Role of steroids in the treatment of bacterial keratitis
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Abstract: Bacterial keratitis can lead to severe visual impairment from corneal ulceration, subsequent scarring, and possible perforation. The mainstay of treatment is topical antibiotics, whereas the use of adjunctive topical corticosteroid drops remains a matter of debate. Herein, we review the rationale for and against the use of topical corticosteroids and we assess their effectiveness and safety in the published randomized controlled trials that have evaluated their role as adjunctive therapy for bacterial corneal ulcers. In the largest study to date, the Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial, topical corticosteroid drops were neither helpful nor harmful for the 500 participants as a whole. However, subgroup analyses suggested that topical corticosteroids may be beneficial upon early administration (within 2–3 days after starting antibiotics) for more central corneal ulcers with poorer vision at presentation, for invasive Pseudomonas strains, and for non-Nocardia ulcers. These results are discussed within the limitations of the study.
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Introduction
Keratitis is an inflammation of the cornea which, when severe, can be sight threatening. Because keratitis can result from both infectious and noninfectious etiologies, diagnosis and management can sometimes prove challenging. Out of the estimated 30,000 cases of microbial (bacterial, fungal, and parasitic) keratitis that occurs annually in the USA, the vast majority are bacterial in nature. The incidence of bacterial keratitis is ten times higher in tropical climates and developing nations than in temperate environments and in the developed world. Risk factors for bacterial keratitis include contact lens wear, corneal trauma, ocular surface disease, history of ocular or eyelid surgery, HIV infection, and immunodeficiency. Organisms that comprise the normal ocular flora, such as Streptococci and Staphylococci, can lead to ulceration if normal host defenses are violated. In contact lens wearers, a higher prevalence of bacterial keratitis due to gram-negative rods (eg, Pseudomonas spp.) is seen.

Patients with bacterial keratitis typically present with decreased vision, pain, and photophobia. Clinical signs include a corneal epithelial defect that stains with fluorescein and associated stromal infiltrate. In severe cases, the loss of stromal tissue and ulceration occur (Figure 1). The extent of visual loss depends on the size and location of the infiltrate as well as on the surrounding corneal edema. The severity of the corneal infection generally correlates with the degree of anterior chamber cellular reaction and possible hypopyon formation. Intraocular pressure can be high due to obstruction of the trabecular meshwork by inflammatory cells or low due to ciliary body inflammation and aqueous hyposecretion.
Corneal scrapings for smears and cultures are the gold standard for the diagnosis of bacterial keratitis, and the corneal examination alone is not enough to discriminate between bacterial and other forms of infectious or noninfectious keratitis, even for experienced clinicians. Empirical therapy with topical antibiotics is generally started at presentation and tailored according to microbiologic results, taking into consideration the size and severity of the infection. Inadequate control of the infection can lead to severe ulceration, perforation, endophthalmitis, blindness, and even loss of the eye. Unfortunately, even if the causative organisms are eradicated, the loss of vision can ensue from the subsequent scarring and vascularization of the cornea. Anterior lamellar keratoplasty or full thickness corneal transplantation may then be necessary to restore visual clarity. The long-term prognosis for corneal grafts after history of severe corneal ulcers is guarded.

Topical corticosteroids: a controversial issue

Although the use of topical antibiotics is mandatory for the treatment of bacterial keratitis, the addition of topical corticosteroid drops remains a matter of debate. Proponents for the early institution of topical corticosteroids in bacterial keratitis argue that corticosteroids can reduce the severity of corneal stromal melt, neovascularization, and subsequent scarring which occur as a result of the host inflammatory and immune responses to the bacterial infection. Corticosteroids are also believed to improve patient compliance with frequent instillation of fortified antibiotics by decreasing pain and discomfort. In the acute phase of the infection, bacterial toxins interact with injured epithelial and stromal cells and activated immune cells release an array of cytokines, collagenases, and growth factors that lead to kerocyte apoptosis and destruction of collagen. As the infection clears, viable kerocytes are transformed to activated fibroblasts that function to restore tissue loss. Because fibroblasts deposit newly made collagen and extracellular matrix in an irregular fashion, corneal haze and scarring often ensue. Topical corticosteroid drops are known to inhibit neutrophil chemotaxis and are, thus, believed to reduce the collagenase and cytokine burden that leads first to ulceration and then to scarring. Advocates against the use of topical corticosteroids in bacterial keratitis argue that epithelial healing is delayed and neutrophil inhibition may exacerbate the infection, particularly if the treatment with antibiotics is inadequate.

