Making the diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome in patients with dry eye
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Abstract: Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) is a chronic and progressive systemic autoimmune disease that often presents initially with symptoms of dry eye and dry mouth. Symptoms are often non-specific and develop gradually, making diagnosis difficult. Patients with dry eye complaints warrant a step-wise evaluation for possible SS. Initial evaluation requires establishment of a dry eye diagnosis using a combination of patient questionnaires and objective ocular tests, including inflammatory biomarker testing. Additional work-up using the Schirmer test and tear film break-up time can differentiate between aqueous-deficient dry eye (ADDE) and evaporative dry eye. The presence of ADDE should trigger further work-up to differentiate between SS-ADDE and non-SS-ADDE. There are numerous non-ocular manifestations of SS, and monitoring for SS-related comorbid findings can aid in diagnosis, ideally in collaboration with a rheumatologist. The clinical work-up of SS can involve a variety of tests, including tear function tests, serological tests for autoantibody biomarkers, minor salivary gland and lacrimal gland biopsies. Examination of classic SS biomarkers (SS-A/Ro, SS-B/La, antinuclear antibody, and rheumatoid factor) is a convenient and non-invasive way of evaluating patients for the presence of SS, even years prior to confirmed diagnosis, although not all SS patients will test positive, particularly those with early disease. Recently, newer biomarkers have been identified, including autoantibodies to salivary gland protein-1, parotid secretory protein, and carbonic anhydrase VI, and may allow for earlier diagnosis of SS. A diagnostic test kit is commercially available (Sjötest®), incorporating these new biomarkers along with the classic autoantibodies. This advanced test has been shown to identify SS patients who previously tested negative against traditional biomarkers only. All patients with clinically significant ADDE should be considered for serological assessment for SS, given the availability of new serological diagnostic tests and the potentially serious consequences of missing the diagnosis.
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Introduction

Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) is a chronic and progressive systemic autoimmune disease that primarily involves immune-mediated damage to the lacrimal and salivary glands.1,2 This pathology translates into hallmark clinical symptoms of dry eyes (keratitis sicca or keratoconjunctivitis sicca) and dry mouth. SS is the second most prevalent autoimmune rheumatic disease,2 likely affecting close to four million Americans.3,4 The exact prevalence is difficult to determine due to variations in disease definitions and lack of a diagnostic gold standard. Women and Caucasians are the predominantly affected demographic groups. SS is primarily a disease of middle- to older aged adults, with onset typically occurring in the fourth or fifth decade of life.5 In one large Scandinavian study, the prevalence of SS was approximately seven times higher among elderly individuals (71–74 years of age) compared with a middle-aged population (40–44 years).6
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The condition is associated with significant impairments in quality of life\textsuperscript{9–13} and functioning.\textsuperscript{11,14}

While rheumatologists typically oversee the overall care of SS patients, a multidisciplinary team that involves ophthalmology specialists, as well as oral care professionals, is critical not just for the management of known SS patients, but also to identify potential SS cases. The symptoms of SS can progress slowly and are often highly variable in presentation, making diagnosis difficult.\textsuperscript{3,15–17} Consequently, it is estimated that the disease remains undiagnosed in more than half of affected adults.\textsuperscript{3,15,18} Data suggest that patients experience symptoms for an average of 3.9 years before a diagnosis of SS is made.\textsuperscript{7} Unfortunately, such delays in diagnosis can be a source of psychological distress from unexplained symptoms,\textsuperscript{12} not to mention prolonging the initiation of appropriate treatment. Early diagnosis and treatment of SS is essential to prevent or mitigate development of complications such as cerebrovascular events and myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{12,15,16,19–22} Unfortunately, dry eye symptoms are very non-specific, especially in the immediate absence of other SS-related complaints or findings. In a recent US survey of eye care specialists, most practitioners reported relying primarily on patient history to guide treatment decisions.\textsuperscript{23} These findings underscore the need for reliable objective measures and diagnostic tools to quantify and classify dry eye symptoms.

