Molecular docking, synthesis, and antimycobacterial activities of pyrrolyl hydrazones and their copper complexes

Abstract: A novel series of pyrrole derivatives were designed and synthesized with an aim to overcome the growing antitubercular resistance and develop more potent antimicrobial agents. In this pursuit, a novel series of 4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzoic acid hydrazone Schiff bases were synthesized and reacted with copper acetate to form the respective copper complexes. The reaction of ethyl 4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzoate with hydrazine hydrate produced ethyl 4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide. The reaction of these hydrazides with different aldehydes yielded N′-(arylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazides. Furthermore, these Schiff bases were reacted with copper acetate to produce respective copper complexes. All the synthesized compounds were screened for antibacterial activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL). The selected compounds were evaluated for antitubercular activity using microplate alamar blue assay method that showed reasonably good MIC values ranging from 3.12 to 50 µg/mL compared to the standard drugs like pyrazinamide (MIC = 3.125 µg/mL) and streptomycin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL).
that TB may once again become a deadly disease.\textsuperscript{6,7} Moreover, the increasing incidence of TB in immunocompromised patients along with longer durations of therapy emphasizes the need for new drugs to extend the range of effective TB treatment options.\textsuperscript{8–10} Due to such increased microbial resistance, new classes of antimicrobial agents with novel mechanisms are needed to fight against MDR infections.

\textit{M. tuberculosis} contains mycolic acids that are unusually long chain \(\alpha\)-alkyl \(\beta\)-hydroxy fatty acids of 60–90 carbons.\textsuperscript{11} The antitubercular (anti-TB) drugs such as isoniazid\textsuperscript{12} and ethionamide\textsuperscript{13} have shown to target the synthesis of these mycolic acids, which are the central constituents of mycobacterial cell wall. Among the enzymes involved in fatty acid synthesis II pathway, enoyl acyl carrier protein reductase (ENR) is one of the key catalysts, which catalyzes NADH-dependent reduction of 2\textsuperscript{-trans}\textsuperscript{14} enoyl-ACP (acyl carrier protein) to yield NAD\textsuperscript{+} and reduced enoyl thioester-ACP substrate, which inhibits mycolic acid synthesis. Studies in the past have established that \textit{InhA} gene is the primary molecular target for anti-TB drugs.\textsuperscript{14,15}

Coordination or metal complexes that consist of a central metal ion coordinates with the surrounding array of bound molecules, called ligands or complexing agents. Compounds containing transition metals form coordination complexes.\textsuperscript{16–18} Metal complexes of Schiff base ligands have been investigated as a model for the enzyme active sites,\textsuperscript{19,20} including DNA cleavage systems,\textsuperscript{21,22} and as antibacterial\textsuperscript{23–25} or anticancer\textsuperscript{26} drugs. Metal complexes of O-, S-, and N-chelating ligands have gained a significant attention because of their remarkable physicochemical properties, prominent biological activities, and due to their metalloenzyme active sites;\textsuperscript{27,28} they are also used as anticancer, anti-TB, antibacterial, antifungal, and antioxidant agents.\textsuperscript{29–31}

Recently, we have reported on the synthesis as well as molecular modeling (two- and three-dimensional Quantitative Structure–Activity Relationship) studies on pyrrolyl Schiff bases as potent enoyl ACP reductase inhibitors.\textsuperscript{32–35} Molecules containing imine linkage and pyrrole as structural fragments have been widely explored in drug design studies.

This work aims to find new chemical entities having pyrrole as the core structure that can inhibit enoyl ACP reductase enzyme along with their in vitro anti-TB activity. Figure 1 represents some of the marketed drugs, which bear imine linkage (\(–\text{N}=\text{CH}–\)) and pyrrole ring. Figure 2 indicates a multistep outline by combining classification techniques and molecular docking to understand the structural features that affect binding of pyrrolyl hydrazones and their complexes with enoyl ACP reductase receptor. All the synthesized compounds were docked into the enzyme active site. This computational workflow gives imminent structural characteristics that affect the binding and inhibitory activity of these analogs on enoyl ACP reductase.