Four randomized controlled trials have been conducted to date aiming to assess the effect of corticosteroids on bacterial keratitis (Table 1). All four studies compared an antibiotic group with an antibiotic–corticosteroid group, though different formulations of antibiotics and corticosteroids were chosen by each group of investigators. Outcomes included vision, ulcer healing rate, time to re-epithelialization, and
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Figure 1 Slit lamp photograph of the right eye of 35-year-old contact lens wearer with Pseudomonas keratitis.
Notes: (A) At presentation, a suppurative infiltrate encompassing most of the cornea as well as a 3.5 mm hypopyon is seen. (B) One month after treatment with fortified topical antibiotics, cornea collagen cross-linking, amniotic membrane transplantation, and topical corticosteroids, the infiltrate is much smaller and peripheral corneal neovascularization and scarring are observed. (C) Six months later, diffuse scarring limits the patient’s vision. (D) Anterior segment OCT at 1 year shows significant peripheral and central thinning. (E) One year after penetrating keratoplasty, the patient’s vision is 20/60.
Abbreviation: OCT, optical coherence tomography.
adverse events, yet each study reported them at different time points (Table 1). The first three trials did not show any harm or benefit from the adjunctive use of topical corticosteroid drops in bacterial keratitis, but results were limited by the small number of participants.31–33

The study by Carmichael et al was conducted in South Africa and randomized 40 eyes of 39 participants.31 All patients received fortified ceftazolin 32 g/L and gentamicin 14 g/L hourly for 24 hours before the initiation of dexamethasone 0.1% four times a day or placebo. Dexamethasone 0.1% was continued until complete healing with a minimum of 2 weeks. The corticosteroid tapering regimen is not provided by the authors. The most common organisms isolated in both groups were of the *Streptococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. Interestingly, in both groups, approximately one-third of the patients had “no growth” on culture plates. The study by Blair et al was carried out in Canada and included 30 randomized participants.33 All patients received gatifloxacin 0.5% hourly for 48 hours prior randomization to either dexamethasone 0.1% four times a day or placebo. Dexamethasone 0.1% was given four times a day for 3 weeks, it was then tapered to two times a day for a week and then to once a day for the fifth week. Patients received gatifloxacin 0.5% four times a day for a total of 4 weeks, that is, in the fifth week they were only on a daily corticosteroid drop. Out of the bacterial isolates cultured, 60% (n=18) were *Staphylococcus* spp. and 17% (n=5) were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates.

Both studies31,33 reported no statistically significant difference in terms of best-corrected vision, ulcer healing rate, and adverse events at a mean follow-up of 231 or 2.5 months between the two groups of patients.33 Blair et al reported that mean residual ulcer size at 10 weeks, as estimated by the clinician, was smaller for the antibiotic–corticosteroid group than the antibiotic–placebo group (*P*-value, 0.05). However, this difference was not statistically significant when residual ulcer size was estimated from anterior segment photographs at presentation and at the 10-week follow-up interval (*P*-value, 0.56).

An initial study by Srinivasan et al served as a pilot analysis for the largest randomized controlled trial on this issue, the Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial (SCUT).32 The pilot study included 42 randomized participants with culture-proven bacterial keratitis and was conducted in South India. All participants were pretreated with moxifloxacin 0.5% hourly (while awake) for 48 hours before the initiation of either topical corticosteroid (prednisolone sodium phosphate 1%) or placebo four times a day. Patients were given topical corticosteroids four times a day for 1 week, two times a day for 1 week, and once a day for the third week. Topical antibiotic was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael et al31 (1990, South Africa)</td>
<td>Ceftazolin 32 g/L and gentamicin 14 g/L (40) plus dexamethasone 0.1% (21) vs placebo (19)</td>
<td>Ulcer healing rate</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srinivasan et al32 (2009, India)</td>
<td>Moxifloxacin 0.5% (42) plus prednisolone phosphate 1% (20) vs placebo (22)</td>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time to re-epithelialization</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infiltrate/scar size</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3 weeks and 3 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair et al33 (2011, Canada)</td>
<td>Gatifloxacin 0.5% (30) plus dexamethasone 0.1% (15) vs placebo (15)</td>
<td>Ulcer size (photograph)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ulcer size (clinician estimate)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time to healing</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.5 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srinivasan et al34,35 (2012, India and USA)</td>
<td>Moxifloxacin 0.5% (500) plus prednisolone phosphate 1% (250) vs placebo (250)</td>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infiltrate/scar size</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time to re-epithelialization</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3 weeks and 3 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Additional subgroup analyses, 12-month and 4-year follow-up results are discussed in the text. Placebo was 0.9% sodium chloride.