This review aims to provide eye care professionals with an understanding of methods for distinguishing SS dry eye from other types of dry eye and to describe options for a differential diagnostic approach. The reader is reminded that SS is a systemic disease with many non-ocular manifestations that are not addressed in detail herein, but have been reviewed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{3} Collaboration with a rheumatologist is essential when SS is suspected in a given patient.

**Sjögren’s syndrome**

SS can occur alone (primary SS) or in association with another underlying autoimmune disease (secondary SS), typically rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis (scleroderma), or polymyositis.\textsuperscript{7,15,24} The epidemiologic distribution of primary and secondary SS cases seems to be about even.\textsuperscript{5,25} Ocular and oral symptoms may be somewhat less severe in secondary SS.\textsuperscript{25} Primary SS presents the greatest diagnostic challenge, given that the disease presents de novo typically with gradual onset of vague, non-specific symptoms.

Primary SS is frequently associated with a wide range of systemic and extraglandular ocular complications, and these usually become evident an average of a decade after the onset of dry eye.\textsuperscript{21} A large retrospective cohort analysis found that primary SS was associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular events and myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{22} Patients with primary SS are more than twice as likely as age- and sex-matched controls to have hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia. High rates of depression have also been reported.\textsuperscript{11} Autonomic symptoms are common, affecting approximately half of patients with primary SS, and they are significantly correlated with overall symptom burden.\textsuperscript{26} In addition, approximately 20%–30% of patients with primary SS have clinical pulmonary involvement, which is associated with significantly greater impairment in quality of life/physical functioning, as well as with a fourfold increased 10-year mortality.\textsuperscript{27} Patients with primary SS have an estimated 16–37.5 times increased risk of lymphoma compared to the general population;\textsuperscript{28,29} the prevalence of non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma specifically is approximately 10%.\textsuperscript{7}

**Dry eye disease**

Dry eye is one of two classic symptoms of SS, the other being dry mouth. While dry eye is a classic diagnostic feature of SS, it is a very common complaint with a wide range of underlying pathologies, thereby making it quite non-specific for SS by itself.\textsuperscript{9} The clinical consequences of dry eye include ocular discomfort, visual disturbance, tear film instability, and potential damage to the ocular surface.\textsuperscript{1} The symptoms of dry eye are usually accompanied by objective findings such as tear hyperosmolarity and ocular surface inflammation.

The differential diagnosis of ocular SS begins with a determination of the basic nature of the dry eye, namely aqueous-deficient dry eye (ADDE) or evaporative dry eye (EDE).\textsuperscript{1} SS falls under the classification of ADDE; therefore, cases of dry eye initially classified as ADDE need to be further investigated as SS dry eye or non-SS dry eye (Figure 1). Steps for this process are described in the following sections, beginning with strategies for establishing and characterizing a broad diagnosis of dry eye, followed by more detailed methods of distinguishing the various sub-types of dry eye, and specific testing to help confirm a diagnosis of SS.

As described earlier, dry eye has been categorized based on pathology into two major classes: ADDE and EDE.\textsuperscript{1} ADDE refers primarily to a failure of lacrimal secretion, although a deficit in conjunctival water secretion may also contribute through decreased production of aqueous fluid by accessory lacrimal glands along the conjunctival surface.
ADDE, also called keratoconjunctivitis sicca (KCS), occurs in approximately 4% of adults over 65 years of age. EDE is caused by excessive water loss from the ocular surface in patients with normal lacrimal secretion and may be a result of intrinsic (eg, meibomian oil deficiency) or extrinsic (eg, vitamin A deficiency, contact lens wear) factors.

Despite these classifications and definitions, it is not uncommon for an individual patient to have dry eye caused by more than one mechanism, with subsequent triggering of additional dry eye pathology. Thus, many patients with dry eye have a combination of ADDE and EDE.

**General diagnosis of dry eye**
A number of tools can be used for the diagnosis of dry eye, including patient questionnaires, objective tests such as slit-lamp evaluation, tear osmolarity assessments, ocular surface staining, functional visual acuity, tear meniscus evaluations, and inflammatory biomarker tests. Ideally, a combination of these assessments should be used.