**Experimental section**

**General information**

The melting points of synthesized compounds were determined by the capillary method in a paraffin bath/digital melting point apparatus (Shital Scientific, Mumbai, India). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker spectrophotometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) using KBr pellets, and the values are expressed in cm\(^{-1}\). The \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^13\text{C}\) NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AVANCE II 400/100 MHz instrument (Bruker) in deuterated chloroform (CDCl\(_3\)) and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-\(d_6\)) solvents using trimethylsilane as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are expressed as \(\delta\) values (ppm), and splitting of the NMR spectra is termed as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), and multiplet (m). Mass spectra (MS) were recorded using Waters-Q-Tof Premier-HAB213 (Waters Ltd, Manchester, UK), and all the compounds have shown the MS data that correspond with the assumed structures. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated TLC sheets of silica gel 60F\(_{254}\) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and visualized by the long- and short-wavelength UV lamps.

**Molecular docking studies**

Molecular docking studies were carried out\textsuperscript{36} using the Sybyl-X, version 2.0 (Tripos International, St Louis, MO, USA), run on an Intel\textsuperscript{TM} Core\textsuperscript{TM} i3-2130 CPU \@ 3.40 GHz processor (Intel, Santa Clara, CA, USA) on a Windows-7 professional workstation. When docking was performed with default settings, it revealed a number of possible conformations and orientations for the inhibitors at the binding site. Understanding of the binding site conformations helped us to understand the important interactions that could stabilize ligand–receptor complex. Surfex-Dock (Tripos International) adopted the empirical scoring function using the patented searching engine\textsuperscript{37,38} for molecular docking. The crystal structure of \textit{M. tuberculosis} \textit{InhA} inhibited by PT70 (5-hexyl-2-[2-methyl/phenoxy]phenol) was selected from the Protein Data Bank ([PD] entry code 2X22) extracted from the Brookhaven Protein Database [http://www.rcsb.org/pdb] and these were used in the initial docking. Co-crystallized
ligand and water molecules were removed from the structure, while the essential hydrogen atoms were added and side chains were fixed during the protein preparation. The 3D structures of pyrrole derivatives were constructed using the standard geometric parameters of Sybyl-X 2.0 software (Tripos International), and the structure was subjected to energy minimization. The MMFF94 (Merck Molecular Force Field) charges were calculated for the ligand, while Amber7 FF02 (University of California) was used for the protein. Then, it was subjected to energy minimization following the gradient termination of Powell method for 3,000 iterations using the Tripos force field\textsuperscript{39} with nonbonding cut-off value set at 8.0 and dielectric constant set at 1.0. Then, ligand-based docking was introduced to generate the “protomol” (it is an object-oriented, component-based framework for molecular dynamics simulations), and all the inhibitors were docked within the prepared protein.

In order to identify the ligand–protein interactions, the top pose and protein were loaded into the work area and MOLCAD (Molecular Computer Aided Design) program was employed to visualize the binding mode between the protein and the ligand. MOLCAD calculates and exhibits the surfaces of channels and cavities as well as separating surface between the protein subunits.\textsuperscript{40,41} MOLCAD program aims to create molecular surface by using the fast Connolly’s method, a matching cube algorithm to engender the surface.

**Synthesis**

**General procedure for the synthesis of ethyl 4-\((2,5\text{-}\text{dimethyl/1H-pyrrol-1-yl})\text{benzoates (2a, 2b)}**

To a solution of ethyl 4-aminobenzoate 1 (0.1 mol) in glacial acetic acid (15 mL), acetonyl acetone/2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran (0.1 mol) was added and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 45 minutes between 150°C and 160°C. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured onto crushed ice and neutralized with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate. The residue obtained was filtered, washed with water, and finally recrystallized with ethanol.\textsuperscript{42}
4-(2,5-Dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N'-3-(3-methoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide (4a)

Yield, 79%; mp 177°C–179°C; IR (KBr): 3183 (NH), 1649 (C=O), 1608 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 2.00 (s, 6H, –2CH3), 3.77 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 3.91 (s, 2H, pyrrole-C1, C4-H), 6.91–8.03 (m, 8H, phenyl-C6, C3, C5, C6 and methoxyphenyl-C2, C4, C6, C7-H), 8.37 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 10.27 (s, 1H, NH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 13.09, 55.23, 106.47, 110.89, 117.46, 121.14, 128.20, 128.56, 128.81, 129.61, 132.07, 135.07, 142.30, 150.00, 159.81, 164.45; MS (EI): m/z = found 348.17 [M+1]; calcd. 347.16. Anal. C21H21N3O2.