Abbreviation: BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity.
given every 2 hours while awake until re-epithelialization, and it was then reduced to four times a day until the end of the third week. Approximately 50% of all bacterial isolates were Streptococcus spp. and 20% were Pseudomonas spp. At a mean follow-up of 3 months, there was no difference in corneal scar size, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and adverse effects between the two patient groups. However, a statistically significant delay in re-epithelialization was noted in the corticosteroid-treated group.32

The results of this pilot study paved the way for the landmark SCUT trial, which was started in 2006, enrolled 500 participants by 2010, and published the first results in 2012.34,35 Several sub-studies and subgroup analyses of the SCUT have been published over the past 3 years and comprise the best evidence we have to address the controversy over the use of corticosteroids in bacterial keratitis.37–46 The SCUT trial was a prospective, randomized 1:1, placebo-controlled, double-masked, multicenter clinical trial that compared the administration of prednisolone sodium phosphate 1% with placebo as adjunctive therapy for the treatment of culture-confirmed bacterial corneal ulcers. All participants were pretreated with hourly administration (while awake) of moxifloxacin 0.5% for 48 hours before the addition of topical corticosteroid or placebo.34,35 The antibiotic was then reduced to every 2 hours until the resolution of the epithelial defect and then four times a day until 3 weeks from enrollment. Patients were enrolled in the trial 2–6 days after presentation, that is, upon confirmation of a positive bacterial culture. The study drug (prednisolone phosphate 1% or placebo) was administered four times a day for 1 week after randomization, and it was then tapered to two times a day for a week, and then once a day for a week. Of the cultured bacterial isolates, 72% were gram-positive species and 28% gram-negative ones. Streptococcus pneumoniae accounted for ~50% of all isolates, Staphylococcus spp. for ~35% of the isolates, Nocardia spp. for 11% of the isolates, and P. aeruginosa for 22% of the isolates. The main outcome measure was the improvement in BCVA at 3 months from enrollment. Secondary outcomes included rates of corneal perforation, size of the scar, rate of epithelial healing, and BCVA at 3 weeks, 3 months, and 12 months. Moreover, investigators assessed whether clinical outcomes correlated with the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) to moxifloxacin for the causal bacterial organism.

Out of the 500 participants, follow-up data were available on 442 patients at 3 months (88.4%) and 399 patients at 12 months (79.8%). In terms of baseline characteristics, the only difference between the corticosteroid group (222 participants at 3 months) and the placebo group (220 participants at 3 months) was the presence of more central ulcers in the corticosteroid group (P-value, 0.02). In terms of outcomes, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups as far as BCVA, size of scar, time to resolution of the epithelial defect, or rate of perforation are concerned. Counterintuitively, more participants in the placebo arm experienced a statistically significant increase in intraocular pressure (25–35 mmHg) than in the topical corticosteroid arm (P-value, 0.04).

Overall, subsequent subgroup analysis showed more favorable results for the topical corticosteroid group for certain subsets of participants at 3-month follow up. First, central ulcers covering the pupil (at least 4 mm in size) showed a two-line improvement in the corticosteroid group compared with those of the placebo group (P-value, 0.02). Second, patients with worse vision at presentation (counting fingers or worse) improved by 1.5 lines more in the corticosteroid group than in the placebo group (P-value, 0.03). Finally, there was a statistical trend for deeper and larger ulcers to show a 1.5-line visual improvement in the corticosteroid group than in the placebo group (P-value, 0.07).