**Symptom questionnaires**
The use of a structured symptom questionnaire is considered an excellent way to screen patients for dry eye disease, but should always be used in combination with objective clinical evaluation of dry eye status. There are several dry eye symptom questionnaires available with varying degrees of length and complexity. The following three-question, evidence-based symptom survey has been suggested as a simple clinical screening tool for dry eye disease; any “yes” response would trigger a more comprehensive dry eye work-up:

1. How often do your eyes feel dryness, discomfort, or irritation? Would you say it is often or constantly? (Y/N)
2. When you have eye dryness, discomfort, or irritation, does this impact your activities (eg, do you stop or reduce your time doing them)? (Y/N)
3. Do you think you have dry eye? (Y/N)

More extensive questionnaires are used primarily for clinical trials, including the Ocular Surface Disease Index.
(OSDI)\textsuperscript{33} and the Standard Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness questionnaire (SPEED).\textsuperscript{34}

**Objective ocular testing**

Slit-lamp examination is recommended prior to any specific ocular testing; dry eye signs on slit-lamp examination include superficial corneal erosions, insufficient tear lake volume, early tear film break-up time (TBUT), conjunctival hyperemia, conjunctival surface irregularities, and meibomian gland dysfunction.\textsuperscript{35} Conjunctival scarring may also be seen.

Measurement of tear osmolarity was shown in a prospective, observational case series of 299 patients to have the highest positive predictive value (86\%) for the diagnosis of dry eye disease, higher than other objective tests.\textsuperscript{36} An osmolarity threshold of 308 mOsm/L was noted as a sensitive cut-off for differentiating normal from mild to moderate dry eye disease.\textsuperscript{36} In a study comparing tear osmolarity in dry eye patients and normal controls, tear osmolarity of 305 mOsm/L was selected as the cut-off value for dry eye, 309 mOsm/L for moderate dry eye, 318 mOsm/L for severe dry eye (with area under the curve values of 0.737, 0.759, and 0.711, respectively).\textsuperscript{37} Tear osmolarity testing is facilitated and simplified by the availability of commercial devices such as TearLab® (TearLab Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA). TearLab clinical usage guidelines suggest cut-off ranges of 300–320 mOsm/L for mild dry eye and 320–340 mOsm/L for moderate dry eye.\textsuperscript{38}

Ocular surface staining patterns can help characterize dry eye and gauge severity. Staining can be performed using fluorescein, Rose Bengal, or lissamine green. Fluorescein concentrates in between living cells, thus stained areas represent an absence of epithelial cells, including cell death. While fluorescein is helpful in identifying superficial epithelial erosions, it is not a very sensitive or specific measure and cannot distinguish dry eye-related damage from other ocular injury.\textsuperscript{35,36} Rose Bengal and lissamine green stains concentrate in corneal and conjunctival cells that lack a healthy, protective mucin barrier, thereby identifying damaged epithelial cells (Figure 2). However, these tests also lack sensitivity or specificity for dry eye damage. Lissamine green is usually better tolerated than Rose Bengal.\textsuperscript{35}

Other tests that may be performed include functional visual acuity and observation of the tear meniscus (tear lake). Functional visual acuity is a measure of visual acuity related to specific daily visual tasks, since patients with dry eye report decreased visual acuity during prolonged concentration on visual tasks such as reading, driving, or working at a computer. Unfortunately, testing of functional visual acuity is subjective and time-consuming.

![Figure 2 Ocular surface of a dry eye patient following Rose Bengal application.](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Notes:** Purple stained areas indicate devitalized tissue and epithelial cell damage.

*Clinical Ophthalmology downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 54.70.40.11 on 22-Jan-2019*.

The tear meniscus reflects the amount of tears present where the bulbar conjunctiva and lower eyelid margin meet. When the height and curvature of the tear meniscus are measured, a patient with dry eye usually has a lower height than a patient without dry eye, though a low tear meniscus can occur in patients without dry eye.\textsuperscript{35}

