Does the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus with the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin reduce HbA1c to a greater extent in Japanese patients than in Caucasian patients?

Background: Previous work suggests that Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) may respond more favorably to a DPP-4 (dipeptidyl peptidase-4) inhibitor than Caucasians. We aimed to compare the efficacy of the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin (50 mg twice daily [bid]) between Japanese and Caucasian populations.

Methods: This analysis pooled data from 19 studies of drug-naïve patients with T2DM who were treated for 12 weeks with vildagliptin 50 mg bid as monotherapy. The pool comprised Japanese patients (n=338) who had been treated in Japan and Caucasian patients (n=1,275) who were treated elsewhere. Change from baseline (Δ) in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) at 12 weeks (in millimoles per mole) versus baseline HbA1c (both in percentage National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program units [NGSP%] and millimoles per mole) for each population was reported. Universal HbA1c in millimoles per mole was calculated from either the Japanese Diabetes Society or the NGSP% HbA1c standards.

Results: At baseline, mean values for Japanese and Caucasian patients, respectively, were as follows: age, 59 years and 56 years; % male, 69% and 57%. The average HbA1c was reduced from 7.90% to 6.96% (Japanese Diabetes Society) and from 8.57% to 7.50% (United States National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program), while HbA1c was reduced from 63 mmol/mol to 53 mmol/mol and from 70 mmol/mol to 58 mmol/mol in Japanese and Caucasians, respectively. ΔHbA1c increased with increasing baseline in both populations. The slopes were the same (0.41, r²=0.36; and 0.41, r²=0.15), and the intercepts were 15.4 mmol/mol and 17.2 mmol/mol, respectively. In Japanese patients, mean ΔHbA1c was greater by 1.7 mmol/mol (0.2% NGSP HbA1c) at any given baseline HbA1c than in Caucasians (P=0.01).

Conclusion: The present pooled analysis suggests that Japanese patients respond better to vildagliptin treatment compared with Caucasians. However, when glycemic control was corrected by using the same glycemic standard, the difference in HbA1c reduction between these populations was not clinically meaningful.

Keywords: baseline HbA1c, Caucasians, Japanese, vildagliptin, type 2 diabetes

Introduction

Evidence suggests that, on average, Japanese individuals have a lower capacity to secrete insulin than Caucasians. A greater β-cell deficiency predicts that Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus may respond more favorably to a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor than would Caucasian patients. In accordance with this hypothesis, studies conducted in Japan with the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin reported greater reductions in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) from lower HbA1c baselines than those...
reported from non-Japanese studies, wherein the majority of the patients are Caucasians of European descent.\textsuperscript{3,4}

However, apart from population differences, there exist methodological and analytic differences between the clinical trials’ results, which require reconciliation before reaching any conclusions. The most important among these are differences in the HbA1c standard used; the Japanese Diabetes Society (JDS) HbA1c standard yields HbA1c values that are \(-0.4\)% lower than the international standard specified by the United States National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP), which was used in the non-Japanese studies.\textsuperscript{3,4} Furthermore, the baseline HbA1c is a very strong determinant of HbA1c reduction,\textsuperscript{2} and Japanese clinical trials often start from lower baseline HbA1c levels. In addition, Japanese clinical trials often last for 12 weeks rather than 24 weeks as seen in many other trials. This pooled analysis aimed to compare the efficacy levels of vildagliptin action in Japanese and Caucasian patients.

Materials and methods

Patients and study design

To directly compare the efficacy of the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin (50 mg twice daily [bid]) in Japanese and Caucasian populations, we pooled data from all the randomized vildagliptin monotherapy studies (total of 19 studies) conducted in drug-naïve patients with T2DM, wherein HbA1c was assessed at 12 weeks. The pool comprised Japanese patients (n=338) treated in Japan and Caucasian patients (n=1,275) treated elsewhere. HbA1c (in millimoles per mole) was calculated from either the JDS or the NGSP% HbA1c standards.\textsuperscript{3,4} Because there was an active comparator instead of placebo in most studies, placebo-subtracted differences were not considered to be valid.