A common cause of fulminant bacterial keratitis is infection with Pseudomonas spp. Indeed, 110 of the 500 participants in the SCUT study were diagnosed with Pseudomonas keratitis. Subgroup analysis showed that patients with corneal ulcers due to P. aeruginosa had worse vision at presentation than patients with other bacterial ulcers (P-value, 0.001).46 Ninety-nine of the 110 enrolled patients (90%) returned for the 3-month follow-up visit, and at that time, their vision was significantly better than patients with non-Pseudomonas ulcers (P-value, 0.004). The use of adjunctive corticosteroids did not statistically improve BCVA and size of scar at 3 months compared with the use of placebo. The rate of adverse events such as perforations, recurrence of epithelial defect, and rise in intraocular pressure was similar between Pseudomonas and non-Pseudomonas ulcers and between the corticosteroid and the placebo arm.46 Interestingly, different Pseudomonas strains showed differential response to adjunctive corticosteroids.47 Corneal ulcers due to invasive Pseudomonas strains had better vision by 2.5 lines at 3 months if topical corticosteroids had been used (P-value, 0.04). In contrast, corneal ulcers due to cytotoxic Pseudomonas strains did better in the placebo arm than in the corticosteroid arm in terms of 3-month BCVA, though the difference was not statistically significant (P-value, 0.07).

A significant percentage of patients (11%) in the SCUT trial had corneal ulcers due to Nocardia spp.34,38 Most of
these patients were agricultural workers and almost 60% of them had a history of antecedent trauma. They had better presenting visual acuity compared with non-*Nocardia* patients (20/45 vs 20/145, respectively, *P*-value, 0.001) and were symptomatic for a longer time before presentation (10 vs 4 days). Sensitivity of the isolated *Nocardia* spp. to fluoroquinolones ranged from 45% to 100%.38 Regardless of the treatment arm (corticosteroid vs placebo), patients with *Nocardia* ulcers experienced less improvement in vision than patients with other bacterial ulcers. Moreover, patients with *Nocardia* keratitis who received corticosteroids had a larger infiltrate or scar size in both 3 and 12 months when compared with placebo (*P*-value, 0.03). Upon exclusion of the *Nocardia* cases from the overall group, the corticosteroid-treated arm had better vision by one line at 12 months compared with the placebo arm (*P*-value, 0.02).34

Patients enrolled in the SCUT study received topical antibiotics for at least 2 days and sometimes up to 34 days before the initiation of topical corticosteroid or placebo due to “trial enrollment procedures” as per the study administrators.42 Early (ie, within 2–3 days) administration of corticosteroids was associated with a one-line improvement in BCVA at 3 months (*P*-value, 0.01) compared with placebo. In contrast, patients who had 4 or more days of topical antibiotics before the initiation of corticosteroids had one-line worse visual acuity at 3 months compared with the placebo group, which was attributed to the presence of a large number of *Nocardia* ulcers in this group. Thus, when patients with *Nocardia* keratitis were excluded from the analysis, corticosteroids were found to be beneficial when administered early leading to 1.3 lines of improvement at 3 months compared with placebo and neutral when given later. Early initiation of corticosteroids resulted in the improvement in visual acuity by at least one-line across ulcers of all severities compared with placebo.42 It is clear from the SCUT study that corticosteroids should be avoided in *Nocardia* ulcers, and it seems that early administration of corticosteroids may be beneficial, while late administration is no different than placebo.

For a subset of 50 patients from the original SCUT study, visual outcomes at 4 years have been reported.39 Twenty-four of these patients were in the corticosteroid group and 26 in the placebo arm. Visual acuity improved most during the first 3 weeks of treatment (by 2.9 lines) and then continued to improve slowly over the first year. Between 12 months and 4 years, there was no statistically significant improvement in vision (0.04-line improvement; *P*-value, 0.88), which suggests that no significant scar remodeling occurs after the first year. Finally, there was no statistically significant difference in BCVA at 4 years for the 24 patients in the corticosteroid group compared with the 26 patients in the placebo group (*P*-value, 0.53).

All four randomized controlled trials agreed that corticosteroids did not increase the risk of adverse events, including perforation and endophthalmitis. When we looked at the risk of developing endophthalmitis from infectious keratitis over a 15-year period at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, we found a low overall risk of 0.5% (49 cases out of 9,934 positive corneal cultures).17 Of those 49 patients, 23 had bacterial keratitis and 26 fungal keratitis, and 76% of them were previously on topical corticosteroids. The development of endophthalmitis was a devastating complication, with 70% of these patients having very poor vision (<5/200) at the last follow-up visit, and 15 of the 49 patients requiring enucleation or evisceration.