N'-3-(4,4-dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (4b)

Yield, 80%; mp 188°C–190°C; IR (KBr): 3200 (NH), 1640 (C=O), 1606 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 2.04 (s, 6H, –2CH3), 3.73–3.90 (m, 6H, –OCH3), 5.92 (s, 2H, pyrrole-C3, C4-H), 6.43–6.69 (m, 3H, methoxyphenyl-C6, C3, C5, C6-H), 7.26–8.15 (m, 4H, phenyl-C4, C5, C6, C7-H), 8.54 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 9.28 (s, 1H, NH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 17.91, 60.44, 102.69, 110.57, 111.23, 120.37, 132.50, 133.67, 137.67, 146.37, 149.65, 164.23, 167.60, 168.05; MS (EI): m/z = found 378.18 [M+1]; calcd. 377.17. Anal. C22H23N3O3.

General procedure for the synthesis of 4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazides (3a, 3b)

A mixture of ester (2a, b) (0.015 mol) and 99% hydrazine hydrate (10 mL) was refluxed with ethanol as a solvent for 3 hours. The crude product was obtained upon cooling, filtered, and recrystallized from ethanol to get benzohydrazides (3a, b).22

General procedure for the synthesis of N'-3-(4,4-dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazides (4a–4k)

Equimolar quantities of 4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazide (2a) (0.1 mol) and substituted aldehydes (0.1 mol) with a catalytic amount of glacial acetic acid were refluxed for 4–8 hours using ethanol solvent. The precipitate formed after cooling was collected by filtration, washed with hot ethanol, dried, and recrystallized using ethanol solvent to obtain the compounds (4a–4k) in good yields.
147.95, 164.35; MS (EI): m/z = found 363.14 [M+1]; calcd.
362.14. Anal. C_{20}H_{18}N_{4}O_{5}.

4-(2,5-Dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N'-(3-phenoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide (4e)

Yield, 75%; mp 215°C–218°C; IR (KBr): 3191 (NH), 1642 (C=O), 1607 (C=N).

4-(2,5-Dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N'-(4-methoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide (4f)

Yield, 75%; mp 186°C–188°C; IR (KBr): 3222 (NH), 1666 (C=O), 1607 (C=N); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 2.02 (s, 6H, –2CH_3), 2.36 (s, 3H, –CH), 5.83 (s, 2H, pyrrole-C\_2, C\_4=H), 7.27 (d, 2H, methylphenyl-C\_2, C\_5=H), 7.41 (d, 2H, methylphenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 7.65 (d, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.06 (d, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.43 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 11.90 (s, 1H, NH); ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 12.90, 21.01, 106.47, 127.10, 127.52, 127.92, 129.43, 131.54, 132.52, 139.95, 141.11, 147.97, 162.49; MS (EI): m/z = found 332.17 [M+1]; calcd. 331.17. Anal. C_{21}H_{21}N_{3}O.

N'-(1H-Indol-3-yl)methylene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (4i)

Yield, 65%; mp 165°C–167°C; IR (KBr): 3102 (NH), 1789 (C=O), 1607 (C=N); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 2.02 (s, 6H, –2CH_3), 5.83 (s, 2H, pyrrole-C\_2, C\_4=H), 6.62 (d, 1H, indole-C\_2=H), 7.14–7.81 (m, 4H, indole-C\_2, C\_5, C\_6, C\_8), 8.06 (d, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.27–8.34 (m, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.64 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 11.58 (s, 1H, NH), 11.65 (s, 1H, indole-NH); ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 12.92, 99.49, 106.42, 111.81, 120.17, 120.40, 120.80, 122.10, 127.55, 127.87, 128.52, 129.04, 129.54, 130.44, 131.12, 137.03, 140.79, 145.10, 161.94; MS (EI): m/z = found 357.17 [M+1]; calcd. 356.16. Anal. C_{22}H_{20}N_{4}O.