**Biomarkers and other emerging diagnostic techniques**

Patients with dry eye generally have higher levels of inflammatory markers than patients without dry eye. The inflammatory biomarker MMP-9 can be detected using the InflammaDry test (Rapid Pathogen Screening, Inc., Sarasota, FL, USA), a point-of-care MMP-9 test, and it may facilitate making the diagnosis of dry eye. In one clinical trial, the InflammaDry Test was shown to have positive agreement of 86\% and negative agreement of 97\% with clinical assessment for the diagnosis of dry eye.\textsuperscript{40} Analysis of tear proteins such as lactoferrin can aid in the diagnosis of dry eye, since it has been shown that tear proteins are decreased in patients with ADDE, including those with SS and those without SS.\textsuperscript{41,43}

There are a number of promising technologies in various stages of development for dry eye diagnosis, including reflective meniscometry, optical coherence tomography, interferometry, ocular surface thermography, and impression and brush cytology coupled with flow cytometry.\textsuperscript{32,33} Biopsies are seldom taken clinically.

**Differentiating between ADDE and EDE**

In patients who present with dry eye, it is important to differentiate between ADDE and EDE, because it is the
presence of ADDE that raises the suspicion of SS. However, features of both types of dry eye are often present together.31

There are important differences in tear physiology between ADDE and EDE. There is significantly lower tear turnover in ADDE compared with EDE, while there is considerable overlap observed in tear evaporation between ADDE and EDE. However, there are no differences between the two major dry eye classifications with regard to tear osmolarity, volume, or distribution.44 Although the tear turnover rate has been reported as useful, it is cumbersome and not often used clinically. A cut-off value of 11% per minute for tear turnover rate had a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 75%; a cut-off of 60 g/m²·h for tear evaporation had a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 58%.44

The Schirmer test, which measures total tear secretion, can be used to identify ADDE, the type of dry eye primarily associated with SS. In the absence of anesthesia, the Schirmer test measures reflex tearing, while the test performed with anesthesia measures basal tear secretion.31 A Schirmer test result of <5 mm is indicative of aqueous deficiency, >10 mm is normal, and 5–10 mm is considered borderline, but more suggestive of aqueous deficiency if done without anesthesia.32,33 The Schirmer II test is less commonly used; it involves stimulation of the nasal surface with a cotton tip applicator and subsequent measurement of reflex tear production. Drawbacks of the Schirmer test include the length of time required, patient discomfort, and the unreliable nature of the test.32,35

The TBUT is of some value in identifying tear film instability and aiding in the diagnosis of EDE. The established cut-off value for diagnosis of dry eye in general is <10 seconds, although lower cut-off values have been considered.32 Using this cut-off, the TBUT was shown to have a sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 86% for differentiating dry eye from non-dry eye.45 However, a more recent investigation found no difference in TBUT between patients with ADDE, EDE, and mixed (ADDE + EDE).46

SS vs other types of ADDE

Once ADDE has been diagnosed, it becomes important to differentiate between SS dry eye (primary vs secondary) and non-SS dry eye. There are many causes of non-SS ADDE, including primary or secondary lacrimal deficiency, lacrimal gland duct obstruction, reflex block (including post-LASIK), other neurotrophic corneas, and systemic drugs. Because SS typically presents in middle-aged women, symptoms such as cutaneous, oral, and vaginal dryness may be misattributed to menopause.3 Symptoms of dry eye and dry mouth may also be confused with atopy or anxiety.3 It is important to ask all patients who present with dry eye about concomitant dry mouth symptoms, as the combination is highly suggestive of SS and should prompt a full diagnostic evaluation. Combined symptoms of dry mouth, sore mouth, and dry eyes correctly classified 93% of patients with SS and 97.7% of controls in one study.47 However, it is possible for SS to present with only one of these findings, and the absence of dry mouth should not be the sole basis for ruling out additional work-up.

The many ocular symptoms of SS may include sensations of itching, grittiness, foreign body sensation, burning, and soreness despite a normal eye appearance.3,48 Patients may complain of photosensitivity, eye fatigue, erythema, reduced and fluctuating visual acuity, discharge, and the sensation of a film across the visual field.31 Some patients may not be able to tolerate contact lenses and may report needing to use tear substitutes.48 Accumulation of sticky mucus may make it difficult for patients to open their eyes in the morning.48 Ocular symptoms may be exacerbated by low humidity levels, exposure to cigarette smoke, anticholinergic drugs, antihistamines (as well as several other systemic medications), allergies, computer, tablet, and phone use, and topical drops and preservatives.3