Study assessments and data analyses

Change in HbA1c (millimoles per mole) at 12 weeks from baseline was plotted versus baseline HbA1c (millimoles per mole) for each population. The data analysis was based on randomized population. A linear regression model fitted to the change from baseline HbA1c (millimoles per mole), with baseline HbA1c in millimoles per mole as covariate and race subgroup as factor, was used to analyze change in HbA1c from baseline to Week 12.

Ethics and good clinical practice

All studies included in the analysis were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonization/Good Clinical Practice guidelines. The study protocols were approved by an independent ethics committee/institutional review board at each site and all patients provided written informed consent.

Results

The two populations were similar with regard to sex and age. However, the HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) were higher, and the body mass index as well as the homeostasis model of assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) score were much higher in the Caucasian population (Table 1).

The average HbA1c value ± standard error was reduced from 7.9\%±0.05\% to 6.96\%±0.04\% (JDS) and from 8.57\%±0.03\% to 7.50\%±0.03\% (NGSP), while HbA1c (in units of millimoles per mole) was reduced from 62.9\±0.5 mmol/mol to 52.5\±0.4 mmol/mol and from 70.1\±0.3 mmol/mol to 58.5\±0.4 mmol/mol in Japanese and Caucasian patients, respectively (Figure 1). The reduction in HbA1c increased with increasing baseline in both populations. The slopes for change in HbA1c (millimoles per mole) at Week 12 from baseline were the same for both the populations, but the intercepts were different (Table 2). When HbA1c was adjusted for mean change in change from baseline (in millimoles per mole) and for baseline HbA1c (in millimoles per mole) in the linear regression model, the mean change in HbA1c (in millimoles per mole) in Japanese versus Caucasian populations was \(-1.7\)\%±0.7 (95\% confidence interval: \(-2.953\) to \(-0.398\); \(P=0.01\)). Thus, at any given baseline HbA1c, the reduction in HbA1c was greater by 1.7 mmol/mol (NGSP unit: 0.2\% NGSP HbA1c) in Japanese patients compared with that in Caucasians.

Discussion

The data from this pooled analysis support the conclusion that, on average, Japanese physicians initiate treatment at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient baseline demographics and clinical characteristics (randomized population)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>Japanese, N=338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>59.3±10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>106 (31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>232 (69.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m(^2)</td>
<td>24.8±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, mmol/mol</td>
<td>62.9±9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG, mmol/L</td>
<td>8.8±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>3.3±2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or as n (\%).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of assessment for insulin resistance.
lower levels of glucose, resulting in more Japanese than Caucasian patients reaching HbA1c goals with vildagliptin. Similar reductions from baseline in HbA1c from a lower baseline HbA1c also suggest better efficacy of vildagliptin in Japanese patients when compared with the results obtained in Caucasians. However, these differences were attenuated when glycemic control was corrected by using the same glycemic standard and the change in HbA1c was corrected for baseline HbA1c, resulting in only a small difference that was statistically significant but not clinically meaningful, based on the usual threshold for clinical relevance of 0.3% NGSP HbA1c. These results have limitations due to the pooled analysis design used and the lack of adequate numbers of placebo controls. However, in the studies wherein placebo values were available, the placebo effect was consistently greater in the Caucasian group, suggesting that differences between the groups would be greater and may actually rise to the usual threshold for clinical significance.

On the other hand, these data do not rule out that there could be important differences in the underlying pathologies of the two populations. There were important differences, for which we could not correct, in the baseline characteristics of the two populations indicative of a greater degree of insulin resistance in the Caucasian population; notably, the degree of variability in the HOMA-IR score was much higher in the Caucasian patients. Vildagliptin is known to work by improving β- and α-cell function, as well as through various extrapancreatic actions, which could together yield similar levels of glycemic control. The results are consistent with a recent mechanism study of glycemic control, which indicates a similar ability of Japanese and Caucasians to compensate for increased insulin resistance by increasing insulin secretion. In any case, further studies on the mechanism of glycemic control are needed to determine whether the underlying pathologies of insulin secretion and resistance are similar in Japanese and Caucasian patients.
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