General procedure for the synthesis of N'- (aryliden) -4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazides (5a–5f)

Equimolar quantities of 4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (2b) (0.1 mol) and substituted aldehydes (0.1 mol) with a catalytic amount of glacial acetic acid were refluxed for 4–8 hours using ethanol solvent. The precipitate formed after cooling was collected by filtration, washed with hot ethanol, dried, and recrystallized using ethanol as a solvent to obtain compounds (5a–5f) in good yields.

N'-(2-Chlorobenzylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (4h)

Yield, 85%; mp 150°C–153°C; IR (KBr): 3184 (NH), 1656 (C=O), 1607 (C=N); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 2.00 (s, 6H, –2CH_3), 5.80 (s, 2H, pyrrole-C\_2, C\_4=H), 7.36 (d, 4H, chlorophenyl-C\_2, C\_4, C\_5, C\_6=H), 7.45 (d, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.06 (d, 2H, phenyl-C\_2, C\_6=H), 8.67 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 12.18 (s, 1H, NH); ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 12.84, 106.40, 126.88, 127.20, 127.47, 127.80, 129.68, 131.17, 131.52, 132.10, 133.37, 141.37, 143.99, 162.57; MS (EI): m/z = found 352.12 [M+1]; calcd. (351.11). Anal. C_{20}H_{18}ClN_{3}O.

N'-(3-Methoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (5a)

Yield, 65%; mp 165°C–167°C; IR (KBr): 3182 (–NH), 1650 (C=O), 1609 (C=N); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 3.83 (s, 3H, –OCH_3), 6.31 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C\_2, C\_6=H), 6.99 (d, 1H, methoxyphenyl-C\_4=H), 7.27–7.41 (m, 5H, methoxyphenyl-C\_2, C\_3, C\_4, C\_6, C\_8).
N'-[(2,4-Dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)]benzohydrazide (5b)
Yield, 80%; mp 215°C–220°C; IR (KBr): 3195 (NH), 1644 (C=O), 1607 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 3.84–3.92 (q, 6H, –OCH2-), 6.31 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 6.58 (t, 2H, methoxyphenyl-C5-H), 7.36 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 7.63 (d, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 7.91 (d, 1H, methoxyphenyl-C5-H), 8.07 (d, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 8.75 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 11.70 (s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 55.64, 97.96, 105.59, 111.18, 118.89, 129.35, 129.91, 130.82, 142.91, 145.27, 159.46, 162.84, 163.58; MS (EI): m/z = found 350.15 [M+1]+; calcd. 349.14. Anal. C19H17N3O2.

4-(1H-Pyrrol-1-yl)-N'-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide (5c)
Yield, 72%; mp 225°C–230°C; IR (KBr): 3197 (NH), 1645 (C=O), 1608 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 3.88 (s, 9H, –OCH3), 6.40 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 6.98–7.15 (m, 4H, methoxyphenyl-C5- and pyrrole-C5-H), 7.48 (t, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 7.95 (s, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 8.28 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 9.43 (s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 56.22, 60.89, 104.67, 111.58, 118.86, 129.56, 140.13, 143.32, 149.77, 153.49, 164.42; MS (EI): m/z = found 380.16 [M+1]+; calcd. 379.15. Anal. C20H19N3O2.

N'-[(2-Nitrobenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)]benzohydrazide (5d)
Yield, 72%; mp 195°C–200°C; IR (KBr): 3222 (NH), 1650 (C=O), 1607 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 6.32 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 7.41 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 7.63–7.80 (m, 4H, nitrophenyl-C6-, C5-, C6-, H), 8.04–8.23 (m, 4H, phenyl-C6-, C5-, C6-, H), 8.95 (s, 1H, –N=CH–), 12.22 (s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 111.07, 118.67, 124.35, 128.85, 129.43, 130.18, 133.26, 142.47, 142.69, 147.99, 162.55; MS (EI): m/z = 335.11 [M+1]+; calcd. 334.11. Anal. C18H14N4O3.