Ocular findings upon examination of SS patients can include diminished tear secretion, although tear flow rates do not correlate with ocular discomfort, and accumulation of mucus secretions along the inner canthus.3,48 Desiccation may result in superficial/shallow erosions of the corneal epithelium; filamentary keratitis, revealed by slit-lamp examination, may occur in more severe cases. Some patients present with conjunctivitis due to infection with Staphylococcus aureus or other organisms. Rarely, enlargement of the lacrimal gland may be seen. Other potential ocular complications of SS include corneal ulceration, vascularization, opacification, and, in rare cases, perforation.3,48

Full diagnostic work-up for SS

Diagnostic criteria for SS were published in 200249 (Table 1) and then updated in 201250 (Table 2). The older criteria are more comprehensive, but more recent criteria are considered simpler to apply and rely on more objective measures, including biopsy.50 These criteria can help guide the diagnostic workup for SS, but may require the involvement of multiple specialists, including a rheumatologist.1

When SS is suspected in a patient with dry eye, an awareness of the extraocular signs and symptoms of SS can help make the diagnosis.48 These include oral manifestations such as inability to swallow dry food without liquid,
dry mouth, parotid swelling, dried and fissured tongue, and dental caries. Involvement of exocrine glands other than lacrimal and salivary glands can result in dry skin and hair, vaginal dryness, and gastrointestinal symptoms associated with impairment of protective mucus secretion. The systemic features of SS are varied and can include fatigue, arthritis (often misdiagnosed as rheumatoid arthritis), interstitial cystitis, neurological involvement, vasculopathies, interstitial pneumonitis, renal disease, lymphoma, and serological abnormalities.

The clinical work-up of SS typically involves a variety of tests, including tear function tests, serological tests for autoantibody biomarkers, minor salivary gland biopsy, lacrimal gland biopsy, systemic endocrine findings, and tests of salivary function (biscuit test, sialography). Questionnaires are of some value for the assessment of dry eye etiology, although questionnaires alone are insufficient to confirm a diagnosis of SS. The 5-item Dry Eye Questionnaire was validated in 2010; scores ≥6 indicate dry eye, and scores >12 may suggest further testing to rule out SS (Figure 3).

Tear function tests have a role to play in the differential diagnosis of SS, with the most important aspect being differentiating between ADDE and EDE, as discussed earlier. The tear function index has been reported to be useful in the diagnosis of SS. In addition, Rose Bengal or lissamine green (vital dye stains) staining of the interpapillary fissure is a noninvasive way to help diagnose SS, because conjunctival staining may be seen earlier in the disease course. A normal TBUT rules out EDE, but a high percentage of patients with SS have a lid margin component (evaporative component). However, whether the TBUT is normal or rapid is not helpful for making the diagnosis of SS.

### Table 1 Revised international classification criteria for Sjögren’s syndrome (American–European Consensus Group, 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Ocular symptoms: a positive response to at least one of the following questions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Have you had daily, persistent, troublesome dry eyes for more than 3 months?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Do you have a recurrent sensation of sand or gravel in the eyes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Do you use tear substitutes more than three times a day?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. Oral symptoms: a positive response to at least one of the following questions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Have you had a daily feeling of dry mouth for more than 3 months?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Have you had recurrently or persistently swollen salivary glands as an adult?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Do you frequently drink liquids to aid in swallowing dry food?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III. Ocular signs – that is, objective evidence of ocular involvement defined as a positive result for at least one of the following two tests:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Schirmer’s 1 test, performed without anaesthesia (&lt;5 mm in 5 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Rose Bengal score or other ocular dye score (&gt;4 according to van Bijsterveld’s scoring system)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| IV. Histopathology: In minor salivary glands (obtained through normal-appearing mucosa) focal lymphocytic sialoadenitis, evaluated by an expert histopathologist, with a focus score ≥1, defined as a number of lymphocytic foci which are adjacent to normal-appearing mucous acini and contain more than 50 lymphocytes per 4 mm² of glandular tissue |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V. Salivary gland involvement: objective evidence of salivary gland involvement defined by a positive result for at least one of the following diagnostic tests:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Unstimulated whole salivary flow (≤1.5 mL in 15 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Parotid sialography showing the presence of diffuse sialectasias (punctate, cavitary or destructive pattern), without evidence of obstruction in the major ducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Salivary scintigraphy showing delayed uptake, reduced concentration and/or delayed excretion of tracer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI. Autoantibodies: presence in the serum of the following autoantibodies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Antibodies to SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antigens, or both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Abbreviations: SS-A/Ro, Sjögren’s syndrome antigen type A; SS-B/La, Sjögren’s syndrome antigen type B.