The management of bacterial keratitis is more challenging in the setting of a corneal allograft since it can lead to graft rejection or failure. The incidence of microbial keratitis following keratoplasty ranges between 1.76% and 7.4% in the developed world48–54 and up to 12.1% in developing nations.55,56 Most post-keratoplasty infections occur within the first year, and graft clarity is maintained only in 23%–50% of the cases.49,51,57–59 There are no randomized controlled trials on the use of corticosteroids for bacterial keratitis in the setting of a corneal allograft. The consensus from the reported studies and our empirical experience suggest that corticosteroids should be cut in half until the culture-positive bacterial infection is well controlled and the epithelial defect is almost healed. For patients with a distant history of a corneal allograft and who are not on corticosteroids at baseline anymore, intensive topical antibiotics should be used for at least 48 hours before the initiation of topical corticosteroids and with the support or microbiological results that demonstrate a bacterial etiology.48–54,57–59

**Conclusion**

In making a therapeutic decision, well-designed randomized controlled trials can aid us in weighing risks and benefits of the use of topical corticosteroid drops in the setting of bacterial keratitis. In all four studies to date, there was no overall improvement in vision in the corticosteroid-treated group compared with that of the placebo group. Importantly, there was also no difference in adverse effects between the two groups in terms of the rates of perforation, poor control of intraocular pressure, or delay in re-epithelialization. Subgroup analyses of the SCUT study participants showed...
that patients with vision equal to count fingers or worse or with more central ulcers experienced a two-line vision improvement at 3 months when treated with adjunctive corticosteroids. Moreover, non-*Nocardia* ulcers showed one-line improvement at 12 months when treated with corticosteroids, while the early administration of corticosteroids was linked to better vision at 3 months for ulcers of all severities. Although strain typing is not a standard practice at this time, the SCUT study showed that bacterial keratitis due to invasive *Pseudomonas* strains benefits from topical corticosteroids.

The results of the SCUT study should be evaluated within the study’s limitations. First, the vast majority of patients (97%) were enrolled in India, there were only eight contact lens wearers in the entire group, and >300 patients had a history of trauma. Thus, the study population may not be representative of the patients typically seen in the Western world. This also explains the high prevalence of *Nocardia* (11%) and *S. pneumoniae* (50%) infections within this group. Moreover, the antibiotic of choice was moxifloxacin 0.5% for all bacterial ulcers, though different MICs were noted for different causal organisms and the higher MICs were correlated with worse BCVA at the 3-week follow-up visit.\(^{37,40,41}\) Finally, all patients received a standard corticosteroid taper over the course of 3 weeks with the most frequent dosing being four times a day during the first week. It is possible that a different dosing regimen with more intensive corticosteroid administration could have different results.

Careless use of corticosteroids in corneal ulcers of unknown origin has significant risks. If the causal organism ends up being fungus, *Acanthamoeba*, or *Nocardia*, early use of corticosteroids can be detrimental and could result in the loss of vision or even the eye itself. Thus, topical corticosteroids are to be avoided in most atypical or indolent cases of keratitis. It is important to note that all participants in the SCUT study had good microbiological testing and confirmed culture-positive bacterial keratitis. For non-*Nocardia* central culture-positive corneal ulcers with no atypical features, the SCUT study showed that the administration of topical corticosteroids may be of benefit, especially if corticosteroids are started within 2–3 days of intensive topical antibiotics. In our institution, we do use corticosteroids for gram-negative and *Streptococcal* corneal ulcers with a clear microbiological diagnosis and at least after 48 hours of topical antibiotics.\(^ {15}\) Yet, even for culture-positive bacterial keratitis, one should always keep in mind that a secondary fungal superinfection may ensue upon starting corticosteroids in patients with history of trauma, poor contact lens hygiene, and in tropical regions. Thus, it is crucial to monitor such patients frequently and to have a low threshold for stopping topical corticosteroids if the infection worsens after initial improvement. Future studies that further classify microorganisms based on molecular diagnosis will determine virulence factors such as toxin production. This may help guide treatment that specifically inhibits this initial event in the inflammatory cascade.
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