N'-[(3-Phenoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)]benzohydrazide (5e)
Yield, 75%; mp 220°C–223°C; IR (KBr): 3188 (NH), 1671 (C=O), 1607 (C=N).

N'-Butyldiene-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (5f)
Yield, 70%; mp 210°C–215°C; IR (KBr): 3236 (NH), 1651 (C=O), 1608 (C=N); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 0.95–0.99 (m, 3H, CH3–CH–CH–CH3), 1.53–1.59 (m, 2H, CH2–CH–CH–CH3), 2.27–2.32 (m, 2H, CH2–CH–CH–CH3), 6.30 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 7.36 (t, 2H, pyrrole-C5-H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.64 Hz, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 7.75–7.78 (t, 1H, –N=CH–), 7.99 (d, J = 8.64 Hz, 2H, phenyl-C6-H), 11.44 (s, 1H, NH); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 13.88, 19.97, 29.69, 111.34, 119.62, 128.61, 129.68, 143.03, 153.47, 163.76; MS (EI): m/z = found 256.14 [M+1]+; calcd. 225.14. Anal. C15H17N3O.

General procedure for the synthesis of copper complexes of N’-(arylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazides (6a–6k)
Compounds (6a–6k) were synthesized by refluxing a mixture of N’-(arylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazides (4a–k) (0.1 mol) and copper acetate (0.2 mol) in ethanol for 8–10 hours, and completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled, the separated solid was collected by filtration and washed with cold ethanol. The product was dried and purified by column chromatography using chloroform:petroleum ether in the ratio of 8:2 as the mobile phase.

Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(3-methoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6a)

Bis[N’-(2,4-dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6b)

Bis[N’-(2,4-dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6c)

Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(2-nitrobenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6d)
Yield, 64%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 2923, 2853 (Ar–CH) 1607 (C=N). MS (EI): m/z = found 787.31 [M+1]+; calcd. 786.30. Anal. C23H19N10O4Cu.
Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(3-phenoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6e)
Yield, 67%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3064, 2918 (Ar–CH) 1603 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 881.51 [M+H]+; calcd. 880.49. Anal. (C₂₀H₁₅N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 299.

Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(4-methoxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6f)
Yield, 60%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3096, 2919 (Ar–CH) 1604 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 757.37 [M+H]+; calcd. 756.35. Anal. (C₁₉H₁₇N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 290.

Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(4-methylbenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6g)
Yield, 62%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3072, 2922 (Ar–CH) 1604 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 725.25 [M+H]+; calcd. 724.35. Anal. (C₁₉H₁₇N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 300.

Bis[N’-(2-chlorobenzylidene)-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6h)
Yield, 65%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3063, 2920 (Ar–CH) 1606 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 766.20 [M+H]+; calcd. 765.19. Anal. (C₂₀H₁₅N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 280.

Bis[4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(4-isopropylbenzylidene)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6i)
Yield, 58%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 2919, 2850 (Ar–CH) 1608 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 781.31 [M+H]+; calcd. 780.46. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₁N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 300.

Bis[N’-(1H-indol-3-yl)methylene]-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6j)
Yield, 55%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3063, 2922 (Ar–CH) 1616 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 775.39 [M+H]+; calcd. 774.37. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₁N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 310.

Bis[N’-butyramidene]-4-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (6k)
Yield, 70%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3038, 2924 (Ar–CH) 1592 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 629.34 [M+H]+; calcd. 628.27. Anal. (C₁₅H₁₃N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 285.

General procedure for the synthesis of copper complexes of N’-(arylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazides (7a–7f)
Compounds (7a–7f) were synthesized by refluxing a mixture of N’-(arylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide (5a–5f) (0.1 mol) and copper acetate (0.2 mol) using ethanol as a solvent for 8–10 hours. Completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled, and the separated solid was collected by filtration and washed with cold ethanol. The final product was dried and purified by column chromatography using chloroform:petroleum ether in the ratio of 8:2 as a mobile phase.