### Table 2 Proposed classification criteria for Sjögren’s syndrome (American College of Rheumatology, 2012)

The classification of Sjögren’s syndrome, which applies to individuals with signs/symptoms that may be suggestive of SS, will be met in patients who have at least two of the following three objective features:

1. Positive serum anti-SS-A/Ro and/or anti-SS-B/La or (positive rheumatoid factor and ANA ≥1:320)
2. Labial salivary gland biopsy exhibiting focal lymphocytic sialadenitis with a focus score ≥1 focus/4 mm²
3. Keratoconjunctivitis sicca with ocular staining score ≥3 (assuming that individual is not currently using daily eye drops for glaucoma, and has not had corneal surgery or cosmetic eyelid surgery in the last 5 years)


Abbreviations: SS, Sjögren’s syndrome; ANA, antinuclear antibody.
Evaluation of the salivary glands through biopsy or imaging is an important component of SS diagnosis. However, while biopsy of minor salivary glands is traditionally considered the gold standard for diagnosis of SS, it is not commonly performed in routine medical practice. Salivary gland ultrasonography has been shown to improve the diagnostic performance of the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for SS (salivary gland ultrasonography 60.0% sensitive and 87.5% specific for SS) and aid in the differential diagnosis of primary SS. Acoustic radiation force impulse imaging of the parotid and submandibular glands may also help identify early-stage primary SS (diagnostic sensitivity, 81%; specificity, 67%).

SS biomarkers
Examination of biomarkers is a convenient and non-invasive way of evaluating patients for the presence of SS. Traditional biomarkers include SS-A/Ro, SS-B/La, ANA (antinuclear antibody), and RF (rheumatoid factor) (Table 3). It has been...
shown that patients expressing SS-A/Ro antibodies have an increased risk of developing extraglandular manifestations, such as cryoglobulinemia, anemia, vasculitis, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia and thus warrant close monitoring. It appears that autoantibodies may be present long before symptom onset; a recent nested case control study from Sweden analyzed 175 serum samples drawn from 117 individuals prior to primary SS diagnosis; among cases which were autoantibody positive after SS diagnosis, at least one autoantibody specificity (ANA, RF, SS-A/Ro60, SS-A/Ro52, SS-B/La) was detected in 81% of cases at a median 4.3–5.1 years preceding SS diagnosis, and as long as 20 years prior. In a smaller study, 29/44 (66%) patients with primary SS had detectable autoantibodies as early as 18 years prior to symptom onset.

Although SS-A/Ro, SS-B/La, ANA, and RF are considered important in the diagnosis of SS, they are not always positive in patients with SS, especially in early cases. In a large, prospective cohort of patients at optometry and ophthalmology centers within the USA presenting with clinically significant ADDE, 38/327 (11.6%) patients were found to have SS. Compared with patients without SS, those with SS demonstrated significantly worse conjunctival and corneal staining, Schirmer’s test results, and symptoms. Primary SS was significantly more likely in patients positive for ANA or RF. However, SS-A/Ro and SS-B/La are positive in only approximately half of patients with SS who present to ophthalmology clinics primarily with dry eye symptoms. The authors suggest that patients with clinically significant dry eye signs and symptoms plus ANA and/or RF positivity should be offered diagnostic testing for SS even in the absence of positive SS-A/SS-B results.

Antibody detection rates may vary by the type of diagnostic test used (ELISA, double immunodiffusion, Western blot, and addressable laser bead assay). For example, in one study, immunodot was able to detect anti-Ro52/60 antibodies in approximately 20% of suspected SS cases who tested negative for anti-SS-A/SS-B findings using ELISA; however, immunodot is expensive and time-consuming. Using more than one detection method may improve diagnostic success.

