at the midline of the rostral pons and the ventral tegmentum of the midbrain.\(^4\) Other findings related to herniation are possible, including lesions in the contralateral cerebral peduncle and infarction along the distribution of the posterior cerebral artery.\(^8\) Differentiation between primary and secondary brain stem hemorrhage may be challenging since “Duret” hemorrhages may transpire within a half-hour after the initial trauma.\(^8\) The reported incidence of “Duret” hemorrhages is 5%–10% of all brain stem hemorrhages in prior radiological studies.\(^4,8\)

The pathogenesis of “Duret” hemorrhages has been debated. When the brain stem is displaced inferiorly, the basilar artery and its paramedian pontine perforating vessels remain relatively fixed; this may cause the latter to shear and lead to hemorrhage.\(^1,13\) On the other hand, since veins are more compressible than arteries, herniation may lead to venous congestion and subsequent infarction at the rostral brain stem draining veins, followed by hemorrhagic conversion.\(^1,13\) Interestingly, an element of hemorrhage may be linked to reperfusion injury, as there have been reports of brain stem hemorrhages after surgical decompression.\(^7,9\)
“Duret” hemorrhage has been considered a fatal, irreversible brain stem event with high morbidity and mortality, sometimes discouraging continuation of care. However, early withdrawal of care eludes a true assessment of outcomes for such lesions. There have been rare instances in literature that document good functional outcomes. Table 1 provides a review of the available literature. Several authors believed that timely surgical decompression and/or early diagnosis may improve chances for good recovery. Ishizaka et al suggested that the overall, primary parenchymal injury may have a more significant influence on prognosis than Duret hemorrhages, where patients with Duret hemorrhages but relatively less primary injury may be salvageable. In addition, Beier and Dirks believed that a younger age (with inherent plasticity) could also be a factor for favorable prognosis.

The brain stem hemorrhage in our patient was consistent with a “Duret” hemorrhage; the finding was not evident during the initial imaging, where the patient had a good clinical examination after his traumatic event. Once the hematoma expanded and the shift worsened, the patient exhibited an acutely worsening neurological examination to GCS 4T, consistent with a herniation syndrome that likely led to the “Duret” hemorrhage. Based on the Brain Trauma Foundation guidelines, an acute subdural hematoma with midline shift greater than 5 mm prompts recommendation for surgical evacuation regardless of GCS. Fortunately, diagnosis and surgical decompression occurred at a timely manner. Though not as young as the pediatric patients reported by Beier and Dirks, the patient was relatively young. These factors likely facilitated a good recovery.

It is unclear what contributed to the patient’s sluggishness after hematoma evacuation. An acute subdural hematoma that requires surgical evacuation is associated with many general neurocognitive morbidities. Moreover, the frontal lobe injury may also affect higher functions such as motivation, judgment, planning, and social behavior. In addition, the “Duret” hemorrhage may reflect injury at the reticular activating system, which also influences levels of consciousness. Given the favorable outcome, surgical interventions should remain an option for patients presenting with “Duret” hemorrhages and surgical lesions, such as a subdural hematoma, producing significant mass effect.

**Conclusion**

In contrast to the common belief, patients suffering from a “Duret” hemorrhage can still have good outcomes. “Duret” hemorrhage with acute subdural hematoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Review of literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source</strong></td>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beier and Dirks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujimoto et al</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishizaka et al</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamijo et al</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonjaret et al</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathai et al</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiver et al</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** ***no available data.**

**Abbreviations:** CN, cranial nerve; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale.
hemorrhages may not represent a fatal injury. The finding from this paper suggests that the finding of “Duret” hemorrhages on imaging should not deter aggressive measures especially in patients with lesions causing significant mass effects. Overall clinical status should drive surgical options and clinical course.
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