Bis[N’-(3-methoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7a)

Bis[N’-(2,4-dimethoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7b)
Yield, 55%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 2960, 2924 (Ar–CH) 1604 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 761.31 [M+H]+; calcd. 760.30. Anal. (C₁₉H₁₉N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 310.

Bis[4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-N’-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene) benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7c)
Yield, 52%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3025, 2920 (Ar–CH) 1605 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 821.36 [M+H]+; calcd. 820.35. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₃N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 300.

Bis[N’-(2-nitrobenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7d)
Yield, 59%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3033, 2956 (Ar–CH) 1591 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 731.20 [M+H]+; calcd. 730.19. Anal. (C₁₅H₂₄N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 310.

Bis[N’-(3-phenoxybenzylidene)-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl) benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7e)
Yield, 60%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 3067, 2927 (Ar–CH) 1603 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 825.40 [M+H]+; calcd. 824.38. Anal. (C₂₄H₂₆N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 290.

Bis[N’-butylidene]-4-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)benzohydrazide] copper (II) anhydride (7f)
Yield, 70%; mp >300°C; IR (KBr): 2959, 2929 (Ar–CH) 1607 (C=NH). MS (EI): m/z = found 572.19 [M+H]+; calcd. 572.16. Anal. (C₁₅H₁₉N₄O₂)₂Cu; UV (λ_max): 280.
Biological evaluation
In vitro antitubercular activity
Antitubercular activity of the synthesized compounds was evaluated using the MABA and was performed in black, clear-bottomed, 96-well microplates in order to minimize background effects. Outer perimeter wells were filled with sterile water to prevent the dehydration in the experimental wells. These plates were then filled with 100 µL of Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and serial dilutions of the compounds were made directly on the plate from 100 to 0.2 µg/mL. Then, these plates were covered and sealed with parafilm and incubated at 37°C for 5 days. After this, 25 µL of the freshly prepared 1:1 mixture of alamar blue reagent and 10% Tween-80 was added to the plate and incubated for 24 hours. A blue color in the well was interpreted as no bacterial growth, but pink color was scored as the growth of the bacteria.

In vitro antibacterial activity
The in vitro antibacterial activity of compounds was assessed against Gram-positive (S. aureus [ATCC-12598],...
Bacillus subtilis [ATCC-6633]) and Gram-negative bacteria (Klebsiella pneumoniae [ATCC-29665], Escherichia coli [ATCC-25922]) using the broth microdilution method.

Preparation of media
Sterilization of media and glassware
The media used was Mueller-Hinton agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the nutrient agar was sterilized in conical flasks of a suitable capacity by autoclaving at 15 lb pressure for approximately 20 minutes. The test tubes and pipettes were sterilized in hot air oven at 160°C for 1 hour.

Preparation of test compounds
Serial dilutions of the compounds and reference drugs were prepared in Mueller-Hinton agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Drugs (1 mg) were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide/CDCl₃ (1 mL). Further, progressive dilutions with the melted Mueller-Hinton agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were performed to obtain the required concentrations of 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL of test compounds.

Preparation of inoculums
Test organisms were subcultured onto nutrient agar and incubated overnight at 35°C. The tubes that contained 2 mL of Muller-Hinton agar were inoculated with five or more colonies from the agar plate and turbidity was adjusted to match a 1 McFarland standard (105 cfu/mL) and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of the compound yielding no visible growth on the plate. To ensure that solvent had no effect on the bacterial growth, a control experiment was performed with the test medium supplemented with DMSO/CDCl₃, at the same dilutions as used in the experiments. The DMSO/CDCl₃ did not show any effect on the microorganisms in the concentration ranges studied.