Based on findings in mouse models, it has been hypothesized that SS begins as an organ-specific disease that initially affects the lacrimal and submandibular glands and then progresses to involve the other salivary glands; it has been further postulated that identification of organ-specific antibodies in the lacrimal and major salivary glands may lead to early diagnosis of SS. Recent work has identified autoantibodies to salivary gland protein-1 (SP1), parotid secretory protein (PSP), and carbonic anhydrase VI (CA6) in patients with a >5-year history of SS. Overall, 54% of the SS patients had autoantibodies to SP1, 54% to CA6, and 18% to PSP; 69% had autoantibodies to either SP1 or CA6, while 62% had antibodies to Ro or La, the traditional markers. Upon examination of patients who met the criteria for SS but who lacked antibodies to Ro or La, 45% had autoantibodies to the three novel biomarkers. In addition, among patients with symptoms suggestive of SS for less than 2 years, only 31% were positive for antibodies to Ro or La, while 76% were positive for antibodies to CA6 or SP1. In another study, patients with different severities of SS were evaluated, and the novel biomarkers were found to be associated with early disease, with many patients who lacked antibodies to the traditional markers being positive for anti-SP1, anti-CA6, and anti-PSP. Thus, these novel biomarkers can be considered as useful markers of early SS.

The Sjötest (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA) is an advanced, commercially available panel for the early detection of SS, requiring a blood sample obtained by an in-office finger prick or blood collection at a diagnostic laboratory. It includes the early biomarkers SP1, PSP, and CA6, along with the classic Sjögren’s biomarkers SS-A/Ro, SS-B/La, ANA, and RF. This combination of biomarkers provides greater sensitivity and specificity compared to traditional testing. The cumulative sensitivity of the Sjö panel was 89.9% as determined from four clinical studies with a total of 248 sera samples. Based on sera samples from 79 age- and sex-matched controls and 64 pediatric controls, the cumulative specificities...
of the entire Sjő panel and the novel early biomarkers were 78.7% and 82.5%, respectively (personal communication, Dr Lakshmanan Suresh, Immco Diagnostics, Buffalo, NY, USA). Further, incorporation of the early biomarkers into the Sjő test facilitates the diagnosis of SS in patients with chronic dry eye who previously tested negative for traditional anti-Ro/SS-A and anti-La/SS-B biomarkers.\(^7\)\(^\text{-}^7\)\(^\text{0}\) The advent of advanced and easy to use tests such as this may improve diagnostic accuracy in suspected cases of SS and allow for disease identification at earlier stages.

Several additional novel biomarkers of SS have been identified,\(^7\)\(^\text{1},^7\)\(^\text{2}\) but are not yet being used routinely in clinical practice. Anti-kallikrein (KLK) antibodies were found to be significantly higher in the sera of SS patients compared with non-SS dry eye patients or normal controls; using an optical density cut-off of 0.2695, anti-KLK11 antibody distinguished the SS group from non-SS dry eye and controls with a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 94%.\(^7\)\(^5\) Tear cathepsin S activity is similar in patients with primary and secondary SS but is much higher in SS than in patients with non-specific dry eye disorder or normal controls; very high tear cathepsin S activity may be considered suggestive of SS.\(^7\)\(^6\) IgG and IgA antibodies against α-fodrin may be considered activation markers of SS.\(^7\)\(^5\) Lymphotoxin α has also been shown to be elevated in salivary gland secretions and sera of patients with SS.\(^7\)\(^6\)

**Conclusion**

Patient complaints and clinical findings suggestive of dry eye, especially ADDE, should always trigger a suspicion of SS and prompt further investigation, including queries about concomitant dry mouth symptoms. All patients with clinically significant ADDE should be considered for serological assessment for SS, given the availability of new serological diagnostic tests and the potentially serious consequences of missing the diagnosis. Vigilance and proactive steps on the part of eye care professionals can play a critical role in facilitating the early recognition of SS and referral to a rheumatologist, enabling timely intervention for both ocular and non-ocular manifestations.
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