Results and discussion
The synthetic route for the synthesis of new targeted derivatives is depicted in Figures 3 and 4. In a condensation process, pyrrole ring (2a–b) was constructed via the reaction of ethyl 4-aminobenzoate 1 with acetonyl acetone/2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran by refluxing with acetic acid.
These esters were converted to hydrazides (3a–b) via hydrazinolysis of (2a–b) with hydrazine hydrate. Preparation of Schiff bases (4a–k and 5a–f) in good yields was achieved via condensation reactions (3a–b) with different aldehydes in a refluxing ethanol (Figure 3).

FTIR spectra of Schiff bases (4a–k) and (5a–f), absorption bands of carbonyl groups showed in the region 1,637–1,789 cm\(^{-1}\) in addition to peaks in the region 1,606–1,609 cm\(^{-1}\) that are attributed to the presence of C=N group. The \(^1\)H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of (4a–k) and (5a–f) showed signals of methine proton in the region \(\delta 8.29–8.67\ ppm\), while protons of –NH were observed in the region \(\delta 9.28–12.18\ ppm\); a singlet signal approximately \(\delta 2.00–2.04\ ppm\) is attributed to protons of methyl groups at C\(_2\) and C\(_5\) positions of pyrrole ring. Furthermore, \(^13\)C NMR spectra of (4a–k) and (5a–f) showed resonating signals at \(\delta 168\ ppm\) due to carbonyl groups. Signals in the region of \(\delta 141–150\ ppm\) are attributed to methine group; the signals for methyl groups are observed at \(\delta 12.84–18.48\ ppm\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>C score(^a)</th>
<th>Crash score(^b)</th>
<th>Polar score(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT70</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>-3.68</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>-2.91</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4g</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>-4.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4i</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4j</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4k</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>-4.30</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>-4.39</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>-4.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-2.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6i</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>-6.49</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6j</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6k</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-8.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>-6.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>-2.87</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>-3.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7e</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>-10.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^a\) C score integrates a number of popular scoring functions for ranking the affinity of ligands bound to the active site of a receptor and reports the output of total score; \(^b\) crash-score reveals the inappropriate penetration into the binding site. Crash scores close to 0 are favorable. Negative numbers indicate penetration; \(^c\) polar scores indicate the contribution of polar interactions to the total score; Bold values indicate the compounds with highest C score among all the docked compounds.

Abbreviation: C score, consensus score.
Schiff bases (4a–k) and (5a–f) were reacted with copper acetate in a refluxing ethanol medium to furnish the targeted copper complexes (6a–k) and (7a–f), respectively.

FTIR spectra

FTIR spectra of metal complexes are compared with those of ligands to determine coordination sites involved in the bond formation. The presence of some guide peaks in the spectrum of ligand enables to understand this aspect. These peaks change either in their positions and/or their intensities upon chelation, but some peaks disappear after chelation.

The carbonyl stretching bands are found at approximately 1,637–1,789 cm\(^{-1}\) (4a–k and 5a–f), which have disappeared, indicating the involvement of carbonyl group in the bond formation with the metal, while two \(-\text{C}=\text{N}\) peaks are observed in the FTIR spectra of the metal complex. From these observations, it can be concluded that two carbonyl groups of ligand have undergone mesomerism, since the mesomeric form containing \(-\text{C}=\text{N} \rightleftharpoons \text{N}=\text{O}^-\) arrangement might be involved in the bond formation with the central metal. Further, this type of mesomerism was proved by the appearance of two \(-\text{C}=\text{N}-\) stretching bands in the region of 1,630–1,604 cm\(^{-1}\) (medium band). From the FTIR spectra, it was found that hydrazide nitrogen is involved in the coordinate bond formation with the metal (6a–k and 7a–f).

UV–visible spectroscopy

UV (ultraviolet)–visible spectroscopy was used to analyze the geometry of the metal complexes. To identify metal complexes, generally UV frequency is considered at >300 nm. By this study, we confirm that the synthesized metal complexes have tetrahedral geometry. The stability of copper complexes was performed in different buffer solutions, and complexes were found to be stable.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectroscopy was used to determine the ratio of ligands involved in the complex formation with the central metal atom as well as to estimate the mole reactants used in the synthesis. It also gives appropriate information about the involvement of water or chlorine molecule during complexation process. In this study, neither water nor chlorine was involved in the formation of the coordination sphere.

Molecular docking

All the conformations were minimized using Tripose force field. The atomic charges were calculated using MMFF94 (Merck Molecular Force Field) method, while Amber7 FF02 (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA) was used for the protein. Among the synthesized compounds, compounds 4c, 4e, 6c, 7b, and 7d have shown the better scoring function which indicates the binding affinity of these compounds at the active site of the receptor. As depicted in Figure 5, the hydrogen of the amide linkage of compound 4e makes three H-bonding interactions with the cofactor NAD\(^{+}\)1270 (NH…O-NAD\(^{+}\)1270). Compound 7d makes one H-bonding interaction with NAD\(^{+}\)1270, ie, oxygen of \(-\text{NO}_2\), by interacting with hydrogen of NAD\(^{+}\)1270 (Figure 6). The results of molecular docking are listed in Table 1.

Biological evaluation

**In vitro antitubercular activity**

The compounds were evaluated in vitro for antitubercular activity against *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv using microplate alamar blue assay (MABA) method, with pyrazinamide and streptomycin as the reference standards. The results expressed in minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) are listed in Table 2. Among all the tested compounds, compounds 4e, 6c, 6e, and 7d were highly active with the MIC of 3.12 \(\mu\)g/mL, while for those of 4c, 7b, 7c, and 7e, the MIC values were 6.25 \(\mu\)g/mL, and the remaining compounds showed moderate activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>M. tuberculosis H(_3)Rv MIC (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>M. tuberculosis H(_3)Rv MIC (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6c</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6d</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>6e</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6f</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4g</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6g</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4i</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6i</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4j</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6j</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4k</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6k</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7e</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7f</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold values indicate compounds showing good MIC values.

Abbreviations: *M. tuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.
Table 3 In vitro antibacterial activity (MIC expressed in µg/mL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Gram positive</th>
<th></th>
<th>Gram negative</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>B. subtilis</td>
<td>K. pneumoniae</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ciprofloxacin | 0.4            | 0.4                  | 0.2           | 0.4                |
Norfloxacin   | 0.4            | 0.2                  | 0.4           | 0.4                |

Note: Bold values indicate compounds with good MIC values.
Abbreviations: S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus; B. subtilis, Bacillus subtilis; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; E. coli, Escherichia coli; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

In vitro antibacterial activity

Target compounds that showed better anti-TB activity were further evaluated for in vitro antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive (S. aureus, B. subtilis) and Gram-negative (K. pneumoniae, E. coli) bacteria using broth microdilution method. Ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin were used as reference drugs. These data results are summarized in Table 3.

Based on the previously mentioned study, it was found that the presence of —NH of hydrazide and —NO₂ group at ortho position of aromatic ring are essential for the binding at the active site. In summary, our results on the molecular docking and biological screening of compounds offered an excellent framework that may lead to the discovery of potent antimycobacterial agents.

Conclusion

In this work, we have reported the synthesis of new series of Schiff base and their copper complexes along with their spectral data as well as antibacterial and antitubercular activities. Compounds 6c, 6e, and 7d were found to be more active than Schiff bases against M. tuberculosis H₃₇Rv strain, and selected compounds were screened for antibacterial activities that have shown good activity against Gram-negative bacteria, especially against E. coli, than the other tested bacteria with MIC value of 3.12 µg/mL. A marked increase was observed in antibacterial and antitubercular activities when hydrozones were converted to copper complexes, indicating the relevance of copper atom to exhibit biological activity. Molecular docking of all compounds into enoyl ACP-reductase enzyme suggested that compounds 4c, 4e, 6c, 7b, and 7d bind to cofactor NAD⁺. The result of this study can be utilized to further optimize and improve the potency and selectivity toward ENR enzyme by varying the basic skeleton.

Additional enzyme inhibition studies and molecular docking study involving other enzymes, viz., topoisomerase II, transaminase, dihydrofolate reductase, will offer better insights to understand the detailed binding interaction and the mechanism, this work will be undertaken in the near future.
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